

II.6 COMMENTS AND RESPONSES: PUBLIC (INDIVIDUALS)

CONTENTS

II.6	COMMENTS AND RESPONSES: PUBLIC (INDIVIDUALS)	II-408
II.6.1	Comment Set P1: Mira Ballard	II-409
II.6.2	Comment Set P2: Suzanne Beukema	II-410
II.6.3	Comment Set P3: Henry Castignetti	II-412
II.6.4	Comment Set P4: Debbie Cook.....	II-413
II.6.5	Comment Set P5: Shirley Dettloff	II-413
II.6.6	Comment Set P6: Michael Grant	II-418
II.6.7	Comment Set P7: Carol Jean Hicks	II-421
II.6.8	Comment Set P8: Mary Julienne	II-422
II.6.9	Comment Set P9: Laird Coatings	II-423
II.6.10	Comment Set P10: Ira Leibowitz	II-425
II.6.11	Comment Set P11: Bill Mccarty (Public Meeting Transcript).....	II-427
II.6.12	Comment Set P12: Debbie Mccormick	II-429
II.6.13	Comment Set P13: Hildy Meyers	II-430
II.6.14	Comment Set P14: Linda Minko	II-431
II.6.15	Comment Set P15: Ken Normann	II-432
II.6.16	Comment Set P16: Carol Pilgrim	II-433
II.6.17	Comment Set P17: Joe Pustl.....	II-434
II.6.18	Comment Set P18: Joanne Rasmussen	II-435
II.6.19	Comment Set P19: Philip Roberts, PhD, PE.....	II-436
II.6.20	Comment Set P20: Dianna Sahhar.....	II-441
II.6.21	Comment Set P21: David J. Tanner	II-443
II.6.22	Comment Set P22: David Warga.....	II-446
II.6.23	Comment Set P23: Joan Wood	II-447
II.6.24	Comment Set P24: Support Form Letter.....	II-448
II.6.25	Comment Set P25: Opposition Form Letter	II-461

The master responses provided in Section II.2, *Master Responses, MR-1 through MR-8*, address similar comments received from multiple commenters on the Draft Supplemental EIR and, therefore, many individual responses to comments refer back to the master responses. These Master Responses are:

- MR-1, Scope of the Commission’s Discretionary Action
- MR-2, Lease Modification Project Scope
- MR-3, Responsible Vs. Lead Agency & Supplemental Vs. Subsequent EIR
- MR-4, Piecemealing
- MR-5, Diffuser Entrainment Mortality and Species Affected
- MR-6, Marine Protected Areas
- MR-7, Cumulative Impacts
- MR-8, Alternatives

II.6.1 Comment Set P1: Mira Ballard

Archived: Tuesday, July 25, 2017 11:14:04 AM
From: mira ballard
Sent: Monday, July 24, 2017 4:56:30 PM
To: Comments, CEQA@SLC
Subject: Conserving water!
Importance: Normal

Dear ones,
Conserving water is the way to go! Too many problems will come up
With taking ocean water.
Thank you,
Mira Ballard

P1-1

Sent from my iPad

Archived: Wednesday, July 26, 2017 7:35:10 AM
From: mira ballard
Sent: Tuesday, July 25, 2017 7:41:16 AM
To: Comments, CEQA@SLC
Subject: NO Desalination!
Importance: Normal

P1-2

Sent from my iPad

RESPONSE TO COMMENT SET P1: MIRA BALLARD

- P1-1 The commenter’s expression of opposition to the Project will be provided to the Commission for consideration in its decision-making process. The Project that will be considered by the Commission is the proposed Lease Modification Project, as defined in Section 2 of this Supplemental EIR. (See also master responses MR-1, *Scope of the Commission’s Discretionary Action*, and MR-2, *Lease Modification Project Scope*.)
- P1-2 See Response to Comment P1-1.

II.6.2 Comment Set P2: Suzanne Beukema

June 12, 2017

The Honorable Gavin Newsom
Chairman
California State Lands Commission
100 Howe Ave., Suite 100 South
Sacramento, CA 95825-8202

Dear Chairman Newsom:

RE: SUPPORT for Huntington Beach Desalination Project

I'm a small businesswoman in Huntington Beach and I have supported the construction of the desalination plant for the better part of two decades now. In fact, I attended the State Lands Commission hearing in 2010 and testified in support of the project then. Little did I know that seven years later, I'd be asking for the Commission's approval again, but this time, the project is even more environmentally benign.

Technological improvements to both the intake and outfall system reduce marine life impacts significantly. But most important for me, there is a significant public benefit to the project as it will provide Orange County with a drought-proof 100 percent reliable water supply for generations to come. The project will only be built if a water purchase agreement can be reached with a public water agency, so the public oversight ensures the benefits of this new water supply will be bestowed on the public.

I encourage you to certify the Draft Supplemental Environmental Impact Report and approve the amended lease at your upcoming meeting later this year.

Thank you for your time.

Respectfully,

Suzanne Beukema

Suzanne Beukema
Owner
Suzanne's Catering

cc:

Commissioner/State Controller Betty Yee
Commissioner / Finance Director Michael Cohen
Ms. Jennifer Lucchesi, Executive Officer, State Lands Commission
Governor Jerry Brown
Assembly Speaker Anthony Rendon
Senate President Pro Tem Kevin De Leon
U.S. Congressional Representative Dana Rohrabacher
State Senator John Moorlach

COMMENT SET P2: SUZANNE BEUKEMA (cont.)

State Senator Janet Nguyen
State Senator Pat Bates
State Senator Josh Newman
State Senator Tony Mendoza
State Assemblyman Travis Allen
State Assemblyman Matt Harper
State Assemblyman Phillip Chen
State Assemblywoman Sharon Quirk-Silva
State Assemblyman Steven Choi
State Assemblyman Tom Daly
State Assemblyman Bill Brough

RESPONSE TO COMMENT SET P2: SUZANNE BEUKEMA

- P2-1 The commenter’s expression of support for the Project will be provided to the Commission for consideration in its decision-making process. The Project that will be considered by the Commission is the proposed Lease Modification Project, as defined in Section 2 of this Supplemental EIR. (See also master responses MR-1, *Scope of the Commission’s Discretionary Action*, and MR-2, *Lease Modification Project Scope*.)

II.6.3 Comment Set P3: Henry Castignetti

Archived: Tuesday, July 18, 2017 2:44:21 PM
From: Hank
Sent: Sunday, July 16, 2017 10:46:40 AM
To: Comments, CEQA@SLC
Subject: Poseidon Supplemental EIR Comments
Importance: Normal

We stand adamantly opposed to the Poseidon desal project in Huntington Beach. It is an unnecessary, over priced boondoggle. Water harvesting and conservation generates far more water, at a fraction of the price, than desal. Please stop this project!
Henry Castignetti
Huntington Beach

P3-1

RESPONSE TO COMMENT SET P3: HENRY CASTIGNETTI

P3-1 The commenter's expression of opposition to the Project will be provided to the Commission for consideration in its decision-making process. The Project that will be considered by the Commission is the proposed Lease Modification Project, as defined in Section 2 of this Supplemental EIR. (See also master responses MR-1, *Scope of the Commission's Discretionary Action*, and MR-2, *Lease Modification Project Scope*.)

II.6.4 Comment Set P4: Debbie Cook

Archived: Wednesday, July 26, 2017 10:45:34 AM
From: Debbie Cook (energymaven@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
Sent: Monday, July 24, 2017 5:33:19 PM
To: Comments, CEQA@SLC
Subject: Poseidon Supplemental EIR Comments.
Importance: Normal

Dear California - State Land Commission,

In 2003 I was appointed to represent the League of California Cities on the California Desalination Task Force. Poseidon Resources attended all of the meetings. They were told repeatedly that they should not try to boot-strap onto the AES intake/outfall pipes. They chose to ignore that advice and continue to try to ram through a bad project in the wrong location using the wrong technology and without considering that there is NO need for their product. But for the millions of dollars they have spent on public relations and campaign donations, this absurd project would have died a decade ago. The original EIR is out of date and inadequate and your agency should not be granting any permits prior to the completion of an adequate review.

P4-1

Sincerely,

Debbie Cook

RESPONSE TO COMMENT SET P4: DEBBIE COOK

P4-1 The commenter’s expression of opposition to the Project will be provided to the Commission for consideration in its decision-making process. The Project that will be considered by the Commission is the proposed Lease Modification Project, as defined in Section 2 of this Supplemental EIR. (See also master responses MR-1, *Scope of the Commission’s Discretionary Action*, and MR-2, *Lease Modification Project Scope*.)

II.6.5 Comment Set P5: Shirley Dettloff

Shirley Dettloff
6812 Laurelhurst Dr.
Huntington Beach, CA 92647

Director of Finance Michael Cohen
State Capitol, Room 1145
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Director Cohen:

I writing to ask that you certify the Poseidon Supplemental EIR and approve an amendment to the land lease for the Huntington Beach Seawater Desalination Project. I have supported this project as a citizen of Huntington Beach and also as a former Mayor of the City and former State Coastal Commissioner through the many years that it has been debated at all levels of government. As a citizen of southern California I see the need to stabilize our continuing need for a safe and consistent water supply. Some will say, but it did rain in 2016 and yes it did, but historically we know that there will be another drought and another rain. However the damage done by the past 5 years with little rainfall has caused alarm with wild fires devastating large number of acres, trees being attacked by killer insects, and cities losing thousands of trees in their communities because they could not give up much needed water for their own personal use. The Poseidon project will help stabilize this situation, and as I see it, just one more tool in our toolbox, to meet the unknown conditions of climate change. Also I feel it is less environmentally damaging than bringing water hundreds of miles from Northern California to Southern California.

P5-1

I have been impressed with the fact that whenever Poseidon was asked to do another study, design another plan, that they cooperated and have done such. Poseidon has made significant changes to their plans since the State Lands Commission approved the project in 2010. Changes that the critics asked of them. One millimeter wedgewire screens have been added and a slower flow rate to ensure that marine life impacts on the intake side will be minimal with no fish impacted. Only a small number of microscopic fish eggs or larvae will be impacted. Technological improvements on the brine diffuser will produce no significant impacts on marine life. Technological advances now allows Poseidon to produce the same 50 million gallons of desalinated water every day while using 30% less seawater than was needed when the project came before you 7 years ago.

I believe that this EIR and the amendment to the land lease should be approved. On a personal note, as founding member and former President of the Amigos de Bolsa Chica, this project will mean a great deal to the Bolsa Chica Wetland. If the project is approved, Poseidon will be responsible for keeping the Tidal Inlet at Bolsa Chica open, as their mitigation requirement. Since funding is difficult for the State to provide for this absolutely necessary requirement, Poseidon's role is significant.

\

Sincerely,

Shirley Dettloff

Shirley Dettloff, former Mayor of Huntington Beach and Former State Coastal Commissioner

COMMENT SET P5: SHIRLEY DETTLOFF (PUBLIC MEETING TRANSCRIPT)

MS. DETTLOFF: Good morning. Shirley Dettloff, former mayor of the City of Huntington Beach, and also a former State Coastal commissioner, I'm also a supporter of Poseidon, and I hope that you will support the EIR that's before you.

I'm a layperson over the many years this project has been reviewed by state and local government. I have listened to every point of view by the community and from the experts in the scientific community. The position of the citizens to this facility appears to be, what are the changes in climate we face in the future? We know historically that we in Southern California live in a dry desert-like environment and are faced over and over again with drought. Yes, we have done well in meeting the water restrictions in the past, but one thing we have not done well is to restrict the hundreds of homes and businesses which continue to be built. This will not stop as we do need more housing for the population that continues to come to our region. We now have the opportunity to do something that may in the future become a crisis. If this EIR reflected dire consequences for building a plant,

P5-2

COMMENT SET P5: SHIRLEY DETTLOFF (PUBLIC MEETING TRANSCRIPT) (cont.)

I would be against it. I think the many steps Poseidon has taken to improve the project and shown every measure to protect our ocean has been explored and implemented. They have shown they have taken the concerns seriously and implemented an advanced wedge-wire screen intake system, slower flow rates of intake, and brine diffuser to reduce salinity impact on the outfall.

P5-2
cont.

I also believe that importing water into the Southern California may be more environmentally damaging than the desalination plant.

P5-3

I started off my community work in Huntington Beach fighting to save Bolsa Chica. I am very pleased that if the Coastal Commission approves the Poseidon project the mitigation will be done at Bolsa Chica. I have recently been involved with title issues and note that funding to keep the inlet open for another year has recently been addressed by the State legislature.

P5-4

However, this funding is only for 2018, and we have to deal with some important issue year after year. Poseidon's mitigation will prevent this from happening and allow future restorations to

COMMENT SET P5: SHIRLEY DETTLOFF (PUBLIC MEETING TRANSCRIPT) (cont.)

continue. Most importantly we would not lose one of the most important natural resources in Southern California. Thank you for your time, and welcome to Huntington Beach.

P5-4
cont.

RESPONSE TO COMMENT SET P5: SHIRLEY DETTLOFF

- P5-1 The commenter’s expression of support for the Project will be provided to the Commission for consideration in its decision-making process. The Project that will be considered by the Commission is the proposed Lease Modification Project, as defined in Section 2 of this Supplemental EIR. (See also master responses MR-1, *Scope of the Commission’s Discretionary Action*, and MR-2, *Lease Modification Project Scope*.)
- P5-2 See Response to Comment P5-1.
- P5-3 See Response to Comment P5-1.
- P5-4 See Response to Comment P5-1.

II.6.6 Comment Set P6: Michael Grant

MICHAEL GRANT CONSTRUCTION SERVICES, INC.

15581 Product Lane, C16, Huntington Beach, CA 92649
Lic. B634946~PH: 714 891-9443~FAX: 714 891-9436

June 20, 2017

The Honorable Gavin Newsom
Chair
California State Lands Commission
100 Howe Ave., Suite 100 South
Sacramento, CA 95825-8202

RE: SUPPORT for Huntington Beach Seawater Desalination Project Supplemental EIR; Land Lease Agreement

Dear Chair Newsom,

As a longtime Huntington Beach resident and business owner, I want to express my support for the Huntington Beach Seawater Desalination Project and request that the State Lands Commission certify the project's Supplemental Environmental Impact Report (SEIR) and approve the land lease agreement with Poseidon Water at its meeting on August 17, 2017.

The Huntington Beach Seawater Desalination Project is an opportunity for Orange County to reduce its dependence on imported water and diversify its local water supply portfolio, while creating jobs and contributing to economic growth.

Orange County is about 50 percent dependent on water, imported from hundreds of miles away, to meet its current demand. Having an additional, local water supply source would not only reduce that dependence but also offer residents, businesses, and investors greater certainty that Orange County could manage a prolonged disruption in imported water deliveries, an extended statewide drought, or some other water shortage situation. A significant loss of water combined with extreme water rationing would handicap our county economy, threaten local jobs and businesses, compromise quality of life and the environment, and more. The seawater desalination project would provide a reliable, local, drought-proof water source and a buffer for Orange County against losses of imported water.

As the owner of a Huntington Beach based construction firm, I understand how reliable water is critical to a community and its economy, as well as to businesses and investors who decide if, when, and where to build. I also understand that the seawater desalination project would bring opportunity to thousands of skilled men and women in the building and construction trades. The project would create thousands of construction-related jobs to support their livelihoods, over the course of its development, as well as support numerous direct and indirect jobs after the facility goes online. These jobs would generate local revenue, plus the project, itself, would

P6-1

COMMENT SET P6: MICHAEL GRANT (cont.)

MICHAEL GRANT CONSTRUCTION SERVICES, INC.

15581 Product Lane, C16, Huntington Beach, CA 92649

Lic. B634946~PH: 714 891-9443~FAX: 714 891-9436

generate hundreds of thousands of dollars per year in sales and local taxes that would support community services and local investment.

Finally, I support the Huntington Beach Seawater Desalination Project because it continues to balance economic and water supply benefits with environmental protection. Having one-millimeter wedgewire screening on the intake pipeline to protect fish and larvae and a brine diffuser on the outfall pipeline to better control salinity levels makes this an environmentally superior project that surpasses protections that the State Lands Commission had deemed appropriate when it certified the project EIR in 2010. Now that the Huntington Beach marine ecosystem will be afforded even greater protection, I believe it makes State Lands Commission certification of the SEIR not only easier but necessary.

I would respectfully ask the Commission to certify the DSEIR and approve the land lease with Poseidon Water.

Sincerely,

Michael Grant

cc:

Commissioner/State Controller Betty Yee
Commissioner / Finance Director Michael Cohen
Ms. Jennifer Lucchesi, Executive Officer, State Lands Commission
Alexandra Borack, Project Manager, State Lands Commission
Governor Jerry Brown
Assembly Speaker Anthony Rendon
Senate President Pro Tem Kevin De Leon
U.S. Representative Dana Rohrabacher
State Senator Patricia Bates
State Senator Tony Mendoza
State Senator John Moorlach
State Senator Josh Newman
State Senator Janet Nguyen
State Assemblyman Travis Allen
State Assemblyman Bill Brough
State Assemblyman Phillip Chen
State Assemblyman Steven Choi
State Assemblyman Tom Daly
State Assemblyman Matt Harper
State Assemblywoman Sharon Quirk-Silva

P6-1
cont.

RESPONSE TO COMMENT SET P6: MICHAEL GRANT

- P6-1 The commenter’s expression of support for the Project will be provided to the Commission for consideration in its decision-making process. The Project that will be considered by the Commission is the proposed Lease Modification Project, as defined in Section 2 of this Supplemental EIR. (See also master responses MR-1, *Scope of the Commission’s Discretionary Action*, and MR-2, *Lease Modification Project Scope*.)

II.6.7 Comment Set P7: Carol Jean Hicks

-----Original Message-----

From: Carol Jean Hicks [<mailto:cjhicks66@gmail.com>]
Sent: Tuesday, July 25, 2017 11:00 AM
To: Beckwith, Chris@SLC <Chris.Beckwith@slc.ca.gov>
Subject: I oppose the desalination plant in Huntington Beach

Dear Chris, I just wanted to register my husband's and my disapproval of the desalination plant in Huntington Beach and the large company that is pushing it through. I grew up in Huntington Beach when it was not a very nice place to live but it is now, and I think that it will be very likely to stay that way without an unsightly plant. I do see the need for desalination, eventually, and hope there will be a way to accommodate such a project but in a more environmentally friendly way.
Sincerely, Carol Hicks Los Angeles California

P7-1

RESPONSE TO COMMENT SET P7: CAROL JEAN HICKS

P7-1 The commenter's expression of opposition to the Project will be provided to the Commission for consideration in its decision-making process. The Project that will be considered by the Commission is the proposed Lease Modification Project, as defined in Section 2 of this Supplemental EIR. (See also master responses MR-1, *Scope of the Commission's Discretionary Action*, and MR-2, *Lease Modification Project Scope*.)

II.6.8 Comment Set P8: Mary Julienne

Archived: Wednesday, July 26, 2017 7:40:31 AM
From: MARY JULIENNE
Sent: Tuesday, July 25, 2017 8:05:24 AM
To: Comments, CEQA@SLC
Subject: Poseidon desalination plant
Importance: Normal

As a nearby resident of AES and the proposed desalination plant I protest violently against this plant. I do not believe a thorough investigation of impact has been performed nor do I believe this is a necessity and should be placed in Huntington Beach.

P8-1

RESPONSE TO COMMENT SET P8: MARY JULIENNE

P8-1 The commenter's expression of opposition to the Project will be provided to the Commission for consideration in its decision-making process. The Project that will be considered by the Commission is the proposed Lease Modification Project, as defined in Section 2 of this Supplemental EIR. (See also master responses MR-1, *Scope of the Commission's Discretionary Action*, and MR-2, *Lease Modification Project Scope*.)

II.6.9 Comment Set P9: Laird Coatings



July 27, 2017

The Honorable Gavin Newsom
Chairman
California State Lands Commission
100 Howe Ave., Suite 100 South
Sacramento, CA 95825-8202

RE: Huntington Beach Seawater Desalination Project Supplemental EIR - SUPPORT


Dear Chairman Newsom,

It has been nearly 20 years since the Huntington Beach Seawater Desalination Project was first proposed and the approval process initiated shortly thereafter. It has been studied and reviewed extensively and it has sequentially secured the necessary approvals and permits along the way. Now it is back before the State Lands Commission for certification of its Supplemental Environmental Impact Report (SEIR) and approval of an amended land lease with Poseidon Water. It is my request that the Commission approves these actions at its meeting on October 19.

As a longtime Huntington Beach resident, activist, and business owner, I can attest to the high value our community places on water reliability, coastal preservation and a strong economy, among others. The Huntington Beach Seawater Desalination Project enjoys strong support because it will support all these things. It will enhance water reliability by producing 50 million gallons per day of local, drought-proof drinking water, reducing our dependence on imported water, and further contributing to our county's water supply options. It is designed to be protective of marine life and ocean water quality, in addition to being energy efficient and carbon neutral. And it will generate sales and property tax revenue that will benefit our community, create nearly 3,000 local construction jobs, and stimulate hundreds of millions of dollars in economy activity.

Orange County is working to secure a more reliable water future to support residents' needs and quality of life, as well as the needs of the businesses community, including the manufacturing, construction, technology, tourism, and hospitality sectors (among others) that support the Orange County job market and contribute significantly to the economy. Desalination is a logical addition to our local water supply whose time has finally come. San Diego County has benefitted from its Carlsbad desalination facility since it began producing water more than 18 months ago. Orange County knows similar benefits are within reach once the Huntington Beach project is permitted for construction and operation.

I urge the State Lands Commission to honor the efforts of Orange County to enhance water reliability and attain greater water independence. Please vote to certify the project SEIR and approve the land lease amendment with Poseidon Water.

Sincerely,

Ed Laird
CEO

P9-1

COMMENT SET P9: LAIRD COATINGS (cont.)

cc:

Commissioner/State Controller Betty Yee
Commissioner / Finance Director Michael Cohen
Ms. Jennifer Lucchesi, Executive Officer, State Lands Commission
Alexandra Borack, Project Manager, State Lands Commission
Governor Jerry Brown
Assembly Speaker Anthony Rendon
Senate President Pro Tem Kevin De Leon
U.S. Representative Dana Rohrabacher
State Senator Patricia Bates
State Senator Tony Mendoza
State Senator John Moorlach
State Senator Josh Newman
State Senator Janet Nguyen
State Assemblyman Travis Allen
State Assemblyman Bill Brough
State Assemblyman Phillip Chen
State Assemblyman Steven Choi
State Assemblyman Tom Daly
State Assemblyman Matt Harper
State Assemblywoman Sharon Quirk-Silva

RESPONSE TO COMMENT SET P9: LAIRD COATINGS

- P9-1 The commenter’s expression of support for the Project will be provided to the Commission for consideration in its decision-making process. The Project that will be considered by the Commission is the proposed Lease Modification Project, as defined in Section 2 of this Supplemental EIR. (See also master responses MR-1, *Scope of the Commission’s Discretionary Action*, and MR-2, *Lease Modification Project Scope*.)

II.6.10 Comment Set P10: Ira Leibowitz

Archived: Wednesday, July 26, 2017 8:14:52 AM
From: Ira Leibowitz
Sent: Tuesday, July 25, 2017 11:52:46 PM
To: Comments, CEQA@SLC
Subject: Poseidon Supplemental EIR Comments
Importance: Normal

Dear Commission members,

I wish to express my opposition to the proposed Poseidon desalination plant for the following reasons:

1. The construction phase will create a lot of noise and dust as well as tearing up the surrounding streets to lay pipelines for distribution of treated water.
2. Once completed and online the pumps/turbines will raise the noise level in surrounding area which is predominately residential.
3. The intended site for the desalination plant is very close to a hazardous waste dump. Erecting a water treatment plant this close to a hazard waste dump is a bit scary who knows what can happen, especially in an earthquake.
4. The Poseidon plan was to use some existing system from the Edison power plant to flush the removed salt back into the surrounding water environment, this would raise the salt concentration in the immediate area to levels unsafe for the local sea life.
5. Engineers for the water district as well as an outside consultant reported that a desalination plant is not necessary, there is sufficient water resources available without the desalination plant.
6. If the Poseidon plan is allowed to proceed it will unnecessarily raise the cost of water for residents when the said plant is not even needed
7. The proposed location of the desalination plant is in a coastal low-land. Due to rising sea water (from rising temperatures) both NASA and UCI agree that the area will be under water by the 2030's (possibly sooner). It makes absolutely no economic sense to build this facility when it's useful life will be limited to 10 – 15 years (or less).

P10-1

P10-2

P10-3

P10-4

P10-5

Regards,

Ira Leibowitz

RESPONSE TO COMMENT SET P10: IRA LEIBOWITZ

P10-1 Section 4.8.4, *Environmental Impact Analysis and Mitigation*, identifies expected noise and vibration levels that would be generated by the short-term construction activities associated with the Lease Modification Project occurring 1,500 to 1,650 offshore the OHWM, and determines that such impacts would be less than significant. The change in noise and vibration

levels would be short-term and would cease upon completion of the Lease Modification Project. Impacts from dust and noise from onshore pumps and turbines from the Poseidon Huntington Beach Desalination Plant were evaluated in the 2010 FSEIR certified by the City of Huntington Beach. See master response MR-1, *Scope of the Commission’s Discretionary Action*.

Impact NOI-3 shows that noise levels from offshore construction could reach 60 dbA Leq directly onshore at Huntington State Beach but would not exceed applicable community noise standards (Table 4.8-4 identifies ambient noise levels at Huntington State Beach as reported in the City of Huntington Beach’s 2010 FSEIR). As noted in Impact NOI-3, implementation of mitigation adopted with the 2010 Project approvals would ensure that the construction noise impact at all locations would be less than significant.

- P10-2 Supplemental EIR Section 4.0, under No Impacts/Not Significant Impacts, Geology and Soils, explains why the subjects of geology, soils, and seismicity were found to have no impact from the proposed Lease Modification Project. While certain soil structures may be present at the onshore site of the proposed desalination plant, this Supplemental EIR focuses on the impacts associated with the Lease Modification Project. Impacts to the onshore Ascon Landfill are also beyond the scope of this Supplemental EIR Please refer to master response MR-2, *Lease Modification Project Scope*, regarding the onshore facility and its impact analysis.
- P10-3 See Response to Comment O28-5.
- P10-4 See master response MR-3, *Responsible Vs. Lead Agency & Supplemental Vs. Subsequent EIR* regarding project need.
- P10-5 See Response to Comment Q6-2 and Section 8.1, *Climate Change and Sea-Level Rise Considerations*, regarding potential effects of sea-level rise on the Lease Modification Project. The proposed wedgewire screens and multiport diffuser would be submerged offshore, and the inundation or flood risk presented by sea-level rise is not a factor affecting the CSLC’s jurisdiction at this time and location. Until more is observed or known about how climate effects alter sediments in the nearshore subtidal areas where the facilities are located, any conclusions or statements about risks would be speculative.

II.6.11 **Comment Set P11: Bill McCarty (Public Meeting Transcript)**

MR. McCARTY: Hi. I'm Bill McCarty. I'm a Costa Mesa resident. I'm not going to rehash what everyone said about the deficiency of the SEIR. Add my voice to say that there should be a comprehensive EIR, that should take into account all of the changes and consolidate what the previous speakers have spoken about. I do want to go -- since some of the proponents of the project kind of opened the door for the political motivations behind the project as well, I want to talk to that in a little bit.

The notion that somehow Poseidon is going to be this lifesaving environmental justice thing is pretty much a stretch in my mind. The 50 million gallons being the source of new water does not take into account the ground water regulation system, the purification of water, because that's where the largest source of water -- and then we hear that imported water is becoming more expensive. Currently, I believe \$2100 to \$2300 per acre that's what I'm hearing for Poseidon Water versus \$5 to \$700 per acre foot for imported water, so that's not even close to it. What is this thing being sold to people? If 90 percent of voters believe that, it's because things like that

P11-1

COMMENT SET P11: BILL MCCARTY (PUBLIC MEETING TRANSCRIPT) (cont.)

are being sold to them and they don't realize that. You know, people say, This is going to be good if we're ever in a drought. Okay, but we're going to pay for it for 50 years regardless of whether we're in a drought or not. By the way, if we do start reclaiming water like we should and start conserving the way we should, we're not going to need water from Poseidon but we're still going to need to be prepared for 50 years to pay for it, and that's billions of dollars for construction, that's hundreds of millions of dollars for the delivery system, which Ms. Jordan pointed out without a delivery system, there is no Poseidon. There are a lot of things the public is unaware of, unfortunately, and there are many people who take advantage of that and try to sell them a bill of goods. Again, my main point is I believe a new comprehensive EIR needs to be done. Thank you very much.

P11-1
cont.

RESPONSE TO COMMENT SET P11: BILL MCCARTY

P11-1 See master response MR-2, *Lease Modification Project Scope*.

II.6.12 Comment Set P12: Debbie McCormick

Archived: Tuesday, July 25, 2017 4:07:44 PM
From: Debbie McCormick
Sent: Monday, July 24, 2017 5:02:51 PM
To: Comments, CEQA@SLC
Subject: Poseidon Supplemental EIR Comments
Importance: Normal

Dear Ms. Lucchesi and Commission members:

Please refuse to allow the Poseidon disaster to be built. The increased water rates, irreparable harm to sea life, toxic pollution and high energy consumption are absolutely NOT WORTH IT.

Thank you,

Debbie McCormick
Orange County resident

Debbie McCormick Consulting

LinkedIn Expert and Amazon #1 best-selling author of "[The LinkedIn Manual for Rookies](#)"

P12-1

RESPONSE TO COMMENT SET P12: DEBBIE MCCORMICK

- P12-1 The commenter's expression of opposition to the Project will be provided to the Commission for consideration in its decision-making process. The Project that will be considered by the Commission is the proposed Lease Modification Project, as defined in Section 2 of this Supplemental EIR. (See also master responses MR-1, *Scope of the Commission's Discretionary Action*, and MR-2, *Lease Modification Project Scope*.)

II.6.13 Comment Set P13: Hildy Meyers

Archived: Wednesday, July 26, 2017 8:13:01 AM
From: H Meyers
Sent: Tuesday, July 25, 2017 1:28:14 PM
To: Comments, CEQA@SLC
Subject: Seawater Desalination Project at Huntington Beach
Importance: Normal

I urge you to oppose the Poseidon desalination plant proposed for Huntington Beach. The plant is unnecessary, because conservation, recycling, and good stewardship of our water resources are sufficient to meet our water needs. The plant would contribute to global climate change due to the energy intensiveness of the desalination process. The plant would also harm the environment by destroying sea life and producing toxic brine. The proposed plant design is in direct conflict with the state's desalination standards. This plant would also drive up the cost of water, which is inherently unfair to the residents of the area.

P13-1

Again, based on the above facts, I urge you to oppose the Poseidon desalination plant in Huntington Beach and deny any approvals that Poseidon is requesting from you.

Thank you,
Hildy Meyers
Huntington Beach, CA

RESPONSE TO COMMENT SET P13: HILDY MEYERS

P13-1 The commenter's expression of opposition to the Project will be provided to the Commission for consideration in its decision-making process. The Project that will be considered by the Commission is the proposed Lease Modification Project, as defined in Section 2 of this Supplemental EIR. (See also master responses MR-1, *Scope of the Commission's Discretionary Action*, and MR-2, *Lease Modification Project Scope*.)

II.6.14 Comment Set P14: Linda Minko

Archived: Wednesday, July 26, 2017 7:55:32 AM
From: Linda Minko
Sent: Tuesday, July 25, 2017 9:32:02 AM
To: Comments, CEQA@SLC
Subject: Proposed Desal plant
Importance: Normal

I wish to express my opposition to the proposed Poseidon Desalination plant. This is not an environmentally sound operation and will be detrimental to our marine environment. This company does not have a good track record on their other operations and Huntington Beach does not need nor want this desalination plant.

P14-1

Linda Minko
Huntington Beach resident

RESPONSE TO COMMENT SET P14: LINDA MINKO

- P14-1 The commenter’s expression of opposition to the Project will be provided to the Commission for consideration in its decision-making process. The Project that will be considered by the Commission is the proposed Lease Modification Project, as defined in Section 2 of this Supplemental EIR. (See also master responses MR-1, *Scope of the Commission’s Discretionary Action*, and MR-2, *Lease Modification Project Scope*.)

II.6.15 Comment Set P15: Ken Normann

Archived: Tuesday, July 25, 2017 4:26:58 PM
From: Ken Normann
Sent: Monday, July 24, 2017 8:02:58 PM
To: Comments, CEQA@SLC
Subject: “Poseidon Supplemental EIR Comments
Importance: Normal

I strongly urge you to use your power to stop this proposed desalination plant. Orange County does not need this over priced water! Poseidon has lied about every aspect of this project. They have failed before and I for one oppose letting them force this plant be constructed and stick us with the costs!
Sincerely
Ken Normann

P15-1

RESPONSE TO COMMENT SET P15: KEN NORMANN

P15-1 The commenter’s expression of opposition to the Project will be provided to the Commission for consideration in its decision-making process. The Project that will be considered by the Commission is the proposed Lease Modification Project, as defined in Section 2 of this Supplemental EIR. (See also master responses MR-1, *Scope of the Commission’s Discretionary Action*, and MR-2, *Lease Modification Project Scope*.)

II.6.16 Comment Set P16: Carol Pilgrim

Archived: Tuesday, July 18, 2017 2:40:13 PM
From: Carol Pilgrim
Sent: Saturday, July 15, 2017 2:04:31 PM
To: Comments, CEQA@SLC
Subject: Poseidon Supplemental EIR comments
Importance: Normal

As a resident of Huntington Beach and California taxpayer, I'm vehemently opposed to the construction of a desalinization plant in my city. It makes no sense fiscally and is environmentally irresponsible. Please stop this boondoggle!

P16-1

Thank you, Carol Pilgrim
Huntington Beach, CA 92646

RESPONSE TO COMMENT SET P16: CAROL PILGRIM

- P16-1 The commenter's expression of opposition to the Project will be provided to the Commission for consideration in its decision-making process. The Project that will be considered by the Commission is the proposed Lease Modification Project, as defined in Section 2 of this Supplemental EIR. (See also master responses MR-1, *Scope of the Commission's Discretionary Action*, and MR-2, *Lease Modification Project Scope*.)

II.6.17 Comment Set P17: Joe Pusi

Archived: Thursday, July 27, 2017 7:31:21 AM
From: Joe Pusi (jpusl3@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
Sent: Tuesday, July 25, 2017 7:54:10 AM
To: Comments, CEQA@SLC
Subject: Poseidon Supplemental EIR Comments.
Importance: Normal

Dear California - State Land Commission,

Dear Chairman Newsom:

I am writing in opposition to the State Lands Commission certifying the Draft SEIR and issuing the proposed modified lease for the proposed Huntington-Poseidon Desalination Plant.

The existing OCWD GWRS is a superior water purification system in all respects. The Poseidon desalination system is old, overpriced, environmentally-unfriendly technology that does not deserve to be built.

P17-1

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Joe Pusi

RESPONSE TO COMMENT SET P17: JOE PUSL

P17-1 The commenter's expression of opposition to the Project will be provided to the Commission for consideration in its decision-making process. The Project that will be considered by the Commission is the proposed Lease Modification Project, as defined in Section 2 of this Supplemental EIR. (See also master responses MR-1, *Scope of the Commission's Discretionary Action*, and MR-2, *Lease Modification Project Scope*.)

II.6.18 Comment Set P18: Joanne Rasmussen

Archived: Tuesday, July 25, 2017 4:09:20 PM

From: Joanne

Sent: Monday, July 24, 2017 5:51:20 PM

To: Comments, CEQA@SLC

Subject: Desal plant

Importance: Normal

Don't want it! We have enough on this side of town! Stop this now!!!

P18-1

Joanne Rasmussen

RESPONSE TO COMMENT SET P18: JOANNE RASMUSSEN

- P18-1 The commenter's expression of opposition to the Project will be provided to the Commission for consideration in its decision-making process. The Project that will be considered by the Commission is the proposed Lease Modification Project, as defined in Section 2 of this Supplemental EIR. (See also master responses MR-1, *Scope of the Commission's Discretionary Action*, and MR-2, *Lease Modification Project Scope*.)

II.6.19 Comment Set P19: Philip Roberts, PhD, PE

PHILIP J.W. ROBERTS, PHD, PE

PROFESSOR EMERITUS

CONSULTING ENGINEER

270 17TH STREET NW • UNIT 1403 • ATLANTA • GEORGIA 30363

Memorandum

To: Alexandra Borack, California State Lands Commission
Date: July 26, 2017
Subject: Response to Memoranda from TWB Environmental and HDR concerning Huntington Beach desalination plant

The purpose of these critiques by Poseidon's consultants of my report (Roberts, 2016, prepared for another project in Monterey Bay) appears to be to discredit it in an attempt to avoid using the methods therein from being applied to their proposed brine diffuser at Huntington Beach. My response and rebuttal of these critiques are summarized below.

The crux of the dispute is the fraction of water entrained by diffuser jets that could engender shear stresses potentially fatal to entrained organisms. The State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB) in their Final Staff Report (SWRCB, 2015) that addresses desalination facilities discussed this issue. The report includes a Substitute Environmental Document (SED) that quotes an analysis prepared by me that suggested that 23% of the entrained water might be subject to lethal turbulence. This figure appears to have been generally adopted, although it is based on the hydrodynamics of mixing of diffusers with nozzles inclined at 60° to the horizontal, which is typical for brine diffusers. The nozzles for the Monterey diffuser discharge horizontally and the flows are jet-like over most of their trajectory so the fraction of entrained water subject to potentially damaging shear stress is higher; I used the most conservative assumption of 100%. The apparent position of Poseidon is that the 23% assumption applies to all diffusers regardless of their configuration, i.e. a one-size-fits-all approach.

The reason that I applied a different analysis to Monterey is that it is not a conventional brine diffuser and so special techniques were required. The SLC and SWRCB will need to decide a general regulatory approach to this issue. Note also that, for reasons that are unclear, Huntington Beach has unconventional 47° nozzles so the 23% ratio that was devised and predicated for 60° nozzles will not apply.

My responses to individual comments follow.

P19-1

COMMENT SET P19: PHILIP ROBERTS, PHD, PE (cont.)

– 2 –

JULY 26, 2017

Memo from TWB Environmental dated May 9th, 2017**Introduction**

Page 2 of this memorandum (the Memo) states that “...the detailed hydraulic computations by Roberts (2016) of the internal diffuser flow hydraulics diverge notably from the approach outlined in the SED.” This is an odd comment as the SED does not contain or discuss any approach to internal hydraulics.

The rest of this section discusses the general calculation approach in Roberts (2016). Most of the comments seem to be designed to cast a cloud of general doubt and are not relevant to the central issue under dispute, i.e. the fraction of potentially damaging entrained water. Therefore, although I disagree with their comments, I will not respond to them in detail here.

The Monterey report assumes that only jet turbulence that results in eddies smaller than those naturally occurring in the ocean are responsible for excess mortality and that only organisms smaller than the oceanic Kolmogorov scale will be affected. This is the same as saying that only regions in the jet where turbulent energy dissipation rates are higher than naturally occurring are considered. One of their main arguments against use of the Monterey procedure for Huntington Beach is that it uses local values of the Kolmogorov scale, which nearby in Monterey Bay are about one mm. However, because the Kolmogorov scale depends on the energy dissipation rate raised to the $1/4$ power, it is relatively insensitive to energy dissipation and will indeed almost always be of the order of one mm, and, to the rather crude assumptions inherent in these analyses, can be transferred to other locales. Again, however, this issue is not relevant to the question of flow entrainment.

General Comments

This section distorts the arguments used in Roberts (2016). Again, as most of the discussion does not pertain to the present issue, I won't respond in detail. There is some evidence that most damage occurs when the organisms are comparable to the Kolmogorov scale. The discussion of heat evolution is irrelevant as we are here concerned with physical stresses potentially leading to mortality. Similarly, the study of Neitzel (2004) is not relevant as it involves fish artificially injected into jets, a wholly unrealistic scenario in the ocean. The inclusion of the reference to Neitzel is curious, as it suggests more influence of the jets on mortality, contradicting the Memo's central argument that my report overestimates this effect.

Specific comments

1. Equation 20 is not dimensionally inconsistent. Q_E is the total volume flow rate entrained, as is clear by the context and notation.
2. The effect of the 5 cm/s current for these conditions would be to increase dilution by about 12% (Gungor and Roberts 2009), so the specified ratio would decrease slightly from 1.7%. As the ambient current speed increases the dilution also

P19-1
cont.

COMMENT SET P19: PHILIP ROBERTS, PHD, PE (cont.)

– 3 –

JULY 26, 2017

increases so the ratio of entrained flow to that passing through the BMZ should not vary greatly.

3. The “unnumbered equation” is only intended for the case of an average flowing ocean current, which is, of course, the usual situation. For any reasonably assumed ocean current, the entrained flow is a small fraction of that passing through the BMZ so the approximation is warranted.

4. The Memo is correct, the factor 2 should not be in Eq. 21 if w_{BMZ} is the total width of the BMZ, i.e. 200 m. However, this is a typo in the equation only; the numbers in Table 10 are correct.

5. There is no “obscuration” of dilution in Table 7. As explained in the report, the Cederwall dilutions were used as being more conservative although usually very close to the UM3 predictions. The UM3 dilutions are given in Table 10 for completeness with the UM3 predictions of jet diameter and trajectory, which are needed for jet volume calculations.

Review of Codified Language

The Memo justifies use of the SED approach because it was subject to peer review that was “very thoughtful, inclusive, and comprehensive,” whereas the “new” approach in Roberts (2016) has not been peer reviewed. They refer to page 31 of SWRCB (2015) that lists the external reviewers of the SED. In fact, the questions posed to these reviewers, which are available online, do not address diffuser shear mortality. Only one of the reviewers, Dr. Scott Socolofsky mentions it and then only peripherally where he agrees with the approach in our report, Roberts and Vetter (Appendix to Foster et al. 2013) and computation of Kolmogorov scales, and suggests that the 23% level is probably a conservative upper bound (for 60° jets). The Monterey report has been separately peer-reviewed by Dr. Al Preston, who finds that “Near field dilution analysis of brine discharge has been strengthened and improved by Philip Roberts...” and that “Shear and turbulence effects have been adequately analyzed.”

Again, the main issue is whether 23% or 100% of the entrained flow is assumed to be damaging. The Memo cites the SED Section 8.5.1.2 as being their expected basis for calculations, which uses the 23% value. I am responsible for that number and it applies to 60° jets. Monterey’s jets are horizontal, so a higher value of 100% was assumed; Huntington Beach is 47° so 23% would not be appropriate.

There is no “inherent conflict” between the methods used in the Monterey report and the SED, as the Memo implies, just a different assumption of the entrained flow percentage affected for horizontal vs 60° inclined jets to account for the different diffuser designs.

HDR Report dated June 7th, 2017

This letter report quotes the Memo that it says “definitely concludes” that my 2016 report should not be used for Huntington Beach because of errors, is not peer reviewed, and uses local parameters that are not transferable. The “errors” and

P19-1
cont.

COMMENT SET P19: PHILIP ROBERTS, PHD, PE (cont.)

- 4 -

JULY 26, 2017

shortcomings of the arguments leading to this conclusion were addressed above and I will not rehash them here.

I do not understand their convoluted statement that the Monterey approach was "...known to the State Water Board at the time of the OPA, which was rejected by non-use (which is particularly relevant given Dr. Roberts' involvement in the State Boards expert panel...)". (I was chair of that panel.) The Monterey report was written after the OPA.

A central conclusion of this report is that "...the shearing mortality assessment guidance contained in the OPA and its supporting documents in the administrative record represents the best available and vetted science." While I appreciate their vote of confidence in my analysis therein, it stands in stark contrast to their assertion that I am also apparently capable of writing a report that is "plagued by computational errors."

The other main conclusion is that the 23% volume assumption should be applied to Huntington Beach. I again reiterate that this fraction does not apply in that case.

Conclusion

The topic of shear-induced mortality by diffusers is an emerging one, for which there are few scientific data or studies. None, for example, address the brief exposure of organisms to turbulence as they traverse the jets. The mechanistic relationships between turbulence and organism damage are speculative at this point so conservative assumptions are warranted. It is important, however, not to be overly conservative.

It is unfortunate that these memoranda expend so much effort to obfuscate these issues in their zeal to discredit my report and therefore to argue for a lower fraction of deleterious entrained water. Ultimately, the issue here is simple and straightforward: Should we assume 23% or 100% or some other fraction of entrained flow as subject to lethal turbulence? For 60° nozzles, 23% is a reasonable estimate; for horizontal dense jets dominated by momentum over most of their trajectory, it could approach 100%.

References

- Foster, M.S., G.M. Cailliet, J. Callaway, K.M. Vetter, P. Raimondi and P.J.W. Roberts. (2013). "Desalination Plant Entrainment Impacts and Mitigation. Expert Review Panel III. 2013. Report prepared for the State Water Resources Control Board; Contract No. 11-074-270.
- Roberts, P. J. W. (2016) "Modeling Brine Disposal into Monterey Bay." Final report, Prepared for ESA | Environmental Science Associates. San Francisco, California, July 6, 2016
- SWRCB (2015). "Final Staff Report Including the Final Substitute Environmental Documentation." Adopted May 6, 2015.
http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/water_issues/programs/ocean/desalination/

P19-1
cont.

RESPONSE TO COMMENT SET P19: PHILIP ROBERTS, PHD, PE

P19-1 The commenter responds to memos prepared by Poseidon and its consultants that support the use of an assumption that larvae in 23 percent of the total entrained volume of diffuser dilution water would be killed by exposure to lethal turbulence. The commenter also responds to critiques from Poseidon and its consultants on the commenter's use of 100 percent for a diffuser entrainment analysis conducted for a proposed desalination project in Monterey Bay.

See master response MR-5, *Diffuser Entrainment Mortality and Species Affected*, regarding assumptions made in the Supplemental EIR's diffuser entrainment analysis. The diffuser entrainment calculations prepared for the Lease Modification Project by Dr. Raimondi (and presented under Supplemental EIR Impact OWQ/MB-7, *Impact to Special Status Species Populations of Diffuser Operation*, were based primarily the volume of water required to reduce salinity to a 2 parts per thousand above ambient per Desalination Amendment requirements; they did not consider the specific configurations of the proposed diffuser design, as the commenter's analysis did for the project in Monterey Bay. Therefore, Poseidon's critique of the commenter's analysis and the commenter's responses are not applicable to the methods used in the Supplemental EIR, except to highlight the uncertainty of the correct percentage to assume in the absence of an analysis that considers a project-specific diffuser design.

II.6.20 Comment Set P20: Dianna Sahhar

Archived: Wednesday, July 26, 2017 8:11:36 AM
From: Dianna Sahhar
Sent: Tuesday, July 25, 2017 9:55:23 AM
To: Comments, CEQA@SLC
Subject: Poseidon Supplemental EIR
Importance: High

Dear State Lands Commission-

As a long-term resident of Orange County, and a current resident of Newport Beach, I write to you to express my concerns about the cost, energy use, and marine and coastal harm the **Seawater Desalination Project at Huntington Beach** project will cause.

I urge you not to approve/oppose (*deny*) the permit for construction of this proposed desalination facility.

The proposed plant will drive up water rates by giving Poseidon a 50-year contract that would force ratepayers to buy their overpriced water at a time when many consumers are struggling to make ends meet.

It will also suck in massive amounts of sea life, pollute coastal waters with **toxic brine**, while its high energy consumption will undermine the state's climate and clean energy goals.

Huntington Beach is Surf City. Can you really afford to ruin the surf at this location that receives so many tourists? Not to mention the surf competitions, which bring in a lot of revenue for the City of Huntington Beach, as well as Orange County.

When more affordable and environmentally-friendly sources like recycled water and rainwater capture are available, the proposed Huntington Beach desalination facility is more than just a poorly planned and unnecessary boondoggle, it is a waste of money that infringes on the residents of the area and what little wildlife is left.

I urge you to oppose this project and deny the construction permit.

Please do the right thing now, before it's too late, and vote no to this proposed Huntington Beach desalination facility. Instead, let's look to more environmentally-friendly ways to solve our water issues for a better future for Californians.

Thank you,
Dianna Sahhar

Dianna Sahhar '83 | Research Services Coordinator

949.824.7261 | fax 949.824.3111 | dsahhar@law.uci.edu

Law Library · University of California · Irvine

www.law.uci.edu/library

School of Law Academic Research & Information Service

P20-1

RESPONSE TO COMMENT SET P20: DIANNA SAHHAR

- P20-1 The commenter’s expression of opposition to the Project will be provided to the Commission for consideration in its decision-making process. The Project that will be considered by the Commission is the proposed Lease Modification Project, as defined in Section 2 of this Supplemental EIR. (See also master responses MR-1, *Scope of the Commission’s Discretionary Action*, and MR-2, *Lease Modification Project Scope*.)

II.6.21 Comment Set P21: David J. Tanner

July 26, 2017

Mr. Alexandra Borack, Project Manager
100 Howe Avenue
Suite 100-South
California State Lands Commission
Sacramento, CA 95825

Subject: Comment on the adequacy of CLC Draft SEIR 794

Mr. Borack,

I offer the following comments on the adequacy of the Draft Supplemental Environmental Impact Report (DSEIR) prepared for the Seawater Desalination Project at Huntington Beach: Outfall/Intake Modifications and General Lease — Industrial Use (PRC 1980.1) Amendment (Lease Modification Project).

P21-1

CEQA requires the environmental analysis address the whole of the action or in this case the whole of project. CEQA prohibits piece meal, the act of limiting CEQA analysis to less than the whole of the project and/or defining a project as less than the whole of the project. This Draft Supplemental EIR clearly states:

1. it limits its analyses to the Lease Amendments Project;
2. it identifies by reference only, other prior final CEQA documents prepared for the Project; and
3. identifies other components of the Project yet to be defined which will need subsequent CEQA analysis.

DSEIR 479 fails to summarize the environmental impacts resulting from the whole of the project; acknowledges its failure to address potential changes in circumstances which have occurred since the certification of these prior CEQA documents; discuss the significance of changed circumstances on the Project's previously identified significant impacts; and identify any new impacts to the whole of the project which may have resulted from changes in circumstances. DSEIR 479 fails to even attempt to describe modifications contemplated to distribute desalinated water by local or regional water agencies and its environmental effects.

P21-2

The DSEIR states that "Areas of known controversy will be evaluated by the Commission in its consideration of potential significant impacts, mitigation measures, alternatives, and in any decision whether to approve the Lease Modification Project and impose lease conditions if approved."

P21-3

Prior to the approval of the Lease Modification Project and imposition of one or more lease conditions the Commission must first approve and/or certify any and all CEQA documents and related environmental information and analysis necessary for the Commission to act as a CEQA responsible agency in connection with Poseidon's Project. The Commission and all CEQA responsible agencies must act accordingly, not just the RWQCB as stated in this DSEIR. This will require remedying all inadequacies in all CEQA documents and related environmental information.

The DSEIR acknowledges additional approvals are needed from other agencies, including the RWQCB, OCWD and CCC and further acknowledges that OCWD will need to conduct "extensive environmental

P21-4

COMMENT SET P21: DAVID J. TANNER (cont.)

analysis related to use of the desalinated water in OCWD's operations and facilities, along with distributing the water to other agencies, prior to the approval" and that "Based on this information, potential modifications contemplated to distribute desalinated water by local or regional water agencies is speculative at this time and not germane to the Lease Modification Project. Future CEQA analysis may be needed to construct an onshore desalinated drinking water distribution system, for example if a proposed system differs from the distribution system previously evaluated in the 2010 FSEIR."

P21-4
cont.

While the SDEIR acknowledges that modifications contemplated to distribute desalinated water by local or regional water agencies is speculative at this time, it is none the less, part of the overall Project and cannot be ignored. This is in fact piece mealing. CEQA provides guidance suggesting that analysis error on the side of the environment and address the worst-case conditions.

The DSEIR fails to discuss the use of public funds for illegal activities and the impact(s) of such on its rate payers. Illegal activities include, but are not limited to the provision of desalinated water to illegal immigrants and its use in growing marijuana. Further, the DSEIR fails to consider the need for this Project based on the existing and projected water demand of legal users of the Project's desalinated water.

P21-5

P21-6

California Environmental Justice Considerations define "the fair treatment of people of all races, cultures, and incomes with respect to the development, adoption, implementation, and enforcement of environmental laws, regulations, and policies." The state of California does not have the authority to ignore federal law. CEQA must address federal law. The DSEIR must discuss the impact of the expenditure of public funds for illegal activities on its rate payers, including minorities, rate payers of all income levels including low income rate payers, and the impact on disadvantaged communities.

P21-7

For the reasons stated above, the DSEIR is inadequate and fails to adequately inform the public and decision makers of the Project's environmental effects as required by CEQA.

P21-8

Sincerely,



David J. Tanner

RESPONSE TO COMMENT SET P21: DAVID J. TANNER

- P21-1 See master response MR-4, *Piecemealing*.
- P21-2 See master response MR-2, *Lease Modification Project Scope*.
- P21-3 See master response MR-3, *Responsible Vs. Lead Agency & Supplemental Vs. Subsequent EIR* regarding the CSLC as a CEQA Responsible Agency versus as a CEQA Lead Agency.
- P21-4 See master response MR-4, *Piecemealing*.
- P21-5 The commenter's assertion that the desalinated water should not be used for illegal activities will be provided to the Commission for consideration in its decision-making process. The Project that will be considered by the Commission is the proposed Lease Modification Project, as defined in Section 2 of this Supplemental EIR. (See also master responses MR-1, *Scope of the Commission's Discretionary Action*, and MR-2, *Lease Modification Project Scope*.)
- P21-6 See master response MR-3, *Responsible Vs. Lead Agency & Supplemental Vs. Subsequent EIR* regarding project need.
- P21-7 Please refer to Section 8.2, *Environmental Justice Considerations*, for discussion of potential environmental justice impacts of the Lease Modification Project. As discussed in Section 8.2, although there are potential air quality impacts to nearby residents due to construction and operation activities, no minority or low-income populations were identified that would be disproportionately affected by activities associated with the Lease Modification Project.
- P21-8 The commenter's expression of opposition to the Project will be provided to the Commission for consideration in its decision-making process. The Project that will be considered by the Commission is the proposed Lease Modification Project, as defined in Section 2 of this Supplemental EIR. (See also master responses MR-1, *Scope of the Commission's Discretionary Action*, and MR-2, *Lease Modification Project Scope*.)

II.6.22 Comment Set P22: David Warga

Archived: Thursday, July 27, 2017 7:32:53 AM
From: David Warga (dwarga@gmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message
Sent: Tuesday, July 25, 2017 1:05:03 PM
To: Comments, CEQA@SLC
Subject: Poseidon Supplemental EIR Comments.
Importance: Normal

Dear California - State Land Commission,

Dear Chairman Newsom:

A desalination plant is unnecessary and expensive. Why would you want to do that? Further, it would be horrific for the environment, and would cost water users (everyone) much more than they already pay for the abundant water that is afforded via the Sierra snowpack. Please be smarter than that, and do not permit this to happen. The people depend on you, and we are counting on you.

P22-1

Thank you.

Sincerely,

David Warga

RESPONSE TO COMMENT SET P22: DAVID WARGA

P22-1 The commenter's expression of opposition to the Project will be provided to the Commission for consideration in its decision-making process. The Project that will be considered by the Commission is the proposed Lease Modification Project, as defined in Section 2 of this Supplemental EIR. (See also master responses MR-1, *Scope of the Commission's Discretionary Action*, and MR-2, *Lease Modification Project Scope*.)

II.6.23 Comment Set P23: Joan Wood


July 4, 2017

Dear Ms. Borack,

I ask that the State Lands Commission stop the Poseidon project from moving forward. Because of water conservation and recent rains, the desalination plant is not needed. I believe this project will be environmentally harmful, even though the company's limited EIR report states otherwise. The building process alone will be extremely disruptive.

P23-1

Thank you,



Joan Wood

RESPONSE TO COMMENT SET P23: JOAN WOOD

P23-1 The commenter's expression of opposition to the Project will be provided to the Commission for consideration in its decision-making process. The Project that will be considered by the Commission is the proposed Lease Modification Project, as defined in Section 2 of this Supplemental EIR. (See also master responses MR-1, *Scope of the Commission's Discretionary Action*, and MR-2, *Lease Modification Project Scope*.)

II.6.24 Comment Set P24: Support Form Letter

CEQA.Comments@SLC

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: [REDACTED]
To: Comments, CEQA@SLC; betty.yee@sco.ca.gov; michael.cohen@dof.ca.gov; Lucchesi, Jennifer@SLC; governor@governor.ca.gov; Assemblymember.Rendon@assembly.ca.gov; Senator.DeLeon@senate.ca.gov; Rick.Dykema@mail.house.gov; Senator.Moorlach@senate.ca.gov; Senator.Nguyen@senate.ca.gov; Senator.Bates@senate.ca.gov; Senator.Newman@senate.ca.gov; Senator.Mendoza@senate.ca.gov; Assemblymember.Allen@assembly.ca.gov; Assemblymember.Harper@assembly.ca.gov; Assemblymember.Chen@assembly.ca.gov; Assemblymember.Quirk-Silva@assembly.ca.gov; Assemblymember.Choi@assembly.ca.gov; Assemblymember.Daly@assembly.ca.gov; Assemblymember.Brough@assembly.ca.gov
Subject: A comment from [REDACTED]

The Honorable Gavin Newsom
Chairman
California State Lands Commission
100 Howe Ave., Suite 100 South
Sacramento, CA 95825-8202

Dear Chairman Newsom:

I'm writing to ask you and your colleagues on the State Lands Commission (SLC) to certify the Draft Supplemental Environmental Impact Report (DSEIR) and approve the lease amendment for the Huntington Beach Desalination Project.

There have been significant technological improvements made to the project since the SLC originally approved the lease for this project in 2010. One millimeter wedgewire screens have been added and a far slower flow rate ensure that marine life impacts on the intake side are minimal with no fish impacted and only an extremely small number of microscopic fish eggs or larvae that are smaller than the width of a credit card that may be affected.

Similarly, the DSEIR concludes that technological improvements on the brine diffuser ensures no significant impact on marine life. Within a few dozen feet of the outfall pipe, seawater returns to ambient salinity levels.

No endangered or threatened species of any kind will be impacted at all by this project.

The public benefit of this project is clear. The Orange County Water District (OCWD) has expressed an interest in adding desalinated water to its water portfolio so that it can protect the groundwater basin from the "boom and bust" nature of our increasingly dry climate. The project will also reduce Orange County's dependence on imported water, which is more critical than ever.

Technological advances now allow Poseidon to produce the same 50 million gallons of desalinated drinking water every day while using 30 percent less seawater than was needed when the project came before the SLC seven years ago.

I am grateful to the SLC staff for all of its hard work in preparing this document and looking forward to the approval of this important water reliability project at the SLC hearing this fall.

Sincerely,

P24-1

COMMENT SET P24: SUPPORT FORM LETTER (cont.)

ATTACHMENT 1



HB Desal Review

News - Perspectives - Facts

July 2017 - Special Edition

Dear Friend,

At its meeting on October 19, the California State Lands Commission will consider certifying a Supplemental Environmental Impact Report (SEIR) and approving an amendment to the land lease for the Huntington Beach Seawater Desalination Project. The State Lands Commission first approved Poseidon Water's land lease in 2010 and is now amending the lease and supplementing the Project's environmental analysis to address Poseidon's proposed seawater intake and discharge technology enhancements.

It's important that the State Lands Commission hears from the public about the project and how it's an important and necessary component of Orange County's future water supply portfolio. This is why we are **asking you to submit an email of support** to the Commission **before the public comment deadline on Thursday, July 27** at 5 p.m.

We've created a quick and easy way for you to submit your email in under one minute. Simply visit www.hbfreshwater.com/supporters/state-lands-commission, enter your name, email address and city of residence, hit submit, and an email will be generated and sent on your behalf to the Commission.


COMMENT SET P24: SUPPORT FORM LETTER (cont.)

Thank you for your continued support of the Huntington Beach Seawater Desalination Project and our efforts to help move Orange County toward water independence.

Please send your email, right now:

www.hbfreshwater.com/supporters/state-lands-commission.

Sincerely,



Scott Maloni
Poseidon Water

Stay Connected

Find us on [Facebook](#) or go to our [website](#) for more information about the Huntington Beach Seawater Desalination Project.



Seawater Desalination Facility Huntington Beach
17011 Beach Blvd., Suite 900 | Huntington Beach, CA 92647 | 760-655-3901

COMMENT SET P24: SUPPORT FORM LETTER (cont.)



[Contact Us](#) [Newsletter](#) [Help](#) [Support](#)

- [Home](#)
- [Desalination 101](#)
- [Supporters](#)
- [Project Facts](#)
- [Protecting The Environment](#)
- [News](#)

search

State Lands Commission

If you support our desalination efforts in Huntington Beach, tell us about it. Complete the form below to give us your endorsement.

Your Name	<input type="text"/>
	<small>(required)</small>
email	<input type="text"/>
	<small>(valid email required)</small>
City	<input type="text"/>
	<small>(required)</small>

The Honorable Gavin Newsom
Chairman
California State Lands Commission
100 Howe Ave., Suite 100 South
Sacramento, CA 95825-8202

RE: Huntington Beach Seawater
Desalination Project Supplemental
EIR - SUPPORT

Dear Chairman Newsom:

I'm writing to ask you and your colleagues on the State Lands Commission (SLC) to certify the Supplemental Environmental Impact Report (SEIR) and approve the lease amendment for the Huntington Beach Desalination Project.

There have been significant technological improvements made to the project since the SLC originally approved the lease for this project in

COMMENT SET P24: SUPPORT FORM LETTER (cont.)

2010. One millimeter wedgewire screens have been added and a far slower flow rate ensure that marine life impacts on the intake side are minimal with no fish impacted and only an extremely small number of microscopic fish eggs or larvae that are smaller than the width of a credit card that may be affected.

Similarly, the SEIR concludes that technological improvements on the brine diffuser ensures no significant impact on marine life. Within a few dozen feet of the outfall pipe, seawater returns to ambient salinity levels.

No endangered or threatened species of any kind will be impacted at all by this project.

The public benefit of this project is clear. The Orange County Water District (OCWD) has expressed an interest in adding desalinated water to its water portfolio so that it can protect the groundwater basin from the “boom and bust” nature of our increasingly dry climate. The project will also reduce Orange County’s dependence on imported water, which is more critical than ever.

Technological advances now allow Poseidon to produce the same 50 million gallons of desalinated drinking water every day while using 30 percent less seawater than was needed when the project came before the SLC seven years ago.

I am grateful to the SLC staff for all of its hard work in preparing this document and looking forward to the approval of this important water reliability project at the SLC hearing this fall.

Sincerely,

(Name)
(City)

Submit

RESPONSE TO COMMENT SET P24: SUPPORT FORM LETTER

ATTACHMENT 2

SUPPORT THE PROJECT

(Form Letters Received Requesting that the State Lands Commission Certify the Draft Supplemental Environmental Impact Report for the Seawater Desalination Project at Huntington Beach: Outfall/Intake Modifications and Lease Amendment Project and Approve the Lease Amendment for the Huntington Beach Desalination Project)

Identical comments were received from the persons below in response to the request provided in Attachment 1

#	Name	Location (e.g., City)	Date Email Sent
1	Aaron L Ullyott	Orange	July 15, 2017
2	Aaron Lucas	Alhambra	July 7, 2017
3	adrian Esparza	Riverside	July 12, 2017
4	Alex Esparza	El Monte	July 11, 2017
5	Alex La Farga	Wilmington	July 12, 2017
6	Alex Zamora	Santa Ana	July 11, 2017
7	Alfonso al Sanchez	Huntington Beach	July 12, 2017
8	Andrew Mayorga	Lakewood	July 12, 2017
9	Andru campbell	Huntington Beach	July 16, 2017
10	Andy Garcia	Santa Maria	July 11, 2017
11	Angel Olvera	Palmdale	July 11, 2017
12	Anna Parada	Whittier	July 12, 2017
13	anthony	Oxnard	July 13, 2017
14	Antonio Murillo III	Bakersfield	July 11, 2017
15	Armando Esparza	Santa Ana	July 7, 2017
16	Barbara Delgleize	Huntington Beach	July 8 & 27, 2017
17	BEN CLAYTON	Los Angeles	July 11, 2017
18	Benito Robles	El Monte	July 11, 2017
19	Bill La Marr	Anaheim	July 27, 2017
20	Bob Clark	Mission Viejo	July 11, 2017
21	Bob Kelly	Huntington Beach	July 27, 2017
22	Bonnie Jeffrey	Dana Point	July 27, 2017
23	Brandon Mortorff	Ontario	July 11, 2017
24	Brian Corre	Garden Grove	July 15, 2017
25	Brian Goodell	Mission Viejo	July 11 & 14, 2017
26	Brian Wecklich	Newport Beach	July 14, 2017
27	BRUCE WEST	Huntington Beach	July 8, 2017
28	Carol Speaker	Huntington Beach	July 7, 2017
29	Chitra Patel	Irvine	July 10, 2017
30	Christine Brissey	Huntington Beach	July 10, 2017
31	Cody Trusdall	La Habra	July 17, 2017
32	Dan Hytrek	Huntington Beach	July 15, 2017
33	Dan Nguyen	Huntington Beach	July 7 & 27, 2017
34	Dan Stagnaro	Mission Viejo	July 16, 2017
35	Daniel Galiza Aka	Riverside	July 18 & August 22, 2017
36	Daud Yehdego	Anaheim	July 18, 2017
37	Dave Stefanides	Laguna Hills	July 7, 2017
38	David Brito	Corona	July 14, 2017
39	David Morgan	Huntington Beach	July 7, 2017

COMMENT SET P24: SUPPORT FORM LETTER (cont.)

ATTACHMENT 2

SUPPORT THE PROJECT

(Form Letters Received Requesting that the State Lands Commission Certify the Draft Supplemental Environmental Impact Report for the Seawater Desalination Project at Huntington Beach: Outfall/Intake Modifications and Lease Amendment Project and Approve the Lease Amendment for the Huntington Beach Desalination Project)

**Identical comments were received from the persons below
in response to the request provided in Attachment 1**

#	Name	Location (e.g., City)	Date Email Sent
40	Debra Blasyak	Fountain Valley	July 13, 2017
41	Denise Taylor	Anaheim	July 27, 2017
42	dennis m marshall	Stanton	July 13, 2017
43	Donna A Sandoval	Huntington Beach	July 7 & 27, 2017
44	Dorinda Moreno	Santa Marica	July 8, 2017
45	Douglas Mangione	Capistrano Beach	July 11, 2017
46	Dragi Petrovich	Huntington Beach	July 8, 2017
47	Dustin Fisher	Mission Viejo	July 17, 2017
48	Edie Newmeyer	Huntington Beach	July 27, 2017
49	Edmund Fietze	Santa Ana	July 24, 2017
50	Edward Ortiz	Placentia	July 19, 2017
51	Edwin Jones	Fountain Valley	July 7, 2017
52	Edwin Learn	Santa Clarita	July 11, 2017
53	Elias Sebhatu	Costa Mesa	July 11, 2017
54	Elizabeth M Shapiro	Los Angeles	July 7, 2017
55	Eric Andresen	Laguna Beach	July 17, 2017
56	Erin Cabanero	Irvine	July 10, 2017
57	Ernesto Medrano	Anaheim	July 11, 2017
58	Ernesto Reyes	Buena Park	July 13, 2017
59	Eugene Ascherman	Huntington Beach	July 17, 2017
60	Fernando Soyo	Beaumont	July 12, 2017
61	Frances Keeler	Huntington Beach	July 24, 2017
62	Francesco Urciullo	Huntington Beach	July 15, 2017
63	Frank Bazzo	Laguna Woods	July 22, 2017
64	Frank Estrada	Anaheim	July 13, 2017
65	Frank Romero	Santa Ana	July 12, 2017
66	Gabino Enriquez	Lake Elsinore	July 12, 2017
67	Garilyn Gracia Orta	Garden Grove	July 7, 2017
68	Gerardo Avina	Orange	July 21, 2017
69	Gerardo Reyes	Costa Mesa	July 24, 2017
70	Gladys Barajas	Corona	July 14, 2017
71	Glenn Gritzner	Los Angeles	July 19, 2017
72	Greg Soderman	Mission Viejo	July 13, 2017
73	Greg Taylor	Huntington Beach	July 7, 2017
74	Gus Torres	Whittier	July 11, 2017
75	Helen Hua	Alhambra	July 19, 2017
76	Herb M Kleeman	Huntington Beach	July 11, 2017
77	Herman Kuhlendahl	Huntington Beach	July 7, 2017
78	Hertz Ramirez	Bakersfield	July 11, 2017
79	Howard Johnson	Kingsport	July 9, 2017

COMMENT SET P24: SUPPORT FORM LETTER (cont.)

ATTACHMENT 2

SUPPORT THE PROJECT

(Form Letters Received Requesting that the State Lands Commission Certify the Draft Supplemental Environmental Impact Report for the Seawater Desalination Project at Huntington Beach: Outfall/Intake Modifications and Lease Amendment Project and Approve the Lease Amendment for the Huntington Beach Desalination Project)

**Identical comments were received from the persons below
in response to the request provided in Attachment 1**

#	Name	Location (e.g., City)	Date Email Sent
80	Howard Wynn	Huntington Beach	July 27, 2017
81	Ismael Flores	Placentia	July 16, 2017
82	Jack Ferrara	San Diego	July 12, 2017
83	Jacky Lopez	Santa Ana	July 12, 2017
84	James Bryan	Westminster	July 3, 2017
85	JAMES PRECIADO	Pomona	July 14, 2017
86	JAMES REYNOLDS	San Jacinto	July 7, 2017
87	James Woods	Huntington Beach	July 7, 2017
88	Jan Shomaker	Mission Viejo	July 7 & 30, 2017
89	Jasmin Valenta	Lake Forest	July 18, 2017
90	Jason McCord	Corona	July 19, 2017
91	Jay Engesath	Westminster	July 7, 2017
92	Jeanne Woods	Huntington Beach	July 27, 2017
93	Jeff Clark	Placentia	July 13, 2017
94	Jeff Herdman	Newport Beach	July 7, 2017
95	Jeremiah Barmer	Fullerton	July 23, 2017
96	Jesse Segura	Santa Ana	July 12, 2017
97	Joaquin Santos	Lomita	July 12, 2017
98	Joe Hawes	Aliso Viejo	July 26, 2017
99	John	Garden Grove	July 22, 2017
100	John Miles	Huntington Beach	July 9, 2017
101	Jon Preciado	Colton	July 11, 2017
102	Jose Castillo	Anaheim	July 25, 2017
103	Jose Garcia	Santa Paula	July 13, 2017
104	Jose Miramontes	Santa Ana	July 13, 2017
105	Jose Tirado	Santa Ana	July 12, 2017
106	Joseph Miechowicz	Anaheim	July 19, 2017
107	Juan Becerra	Anaheim	July 16, 2017
108	Julian Jimenez	Carson	July 12, 2017
109	KAREN CORNELL	Huntington Beach	July 9, 2017
110	Kathleen Davis	Huntington Beach	July 13, 2017
111	Katie David	Anaheim	July 27, 2017
112	Keith Ekblad	Anaheim	July 14, 2017
113	Keith Vandegrift	Pineville, NC	July 27, 2017
114	Ken Fredrickson	San Pedro	July 27, 2017
115	Leticia Balandran	Rialto	July 14, 2017
116	Linda Cordero	Yorba Linda	July 27, 2017
117	Luis Arostigue	Orange	July 17, 2017
118	Madam M C TOWNSEND	Encino	July 27, 2017
119	Mariah Arostigue	Orange	July 17, 2017

COMMENT SET P24: SUPPORT FORM LETTER (cont.)

ATTACHMENT 2

SUPPORT THE PROJECT

(Form Letters Received Requesting that the State Lands Commission Certify the Draft Supplemental Environmental Impact Report for the Seawater Desalination Project at Huntington Beach: Outfall/Intake Modifications and Lease Amendment Project and Approve the Lease Amendment for the Huntington Beach Desalination Project)

Identical comments were received from the persons below in response to the request provided in Attachment 1

#	Name	Location (e.g., City)	Date Email Sent
120	Mariana Lieu	San Jose	July 19, 2017
121	Marvin Carrera	Norwalk	July 14, 2017
122	Marvin Cruse	Costa Mesa	July 8 & August 31, 2017
123	Mary Goulamanian	Santa Ana	July 12, 2017
124	Mathew McCall	Garden Grove	July 16, 2017
125	Matthew Ashley	Huntington Beach	July 10, 2017
126	Micahel Hernandez	Lake Elsinore	July 12, 2017
127	Michael Grant	Huntington Beach	July 7, 2017
128	Michelle Duman	Orange	July 27, 2017
129	Mike Brady	Victorville	July 16, 2017
130	Mike Dea	Riverside	July 11, 2017
131	Mike Klein	Costa Mesa	July 14, 2017
132	Mohammed Khan	Los Angeles	July 7, 2017
133	Nathaniel Williams	Corona	July 11, 2017
134	Nohemi Holtzman	Westminster	July 8, 2017
135	Norma Lopez	Orange	July 11, 2017
136	Norman Rockmaker	Huntington Beach	July 8, 2017
137	Oliver Collins	Anaheim	July 13, 2017
138	Patrick Brenden	Huntington Beach	July 7, 2017
139	Pedro Santillan	Downey	July 12, 2017
140	Rafael Salinas	Long Beach	July 20 & September 8, 2017
141	Raimund Krammer	Fullerton	July 24 & September 3, 2017
142	Ralph Velador	El Monte	July 11, 2017
143	Randall Ristine	Cypress	July 13, 2017
144	Raymond a moir	Cypress	July 14, 2017
145	Ricardo sanchez	Santa Ana	July 13, 2017
146	Richard A Samaniego	Yorba Linda	July 12, 2017
147	Richard B iwason	Huntington Beach	July 25, 2017
148	Richard Slawson	Torrance	July 12, 2017
149	Richard Soiset	Apple Valley	July 13, 2017
150	Richard Xavier Corral	Glendale	July 22, 2017
151	Robert McDowell	Corona	July 24, 2017
152	Robert Ruiz	Irvine	July 12 & 27, 2017
153	Roberta Maldonado	Santa Maria	July 11, 2017
154	Ronald Jagner	Huntington Beach	July 27, 2017
155	Ronald Stone	Corona	July 13, 2017
156	samuel bradford	Riverside	July 17, 2017

COMMENT SET P24: SUPPORT FORM LETTER (cont.)

ATTACHMENT 2

SUPPORT THE PROJECT

(Form Letters Received Requesting that the State Lands Commission Certify the Draft Supplemental Environmental Impact Report for the Seawater Desalination Project at Huntington Beach: Outfall/Intake Modifications and Lease Amendment Project and Approve the Lease Amendment for the Huntington Beach Desalination Project)

**Identical comments were received from the persons below
in response to the request provided in Attachment 1**

#	Name	Location (e.g., City)	Date Email Sent
157	Saul Gallegos	Riverside	July 19, 2017
158	Saul Renteria	La Verne	July 13, 2017
159	Shane levoit	Cypress	July 13, 2017
160	Sharon Cherrie	Laguna Woods	July 16, 2017
161	SHELLY JIMENEZ	Corona	July 12, 2017
162	Stacy Taylor	Huntington Beach	July 8 & 27, 2017
163	Stephen Westbrook	Glendora	July 14, 2017
164	Steve Tedesco	Irvine	July 7 & 28, 2018
165	Steve Valenta	Lake Forest	July 16 & 18, 2017
166	Steven a OBannon	Similar Valley	July 12, 2017
167	Steven Castro	Azusa	July 19, 2017
168	Teddy Hopson	Huntington Beach	July 7, 2017
169	Terence Cincotta	Huntington Beach	July 7, 2017
170	Teresa Miles	Huntington Beach	July 9 & 27, 2017
171	Thomas A Polkow	Huntington Beach	July 27, 2017
172	Thomas Cherrie	Laguna Woods	July 16, 2017
173	Tim Mora	Los Alamitos	July 16, 2017
174	Timothy Baird	Monrovia	July 24, 2017
175	Tommilynn Garza	Palmdale	July 11, 2017
176	Tony Chemali	Anaheim	July 19, 2017
177	Ursula Esparza Martinez	Santa Ana	July 12, 2017
178	Vincent Argento	Buena Park	July 14, 2017
179	Vincent Blaschak	Hemet	July 24, 2017
180	Walter Hirsch	Huntington Beach	July 27, 2017
181	Wendy Bucknum	Mission Viejo	July 27, 2017
182	William Johnson	Irvine	July 10, 2017
183	William Lochrie	Fullerton	July 15, 2017

COMMENT SET P24: SUPPORT FORM LETTER (cont.)

ATTACHMENT 2

SUPPORT THE PROJECT

(Form Letters Received Requesting that the State Lands Commission Certify the Draft Supplemental Environmental Impact Report for the Seawater Desalination Project at Huntington Beach: Outfall/Intake Modifications and Lease Amendment Project and Approve the Lease Amendment for the Huntington Beach Desalination Project)

Identical comments were received from the persons below in response to the request provided in Attachment 1

Comments Below Received After Close of Comment Period (July 27, 5 p.m.)			
184	Aaron Shulze	Fullerton	September 15, 2017
185	Alex Alvira	San Diego	September 19, 2017
186	Alfred Melanson	Garden Grove	August 23, 2017
187	Alfred Serrato	Tustin	August 24, 2017
188	Alin Petruescu	Redlands	July 31, 2017
189	Andrew Cossio	Covina	August 29, 2017
190	Andrew Kratzer	Los Angeles	July 28, 2017
191	Anh Tran	Garden Grove	August 23, 2017
192	Anhito Tran	Huntington Beach	September 8, 2017
193	Anthony Garcia	Moreno Valley	July 31, 2017
194	Ariel Otero	Hacrenda	July 31, 2017
195	Armando Gonzales	Riverside	August 21, 2017
196	Asif Sheikh	Leicester, U.K.	September 19, 2017
197	Bill Downs	Garden Grove	September 1, 2017
198	Brenton Duimovich	Orange	September 1, 2017
199	Brian Bishop	Orange	September 7, 2017
200	Brian L Clark	San Diego	August 14, 2017
201	Brian Hoole	Huntington Beach	August 17, 2017
202	Charles Stoddart	Victorville	August 14, 2017
203	Chris Gugliotta	Chino Hills	August 11, 2017
204	Christopher Kurtz	Fullerton	August 6, 2017
205	Cody Walker	Placentia	August 1, 2017
206	Daniel Harrigan	Huntington Beach	August 10, 2017
207	Diane Vuong	Santa Ana	August 23, 2017
208	Edin Enamorado	Cudahy	August 30, 2017
209	Francisco Fuentes	Garden Grove	September 6, 2017
210	Gene Costa	Huntington Beach	July 28, 2017
211	Ignacio Beneyto	San Diego	September 1, 2017
212	Ivan Bobadilla	La Habra	September 5, 2017
213	James Radack	Aliso Viejo	August 1, 2017
214	James Unfried	San Juan Capistrano	August 2, 2017
215	Jason Clark	Fountain Valley	August 10, 2017
216	JerraLynne Whistler Dziwanoski		August 8, 2017
217	Joe Ortega	Garden Grove	August 7, 2017
218	John Bynum	Fullerton	August 2, 2017
219	John G Scherck	Laguna Hills	August 3, 2017
220	John R Oneil	Garden Grove	August 11, 2017
221	John Hawker	Glendora	August 26, 2017
222	John McHugh	Oshkosh	September 16, 2017

COMMENT SET P24: SUPPORT FORM LETTER (cont.)

ATTACHMENT 2

SUPPORT THE PROJECT

(Form Letters Received Requesting that the State Lands Commission Certify the Draft Supplemental Environmental Impact Report for the Seawater Desalination Project at Huntington Beach: Outfall/Intake Modifications and Lease Amendment Project and Approve the Lease Amendment for the Huntington Beach Desalination Project)

**Identical comments were received from the persons below
in response to the request provided in Attachment 1**

223	Jongi Ledbetter	Irvine	September 6, 2017
224	Jonnathan Monroy	Garden Grove	August 10, 2017
225	Jorge G Ruiz, Sr.	Santa Fe Springs	August 2, 2017
226	Jorge Perez	Long Beach	August 31, 2017
227	Jose DePaul	Anaheim	August 4, 2017
228	Joseph Anderson	Carlsbad	August 31, 2017
229	Joseph Newby	Westminster	August 1, 2017
230	Joseph Vela	Whittier	August 29, 2017
231	Juan Reyes	Colton	August 2, 2017
232	Lance Mahler	Laguna Beach	August 2, 2017
233	Leland Lieberg	Alhambra	July 31, 2017
234	Leo Gutierrez	Paramount	September 11, 2017
235	Marc Carey	Anaheim	July 31, 2017
236	Michael Campos	Victorville	August 12, 2017
237	Michael Wood	Newport Beach	August 25, 2017
238	Mike Santos	Buena Park	September 11, 2017
239	Nathan Grego	Huntington Beach	August 18, 2017
240	Ngo Trung Vo	Seal Beach	July 31, 2017
241	Nicholas West	San Bernardino	August 11, 2017
242	Nicholas Wokabi	Westminster	August 14, 2017
243	Nick Nicioli	Lake Forest	July 28, 2017
244	Noah Newman	Colton	September 10, 2017
245	Patricia Davis	Huntington Beach	July 29, 2017
246	Paul Yontz	Moreno Valley	August 31, 2017
247	Peter Fitzgerald	Irvine	August 29, 2017
248	Peter Madsen	Corona	August 15, 2017
249	Phil Burden	Fountain Valley	August 22, 2017
250	Ray Wenner	Tustin	September 5, 2017
251	Raymond Maule	Corona	September 10, 2017
252	Reid Edward Briley	Menifee	August 2, 2017
253	Rene Covarrubias	Downey	August 9, 2017
254	Richard Lawhorn	Fullerton	August 26, 2017
255	Richard Thiel	Huntington Beach	July 28, 2017
256	Ruben Gonzalez	Norwalk	August 20, 2017
257	Ryan Roberts	San Bernardino	August 7, 2017
258	Ryan Tejada	Burbank	August 15, 2017
259	Sheldon Pines	Dana Point	July 28, 2017
260	Stacy Kurtz	Fullerton	August 4, 2017
261	Stryker Higbee	Los Alamitos	August 17, 2017
262	Thomas Burrows	Huntington Beach	July 31, 2017
263	Thomas Flournoy	Corona	July 31, 2017

COMMENT SET P24: SUPPORT FORM LETTER (cont.)

RESPONSE TO COMMENT SET P24: SUPPORT FORM LETTER

ATTACHMENT 2

SUPPORT THE PROJECT

(Form Letters Received Requesting that the State Lands Commission Certify the Draft Supplemental Environmental Impact Report for the Seawater Desalination Project at Huntington Beach: Outfall/Intake Modifications and Lease Amendment Project and Approve the Lease Amendment for the Huntington Beach Desalination Project)

**Identical comments were received from the persons below
in response to the request provided in Attachment 1**

264	Thu Tran	Garden Grove	August 23, 2017
265	Tim Ware	Westminster	September 3, 2017
266	Tim Weathers	Lake Elsinore	September 7, 2017
267	Trinh Mai Nguyen	Garden Grove	August 23, 2017
268	Walt Van Leeuwen	Huntington Beach	September 22, 2017

- P24-1 The commenters' expression of support for the Project will be provided to the Commission for consideration in its decision-making process. The Project that will be considered by the Commission is the proposed Lease Modification Project, as defined in Section 2 of this Supplemental EIR. (See also master responses MR-1, *Scope of the Commission's Discretionary Action*, and MR-2, *Lease Modification Project Scope*.)

II.6.25 Comment Set P25: Opposition Form Letter

CEQA.Comments@SLC

From: [REDACTED] Sent You a Personal Message
<automail@knowwho.com>
Sent: [REDACTED] July [REDACTED], 2017 [REDACTED]
To: Comments, CEQA@SLC
Subject: Poseidon Supplemental EIR Comments.

Dear California - State Land Commission,

Dear Chairman Newsom:

I am writing in opposition to the State Lands Commission certifying the Draft SEIR and issuing the proposed modified lease for the proposed Huntington-Poseidon Desalination Plant.

P25-1

Much has changed since 2010 when the City of Huntington Beach certified an SEIR for the proposed project and the State Lands Commission issued Poseidon a lease of public lands. Changed circumstances include new State regulations to ensure against marine life mortality, ocean water quality degradation and much more.

P25-2

The Draft SEIR is too narrowly focused on the addition of screens to the open ocean intake, and discharge diffusers, and it fails to study more technologically advanced sub-surface intakes and mixing the brine with nearby wastewater discharge before disposal to the ocean. These are the preferred methods for seawater intake and discharge in the seawater desalination regulations recently adopted in California, and the Draft SEIR does not offer any explanation of why Poseidon should receive an exception to that rule.

P25-3

Further, since 2010, the residents of Orange County have dramatically reduced their cumulative demand for freshwater - despite significant population and economic growth. The Orange County Water District has expanded Orange County's world-renowned Groundwater Replenishment System by 30 million gallons a day, and is set to expand by that size again soon. And Los Angeles County is planning a similar Groundwater Replenishment System that will contribute 60 million gallons a day to replenish Orange County's groundwater basin. Whatever demand there was in 2010 has dramatically changed, and the SEIR must document those changes in the need for the project.

P25-4

Finally, the proposed alternatives for delivering the Poseidon product water, and several large development proposals adjacent to the proposed Poseidon treatment plant, have all changed since 2010. But the Draft SEIR fails to document those changes and the impacts the changes will have on the environment.

P25-5

The State Lands Commission has a duty to fully inform the public of all the changed circumstances and adverse impacts since 2010 in a Subsequent EIR. And the Commission has a public trust duty to ensure the proposed lease protects the natural resources we all share - including marine life and ocean water quality.

P25-6

With that in mind, the Draft SEIR must be re-written and re-circulated for public comment before the Commission can consider the proposed lease amendment.


Thank you.

Sincerely,

COMMENT SET P25: MODIFIED OPPOSITION FORM LETTER (cont.)

ATTACHMENT 1

CONTACT OFFICIALS | CA
Protect Californians – Stop This Billion-Dollar Boondoggle!



Join the continued and growing opposition to a poorly planned, unnecessary seawater desalination facility proposed in Huntington Beach!

Poseidon Resources has spent millions lobbying for this unnecessary desalination plant in Huntington Beach, and a 50-year contract that would force ratepayers to buy their overpriced water, despite the availability of more affordable and environmentally-friendly sources like recycled water and rainwater capture. Poseidon has used every trick in the book to win approval for this billion-dollar boondoggle, and now state leaders are considering whether to permit its construction.

The proposed plant will drive up water rates at a time when many consumers are struggling to make ends meet. It will also suck in massive amounts of sea life, pollute coastal waters with toxic brine, its high energy consumption will undermine the state's climate and clean energy goals, and its design is in direct conflict with the state's new standards for seawater desalination statewide.

The State Lands Commission is accepting public comments on its Draft Supplemental Environmental Impact Report through Thursday, July 27 at 5PM. Please act now to share your concerns about the cost, energy use, and marine and coastal harm this project will cause. Contact the State Lands Commission today, by sending an email [here!](#)

Opposition to the proposed Huntington Beach desalination facility is more than just opposition to a poorly planned and unnecessary boondoggle – it is a vote for a better future for Californians.

COMMENT SET P25: MODIFIED OPPOSITION FORM LETTER (cont.)

ATTACHMENT 1

STEP 1

STEP 2

FINISH

Fill out your information below so we can find your Elected Officials.

--Select Prefix--	▼
First Name *	
Last Name *	
Email *	
Phone *	
Street Address only *	
Zip Code *	

Subscribe to email alerts

Next Step

STEP 1

STEP 2

FINISH

Poseidon Supplemental EIR Comments.

Dear Chairman Newsom:

I am writing in opposition to the State Lands Commission certifying the Draft SEIR and issuing the proposed modified lease for the proposed Huntington-Poseidon Desalination Plant.

Much has changed since 2010 when the City of Huntington Beach certified an SEIR for the proposed project and the State Lands Commission issued Poseidon a lease of public lands. Changed circumstances include new State regulations to ensure against marine life mortality, ocean water quality degradation and much more.

The Draft SEIR is too narrowly focused on the addition of screens to the open ocean intake, and discharge diffusers, and it fails to study more technologically advanced sub-surface intakes and mixing the brine with nearby wastewater discharge before disposal to the ocean. These are the preferred methods for seawater intake and discharge in the seawater desalination regulations recently adopted in California, and the Draft SEIR does not offer any explanation of why Poseidon should receive an exception to that rule.

Send My Message

COMMENT SET P25: MODIFIED OPPOSITION FORM LETTER (cont.)

Several commenters made changes to the template provided for the Opposition Form Letter. Responses are provided below where such modifications are significantly different from the Opposition Form Letter template.

Dear California - State Land Commission,

Dear Chairman Newsom:

As a native Californian, I have seen times of drought and times of rain. We are a mediterranean climate and must adjust to the facts. Desal in our area is not sustainable and along with increased costs it will work against our fight to lower CO2 in the atmosphere. We need to follow a more climate friendly route in securing drinking water for our future. I am writing in opposition to the State Lands Commission certifying the Draft SEIR and issuing the proposed modified lease for the proposed Huntington-Poseidon Desalination Plant.

P25-7

Much has changed since 2010 when the City of Huntington Beach certified an SEIR for the proposed project and the State Lands Commission issued Poseidon a lease of public lands. Changed circumstances include new State regulations to ensure against marine life mortality, ocean water quality degradation and much more.

The Draft SEIR is too narrowly focused on the addition of screens to the open ocean intake, and discharge diffusers, and it fails to study more technologically advanced sub-surface intakes and mixing the brine with nearby wastewater discharge before disposal to the ocean. These are the preferred methods for seawater intake and discharge in the seawater desalination regulations recently adopted in California, and the Draft SEIR does not offer any explanation of why Poseidon should receive an exception to that rule.

Further, since 2010, the residents of Orange County have dramatically reduced their cumulative demand for freshwater - despite significant population and economic growth. The Orange County Water District has expanded Orange County's world-renowned Groundwater Replenishment System by 30 million gallons a day, and is set to expand by that size again soon. And Los Angeles County is planning a similar Groundwater Replenishment System that will contribute 60 million gallons a day to replenish Orange County's groundwater basin. Whatever demand there was in 2010 has dramatically changed, and the SEIR must document those changes in the need for the project.

Finally, the proposed alternatives for delivering the Poseidon product water, and several large development proposals adjacent to the proposed Poseidon treatment plant, have all changed since 2010. But the Draft SEIR fails to document those changes and the impacts the changes will have on the environment.

COMMENT SET P25: MODIFIED OPPOSITION FORM LETTER (cont.)

The State Lands Commission has a duty to fully inform the public of all the changed circumstances and adverse impacts since 2010 in a Subsequent EIR. And the Commission has a public trust duty to ensure the proposed lease protects the natural resources we all share - including marine life and ocean water quality.

With that in mind, the Draft SEIR must be re-written and re-circulated for public comment before the Commission can consider the proposed lease amendment.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Dani Brusius

COMMENT SET P25: MODIFIED OPPOSITION FORM LETTER (cont.)

Dear California - State Land Commission,

Dear Chairman Newsom:

I am writing in opposition to the State Lands Commission certifying the Draft SEIR and issuing the proposed modified lease for the proposed Huntington-Poseidon Desalination Plant.

Much has changed since 2010 when the City of Huntington Beach certified an SEIR for the proposed project and the State Lands Commission issued Poseidon a lease of public lands. Changed circumstances include new State regulations to ensure against marine life mortality, ocean water quality degradation and much more.

The Draft SEIR is too narrowly focused on the addition of screens to the open ocean intake, and discharge diffusers, and it fails to study more technologically advanced sub-surface intakes and mixing the brine with nearby wastewater discharge before disposal to the ocean. These are the preferred methods for seawater intake and discharge in the seawater desalination regulations recently adopted in California, and the Draft SEIR does not offer any explanation of why Poseidon should receive an exception to that rule.

Further, since 2010, the residents of Orange County have dramatically reduced their cumulative demand for freshwater - despite significant population and economic growth. The Orange County Water District has expanded Orange County's world-renowned Groundwater Replenishment System by 30 million gallons a day, and is set to expand by that size again soon. And Los Angeles County is planning a similar Groundwater Replenishment System that will contribute 60 million gallons a day to replenish Orange County's groundwater basin. Whatever demand there was in 2010 has dramatically changed, and the SEIR must document those changes in the need for the project.

Finally, the proposed alternatives for delivering the Poseidon product water, and several large development proposals adjacent to the proposed Poseidon treatment plant, have all changed since 2010. But the Draft SEIR fails to document those changes and the impacts the changes will have on the environment.

The State Lands Commission has a duty to fully inform the public of all the changed circumstances and adverse impacts since 2010 in a Subsequent EIR. And the Commission has a public trust duty to ensure the proposed lease protects the natural resources we all share - including marine life and ocean water quality.

COMMENT SET P25: MODIFIED OPPOSITION FORM LETTER (cont.)

With that in mind, the Draft SEIR must be re-written and re-circulated for public comment before the Commission can consider the proposed lease amendment.

Better, more economical and ecological alternatives exist and must be considered in the public interest. Thank you.

P25-8

Sincerely,

Shane Coons

COMMENT SET P25: MODIFIED OPPOSITION FORM LETTER (cont.)

Dear California - State Land Commission,

Dear Chairman Newsom:

I am writing in opposition to the State Lands Commission certifying the Draft SEIR and issuing the proposed modified lease for the proposed Huntington-Poseidon Desalination Plant.

If this project had any respectability, then why has not 1 Water District stepped forward to purchase this High Cost Water let alone sign a contract to purchase said desal water. Why do you want to spend a Billion Dollars when you have no buyers. When the Carson GWRS comes on stream, they are planning on sending excess gallon age to to Orange County. Now we will be drowning in water with a 50 year open end contract hanging over the heads of the taxpaying citizens of Orange County. The only people that will benefit are the politicians be recipient of political money contributions. How do I benefit with a high cost water bill as I am just a peasant taxpayer...

P25-9

P25-10

The Draft SEIR must be re-written and re-circulated for public comment before the Commission can consider the proposed lease amendment.

Thank you as are just taxpayers who are disenfranchised.

Sincerely,

Milt Dardis

COMMENT SET P25: MODIFIED OPPOSITION FORM LETTER (cont.)

Dear California - State Land Commission,

Bottom Line: we need to recycle water (toilet to tap) like Australia. Much more cost effective and safer for the environment. Overall, we really need to limit the population growth of SoCal... Have you tried driving on ANY highway system at 5pm on a Friday? Too many people.

P25-11

Dear Chairman Newsom:

I am writing in opposition to the State Lands Commission certifying the Draft SEIR and issuing the proposed modified lease for the proposed Huntington-Poseidon Desalination Plant.

Much has changed since 2010 when the City of Huntington Beach certified an SEIR for the proposed project and the State Lands Commission issued Poseidon a lease of public lands. Changed circumstances include new State regulations to ensure against marine life mortality, ocean water quality degradation and much more.

The Draft SEIR is too narrowly focused on the addition of screens to the open ocean intake, and discharge diffusers, and it fails to study more technologically advanced sub-surface intakes and mixing the brine with nearby wastewater discharge before disposal to the ocean. These are the preferred methods for seawater intake and discharge in the seawater desalination regulations recently adopted in California, and the Draft SEIR does not offer any explanation of why Poseidon should receive an exception to that rule.

Further, since 2010, the residents of Orange County have dramatically reduced their cumulative demand for freshwater - despite significant population and economic growth. The Orange County Water District has expanded Orange County's world-renowned Groundwater Replenishment System by 30 million gallons a day, and is set to expand by that size again soon. And Los Angeles County is planning a similar Groundwater Replenishment System that will contribute 60 million gallons a day to replenish Orange County's groundwater basin. Whatever demand there was in 2010 has dramatically changed, and the SEIR must document those changes in the need for the project.

Finally, the proposed alternatives for delivering the Poseidon product water, and several large development proposals adjacent to the proposed Poseidon treatment plant, have all changed since 2010. But the Draft SEIR fails to document those changes and the impacts the changes will have on the environment.

COMMENT SET P25: MODIFIED OPPOSITION FORM LETTER (cont.)

The State Lands Commission has a duty to fully inform the public of all the changed circumstances and adverse impacts since 2010 in a Subsequent EIR. And the Commission has a public trust duty to ensure the proposed lease protects the natural resources we all share - including marine life and ocean water quality.

With that in mind, the Draft SEIR must be re-written and re-circulated for public comment before the Commission can consider the proposed lease amendment.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Noah Garrett

COMMENT SET P25: MODIFIED OPPOSITION FORM LETTER (cont.)

Dear California - State Land Commission,

Dear Chairman Newsom:

I am writing in opposition to the State Lands Commission certifying the Draft SEIR and issuing the proposed modified lease for the proposed Huntington-Poseidon Desalination Plant.

We do not need more desal! We need more conservation and reuse first. I live in SD County and look at the Boondoggle Poseidon has created here! Too much water, having to double treat it, expensive rates, and lots of water quality violations. Much has changed since 2010 when the City of Huntington Beach certified an SEIR for the proposed project and the State Lands Commission issued Poseidon a lease of public lands. Changed circumstances include new State regulations to ensure against marine life mortality, ocean water quality degradation and much more.

P25-12

The Draft SEIR is too narrowly focused on the addition of screens to the open ocean intake, and discharge diffusers, and it fails to study more technologically advanced sub-surface intakes and mixing the brine with nearby wastewater discharge before disposal to the ocean. These are the preferred methods for seawater intake and discharge in the seawater desalination regulations recently adopted in California, and the Draft SEIR does not offer any explanation of why Poseidon should receive an exception to that rule.

Further, since 2010, the residents of Orange County have dramatically reduced their cumulative demand for freshwater - despite significant population and economic growth. The Orange County Water District has expanded Orange County's world-renowned Groundwater Replenishment System by 30 million gallons a day, and is set to expand by that size again soon. And Los Angeles County is planning a similar Groundwater Replenishment System that will contribute 60 million gallons a day to replenish Orange County's groundwater basin. Whatever demand there was in 2010 has dramatically changed, and the SEIR must document those changes in the need for the project.

Finally, the proposed alternatives for delivering the Poseidon product water, and several large development proposals adjacent to the proposed Poseidon treatment plant, have all changed since 2010. But the Draft SEIR fails to document those changes and the impacts the changes will have on the environment.

COMMENT SET P25: MODIFIED OPPOSITION FORM LETTER (cont.)

The State Lands Commission has a duty to fully inform the public of all the changed circumstances and adverse impacts since 2010 in a Subsequent EIR. And the Commission has a public trust duty to ensure the proposed lease protects the natural resources we all share - including marine life and ocean water quality.

With that in mind, the Draft SEIR must be re-written and re-circulated for public comment before the Commission can consider the proposed lease amendment.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Julia Heer

COMMENT SET P25: MODIFIED OPPOSITION FORM LETTER (cont.)

Dear California - State Land Commission,

Dear Chairman Newsom:

I am writing in opposition to the State Lands Commission certifying the Draft SEIR and issuing the proposed modified lease for the proposed Huntington-Poseidon Desalination Plant.

Much has changed since 2010 when the City of Huntington Beach certified an SEIR for the proposed project and the State Lands Commission issued Poseidon a lease of public lands. Changed circumstances include new State regulations to ensure against marine life mortality, ocean water quality degradation and much more.

The Draft SEIR is too narrowly focused on the addition of screens to the open ocean intake, and discharge diffusers, and it fails to study more technologically advanced sub-surface intakes and mixing the brine with nearby wastewater discharge before disposal to the ocean. These are the preferred methods for seawater intake and discharge in the seawater desalination regulations recently adopted in California, and the Draft SEIR does not offer any explanation of why Poseidon should receive an exception to that rule.

Further, since 2010, the residents of Orange County have dramatically reduced their cumulative demand for freshwater - despite significant population and economic growth. The Orange County Water District has expanded Orange County's world-renowned Groundwater Replenishment System by 30 million gallons a day, and is set to expand by that size again soon. And Los Angeles County is planning a similar Groundwater Replenishment System that will contribute 60 million gallons a day to replenish Orange County's groundwater basin. Whatever demand there was in 2010 has dramatically changed, and the SEIR must document those changes in the need for the project.

Finally, the proposed alternatives for delivering the Poseidon product water, and several large development proposals adjacent to the proposed Poseidon treatment plant, have all changed since 2010. But the Draft SEIR fails to document those changes and the impacts the changes will have on the environment.

The State Lands Commission has a duty to fully inform the public of all the changed circumstances and adverse impacts since 2010 in a Subsequent EIR. And the Commission has a public trust duty to ensure the proposed lease protects the natural resources we all share - including marine life and ocean water quality.

COMMENT SET P25: MODIFIED OPPOSITION FORM LETTER (cont.)

With that in mind, the Draft SEIR must be re-written and re-circulated for public comment before the Commission can consider the proposed lease amendment.

I live near the power plant and never used to surf at Newland and PCH because the water was always weird. We got infections. Sometimes there were sick seals and lots of dead gulls on the shoreline. If the desal plant is allowed, I cannot imagine there would be no effect on the environment. It doesn't take much to upset equilibrium. I invite you to join us in a surfing session. The water's about 70 right now. A lot warmer in the past five years than any of us can remember. So if the Pacific is so big that a little desal plant won't hurt it's health, then let's add some global warming and a trash heap the size of Texas to the mix. Tell me this won't kill something. Yeah. right. Thanks for listening.

P25-13

Sincerely,

april jackson

COMMENT SET P25: MODIFIED OPPOSITION FORM LETTER (cont.)

Dear California - State Land Commission,

Dear Chairman Newsom:

I am writing in opposition to the State Lands Commission certifying the Draft SEIR and issuing the proposed modified lease for the proposed Huntington-Poseidon Desalination Plant.

Poseidon's outdated technology does not meet the guidelines issued by the California State Water Board. The Draft SEIR failed to study the preferred methods for seawater intake and discharge called for in the new guidelines, and does not offer any explanation of why Poseidon should receive an exception to that rule.

P25-14

The residents of Orange County have dramatically reduced their cumulative demand for fresh water despite significant population and economic growth. The Orange County Water District has a world-renowned Groundwater Replenishment System which is preparing to expand its capacity by another 30 million gallons a day. Los Angeles County is planning a similar Groundwater Replenishment System that will contribute 60 million gallons a day to replenish Orange County's groundwater basin. The SEIR fails to consider these dramatic changes in water demand in its analysis of the need for desalination.

The State Lands Commission has a duty to fully inform the public of all the changed circumstances and adverse impacts since 2010 in a Subsequent EIR. And the Commission has a public trust duty to ensure the proposed lease protects the natural resources we all share - including marine life and ocean water quality.

With that in mind, the Draft SEIR must be re-written and re-circulated for public comment before the Commission can consider the proposed lease amendment.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Deborah Koken

COMMENT SET P25: MODIFIED OPPOSITION FORM LETTER (cont.)

Dear California - State Land Commission,

Dear Chairman Newsom:

I am writing in opposition to the State Lands Commission certifying the Draft SEIR and issuing the proposed modified lease for the proposed Huntington-Poseidon Desalination Plant.

A 50-year contract that would force ratepayers to buy their overpriced water, despite the availability of more affordable and environmentally-friendly sources like recycled water and rainwater capture.

P25-15

The proposed plant will drive up water rates at a time when many consumers are struggling to make ends meet. It will also suck in massive amounts of sea life, pollute coastal waters with toxic brine, its high energy consumption will undermine the state's climate and clean energy goals, and its design is in direct conflict with the state's new statewide standards for seawater desalination.

P25-16

Much has changed since 2010 when the City of Huntington Beach certified an SEIR for the proposed project and the State Lands Commission issued Poseidon a lease of public lands. Changed circumstances include new State regulations to ensure against marine life mortality, ocean water quality degradation and much more.

The Draft SEIR is too narrowly focused on the addition of screens to the open ocean intake, and discharge diffusers, and it fails to study more technologically advanced sub-surface intakes and mixing the brine with nearby wastewater discharge before disposal to the ocean. These are the preferred methods for seawater intake and discharge in the seawater desalination regulations recently adopted in California, and the Draft SEIR does not offer any explanation of why Poseidon should receive an exception to that rule.

Further, since 2010, the residents of Orange County have dramatically reduced their cumulative demand for freshwater - despite significant population and economic growth. The Orange County Water District has expanded Orange County's world-renowned Groundwater Replenishment System by 30 million gallons a day, and is set to expand by that size again soon. And Los Angeles County is planning a similar Groundwater Replenishment System that will contribute 60 million gallons a day to replenish Orange County's groundwater basin. Whatever demand there was in 2010 has dramatically changed, and the SEIR must document those changes in the need for the project.

COMMENT SET P25: MODIFIED OPPOSITION FORM LETTER (cont.)

Finally, the proposed alternatives for delivering the Poseidon product water, and several large development proposals adjacent to the proposed Poseidon treatment plant, have all changed since 2010. But the Draft SEIR fails to document those changes and the impacts the changes will have on the environment.

The State Lands Commission has a duty to fully inform the public of all the changed circumstances and adverse impacts since 2010 in a Subsequent EIR. And the Commission has a public trust duty to ensure the proposed lease protects the natural resources we all share - including marine life and ocean water quality.

With that in mind, the Draft SEIR must be re-written and re-circulated for public comment before the Commission can consider the proposed lease amendment.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Ara Marderosian

COMMENT SET P25: MODIFIED OPPOSITION FORM LETTER (cont.)

Dear California - State Land Commission,

Dear Chairman Newsom:

I am writing to urge you to block the current Draft SEIR for the Huntington-Poseidon Desalination Plant.

Much has changed since 2010 when the City of Huntington Beach certified an SEIR for the proposed project and the State Lands Commission issued a lease of public lands to Poseidon. Since then, the scientific community has proven the extreme danger such plants pose to marine life and ocean water. In fact, California law now forbids the very methods proposed by the SEIR.

P25-17

The Draft SEIR fails to consider newer, less damaging and LEGAL technologies and there has been no explanation as to why Poseidon should exempt from abiding by state regulations.

P25-18

Further, since 2010, Orange County's demand for fresh water has decreased to levels that obsolete the the need for the proposed plant.

The State Lands Commission has a duty to protect our natural resources and to fully inform the public of any proposed actions that may threaten those resources.

Again, I urge you to work to ensure the current Draft SEIR be re-written and re-circulated for public comment before further action is taken on this matter.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Joy Muehlenbein

COMMENT SET P25: MODIFIED OPPOSITION FORM LETTER (cont.)

Dear California - State Land Commission,

Dear Chairman Newsom:

I am writing in opposition to the State Lands Commission certifying the Draft SEIR and issuing the proposed modified lease for the proposed Huntington-Poseidon Desalination Plant. In addition to the environmental concerns below, I want to point out that environmental risks at any level should only be taken if there are balancing rewards that can't be obtained in a less risky way. The water added by this project could be replaced with no risk by escalation of current reclamation efforts that are less expensive and rely on technology that is already in place.

P25-19

Much has changed since 2010 when the City of Huntington Beach certified an SEIR for the proposed project and the State Lands Commission issued Poseidon a lease of public lands. Changed circumstances include new State regulations to ensure against marine life mortality, ocean water quality degradation and much more.

The Draft SEIR is too narrowly focused on the addition of screens to the open ocean intake, and discharge diffusers, and it fails to study more technologically advanced sub-surface intakes and mixing the brine with nearby wastewater discharge before disposal to the ocean. These are the preferred methods for seawater intake and discharge in the seawater desalination regulations recently adopted in California, and the Draft SEIR does not offer any explanation of why Poseidon should receive an exception to that rule.

Further, since 2010, the residents of Orange County have dramatically reduced their cumulative demand for freshwater - despite significant population and economic growth. The Orange County Water District has expanded Orange County's world-renowned Groundwater Replenishment System by 30 million gallons a day, and is set to expand by that size again soon. And Los Angeles County is planning a similar Groundwater Replenishment System that will contribute 60 million gallons a day to replenish Orange County's groundwater basin. Whatever demand there was in 2010 has dramatically changed, and the SEIR must document those changes in the need for the project.

Finally, the proposed alternatives for delivering the Poseidon product water, and several large development proposals adjacent to the proposed Poseidon treatment plant, have all changed since 2010. But the Draft SEIR fails to document those changes and the impacts the changes will have on the environment.

COMMENT SET P25: MODIFIED OPPOSITION FORM LETTER (cont.)

The State Lands Commission has a duty to fully inform the public of all the changed circumstances and adverse impacts since 2010 in a Subsequent EIR. And the Commission has a public trust duty to ensure the proposed lease protects the natural resources we all share - including marine life and ocean water quality.

With that in mind, the Draft SEIR must be re-written and re-circulated for public comment before the Commission can consider the proposed lease amendment.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Christine Padesky

COMMENT SET P25: MODIFIED OPPOSITION FORM LETTER (cont.)

Dear California - State Land Commission,

Dear Chairman Newsom:

I am writing in opposition to the State Lands Commission certifying the Draft SEIR and issuing the proposed modified lease for the proposed Huntington-Poseidon Desalination Plant.

Much has changed since 2010 when the City of Huntington Beach certified an SEIR for the proposed project and the State Lands Commission issued Poseidon a lease of public lands. Changed circumstances include new State regulations to ensure against marine life mortality, ocean water quality degradation and much more.

The Draft SEIR is too narrowly focused on the addition of screens to the open ocean intake, and discharge diffusers, and it fails to study more technologically advanced sub-surface intakes and mixing the brine with nearby wastewater discharge before disposal to the ocean. These are the preferred methods for seawater intake and discharge in the seawater desalination regulations recently adopted in California, and the Draft SEIR does not offer any explanation of why Poseidon should receive an exception to that rule.

Further, since 2010, the residents of Orange County have dramatically reduced their cumulative demand for freshwater - despite significant population and economic growth. The Orange County Water District has expanded Orange County's world-renowned Groundwater Replenishment System by 30 million gallons a day, and is set to expand by that size again soon. And Los Angeles County is planning a similar Groundwater Replenishment System that will contribute 60 million gallons a day to replenish Orange County's groundwater basin. Whatever demand there was in 2010 has dramatically changed, and the SEIR must document those changes in the need for the project.

Finally, the proposed alternatives for delivering the Poseidon product water, and several large development proposals adjacent to the proposed Poseidon treatment plant, have all changed since 2010. But the Draft SEIR fails to document those changes and the impacts the changes will have on the environment.

The State Lands Commission has a duty to fully inform the public of all the changed circumstances and adverse impacts since 2010 in a Subsequent EIR. And the Commission has a public trust duty to ensure the proposed lease protects the natural resources we all share - including marine life and ocean water quality.

COMMENT SET P25: MODIFIED OPPOSITION FORM LETTER (cont.)

With that in mind, the Draft SEIR must be re-written and re-circulated for public comment before the Commission can consider the proposed lease amendment.

The proposed plant will drive up water rates at a time when many consumers are struggling to make ends meet. It will also suck in massive amounts of sea life, pollute coastal waters with toxic brine, its high energy consumption will undermine the state's climate and clean energy goals, and its design is in direct conflict with the state's new standards for seawater desalination statewide.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Mark Paul

P25-20

COMMENT SET P25: MODIFIED OPPOSITION FORM LETTER (cont.)

Dear California - State Land Commission,

Dear Chairman Newsom:

Orange County through OCSD is a shining example of converting wastewater to drinking water. You will interfere with our progress and burden us with expensive water that we do not need if you allow the State Lands Commission to certify the pending SEIR.

I am writing in opposition to the State Lands Commission certifying the Draft SEIR and issuing the proposed modified lease for the proposed Huntington-Poseidon Desalination Plant.

P25-21

Much has changed since 2010 when the City of Huntington Beach certified an SEIR for the proposed project and the State Lands Commission issued Poseidon a lease of public lands. Changed circumstances include new State regulations to ensure against marine life mortality, ocean water quality degradation and much more.

The Draft SEIR is too narrowly focused on the addition of screens to the open ocean intake, and discharge diffusers, and it fails to study more technologically advanced sub-surface intakes and mixing the brine with nearby wastewater discharge before disposal to the ocean. These are the preferred methods for seawater intake and discharge in the seawater desalination regulations recently adopted in California, and the Draft SEIR does not offer any explanation of why Poseidon should receive an exception to that rule.

Further, since 2010, the residents of Orange County have dramatically reduced their cumulative demand for freshwater - despite significant population and economic growth. The Orange County Water District has expanded Orange County's world-renowned Groundwater Replenishment System by 30 million gallons a day, and is set to expand by that size again soon. And Los Angeles County is planning a similar Groundwater Replenishment System that will contribute 60 million gallons a day to replenish Orange County's groundwater basin. Whatever demand there was in 2010 has dramatically changed, and the SEIR must document those changes in the need for the project.

Finally, the proposed alternatives for delivering the Poseidon product water, and several large development proposals adjacent to the proposed Poseidon treatment plant, have all changed since 2010. But the Draft SEIR fails to document those changes and the impacts the changes will have on the environment.

COMMENT SET P25: MODIFIED OPPOSITION FORM LETTER (cont.)

The State Lands Commission has a duty to fully inform the public of all the changed circumstances and adverse impacts since 2010 in a Subsequent EIR. And the Commission has a public trust duty to ensure the proposed lease protects the natural resources we all share - including marine life and ocean water quality.

With that in mind, the Draft SEIR must be re-written and re-circulated for public comment before the Commission can consider the proposed lease amendment.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Everette Philips

COMMENT SET P25: MODIFIED OPPOSITION FORM LETTER (cont.)

Dear California - State Land Commission,

Dear Chairman Newsom:

I am writing in opposition to the State Lands Commission certifying the Draft SEIR and issuing the proposed modified lease for the proposed Huntington-Poseidon Desalination Plant.

Please take the long view- think of America as a civilization- do not be trivked into thinking about short term capital for an extremely small sector. |

P25-22

Much has changed since 2010 when the City of Huntington Beach certified an SEIR for the proposed project and the State Lands Commission issued Poseidon a lease of public lands. Changed circumstances include new State regulations to ensure against marine life mortality, ocean water quality degradation and much more.

The Draft SEIR is too narrowly focused on the addition of screens to the open ocean intake, and discharge diffusers, and it fails to study more technologically advanced sub-surface intakes and mixing the brine with nearby wastewater discharge before disposal to the ocean. These are the preferred methods for seawater intake and discharge in the seawater desalination regulations recently adopted in California, and the Draft SEIR does not offer any explanation of why Poseidon should receive an exception to that rule.

Further, since 2010, the residents of Orange County have dramatically reduced their cumulative demand for freshwater - despite significant population and economic growth. The Orange County Water District has expanded Orange County's world-renowned Groundwater Replenishment System by 30 million gallons a day, and is set to expand by that size again soon. And Los Angeles County is planning a similar Groundwater Replenishment System that will contribute 60 million gallons a day to replenish Orange County's groundwater basin. Whatever demand there was in 2010 has dramatically changed, and the SEIR must document those changes in the need for the project.

Finally, the proposed alternatives for delivering the Poseidon product water, and several large development proposals adjacent to the proposed Poseidon treatment plant, have all changed since 2010. But the Draft SEIR fails to document those changes and the impacts the changes will have on the environment.

The State Lands Commission has a duty to fully inform the public of all the changed circumstances and adverse impacts since 2010 in a Subsequent EIR. And the

COMMENT SET P25: MODIFIED OPPOSITION FORM LETTER (cont.)

Commission has a public trust duty to ensure the proposed lease protects the natural resources we all share - including marine life and ocean water quality.

With that in mind, the Draft SEIR must be re-written and re-circulated for public comment before the Commission can consider the proposed lease amendment.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Joshua Smith

COMMENT SET P25: MODIFIED OPPOSITION FORM LETTER (cont.)

Dear California - State Land Commission,

Dear Chairman Newsom:

I am writing in opposition to the proposed modified lease for the Huntington-Poseidon Desalination Plant. The State Lands Commission should NOT certify the Draft SEIR or approve the proposed modified lease for this expensive boondoggle of a plant.

Much has changed since 2010 when the City of Huntington Beach certified an SEIR for the proposed project and the State Lands Commission issued Poseidon a lease of public lands. The changed circumstances include new state regulations to prevent marine life mortality, the degradation of ocean water quality, and recent weather changes.

P25-23

The Draft SEIR focuses too narrowly on the addition of screens to the open ocean intake and on discharge diffusers. It fails to study more technologically advanced sub-surface intakes or mixing the brine with nearby wastewater discharge before disposal to the ocean, both preferred methods for seawater intake and discharge recently adopted in California's seawater desalination regulations. Neither does the Draft SEIR offer any explanation of why Poseidon should receive an exception to the new regulations.

Improved local water conservation rates have also dramatically improved since the initial SEIR approvals. Since 2010, the residents of Orange County have dramatically reduced their cumulative demand for fresh water, despite significant population and economic growth. The Orange County Water District has expanded Orange County's world-renowned Groundwater Replenishment System by 30 million gallons a day and is set to expand by that much again soon. Nearby, Los Angeles County is planning a similar Groundwater Replenishment System that will contribute 60 million gallons a day to replenish Orange County's groundwater basin. Because the per capita water usage has dropped since 2010, the final SEIR must incorporate those changes and re-examine the need for the project.

Finally, several large development proposals adjacent to the proposed Poseidon treatment plant have been modified since 2010, as have the the proposed alternatives to delivering the water that might be generated by the Poseidon plant. Unfortunately, the Draft SEIR is deficient because it fails to document those changes and their environmental impacts.

P25-24

The State Lands Commission has a duty to fully inform the public of all the changed circumstances and adverse impacts since 2010 in a Subsequent (revised/modified/updated) EIR. The Commission has a public trust duty to ensure the

COMMENT SET P25: MODIFIED OPPOSITION FORM LETTER (cont.)

proposed lease protects the vital marine and natural resources we all share - including marine life and ocean water quality.

The Draft SEIR must be re-written based on the new California regulations, improved technologies, reduced water demand, and the other factors listed above. Furthermore, the updated Subsequent EIR should be re-circulated for public comment on these new conditions and technologies before the Commission considers the proposed lease amendment.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Jon Spangler

COMMENT SET P25: MODIFIED OPPOSITION FORM LETTER (cont.)

Dear California - State Land Commission,

Dear Chairman Newsom:

I am writing in opposition to the State Lands Commission certifying the Draft SEIR and issuing the proposed modified lease for the proposed Huntington-Poseidon Desalination Plant.

Much has changed since 2010 when the City of Huntington Beach certified an SEIR for the proposed project and the State Lands Commission issued Poseidon a lease of public lands. Changed circumstances include new State regulations to ensure against marine life mortality, ocean water quality degradation and much more.

The Draft SEIR is too narrowly focused on the addition of screens to the open ocean intake, and discharge diffusers, and it fails to study more technologically advanced sub-surface intakes and mixing the brine with nearby wastewater discharge before disposal to the ocean. These are the preferred methods for seawater intake and discharge in the seawater desalination regulations recently adopted in California, and the Draft SEIR does not offer any explanation of why Poseidon should receive an exception to that rule.

Further, since 2010, the residents of Orange County have dramatically reduced their cumulative demand for freshwater - despite significant population and economic growth. The Orange County Water District has expanded Orange County's world-renowned Groundwater Replenishment System by 30 million gallons a day, and is set to expand by that size again soon. And Los Angeles County is planning a similar Groundwater Replenishment System that will contribute 60 million gallons a day to replenish Orange County's groundwater basin. Whatever demand there was in 2010 has dramatically changed, and the SEIR must document those changes in the need for the project.

Finally, the proposed alternatives for delivering the Poseidon product water, and several large development proposals adjacent to the proposed Poseidon treatment plant, have all changed since 2010. But the Draft SEIR fails to document those changes and the impacts the changes will have on the environment.

The State Lands Commission has a duty to fully inform the public of all the changed circumstances and adverse impacts since 2010 in a Subsequent EIR. And the Commission has a public trust duty to ensure the proposed lease protects the natural resources we all share - including marine life and ocean water quality.

COMMENT SET P25: MODIFIED OPPOSITION FORM LETTER (cont.)

With that in mind, the Draft SEIR must be re-written and re-circulated for public comment before the Commission can consider the proposed lease amendment. It is TOO COSTLY! Desalt is @ 3x more expensive!

P25-25

Sincerely,

Anna Walsh

COMMENT SET P25: MODIFIED OPPOSITION FORM LETTER (cont.)**ATTACHMENT 2****OPPOSED TO PROJECT**

(Form Letters Received in Opposition to the State Lands Commission Certifying the Draft Supplemental Environmental Impact Report (SEIR) and Issuing The Proposed Modified Lease for the Proposed Huntington-Poseidon Desalination Plant)

**Comments were received from the persons below
in response to the request provided in Attachment 1**

#	Name	Location (e.g., City)	Date Email Received
1	Abigail Donovan	San Diego	July 25, 2017
2	Adam Alvarado	Ventura	July 24, 2017
3	Adam West	San Francisco	July 24, 2017
4	Adeli Duron	Costa Mesa	July 24, 2017
5	Adria Winfield	Monterey	July 24, 2017
6	Adrienne Low	Huntington Beach	July 24, 2017
7	Alan Goggins	Castro Valley	July 24, 2017
8	Alex Kanavalchyk	Anaheim	July 24, 2017
9	Alice Polesky	San Francisco	July 24, 2017
10	Alice Riley	Long Beach	July 24, 2017
11	Alison Anderson	Grass Valley	July 24, 2017
12	Alison Limtavemongkol	Los Angeles	July 24, 2017
13	Alison Schulman	Huntington Beach	July 25, 2017
14	Alison Walker	Pacifica	July 27, 2017
15	Allan Beek	Newport Beach	July 25, 2017
16	Allison Hushek	Laguna Beach	July 24, 2017
17	Allison Morrell	Long Beach	July 24, 2017
18	Allison Peel	Huntington Beach	July 24, 2017
19	Alp Sendil	Pacifica	July 25, 2017
20	Alyssa Wells	Huntington Beach	July 27, 2017
21	Alzina Davenport	Huntington Beach	July 27, 2017
22	Amanda Sackett	San Diego	July 24, 2017
23	Amber Puha	Oceanside	July 25, 2017
24	Amy Pendleton	Fullerton	July 24, 2017
25	Amy Rafiee	Laguna Niguel	July 25, 2017
26	Amy Strange	Laguna Hills	July 24, 2017
27	Amy Von freymann	Huntington Beach	July 24, 2017
28	Andrea Billeb	Huntington Beach	July 25, 2017
29	Andrea Lathrop	Palm Desert	July 24, 2017
30	Andrew Bunn	Irvine	July 24, 2017
31	Andrew Deters	Venice	July 26, 2017
32	Andrew Lange	San Diego	July 25, 2017
33	Andrew Sutphin	Thousand Oaks	July 24, 2017
34	Ann and Jerry Durgerian	Arcadia	July 25, 2017
35	Ann Bein	Los Angeles	July 24, 2017
36	Ann Elliott	Huntington Beach	July 24, 2017
37	Ann Feeney	Del Mar	July 24, 2017
38	Ann Gallon	Bakersfield	July 25, 2017
39	Ann Tarkington	Huntington Beach	July 25 & 28, 2017 (2x)
40	Ann Thryft	Boulder Creek	July 24, 2017

COMMENT SET P25: MODIFIED OPPOSITION FORM LETTER (cont.)

ATTACHMENT 2

OPPOSED TO PROJECT

(Form Letters Received in Opposition to the State Lands Commission Certifying the Draft Supplemental Environmental Impact Report (SEIR) and Issuing The Proposed Modified Lease for the Proposed Huntington-Poseidon Desalination Plant)

**Comments were received from the persons below
in response to the request provided in Attachment 1**

#	Name	Location (e.g., City)	Date Email Received
41	Ann Tweedy	Huntington Beach	July 26, 2017
42	Anna Child	Huntington Beach	July 24, 2017
43	Anna Drummond	Grass Valley	July 25, 2017
44	Anna Hawes	Palo Alto	July 24, 2017
45	Anna Mackenzie	Santa Monica	July 26, 2017
46	Anna Morton	Nevada City	July 24, 2017
47	Anna Sanders	Cardiff	July 25, 2017
48	Anna Walsh	Santa Ana	July 24, 2017
49	Anne Kobayashi	San Diego	July 24, 2017
50	anne veraldi	San Francisco	July 25, 2017
51	Anne Walsh	Huntington Beach	July 25, 2017
52	anne winburn	Los Osos	July 25, 2017
53	Anneliese Agren	Cambria	July 24, 2017
54	Anthony Church	Cambria	July 25, 2017
55	Anthony Gomez	Huntington Beach	July 24, 2017
56	Anthony Montapert	Ventura	July 25, 2017
57	Anthony Palombo	Huntington Beach	July 24, 2017
58	april jackson	Huntington Beach	July 24, 2017
59	Ara Marderosian	Weldon	July 25, 2017
60	Ariel Del Pinto	Fountain Valley	July 25, 2017
61	Armando A. Garcia	Paramount	July 24, 2017
62	Arnold Schildhaus	Santa Barbara	July 24, 2017
63	Ashley Steele	Capistrano Beach	July 24, 2017
64	Barbara Aguado	San Diego	July 24, 2017
65	Barbara Noffsinger	Huntington Beach	July 25, 2017
66	Barbara Root	Merced	July 24, 2017
67	barbara scheinman	Mission Viejo	July 24, 2017
68	Barbara Wilson	Cambria	July 25, 2017
69	Ben Nott	Venice	July 24, 2017
70	Beth Kubin	Fountain Valley	July 25, 2017
71	Beth ORoark	Huntington Beach	July 24, 2017
72	Beth Thompson	La Quinta	July 25, 2017
73	Betty Kowall	Penngrove	July 25, 2017
74	Betty Reinertson	Huntington Beach	July 25, 2017
75	betty winholtz	Morro Bay	July 26, 2017
76	Beverly Dahlen	San Francisco	July 24, 2017 (2x)
77	Bill Hickman	Ventura	July 25, 2017
78	Bill McCarty	Costa Mesa	July 24, 2017
79	Bill Valaika	Newport Beach	July 24, 2017
80	Blake Garrett	Huntington Beach	July 24, 2017

COMMENT SET P25: MODIFIED OPPOSITION FORM LETTER (cont.)

ATTACHMENT 2

OPPOSED TO PROJECT

(Form Letters Received in Opposition to the State Lands Commission Certifying the Draft Supplemental Environmental Impact Report (SEIR) and Issuing The Proposed Modified Lease for the Proposed Huntington-Poseidon Desalination Plant)

**Comments were received from the persons below
in response to the request provided in Attachment 1**

#	Name	Location (e.g., City)	Date Email Received
81	Blake Wu	Lafayette	July 25, 2017
82	Bob And Kenmere Davidson	Ventura	July 24, 2017
83	Bob Drury	Long Beach	July 24, 2017
84	Bonnie Dombrowski	Pasadena	July 24, 2017
85	Bonnie Margay Burke	San Diego	July 24, 2017
86	Bonnie Marriott	Huntington Beach	July 25, 2017
87	Brad Drew	San Clemente	July 25, 2017
88	Brad Jenkins	Irvine	July 24, 2017
89	Brad Seek	Cambria	July 25, 2017
90	Bradley Bright	Laguna Beach	July 24, 2017
91	Brandon Farmer	Westminster	July 26, 2017
92	Brandon Miles	Carlsbad	July 25, 2017
93	Brandon Vaughan	Laguna Niguel	July 27, 2017
94	Brent Mitchell	McKinleyville	July 24, 2017
95	Brett Thomsen	Redondo Beach	July 25, 2017
96	Brettany Clemens	Los Angeles	July 24, 2017
97	Brian and Olivia Kelly	Santa Monica	July 24, 2017
98	Brian Brennan	Los Angeles	July 24, 2017
99	Brian Gottejman	Los Alamitos	July 24, 2017
100	Brian Greenaway	San Francisco	July 24, 2017
101	Brian Seymour	Solana Beach	July 24, 2017
102	Brian Snee	San Clemente	July 25, 2017
103	brittany Williams	Costa Mesa	July 25, 2017
104	Brogan Donahoe	Santa Barbara	July 26, 2017
105	Brook Fulwiler	Woodland	July 24, 2017
106	Bruce Beach	San Clemente	July 24, 2017
107	Bruce Pulcini	Huntington Beach	July 26, 2017
108	Bryan Hamer	Fresno	July 24, 2017
109	C Camamile	Oxnard	July 24, 2017
110	c. martinez	San Diego	July 26, 2017
111	caitlin cotton	Long Beach	July 25, 2017
112	Cameron Lee	Los Angeles	July 25, 2017
113	Camila Fernandez	San Francisco	July 24, 2017
114	Candace Brenner	Huntington Beach	July 25, 2017
115	Candace Rocha	Los Angeles	July 25, 2017
116	Cari Swan	Huntington Beach	July 25, 2017
117	Carl Kish	San Diego	July 24, 2017 (x2)
118	Carla Morgan Isaacson	Monrovia	July 25, 2017
119	Carlos Nunez	Los Angeles	July 25, 2017
120	Carmen Erber	Huntington Beach	July 25, 2017

COMMENT SET P25: MODIFIED OPPOSITION FORM LETTER (cont.)

ATTACHMENT 2

OPPOSED TO PROJECT

(Form Letters Received in Opposition to the State Lands Commission Certifying the Draft Supplemental Environmental Impact Report (SEIR) and Issuing The Proposed Modified Lease for the Proposed Huntington-Poseidon Desalination Plant)

**Comments were received from the persons below
in response to the request provided in Attachment 1**

#	Name	Location (e.g., City)	Date Email Received
121	Carol Adams	Long Beach	July 26, 2017
122	carol banever	Los Angeles	July 24, 2017
123	Carol Keane	Huntington Beach	July 26, 2017
124	Carol Kraus	Huntington Beach	July 26, 2017
125	Carol Morrison	Costa Mesa	July 26, 2017
126	Carolina Osorio	Huntington Beach	July 25, 2017
127	Caroline McClemons	Encinitas	July 25, 2017
128	Carolyn Dolen	Ventura	July 24, 2017
129	CAROLYN KRAMMER	Oceanside	July 24, 2017
130	Carolyn Ross	Huntington Beach	July 25, 2017
131	Carrie Thomas	Huntington Beach	July 24, 2017
132	Cary Hazel	Huntington Beach	July 25, 2017
133	Caryn Co	Dana Point	July 27, 2017
134	Cathy Haro	Huntington Beach	July 24, 2017
135	cathy O'Leary Carey	San Diego	July 25, 2017
136	Cathy Sleva	Seal Beach	July 26, 2017
137	Cecilia Lee	Brea	July 25, 2017
138	Chandra Tobey	Vista	July 25, 2017
139	Charles Meier	Burlingame	July 26, 2017
140	Charles Schuck	Huntington Beach	July 25, 2017
141	charles wade	Los Gatos	July 24, 2017
142	Charlotte Masarik	Laguna Beach	July 25, 2017 (x2)
143	Charlotte Pirch	Fountain Valley	July 25, 2017
144	Charming Evelyn	Los Angeles	July 25, 2017
145	Chelsea Larkin	Hermosa Beach	July 25, 2017
146	Cheryl Boone	Huntington Beach	July 25, 2017
147	Cheryl Elliott	Huntington Beach	July 26, 2017
148	Cheryl Pulcini	Huntington Beach	July 26, 2017
149	Chris Einspahr	Encinitas	July 24, 2017
150	Chris Hitchcock	Laguna Beach	July 24, 2017
151	Chris Krohn	Santa Cruz	July 26, 2017
152	Chris Steele	Los Angeles	July 24, 2017
153	Chris Withrow	Los Angeles	July 24 & 25, 2017 (x2)
154	Christian Fine	Capitola	July 25, 2017
155	Christian Johnson	Oceanside	July 24, 2017
156	Christina Kawahara	Huntington Beach	July 24, 2017
157	Christine Boschen	Concord	July 24, 2017
158	Christine Levinson Wilson	Los Angeles	July 24, 2017
159	Christine Martin	Huntington Beach	July 24, 2017
160	Christine Mauriello	Dana Point	July 24, 2017

COMMENT SET P25: MODIFIED OPPOSITION FORM LETTER (cont.)

ATTACHMENT 2

OPPOSED TO PROJECT

(Form Letters Received in Opposition to the State Lands Commission Certifying the Draft Supplemental Environmental Impact Report (SEIR) and Issuing The Proposed Modified Lease for the Proposed Huntington-Poseidon Desalination Plant)

**Comments were received from the persons below
in response to the request provided in Attachment 1**

#	Name	Location (e.g., City)	Date Email Received
161	Christine Padesky	Huntington Beach	July 24, 2017
162	Christine Robinett	San Jose	July 24, 2017
163	Christine Werner	Huntington Beach	July 25, 2017
164	Christopher Argoud	Santa Cruz	July 25, 2017
165	Christopher Daharsh	San Diego	July 25, 2017
166	Christopher Hilger	Fountain Valley	July 25, 2017
167	Christopher Pincetich	Point Reyes Station	July 25, 2017
168	Christopher Ritter	Grover Beach	July 24, 2017
169	Christopher Van Sickle	Escondido	July 25, 2017
170	Cindy Powell	Newport Beach	July 25, 2017
171	cindy ringoot	Santee	July 25, 2017
172	Claire Morgan	Huntington Beach	July 25, 2017
173	Claire Perricelli	Eureka	July 24, 2017
174	Claire Schlotterbeck	Brea	July 24, 2017
175	Claudia Scji,amm	San Francisco	July 24, 2017
176	Claudia Worthen	Cambria	July 25, 2017
177	Clayton King	Huntington Beach	July 25, 2017
178	Colin Mckay	Mission Viejo	July 24, 2017
179	Conni Vanbilliard	Oceanside	July 24, 2017
180	Connie Allen	Huntington Beach	July 25, 2017
181	Connie Morris	Huntington Beach	July 24, 2017
182	Constance Graham	Lakeside	July 24, 2017
183	Cooper Gill	Los Angeles	July 24, 2017
184	Corinne Gentile	San Francisco	July 27, 2017
185	Corinne Van Houten	Sacramento	July 25, 2017
186	Craig Jennings	Ventura	July 24, 2017
187	Craig Kochen	San Diego	July 25, 2017
188	craig wagner	Huntington Beach	July 25, 2017
189	Creda Markham	San Jose	July 24, 2017
190	Cynthia Haertel	Costa Mesa	July 24, 2017
191	Cynthia Kondon	Rancho Palos Verdes	July 25, 2017
192	Cynthia Ratliff	Santa Cruz	July 24, 2017
193	Cynthia Romanowski	Huntington Beach	July 24, 2017
194	Dale Billeb	Huntington Beach	July 25, 2017
195	dale riehart	San Francisco	July 24, 2017
196	Dale Staley	Roseville	July 25, 2017
197	Dan McCormack	San Diego	July 24, 2017
198	Dan Silver	Los Angeles	July 25, 2017
199	Dani Brusius	Oak Park	July 24, 2017
200	Daniel Estrella	Pacifica	July 24, 2017

COMMENT SET P25: MODIFIED OPPOSITION FORM LETTER (cont.)

ATTACHMENT 2

OPPOSED TO PROJECT

(Form Letters Received in Opposition to the State Lands Commission Certifying the Draft Supplemental Environmental Impact Report (SEIR) and Issuing The Proposed Modified Lease for the Proposed Huntington-Poseidon Desalination Plant)

**Comments were received from the persons below
in response to the request provided in Attachment 1**

#	Name	Location (e.g., City)	Date Email Received
201	Daniel Peterson	Placentia	July 25, 2017
202	Daniel Spurgin	Los Alamitos	July 25, 2017
203	Danielle Crosser	Huntington Beach	July 26, 2017
204	Darrell Clarke	Pasadena	July 24, 2017
205	Darrell Neft	Costa Mesa	July 24, 2017
206	Darren Carter	Santa Barbara	July 24, 2017
207	Darren Frale	Los Angeles	July 24, 2017
208	Darren McLellan	Laguna Beach	July 24, 2017
209	Dashiell Dunkell	Santa Cruz	July 26, 2017
210	Dave Hamilton	Huntington Beach	July 25, 2017
211	David Capron	Huntington Beach	July 24, 2017
212	David Gabriel	Arroyo Grande	July 24, 2017
213	David Gardner	Santa Monica	July 25, 2017
214	David Gibbs	Escondido	July 24, 2017
215	David Go	Santa Cruz	July 25, 2017
216	David Gray	Novato	July 24, 2017
217	David (Dave) Hamilton	Huntington Beach	July 25, 2017
218	David Harris	Ventura	July 24, 2017
219	David Herrick	San Marcos	July 25, 2017
220	David Kroll	Fairfax	July 24, 2017
221	David Pearson	San Diego	July 25, 2017
222	David Pisano	Pacific Grove	July 24, 2017
223	David Tadlock	Los Angeles	July 24, 2017
224	David Wexler	Corte Madera	July 24, 2017
225	David Wiggins	Huntington Beach	July 24, 2017
226	David Yasutake	Huntington Beach	July 24, 2017
227	Dean Tamashiro	Dana Point	July 24, 2017
228	Debbie Duran	Huntington Beach	July 25, 2017
229	Debbie Hovey	Burbank	July 24, 2017
230	Debora delaCuesta	Huntington Beach	July 24, 2017
231	Deborah Koken	Costa Mesa	July 25, 2017
232	Deborah Shields	Laguna Beach	July 24, 2017
233	Deborah Zisch	Huntington Beach	July 24, 2017
234	Deena Peterson	Huntington Beach	July 25, 2017
235	Deirdre Brownell	Burbank	July 24, 2017
236	delores j proulx	Huntington Beach	July 24, 2017
237	Denise Halbe	Sonoma	July 24, 2017
238	Denise Kavanaugh	Huntington Beach	July 25, 2017
239	Denise Sales	Camarillo	July 24, 2017
240	dennis bailey	Atascadero	July 24, 2017

COMMENT SET P25: MODIFIED OPPOSITION FORM LETTER (cont.)**ATTACHMENT 2****OPPOSED TO PROJECT**

(Form Letters Received in Opposition to the State Lands Commission Certifying the Draft Supplemental Environmental Impact Report (SEIR) and Issuing The Proposed Modified Lease for the Proposed Huntington-Poseidon Desalination Plant)

**Comments were received from the persons below
in response to the request provided in Attachment 1**

#	Name	Location (e.g., City)	Date Email Received
241	Dennis Casad	Petaluma	July 24, 2017
242	Dennis Jerome	Huntington Beach	July 26, 2017
243	Derek Paul	Newport Beach	July 25, 2017
244	Derrick Lietz	Culver City	July 24, 2017
245	Dewayne Lee	Cambria	July 25, 2017
246	Diana Gresty	Huntington Beach	July 24, 2017
247	Diane Arge	Huntington Beach	July 25, 2017
248	Diane Bentley	Huntington Beach	July 26, 2017
249	Diane Shirey	Huntington Beach	July 25, 2017
250	Dino Cordura	Rialto	July 25, 2017
251	Dominique Stokes	Aliso Viejo	July 24, 2017
252	Don Albrecht	Huntington Beach	July 24, 2017
253	Don MacLean	Whittier	July 24, 2017
254	donna and larry kaplan	Atascadero	July 26, 2017
255	Donna Drabek	Santa Rosa	July 24, 2017
256	Donna Fabiano	Forestville	July 25, 2017
257	Donna Ryburn	Huntington Beach	July 25, 2017
258	Donovan Furnari	Venice	July 24, 2017
259	Doug Erber	Long Beach	July 25, 2017
260	Doug Paterson	Agoura Hills	July 24, 2017
261	Douglas Gahn	Huntington Beach	July 24, 2017
262	Douglas Snyder	Laguna Beach	July 24, 2017
263	Douglas Stang	Huntington Beach	July 26, 2017
264	Douglas Yamanoto	Albany	July 26, 2017
265	DruAnn McCluskey	Huntington Beach	July 26, 2017
266	Duane Weiss	Huntington Beach	July 26, 2017 (x2)
267	Dylan Durboraw	Los Angeles	July 24, 2017
268	Edward Murphy	Huntington Beach	July 27, 2017
269	Edward Pacheco	Fountain Valley	July 25, 2017
270	eileen doody	Huntington Beach	July 25, 2017
271	eliel starbright	Encinitas	July 24, 2017
272	Elisabeth Boeck	Novato	July 25, 2017
273	Elizabeth Bettenhausen	Cambria	July 25, 2017
274	Elizabeth Cameron	Fort Bragg	July 25, 2017
275	Elizabeth Dougherty	Oakland	July 26, 2017
276	elizabeth foree	San Francisco	July 24, 2017
277	Elizabeth Levy	Richmond	July 27, 2017
278	Ellen Bostelman	Huntington Beach	July 25, 2017
279	Elliot Gordon	Irvine	July 24, 2017
280	Emily Dawidoff	Newport Beach	July 24, 2017

COMMENT SET P25: MODIFIED OPPOSITION FORM LETTER (cont.)

ATTACHMENT 2

OPPOSED TO PROJECT

(Form Letters Received in Opposition to the State Lands Commission Certifying the Draft Supplemental Environmental Impact Report (SEIR) and Issuing The Proposed Modified Lease for the Proposed Huntington-Poseidon Desalination Plant)

**Comments were received from the persons below
in response to the request provided in Attachment 1**

#	Name	Location (e.g., City)	Date Email Received
281	Emily Senninger	Long Beach	July 24, 2017
282	Enrico Verga	Seal Beach	July 24, 2017
283	Eric Fillingame	San Clemente	July 24, 2017
284	ERIC GARCIA	Costa Mesa	July 27, 2017
285	Eric Stiff	Santa Barbara	July 24, 2017
286	Eric Zeiler	Eureka	July 25, 2017
287	Erica Stanojevic	Santa Cruz	July 27, 2017
288	Erik Lorton	San Diego	July 24, 2017
289	Erik Schnabel	San Francisco	July 25, 2017
290	Erika Morrell	Los Angeles	July 24, 2017
291	Ernie Courter	Huntington Beach	July 25, 2017
292	Evangeline Kidd	San Diego	July 25, 2017
293	Eve Lunt	Long Beach	July 24, 2017
294	Everette Philips	Newport Beach	July 25, 2017
295	Evette Garcia	Hawaiian Gardens	July 24, 2017
296	Felicity Figueroa	Irvine	July 24, 2017
297	Felipe Bascope	Costa Mesa	July 24, 2017
298	Frances Heath	Boulevard	July 24, 2017
299	Frances Leibowitz	Huntington Beach	July 25, 2017
300	Francisco Tavares	San Francisco	July 24, 2017
301	Frank Chlarson	Costa Mesa	July 24, 2017
302	Frank DePasquale	Cambria	July 25, 2017
303	Frank Franciscus	Huntington Beach	July 27, 2017
304	fred geiger	Santa Cruz	July 24, 2017
305	fred rinne	San Francisco	July 24, 2017
306	Fredrik Nilsen	Los Angeles	July 24, 2017
307	Gabriel Lautaro	Oakland	July 24, 2017
308	Gabriele Rau	Irvine	July 24, 2017
309	Gabrielle Gamba	Capistrano Beach	July 25, 2017
310	Gage Bock	El Segundo	July 24, 2017
311	Gail Caswell	San Francisco	July 24, 2017
312	Gail Koza	Half Moon Bay	July 24, 2017
313	Gail Roberts	Tecate	July 24, 2017
314	Gail Stevens	Cambria	July 25, 2017
315	Garrett Peterson	Ontario	July 25, 2017
316	Gary Smith	Huntington Beach	July 27, 2017
317	Gemma Geluz	Fairfield	July 24, 2017
318	gene lee	Burbank	July 24, 2017
319	Gene Pao	Hermosa Beach	July 24, 2017
320	Geoff Barry	San Diego	July 25, 2017

COMMENT SET P25: MODIFIED OPPOSITION FORM LETTER (cont.)**ATTACHMENT 2****OPPOSED TO PROJECT**

(Form Letters Received in Opposition to the State Lands Commission Certifying the Draft Supplemental Environmental Impact Report (SEIR) and Issuing The Proposed Modified Lease for the Proposed Huntington-Poseidon Desalination Plant)

**Comments were received from the persons below
in response to the request provided in Attachment 1**

#	Name	Location (e.g., City)	Date Email Received
321	Geoff Rudy	Huntington Beach	July 25, 2017
322	Geoffrey Sherr	Los Angeles	July 24, 2017
323	George Serbia	Irvine	July 26, 2017
324	Gina Anson	Orange	July 24, 2017 (x2)
325	Glenda Mahoney	Half Moon Bay	July 25, 2017
326	Glenn Elliott	Huntington Beach	July 24, 2017
327	Gloria Donohue	Larkspur	July 24, 2017
328	Gloria Sefton	Trabuco Canyon	July 24, 2017
329	Gordana Kajer	Long Beach	July 25, 2017
330	Gordon Snead	Long Beach	July 24, 2017
331	Graham Hamilton	Santa Monica	July 25, 2017
332	Grant Volk	Rocklin	July 25, 2017
333	Gray Duncan	Ventura	July 24, 2017
334	Greg Branam	Newbury Park	July 24, 2017
335	Greg Carrow	Huntington Beach	July 27, 2017
336	Greg Rosas	Castro Valley	July 24, 2017
337	Greg Sweel	Santa Monica	July 24, 2017
338	Greg Thomsen	Carlsbad	July 24, 2017
339	Gregory Koch	Berkeley	July 25, 2017
340	Gretchen Burton	Fountain Valley	July 26, 2017
341	Gretchen Hoover Anderson	San Francisco	July 24, 2017
342	Gretchen Whisenand	Santa Rosa	July 24, 2017
343	Hailey Bossert	San Diego	July 26, 2017
344	Harold Loder	Orange	July 25, 2017
345	harry knapp	Riverside	July 24, 2017
346	Hayley Swearingen	Lathrop	July 24, 2017
347	Heather Lerma	Huntington Beach	July 25, 2017
348	hector garcia	Los Angeles	July 25, 2017
349	Helen Higgins	Fullerton	July 25, 2017
350	helena epperson	Huntington Beach	July 27, 2017
351	Helena Martinez	Pasadena	July 24, 2017
352	Henry Tasto	San Francisco	July 24, 2017
353	Herbert Orenstein	Huntington Beach	July 24, 2017
354	Hetty Pearson	Cambria	July 26, 2017
355	Holly Yang	La Jolla	July 24, 2017
356	Honie Abramowicz	Huntington Beach	July 24, 2017
357	Howard Cohen	Palo Alto	July 24, 2017
358	Huw Evans	Manhattan Beach	July 24, 2017
359	Ian Armbruster	Redondo Beach	July 24, 2017
360	Ian Dworkin	Venice	July 24, 2017

COMMENT SET P25: MODIFIED OPPOSITION FORM LETTER (cont.)

ATTACHMENT 2

OPPOSED TO PROJECT

(Form Letters Received in Opposition to the State Lands Commission Certifying the Draft Supplemental Environmental Impact Report (SEIR) and Issuing The Proposed Modified Lease for the Proposed Huntington-Poseidon Desalination Plant)

**Comments were received from the persons below
in response to the request provided in Attachment 1**

#	Name	Location (e.g., City)	Date Email Received
361	Ian Stenehjem	San Diego	July 27, 2017
362	Irene Briggs	Huntington Beach	July 24, 2017
363	Irene Klimas	Fallbrook	July 25, 2017
364	Ivan Martinez	Fresno	July 24, 2017
365	j k	Huntington Beach	July 25, 2017
366	J Smith	Oakland	July 25, 2017
367	J.P. Bruner	Carlsbad	July 25, 2017
368	Jack Eidt	Los Angeles	July 25, 2017
369	Jack kirkorn	Huntington Beach	July 24, 2017
370	Jack Volkov	Long Beach	July 24, 2017
371	Jacob Barnett	Woodacre	July 26, 2017
372	JACOB PERUCCA	Costa Mesa	July 25, 2017
373	jacque gamboa	La Jolla	July 24, 2017
374	Jaemes Axeen	Long Beach	July 24, 2017
375	Jaleesa Peluso	Laguna Beach	July 24, 2017
376	James Columbia	Bakersfield	July 25, 2017
377	James Dickey	Huntington Beach	July 25, 2017
378	James Goethel	San Diego	July 24, 2017
379	James Littlefield	Aptos	July 25, 2017
380	james roberts	Lompoc	July 24, 2017
381	James Robinson	Oakland	July 24, 2017
382	Jamie Hampton	San Diego	July 25, 2017
383	Jamie Peters	San Diego	July 24, 2017
384	Jan Madnick	Huntington Beach	July 25, 2017
385	Jane Rands	Fullerton	July 24, 2017
386	Jane Stock	Eureka	July 24, 2017
387	Janet Clause	Huntington Beach	July 26, 2017
388	Janet Ridley	Huntington Beach	July 24, 2017
389	Janice Fox	Huntington Beach	July 24, 2017
390	Janice Horton	Huntington Beach	July 24, 2017
391	Janice Kubica	Huntington Beach	July 26, 2017
392	Janice Wong	Woodacre	July 24, 2017
393	Janine Comrack	Ojai	July 24, 2017
394	Janus Matthes	Sebastopol	July 25, 2017
395	jared krause	Los Angeles	July 24, 2017
396	Jason Carroll	Camarillo	July 25, 2017
397	Jason Rose	Laguna Beach	July 24, 2017
398	Jayne Goocher	Lomita	July 26, 2017
399	Jayne McPherson	Kentfield	July 24, 2017
400	Jayson Ruth	Huntington Beach	July 24, 2017

COMMENT SET P25: MODIFIED OPPOSITION FORM LETTER (cont.)**ATTACHMENT 2****OPPOSED TO PROJECT**

(Form Letters Received in Opposition to the State Lands Commission Certifying the Draft Supplemental Environmental Impact Report (SEIR) and Issuing The Proposed Modified Lease for the Proposed Huntington-Poseidon Desalination Plant)

**Comments were received from the persons below
in response to the request provided in Attachment 1**

#	Name	Location (e.g., City)	Date Email Received
401	Jean Roberts	Huntington Beach	July 25, 2017
402	Jeanne Fobes	Newport Beach	July 25, 2017
403	Jeanne Whitesell	Huntington Beach	July 25, 2017
404	Jeff Struthers	San Diego	July 25, 2017
405	Jeffrey Hodson	Encinitas	July 25, 2017
406	Jeffrey Hurwitz	San Francisco	July 24, 2017
407	Jeffrey Stubbert	Huntington Beach	July 24, 2017
408	Jena Casey	Santa Cruz	July 24, 2017
409	Jenna Gurka	San Francisco	July 24, 2017
410	Jennifer Cartwright	Rancho Santa Margarita	July 24, 2017
411	jennifer davidson	West Hills	July 26, 2017
412	Jennifer Glenn	Huntington Beach	July 25, 2017
413	Jennifer Hayes	Modesto	July 24, 2017
414	Jennifer Reinish	Santa Barbara	July 24, 2017
415	Jennifer Savage	Arcata	July 24, 2017
416	Jennifer Willis	San Francisco	July 24, 2017
417	Jenny Gottstein	San Francisco	July 25, 2017
418	Jeremy Hynd	Los Angeles	July 24, 2017
419	jeri pollock	Altadena	July 24, 2017
420	Jesse Buffington	Arcata	July 24, 2017
421	Jessica Carrow	Huntington Beach	July 24, 2017
422	jessica Purvis	Oceanside	July 24, 2017
423	Jessica Woodruff	Costa Mesa	July 24, 2017 (x2)
424	Jill Macfarland	Newport Beach	July 24, 2017
425	Jill Pestana	Huntington Beach	July 25, 2017
426	Jill Prunella	Huntington Beach	July 25, 2017
427	Jill Tabera	Huntington Beach	July 24, 2017
428	Jill Teaford	Santa Cruz	July 24, 2017
429	Jim Clements	Manhattan Beach	July 24, 2017
430	Jim Coots	Long Beach	July 24, 2017
431	Joan Singleton	Huntington Beach	July 26, 2017
432	Joan Smith	San Francisco	July 24, 2017
433	Joan Timpany	Santa Cruz	July 26, 2017
434	joanell connolly	Huntington Beach	July 24, 2017
435	JoAnna LaForce	Oxnard	July 25, 2017
436	Joanne Rasmussen	Huntington Beach	July 24, 2017
437	JODI HOLLOW	San Diego	July 24, 2017
438	Joe Geever	Long Beach	July 24, 2017
439	Joel Block	Los Alamitos	July 25, 2017
440	Joel Fithian	Santa Barbara	July 24, 2017

COMMENT SET P25: MODIFIED OPPOSITION FORM LETTER (cont.)

ATTACHMENT 2

OPPOSED TO PROJECT

(Form Letters Received in Opposition to the State Lands Commission Certifying the Draft Supplemental Environmental Impact Report (SEIR) and Issuing The Proposed Modified Lease for the Proposed Huntington-Poseidon Desalination Plant)

**Comments were received from the persons below
in response to the request provided in Attachment 1**

#	Name	Location (e.g., City)	Date Email Received
441	John Binaski	Huntington Beach	July 24, 2017
442	john chase	Santa Barbara	July 24, 2017
443	John Clark	Mountain View	July 25, 2017
444	John Evans	Huntington Beach	July 26, 2017
445	John Fearnley	Huntington Beach	July 24, 2017
446	John Freutel	Carlsbad	July 24, 2017
447	John Goodman	Garden Grove	July 25, 2017
448	John Hall	Westminster	July 24 & 26, 2017 (x2)
449	John Kaiser	Huntington Beach	July 24, 2017
450	john pasqua	Escondido	July 24, 2017
451	John Pham	Encinitas	July 24, 2017
452	John Scott	Huntington Beach	July 24, 2017
453	John Suwara	Calabasas	July 25, 2017
454	Jon Achee	Mission Viejo	July 24, 2017
455	Jon Alban	Huntington Beach	July 24, 2017
456	Jon Anderholm	Cazadero	July 24, 2017
457	Jon Campagna	Huntington Beach	July 24, 2017
458	Jon Porter, MD	Los Alamitos	July 24, 2017
459	Jon Senour	San Diego	July 24, 2017
460	Jon Spangler	Alameda	July 24, 2017
461	Jordan Paxhia	San Francisco	July 24, 2017
462	Jorge Lobo	San Diego	July 25, 2017
463	Jose Bacallao	Hermosa Beach	July 24, 2017
464	Jose Mejia	Costa Mesa	July 24, 2017 (x2)
465	Jose Trinidad Castaneda	Fullerton	July 25, 2017
466	Joseph Hardin	Santa Monica	July 24, 2017 (x3)
467	Joseph Kemeny	Huntington Beach	July 25, 2017
468	Joseph Kim	Capistrano Beach	July 25, 2017
469	Joseph Summers	Huntington Beach	July 25, 2017
470	Joseph Volpe	Ventura	July 24, 2017
471	joseph Weber	Huntington Beach	July 24, 2017
472	Josh McIntosh	Garden Grove	July 25, 2017
473	Joshua Baer	Fountain Valley	July 24, 2017
474	Joshua Holland	Berkeley	July 26, 2017
475	Joshua Smith	Fort Bragg	July 25, 2017
476	Joslin de Diego	Huntington Beach	July 25, 2017
477	Joy Lease	Cambria	July 25, 2017
478	Joy Muehlenbein	Costa Mesa	July 25, 2017
479	Juana Mueller	Huntington Beach	July 24 & 26, 2017 (x2)
480	Jude Todd	Santa Cruz	July 25, 2017

COMMENT SET P25: MODIFIED OPPOSITION FORM LETTER (cont.)**ATTACHMENT 2****OPPOSED TO PROJECT**

(Form Letters Received in Opposition to the State Lands Commission Certifying the Draft Supplemental Environmental Impact Report (SEIR) and Issuing The Proposed Modified Lease for the Proposed Huntington-Poseidon Desalination Plant)

**Comments were received from the persons below
in response to the request provided in Attachment 1**

#	Name	Location (e.g., City)	Date Email Received
481	Judith Hoaglund	Santa Rosa	July 24, 2017
482	Judith S Anderson	Long Beach	July 25, 2017
483	Judy Bookout	Huntington Beach	July 24, 2017
484	Judy Christensen	Sebastopol	July 24, 2017
485	judy wiggins	Huntington Beach	July 25, 2017
486	Julia Heer	Cardiff	July 25, 2017
487	Julia Hughes	Huntington Beach	July 24, 2017
488	Julie Ford	Huntington Beach	July 25, 2017
489	Julie Ostoich	Sacramento	July 24, 2017
490	Julie Strong	Solana Beach	July 24, 2017
491	Kai Gulisano	Lafayette	July 25, 2017
492	Kai Hally-Rosendahl	Irvine	July 24, 2017
493	Kaleia Carlson	Morro Bay	July 24, 2017
494	Kara May	Costa Mesa	July 25, 2017
495	Karen Anisko	Poway	July 25, 2017 (x2)
496	Karen Coyne	Huntington Beach	July 25, 2017
497	Karen Howard	San Diego	July 24, 2017
498	Karen Huff	San Francisco	July 24, 2017
499	Karen Walsh	Huntington Beach	July 25, 2017
500	Karen West	Tustin	July 25, 2017
501	Karin Ahlf	Costa Mesa	July 24, 2017
502	Karin Easter Gurwell	Huntington Beach	July 25, 2017
503	Karl Koessel	McKinleyville	July 24, 2017
504	Karla Devine	Manhattan Beach	July 24, 2017
505	Katherine Griff	Huntington Beach	July 24, 2017
506	Katherine Harris	Long Beach	July 24, 2017
507	Katherine Jain	San Rafael	July 26, 2017
508	Katherine Leahy	Castro Valley	July 25, 2017
509	Katherine Schultz	La Jolla	July 24, 2017
510	Kathleen Abler	Huntington Beach	July 24, 2017
511	Kathleen Drummond	Solana Beach	July 25, 2017
512	Kathleen Lawrence	Cathedral City	July 24, 2017
513	Kathleen Mooney	Huntington Beach	July 27, 2017
514	Kathleen Rubin	Laguna Woods	July 25, 2017
515	Kathleen Sharum	Santa Maria	July 24, 2017
516	Kathleen Smith	San Jose	July 25, 2017
517	Kathryn Tannenbaum	Santa Barbara	July 24, 2017
518	Kathy Beitscher	La Mesa	July 24, 2017
519	Kathy Bremer	Ventura	July 26, 2017
520	Kathy Hanson	Huntington Beach	July 25, 2017 (x2)

COMMENT SET P25: MODIFIED OPPOSITION FORM LETTER (cont.)

ATTACHMENT 2

OPPOSED TO PROJECT

(Form Letters Received in Opposition to the State Lands Commission Certifying the Draft Supplemental Environmental Impact Report (SEIR) and Issuing The Proposed Modified Lease for the Proposed Huntington-Poseidon Desalination Plant)

**Comments were received from the persons below
in response to the request provided in Attachment 1**

#	Name	Location (e.g., City)	Date Email Received
521	Kathy Krasenics	Rancho Santa Margarita	July 25, 2017
522	Katy Lillig	Huntington Beach	July 25, 2017
523	Keegan Hotra	Huntington Beach	July 24, 2017
524	Keith Belew	Huntington Beach	July 24, 2017
525	Keith Hunter	Carlsbad	July 24, 2017
526	Kelley Wiggins	Huntington Beach	July 26, 2017
527	Kelly Achee	Mission Viejo	July 24, 2017
528	Kelly Berman	Saratoga	July 24, 2017
529	Kelly Irvin	Encinitas	July 25, 2017
530	Kelly Kramer	Garden Grove	July 25, 2017
531	Kelly Siegel	Costa Mesa	July 24, 2017
532	Kelly Viszolay	Laguna Beach	July 24, 2017
533	Ken Cooper	Redondo Beach	July 25, 2017
534	Ken Wilson	Orange	July 24, 2017
535	Kendra Johnson	South Pasadena	July 24, 2017
536	Kenneth Hibbard	Buena Park	July 27, 2017
537	Kenneth Nitta	Newport Beach	July 26, 2017
538	Kenneth Pechous	San Francisco	July 24, 2017
539	Kent Althouse	Santa Monica	July 25, 2017
540	Kerry Shaw	Huntington Beach	July 25, 2017
541	Kevin Chacon	Long Beach	July 24, 2017
542	Kevin Goodwin	Palm Springs	July 24, 2017
543	Kevin Sanford	Huntington Beach	July 24, 2017
544	Kim Barone	Huntington Beach	July 27, 2017
545	Kimberly Fabian	Newport Beach	July 24, 2017 (x10)
546	Kimberly Goforth	Huntington Beach	July 26, 2017
547	Kimberly Schultz	Huntington Beach	July 25, 2017
548	Kimberly Whalen	Santa Cruz	July 24, 2017
549	Kirk Nason	Huntington Beach	July 24, 2017
550	Kristen Renton	Valencia	July 24, 2017
551	Kristin Brinner	Solana Beach	July 24, 2017
552	Kristin Womack	San Anselmo	July 24, 2017
553	Kristina Erwin	Fountain Valley	July 25, 2017
554	Kristina Fukuda	Los Angeles	July 25, 2017
555	Kristofer Ekdahl	Vista	July 25, 2017
556	Kyle Da Silva	San Francisco	July 25, 2017
557	Kyle Gurwell	Huntington Beach	July 25, 2017
558	Lacey Levitt	San Diego	July 24, 2017
559	Larry Robertson	Fallbrook	July 24, 2017
560	Laszlo Kurucz	Lake Forest	July 24, 2017

COMMENT SET P25: MODIFIED OPPOSITION FORM LETTER (cont.)**ATTACHMENT 2****OPPOSED TO PROJECT**

(Form Letters Received in Opposition to the State Lands Commission Certifying the Draft Supplemental Environmental Impact Report (SEIR) and Issuing The Proposed Modified Lease for the Proposed Huntington-Poseidon Desalination Plant)

**Comments were received from the persons below
in response to the request provided in Attachment 1**

#	Name	Location (e.g., City)	Date Email Received
561	Laura Carrow	Huntington Beach	July 24, 2017
562	Laura Klees	Costa Mesa	July 24, 2017
563	Laura Matyi	Oceanside	July 24, 2017
564	Laura Morgan	Sebastopol	July 24, 2017
565	Laura Moses	Long Beach	July 25, 2017
566	Laurel Brewer	West Hollywood	July 26, 2017
567	Laurel Laughlin	Fullerton	July 25, 2017
568	Lauren Helm	Huntington Beach	July 25, 2017
569	Laurie Armer	Redondo Beach	July 24, 2017
570	Laurie Birthday Hosepian	Long Beach	July 25, 2017
571	Laurie Kinnings	Garden Grove	July 24, 2017
572	Laurie Rebd	Huntington Beach	July 25, 2017
573	Laurie Wells	Santa Clara	July 24, 2017
574	lee juskalian	Santa Barbara	July 24, 2017
575	Lee Mills	Encinitas	July 24, 2017
576	Lena Hayashi	Huntington Beach	July 24 & 25, 2017 (x2)
577	lena vergara	Huntington Beach	July 26, 2017
578	Les Doll	Huntington Beach	July 26, 2017
579	Les Roberts	Fresno	July 26, 2017
580	lesley fay	Costa Mesa	July 25, 2017
581	Lester Earnest	Los Altos	July 24, 2017
582	Libby Frolichman	Fountain Valley	July 24, 2017
583	Lily Lau-Enright	Sacramento	July 24, 2017
584	Linda Buckley	Capistrano Beach	July 24, 2017
585	Linda Champagne	Alameda	July 24, 2017
586	linda cornejo	Huntington Beach	July 26, 2017
587	Linda Duchein	Huntington Beach	July 25, 2017
588	Linda Halabi	San Diego	July 26, 2017
589	Linda Richardson	Huntington Beach	July 25, 2017
590	linda yonts	Petrolia	July 25, 2017
591	lindsay darjany	Long Beach	July 25, 2017
592	Lindsey Mayfield	Carlsbad	July 25, 2017
593	Lisa Annecone	Santa Rosa	July 24, 2017
594	Lisa McKinnon	Costa Mesa	July 24, 2017
595	Lisa Metz	Pasadena	July 24, 2017
596	Lisa Peasley	Livermore	July 24, 2017
597	Lisa Quinby	Anaheim	July 24, 2017
598	Lisa Simpson	Huntington Beach	July 25, 2017
599	Lisa Welton	Huntington Beach	July 25, 2017
600	Lori Heller	San Diego	July 25, 2017

COMMENT SET P25: MODIFIED OPPOSITION FORM LETTER (cont.)

ATTACHMENT 2

OPPOSED TO PROJECT

(Form Letters Received in Opposition to the State Lands Commission Certifying the Draft Supplemental Environmental Impact Report (SEIR) and Issuing The Proposed Modified Lease for the Proposed Huntington-Poseidon Desalination Plant)

**Comments were received from the persons below
in response to the request provided in Attachment 1**

#	Name	Location (e.g., City)	Date Email Received
601	Lori Shaw	Huntington Beach	July 25, 2017
602	Lorraine Ladd	Huntington Beach	July 24, 2017
603	Louis Hock	Encinitas	July 24, 2017
604	Louise Stewardson	Huntington Beach	July 25, 2017
605	Lucas Borrás	Venice	July 24, 2017
606	Luka Densmore	Santa Monica	July 24, 2017
607	Lynda Aubrey	Elk	July 24, 2017
608	Lynn Lorenz	Newport Beach	July 24, 2017
609	Lynn Price	South Lake Tahoe	July 25, 2017
610	Lynn Smith	Capistrano Beach	July 24, 2017
611	Lynne Davies	San Francisco	July 24, 2017
612	Madeline Wright	Los Angeles	July 24, 2017
613	magda Gharbo	Huntington Beach	July 24, 2017
614	Maggie Gallagher	Costa Mesa	July 25, 2017
615	Manuella Melchert	Capistrano Beach	July 24, 2017
616	Marc Silverman	Los Angeles	July 24, 2017
617	Marcela Alban	Huntington Beach	July 24, 2017
618	Marcie Goodale	San Luis Obispo	July 26, 2017
619	margaret ARNOLD	Encinitas	July 24, 2017
620	Margaret Duvall	Carmel-by-the-Sea	July 25, 2017
621	Margaret Goodale	Pacifica	July 24, 2017
622	Margaret Hunter	Downey	July 24, 2017
623	Margaret Sloan	Huntington Beach	July 24, 2017
624	Margot Pina	San Francisco	July 24, 2017
625	Mari Dominguez	Linden	July 24, 2017
626	Maria Cardenas	Azusa	July 24, 2017
627	Maria Young	Huntington Beach	July 25, 2017
628	Marianna Mejia	Soquel	July 24, 2017
629	Marilyn Katz	West Hollywood	July 24, 2017
630	Marilyn Vassos	Irvine	July 24, 2017
631	marisa landsberg	Manhattan Beach	July 24, 2017
632	Marjorie Powers	Huntington Beach	July 24, 2017
633	Mark Armen	Santa Ana	July 24, 2017
634	Mark Davis	Santa Ana	July 25, 2017
635	Mark Depledge	Huntington Beach	July 25, 2017
636	Mark Faughn	Oakhurst	July 25, 2017
637	Mark Gordon	Long Beach	July 24, 2017
638	Mark Kaplan	Encinitas	July 25, 2017
639	Mark Paul	San Rafael	July 24, 2017
640	Mark Pugh	San Francisco	July 24, 2017

COMMENT SET P25: MODIFIED OPPOSITION FORM LETTER (cont.)**ATTACHMENT 2****OPPOSED TO PROJECT**

(Form Letters Received in Opposition to the State Lands Commission Certifying the Draft Supplemental Environmental Impact Report (SEIR) and Issuing The Proposed Modified Lease for the Proposed Huntington-Poseidon Desalination Plant)

**Comments were received from the persons below
in response to the request provided in Attachment 1**

#	Name	Location (e.g., City)	Date Email Received
641	Mark Tabbert	Newport Beach	July 24, 2017
642	Marleen Savage	Pacific Grove	July 25, 2017
643	Marlene Morgan	Encinitas	July 24, 2017
644	Marlene Shiner	Huntington Beach	July 24, 2017
645	Marni Magda	Laguna Beach	July 25, 2017
646	Marsha Epstein	Los Angeles	July 24, 2017
647	Marta Lindsey	San Francisco	July 25, 2017
648	Marthena Segura	Santa Monica	July 24, 2017
649	Marvin Lee	Altadena	July 24, 2017
650	Mary Ann Celinder	Huntington Beach	July 24, 2017
651	Mary Ann Gordon	Huntington Beach	July 24 & 26, 2017 (x2)
652	Mary Baretich	Huntington Beach	July 24, 2017
653	Mary Jones	San Francisco	July 25, 2017
654	Mary Julienne	Huntington Beach	July 24, 2017 (x3)
655	Mary Lowry	Vista	July 25, 2017
656	Mary Omalley	Huntington Beach	July 27, 2017
657	Mary Reed	Sebastopol	July 25, 2017
658	Mary Surprenant	Huntington Beach	July 24, 2017
659	Mary Webb	Cambria	July 25, 2017
660	Maryellen Redish	Palm Springs	July 24, 2017
661	Marylucia Arace	Fullerton	July 24, 2017
662	Matt Carter	Silverado	July 25, 2017
663	Matt Huntington	San Francisco	July 24, 2017
664	Matt Jones	Coronado	July 24, 2017
665	Matt Kass	Hayward	July 27, 2017
666	Maureen Moss	Cardiff	July 24, 2017
667	maureen roche	Petrolia	July 24, 2017
668	Max Ernst	San Francisco	July 24, 2017
669	Mayank Keshaviah	Los Angeles	July 26, 2017
670	Meena Sadiq	Malibu	July 25, 2017
671	Meghann Collins	South Pasadena	July 25, 2017
672	Melissa McCormick	Fullerton	July 25, 2017
673	Melvin Dorin	Cambria	July 25, 2017
674	Micah Dyer	Topanga	July 25, 2017
675	Micah McIntyre	Valley Center	July 24, 2017
676	Michae Lynn Filio	San Diego	July 25, 2017
677	Michael Bordenave	Fresno	July 24, 2017
678	Michael Curtis	San Diego	July 24, 2017
679	Michael Esten	San Diego	July 24, 2017
680	Michael Gilgun	Chula Vista	July 24, 2017

COMMENT SET P25: MODIFIED OPPOSITION FORM LETTER (cont.)

ATTACHMENT 2

OPPOSED TO PROJECT

(Form Letters Received in Opposition to the State Lands Commission Certifying the Draft Supplemental Environmental Impact Report (SEIR) and Issuing The Proposed Modified Lease for the Proposed Huntington-Poseidon Desalination Plant)

**Comments were received from the persons below
in response to the request provided in Attachment 1**

#	Name	Location (e.g., City)	Date Email Received
681	Michael Hall	Manhattan Beach	July 24, 2017
682	Michael Kusaba	Thousand Oaks	July 24, 2017
683	Michael Miller	Manhattan Beach	July 24, 2017 (x2)
684	Michael Rotcher	Mission Viejo	July 25, 2017
685	Michael Terry	Santa Monica	July 25, 2017
686	Michael Tessier	Huntington Beach	July 25, 2017
687	Michael Tomczyszyn	San Francisco	July 24, 2017
688	Michael Traa	Cardiff	July 25, 2017
689	Michael Wauschek	Cerritos	July 25, 2017
690	Michael Wellborn	Fountain Valley	July 24, 2017
691	michael witt	Pleasanton	July 24, 2017
692	Michael Yankaus	Mountain View	July 24, 2017
693	Michal Lynch	Santa Barbara	July 24, 2017
694	Michele Rivituso	Huntington Beach	July 25, 2017
695	Michelle Africano	Huntington Beach	July 26, 2017
696	Michelle Ferry	Huntington Beach	July 25, 2017
697	Michelle Medor	Irvine	July 24, 2017
698	Michelle Sparksgillis	Solvang	July 25, 2017
699	Miguel Abramowicz	Huntington Beach	July 24, 2017
700	Mika Stonehawk	Tustin	July 24, 2017
701	Mike Cass	Novato	July 24, 2017
702	Mike Hale	Ladera Ranch	July 24, 2017
703	Milt Dardis	Huntington Beach	July 24, 2017
704	Milton Vander Molen	Huntington Beach	July 26, 2017
705	Miranda Brown	San Diego	July 25, 2017
706	Miranda Leiva	Los Angeles	July 25, 2017
707	Miriam L IOSUPOVICI	Imperial Beach	July 25, 2017
708	Mirta Seitz	Huntington Beach	July 25, 2017
709	Mona Bahgat	Los Angeles	July 24, 2017
710	Ms. Lilith	Ventura	July 24, 2017
711	Nadine Scott	Oceanside	July 24, 2017
712	Nancy Bast	Morro Bay	July 24, 2017
713	Nancy Buchoz	Huntington Beach	July 24, 2017
714	nancy caruso	Garden Grove	July 25, 2017
715	Nancy Cohn	Atascadero	July 25, 2017
716	Nancy Duremdes	Newport Beach	July 25, 2017
717	Nancy Gahn	Huntington Beach	July 24, 2017
718	Nancy Gutierrez	Palm Desert	July 25, 2017
719	Nancy Koch	Huntington Beach	July 24, 2017
720	Nancy Shrodes	Los Angeles	July 24, 2017

COMMENT SET P25: MODIFIED OPPOSITION FORM LETTER (cont.)**ATTACHMENT 2****OPPOSED TO PROJECT**

(Form Letters Received in Opposition to the State Lands Commission Certifying the Draft Supplemental Environmental Impact Report (SEIR) and Issuing The Proposed Modified Lease for the Proposed Huntington-Poseidon Desalination Plant)

**Comments were received from the persons below
in response to the request provided in Attachment 1**

#	Name	Location (e.g., City)	Date Email Received
721	Nancy Smith	Santa Monica	July 24, 2017 (x2)
722	Natalia Faulkner	Huntington Beach	July 24, 2017 (x5)
723	Natalie Rokos	Huntington Beach	July 25, 2017
724	Nathan Avilla	Santa Cruz	July 24, 2017
725	Nathan Pierce	Oakland	July 25, 2017
726	Nathan Shaw	Huntington Beach	July 25, 2017
727	Neal Cates	Lakewood	July 24, 2017
728	Nicholas Kerkhoff	Santa Cruz	July 24, 2017
729	nicholas lenchner	Santa Rosa	July 24, 2017
730	Nick Payne	Santa Monica	July 25, 2017
731	Nicole Ault	Huntington Beach	July 24, 2017
732	Nicole Johnson	Long Beach	July 27, 2017
733	Noah Garrett	San Marino	July 24, 2017
734	Nobu Stillwell	Huntington Beach	July 25, 2017
735	Norma Vander Molen	Huntington Beach	July 26, 2017
736	o zapata	Costa Mesa	July 27, 2017
737	Olga Kanavalchyk	Anaheim	July 24, 2017
738	Oren Frey	San Francisco	July 25 & August 26, 2017
739	p Weiss	Newport Beach	July 26, 2017
740	P.S. Padula	Dunsmuir	July 24, 2017
741	pam mettier	Cambria	July 24, 2017
742	Pam Slater Price	Del Mar	July 24 & 25, 2017 (x2)
743	Pamela Beard	Huntington Beach	July 25, 2017
744	Pamela Bertz	Huntington Beach	July 26, 2017
745	pamela sandberg	Fort Bragg	July 24, 2017
746	Parrish Walsh	Culver City	July 24, 2017
747	Pat Cahill	Costa Mesa	July 26, 2017
748	Pat Davis	Anaheim	July 26, 2017
749	Patricia Barnes	Garden Grove	July 25, 2017
750	Patricia Dorin	Cambria	July 25, 2017
751	Patricia Gallagher	San Francisco	July 24, 2017
752	Patricia Horton	San Luis Obispo	July 24, 2017
753	patricia steelman	Huntington Beach	July 24, 2017
754	Patrick Hanifin	Huntington Beach	July 26, 2017
755	Patrick Mulvey	San Diego	July 25, 2017
756	Patrick O'Sullivan	Huntington Beach	July 25, 2017
757	Paul Myers	Encinitas	July 25, 2017
758	Paul Smouse	Long Beach	July 24, 2017
759	Paul Vocelka	Los Angeles	July 24, 2017
760	Pauline Faye	San Clemente	July 25, 2017

COMMENT SET P25: MODIFIED OPPOSITION FORM LETTER (cont.)

ATTACHMENT 2

OPPOSED TO PROJECT

(Form Letters Received in Opposition to the State Lands Commission Certifying the Draft Supplemental Environmental Impact Report (SEIR) and Issuing The Proposed Modified Lease for the Proposed Huntington-Poseidon Desalination Plant)

**Comments were received from the persons below
in response to the request provided in Attachment 1**

#	Name	Location (e.g., City)	Date Email Received
761	Penny Meloche	Long Beach	July 24, 2017
762	Pepper Hall	Westminster	July 26, 2017
763	Pete Stauffer	San Clemente	July 24, 2017
764	Pete Sterk	San Diego	July 24, 2017
765	Peter Burtner	Forestville	July 24, 2017
766	Peter Cole	Oceanside	July 24, 2017
767	Peter Crosser	Huntington Beach	July 26, 2017
768	Peter Hoyt	Costa Mesa	July 25, 2017
769	Peter Warren	Newport Beach	July 24, 2017
770	Phil and Pat Goodman	Huntington Beach	July 24, 2017
771	Phil McClain	Huntington Beach	July 25, 2017
772	Philip Johnston	Scotts Valley	July 25, 2017
773	Phyllis Chavez	Santa Monica	July 24, 2017
774	Pia Stern	La Jolla	July 24, 2017
775	Pradip Khalap	Huntington Beach	July 24, 2017
776	Priscilla Ford	Los Angeles	July 24, 2017
777	Querido Galdo	Oakland	July 24, 2017
778	R Weiss (Dr. Weiss)	Newport Beach	July 26, 2017
779	r weiss (Remy)	Newport Beach	July 26, 2017
780	Rachel Hunter	Laguna Beach	July 25, 2017
781	Rachel Nelson	Los Angeles	July 26, 2017
782	Raedina Wildfong	Huntington Beach	July 25, 2017
783	Rafal Dobrowolski	La Jolla	July 24, 2017
784	Randy Baker	Placentia	July 26, 2017
785	rawloo khalap	Huntington Beach	July 25, 2017
786	Ray Green	Huntington Beach	July 25, 2017
787	ray komar	Huntington Beach	July 24, 2017
788	Ray Zepeda	Long Beach	July 24, 2017
789	Raylene Shirey	Monrovia	July 25, 2017
790	raymie roland	Santa Rosa	July 24, 2017
791	Rebecca Noffsinger	Huntington Beach	July 25, 2017
792	Renata V.	San Diego	July 24, 2017
793	renee klein	Marina del Rey	July 25, 2017
794	Renee Shurstad	Belvedere Tiburon	July 25, 2017
795	Rex Rockwell	Huntington Beach	July 25, 2017
796	Rexford Parker	Huntington Beach	July 24, 2017
797	Richard Armendariz	Huntington Beach	July 26, 2017
798	Richard Flory	Newport Beach	July 24, 2017
799	Richard Morris	Huntington Beach	July 26, 2017
800	Richard Rebd	Huntington Beach	July 26, 2017

COMMENT SET P25: MODIFIED OPPOSITION FORM LETTER (cont.)**ATTACHMENT 2****OPPOSED TO PROJECT**

(Form Letters Received in Opposition to the State Lands Commission Certifying the Draft Supplemental Environmental Impact Report (SEIR) and Issuing The Proposed Modified Lease for the Proposed Huntington-Poseidon Desalination Plant)

**Comments were received from the persons below
in response to the request provided in Attachment 1**

#	Name	Location (e.g., City)	Date Email Received
801	Richard Zak	Carlsbad	July 24, 2017
802	Rick Shreve	Weott	July 24, 2017
803	Rick Wilson	Oceanside	July 26, 2017
804	Rita Nolan	San Francisco	July 24, 2017
805	Robert Bray	San Juan Capistrano	July 24, 2017
806	Robert Dale	La Habra	July 24, 2017
807	Robert Keats	Santa Barbara	July 25, 2017
808	Robert Low	Huntington Beach	July 24, 2017
809	Robert McCormish	Costa Mesa	July 24, 2017
810	Robert McDuff	Los Angeles	July 24, 2017
811	Robert Miller	Santa Rosa	July 24, 2017
812	Robert Pope	Monterey	July 24, 2017
813	Robert Somers	Huntington Beach	July 24, 2017
814	Robert Sternberg	Huntington Beach	July 25, 2017
815	robert young	Huntington Beach	July 24, 2017
816	Roberta Fanarof	Huntington Beach	July 26, 2017
817	ROBERTA HINES	Long Beach	July 24, 2017
818	Roberto Penaherrera	Seaside	July 25, 2017
819	robin reinhart	San Diego	July 24, 2017
820	Ron And Donna Thompson	Crescent City	July 25, 2017
821	Ron Malvitz	Huntington Beach	July 25, 2017
822	Ron Yoshitomi	Carlsbad	July 24, 2017 (2x)
823	Ronald Smith	Huntington Beach	July 24, 2017
824	Rosalind Freeman	Huntington Beach	July 24, 2017
825	Rosanne Quevedo	Agoura Hills	July 24, 2017
826	Russell Crotty	Ojai	July 24, 2017
827	Ryan Hartman	Venice	July 26, 2017
828	Ryan Warner	Los Angeles	July 24, 2017
829	S. Chapek	San Francisco	July 25, 2017
830	Sabrina Brennan	Moss Beach	July 24, 2017
831	Sachin Ganpule	San Francisco	July 24, 2017
832	sally abrams	San Francisco	July 24, 2017
833	Sally Lacy	Laguna Woods	July 24, 2017
834	Sam Bertin	La Jolla	July 24, 2017
835	Samantha Sturtevant	Escondido	July 24, 2017
836	Sandra Cope	Irvine	July 24, 2017
837	Sandra Fazio	Huntington Beach	July 24, 2017
838	sandra kasmarsik	Huntington Beach	July 26, 2017 (2x)
839	Sandy Levine	Pasadena	July 24, 2017 (2x)
840	Sandy Zelasko	Valley Center	July 24, 2017

COMMENT SET P25: MODIFIED OPPOSITION FORM LETTER (cont.)**ATTACHMENT 2****OPPOSED TO PROJECT**

(Form Letters Received in Opposition to the State Lands Commission Certifying the Draft Supplemental Environmental Impact Report (SEIR) and Issuing The Proposed Modified Lease for the Proposed Huntington-Poseidon Desalination Plant)

**Comments were received from the persons below
in response to the request provided in Attachment 1**

#	Name	Location (e.g., City)	Date Email Received
841	Sara Hayes	Long Beach	July 24, 2017
842	sarah louie	Carlsbad	July 24, 2017
843	sarah williams	Huntington Beach	July 25, 2017
844	Saran Kirschbaum	Los Angeles	July 24, 2017
845	Scott Buchanan	San Anselmo	July 24, 2017
846	Scott Davis	Carmichael	July 24, 2017
847	scott lucchese	Huntington Beach	July 25, 2017
848	Scott Smith	Huntington Beach	July 24, 2017
849	Sean Coveney	Encinitas	July 24, 2017
850	Sean Wiggins	Huntington Beach	July 26, 2017
851	Sean_CH56 McAlpine	Atascadero	July 25, 2017
852	seychelle cannes	Newport Beach	July 25, 2017
853	Shane Coons	Seal Beach	July 25, 2017
854	Shane Hendrick	Long Beach	July 24, 2017
855	Shannon Crossen	Costa Mesa	July 24, 2017
856	Shari Mildon	Placentia	July 25, 2017
857	Sharon Augenstein	Huntington Beach	July 24, 2017
858	Sharon Borrege	Santa Cruz	July 24, 2017
859	Sharon Estrada	Irvine	July 24, 2017
860	Sharon lacy	Sebastopol	July 24, 2017
861	Shawn Johnson	Encinitas	July 24, 2017
862	Sheila Desmond	Shingle Springs	July 24, 2017
863	Shelby Bruneniaks	Capistrano Beach	July 24, 2017
864	Shelley Keltner	Huntington Beach	July 25, 2017
865	shelley moore	San Clemente	July 24, 2017
866	Shelly Lambing	Huntington Beach	July 24, 2017
867	Sheri Normann	Huntington Beach	July 25, 2017
868	Sherrill Futrell	Davis	July 25, 2017
869	sheryl lee	Topanga	July 27, 2017
870	Skip Schoolnik	Los Angeles	July 24, 2017
871	Sondra Boes	Campbell	July 24, 2017
872	Staley Silva	Woodland Hills	July 24, 2017
873	Stan Belitz	Huntington Beach	July 26, 2017
874	Stefan Lessard	Laguna Beach	July 25, 2017
875	Stefan Marchand	Huntington Beach	July 24, 2017
876	Stefanie Sekich Quinn	San Diego	July 24, 2017
877	Stella Lochman	San Francisco	July 24, 2017
878	Stephanie Jennings	San Diego	July 24, 2017
879	Stephanie Oliver	Seal Beach	July 24, 2017
880	Stephanie Pacheco	Fountain Valley	July 24, 2017

COMMENT SET P25: MODIFIED OPPOSITION FORM LETTER (cont.)**ATTACHMENT 2****OPPOSED TO PROJECT**

(Form Letters Received in Opposition to the State Lands Commission Certifying the Draft Supplemental Environmental Impact Report (SEIR) and Issuing The Proposed Modified Lease for the Proposed Huntington-Poseidon Desalination Plant)

**Comments were received from the persons below
in response to the request provided in Attachment 1**

#	Name	Location (e.g., City)	Date Email Received
881	Stephen Bumb	Huntington Beach	July 25, 2017
882	Stephen Figler	Cambria	July 25, 2017
883	Stephen Grunewald	Sonoma	July 24, 2017
884	Stephen Noffsinger	Huntington Beach	July 25, 2017
885	Stephen Weitz	Oakland	July 24, 2017
886	Steve Colton	Glendale	July 25, 2017
887	Steve Copeland	Huntington Beach	July 27, 2017
888	Steve Freedman	Marina del Rey	July 25, 2017 (2x)
889	Steve Grubb	Mountain View	July 25, 2017
890	Steve Iverson	Newport Beach	July 24, 2017
891	Steve Kaustinen	Huntington Beach	July 24, 2017
892	Steve Macy	Santa Cruz	July 24, 2017
893	Steve Southern	Huntington Beach	July 24, 2017
894	Steve Tyler	Orange	July 25, 2017
895	Steve Yorde	Huntington Beach	July 25, 2017
896	steve zelman	Woodland Hills	July 24, 2017
897	Steven Cahn	Los Angeles	July 24, 2017
898	Steven Damewood	Oakland	July 24, 2017
899	Steven Eppstein	Huntington Beach	July 24, 2017
900	Steven Reed	Huntington Beach	July 24, 2017
901	Steven Shepherd	Huntington Beach	July 24, 2017
902	Stig Terrebonne	Costa Mesa	July 25, 2017
903	Stuart Gross	San Francisco	July 24, 2017
904	Sue Hinsey	Huntington Beach	July 27, 2017
905	Susan Carnevale	San Diego	July 24, 2017
906	Susan Davenport	Simi Valley	July 24, 2017
907	Susan Davis	San Pedro	July 24, 2017
908	Susan Gary	Huntington Beach	July 24 & 25, 2017 (x15)
909	Susan Grant	Los Angeles	July 24, 2017
910	Susan Hughes	Huntington Beach	July 24, 2017
911	Susan King	Concord	July 24, 2017
912	Susan Kopicki	Newport Beach	July 24, 2017
913	Susan Lane	Vallejo	July 25, 2017
914	Susan McLaughlin	Foothill Ranch	July 25, 2017
915	Susan Schaben	Huntington Beach	July 24, 2017
916	Susan Sonne	Buena Park	July 24, 2017
917	Susan Watts-rosenfeld	Riverside	July 24, 2017
918	Suzanne a'Becket	Cupertino	July 24, 2017
919	Suzanne Forster	Newport Beach	July 25, 2017
920	Suzanne Smith	Huntington Beach	July 26, 2017

COMMENT SET P25: MODIFIED OPPOSITION FORM LETTER (cont.)

ATTACHMENT 2

OPPOSED TO PROJECT

(Form Letters Received in Opposition to the State Lands Commission Certifying the Draft Supplemental Environmental Impact Report (SEIR) and Issuing The Proposed Modified Lease for the Proposed Huntington-Poseidon Desalination Plant)

**Comments were received from the persons below
in response to the request provided in Attachment 1**

#	Name	Location (e.g., City)	Date Email Received
921	Sydnie Thomas	Huntington Beach	July 24, 2017
922	Tae Kim	Santa Barbara	July 24, 2017
923	Talaat Baselews	Huntington Beach	July 24, 2017
924	Tara Stevens	Costa Mesa	July 24, 2017
925	Tasha Boucher	Los Angeles	July 24, 2017
926	Ted Key	Cambria	July 25, 2017
927	Teresa Weiss	Huntington Beach	July 26, 2017
928	Teri Lunn	Petaluma	July 26, 2017
929	Terri Fuqua	Costa Mesa	July 27, 2017
930	Terri Gedo	Los Angeles	July 24, 2017
931	Terry hoffman	Huntington Beach	July 24, 2017
932	Thea Wang	Los Angeles	July 25, 2017
933	Thelo Aiken	San Francisco	July 24, 2017
934	Therese DeBing	Pacific Grove	July 24, 2017
935	Thomas Anderson	Huntington Beach	July 27, 2017
936	Thomas Gierhart	Fountain Valley	July 25, 2017
937	Thomas Ward	Del Monte Forest	July 25, 2017
938	Thorsten Ostrander	San Diego	July 24, 2017
939	Tim Garvey	Huntington Beach	July 27, 2017
940	Tim Hayes	San Diego	July 24, 2017
941	Timothy Martin	Redwood City	July 24, 2017
942	Timothy Ross	Fullerton	July 24, 2017
943	Tina Pirazzi	Long Beach	July 24, 2017
944	Todd Makinen	Culver City	July 24, 2017
945	Todd Montgomery	Malibu	July 24, 2017
946	Tom Baker	Newport Beach	July 26, 2017
947	Tom Keogh	Tustin	July 25, 2017
948	Tom Neuman	Grover Beach	July 24, 2017
949	Tom Nulty Jr	Dana Point	July 25, 2017
950	Tom Stanton	Huntington Beach	July 24, 2017
951	Tony Pastore	Morro Bay	July 24, 2017
952	Travis Buck	San Diego	July 25, 2017
953	Treika Oelkers	Garden Grove	July 25, 2017
954	Trent Family	Manhattan Beach	July 24, 2017
955	Trent Myers	Coronado	July 24, 2017 (2x)
956	Trey Schmit	McKinleyville	July 24, 2017
957	Trisha Colton	Half Moon Bay	July 24, 2017
958	Tristan Yates	Santa Barbara	July 24, 2017
959	tyler strong	Camarillo	July 24, 2017
960	Valerie Cope	Playa del Rey	July 25, 2017

COMMENT SET P25: MODIFIED OPPOSITION FORM LETTER (cont.)**ATTACHMENT 2****OPPOSED TO PROJECT**

(Form Letters Received in Opposition to the State Lands Commission Certifying the Draft Supplemental Environmental Impact Report (SEIR) and Issuing The Proposed Modified Lease for the Proposed Huntington-Poseidon Desalination Plant)

**Comments were received from the persons below
in response to the request provided in Attachment 1**

#	Name	Location (e.g., City)	Date Email Received
961	Valli Febbraro	Huntington Beach	July 24, 2017
962	Vance Vargas	Irvine	July 24, 2017
963	Verna Ayer	Fountain Valley	July 24, 2017
964	Vic Bostock	Altadena	July 25, 2017
965	Vic DeAngelo	San Francisco	July 24, 2017
966	victoria brandon	Los Angeles	July 24, 2017
967	Victoria Cranner	Burbank	July 25, 2017
968	Victoria Miller	Los Angeles	July 24, 2017
969	Vincent Gonzales	Fountain Valley	July 24, 2017
970	Virginia Demoss	Long Beach	July 24, 2017
971	Vitas Sipelis	Irvine	July 24, 2017
972	walter carranza	Chula Vista	July 24, 2017
973	Walter Mikas	Tustin	July 27, 2017
974	Wayne Christopher	El Cajon	July 24, 2017
975	Wayne Holder	Huntington Beach	July 24, 2017
976	Wendy Rogers	Laguna Woods	July 25, 2017
977	whitefeather --	Arroyo Grande	July 24, 2017
978	Whitney Mackenzie	San Diego	July 24, 2017
979	Will Bachar	Santa Cruz	July 24, 2017
980	William And Marva Ann Johnson	Morro Bay	July 25, 2017
981	William Dickinson	Santa Ana	July 24, 2017
982	William Epperson	Huntington Beach	July 27, 2017
983	William Fitzgerald	Santa Rosa	July 24, 2017
984	William Harader	Costa Mesa	July 25, 2017
985	William Rothman	Belvedere	July 26, 2017
986	Yazmin Gonzalez	Bellflower	July 24, 2017
987	Yollenna Morales	Fountain Valley	July 24, 2017
988	Yvonne D	Newport Beach	July 25, 2017
989	Zach Williams	Ventura	July 24, 2017

COMMENT SET P25: MODIFIED OPPOSITION FORM LETTER (cont.)

ATTACHMENT 2

OPPOSED TO PROJECT

(Form Letters Received in Opposition to the State Lands Commission Certifying the Draft Supplemental Environmental Impact Report (SEIR) and Issuing The Proposed Modified Lease for the Proposed Huntington-Poseidon Desalination Plant)

**Comments were received from the persons below
in response to the request provided in Attachment 1**

Comments Below Received After Close of Comment Period (July 27, 5 p.m.)			
989	Aaron Baird	Laguna Niguel	August 22, 2017
990	Alan Chen	Alameda	July 31, 2017
991	Andrissa Dominguez	Huntington Beach	July 28, 2017
992	Anthony Fink	Manhattan Beach	August 23, 2017 (x2)
993	Bonnie Hemauer	Santa Monica	July 27, 2017
994	Brian Klein	Huntington Beach	July 29, 2017
995	Carolyn Foster	Vista	September 12, 2017
996	Chad Segal	San Francisco	August 17, 2017
997	Cherie Korando	Costa Mesa	August 7, 2017
998	Christopher Smith	Huntington Beach	July 29, 2017
999	Dana Williams	La Jolla	August 18, 2017
1,000	Daniel Richardson	Huntington Beach	July 29, 2017
1,001	David Anderson	Huntington Beach	July 28, 2017
1,002	David Reichard	Playa del Rey	July 31, 2017
1,003	Dan Horgan	Huntington Beach	July 27 & 31, 2017 (x2)
1,004	Debbie Clark	Huntington Beach	July 28, 2017
1,005	Denise Fidel	Cardiff	July 27, 2017
1,006	Dennis Buckley	Encinitas	August 2, 2017
1,007	Donna Beasley	Huntington Beach	July 28, 2017
1,008	Donna Carr	Encinitas	July 31 & Aug 16 (x2), 2017
1,009	Elaine Kluever	Huntington Beach	July 27, 2017
1,010	Elissa Wagner	Aptos	September 21, 2017
1,011	Elizabeth Bodie	Huntington Beach	August 14, 2017
1,012	Frances Goff	Pasadena	July 29, 2017
1,013	Frances Lam	Irvine	August 29, 2017
1,014	George Stadnik	San Diego	August 1, 2017
1,015	Gretchen Wichman	San Diego	July 28, 2017
1,016	James Tejani	San Luis Obispo	July 28, 2017
1,017	Jason Doyle	Newport Beach	August 11, 2017
1,018	Jeanne McKee	Huntington Beach	July 28, 2017
1,019	Jeff Seiff	Newport Beach	July 28, 2017
1,020	Jeff Tavangar	Santa Cruz	July 31, 2017
1,021	Jennifer Johnson	San Clemente	August 7, 2017
1,022	Jennifer Morris	Menlo Park	August 4, 2017
1,023	Jens Ipsen	Palmdale	August 17, 2017
1,024	Jessica Walden	Santa Ana	July 28, 2017
1,025	Joanne Dean-Freemire	North Fork	August 16, 2017
1,026	John Kim	Los Gatos	August 24, 2017
1,027	John Valenta	Huntington Beach	July 28, 2017

COMMENT SET P25: MODIFIED OPPOSITION FORM LETTER (cont.)**ATTACHMENT 2****OPPOSED TO PROJECT**

(Form Letters Received in Opposition to the State Lands Commission Certifying the Draft Supplemental Environmental Impact Report (SEIR) and Issuing The Proposed Modified Lease for the Proposed Huntington-Poseidon Desalination Plant)

**Comments were received from the persons below
in response to the request provided in Attachment 1**

1,028	Karen Jackle	Huntington Beach	July 31, 2017 (x2)
1,029	Kathleen Kuczynski	Lake Forest	July 28 & 30, 2017
1,030	Kevin McClintock	Santa Barbara	August 20, 2017
1,031	Kimberly Marks	San Francisco	August 3, 2017
1,032	Laura Tucker	Capitola	August 2, 2017
1,033	Linda Courter	Huntington Beach	July 28, 2017
1,034	Linda Dragavon	San Francisco	August 3, 2017
1,035	Lindsay Mugglestone	Berkeley	August 2, 2017
1,036	Lucy Boland	Davis	August 15, 2017
1,037	Madeline Pacilio-Brand	Huntington Beach	July 28, 2017
1,038	Margaret Rinaldi	Orange	July 28, 2017
1,039	Mark Strachan	Huntington Beach	July 28, 2017
1,040	Meleina Mayhew	Los Angeles	July 29, 2017
1,041	Mia Nelson	Los Angeles	September 17, 2017
1,042	Michael Dinius	Los Angeles	August 2, 2017
1,043	Nicole Simone	Huntington Beach	July 30, 2017
1,044	Natalie Buchoz	Huntington Beach	July 27, 2017
1,045	Nuran Alteir	Fountain Valley	July 29, 2017
1,046	Pushpa Kabre	Huntington Beach	July 31, 2017
1,047	Renee Suran	Llano	July 27, 2017
1,048	Richard Clause	Huntington Beach	July 28, 2017
1,049	Roy Carpenter	Santa Ana	July 31, 2017
1,050	Ryan Wolfgram	San Francisco	August 1, 2017
1,051	Sallie Walker	Huntington Beach	July 29, 2017
1,052	Sally Barron	Laguna Beach	August 22, 2017
1,053	Scott Rotherth	Huntington Beach	July 29, 2017
1,054	Seth Matson	Huntington Beach	July 28, 2017
1,055	Thomas Yount	Los Angeles	July 27, 2017
1,056	Tina Om	Huntington Beach	July 28, 2017
1,057	Tom Michaels	Laguna Beach	August 21, 2017
1,058	Victor Camara	Long Beach	August 13, 2017
1,059	Victoria Gray	Huntington Beach	July 27, 2017

COMMENT SET P25: MODIFIED OPPOSITION FORM LETTER (cont.)

RESPONSE TO OPPOSITION FORM LETTER, INCLUDING MODIFICATIONS

- P25-1 The commenters' expressions of opposition to the Project will be provided to the Commission for consideration in its decision-making process. The Project that will be considered by the Commission is the proposed Lease Modification Project, as defined in Section 2 of this Supplemental EIR. (See also master responses MR-1, *Scope of the Commission's Discretionary Action*, and MR-2, *Lease Modification Project Scope*.)
- P25-2 See master response MR-2, *Lease Modification Project Scope*.
- P25-3 See master response MR-2, *Lease Modification Project Scope*.
- P25-4 See master response MR-3, *Responsible Vs. Lead Agency & Supplemental Vs. Subsequent EIR* regarding project need.
- P25-5 See master responses MR-7, *Cumulative Impacts*, and MR-8, *Alternatives*.
- P25-6 Part I, Section I.7, *Requirements for Recirculation*, identifies the primary examples of when an EIR must be recirculated (see State CEQA Guidelines, § 15088.5, subd. (a)(1)-(3).) As discussed in Section I.6, *Summary of Major Changes to Draft Supplemental EIR*, the major changes to the Draft Supplement EIR do not meet the Guidelines' threshold for recirculation. Section III contains the revisions to the document. See also master response MR-3, *Responsible Vs. Lead Agency & Supplemental Vs. Subsequent EIR*.
- P25-7 The commenters' statements that desalination is costly will be provided to the Commission for consideration in its decision-making process. The Project that will be considered by the Commission is the proposed Lease Modification Project, as defined in Section 2 of this Supplemental EIR. (See also master responses MR-1, *Scope of the Commission's Discretionary Action*, and MR-2, *Lease Modification Project Scope*.) The commenters also assert that the Project would increase carbon dioxide emissions. Air quality and greenhouse gas emissions and impacts are addressed in Supplemental EIR Sections 4.3 and 4.6, respectively.
- P25-8 The commenters' statements that better and more economical and ecological alternatives to the desalination facility exist and should be considered will be provided to the Commission for consideration in its decision-making process. The Project that will be considered by the Commission is the proposed Lease Modification Project, as defined in Section 2 of this Supplemental EIR. (See also master responses MR-1,

COMMENT SET P25: MODIFIED OPPOSITION FORM LETTER (cont.)

Scope of the Commission's Discretionary Action, and MR-2, Lease Modification Project Scope.)

- P25-9 The commenters' statements that there is no current contract to purchase desalinated water from the desalination facility upon completion and that the facility will result in excess water sent to Orange County when combined with the Carson Groundwater Replenishment System will be provided to the Commission for consideration in its decision-making process. The Project that will be considered by the Commission is the proposed Lease Modification Project, as defined in Section 2 of this Supplemental EIR. (See also master responses MR-1, *Scope of the Commission's Discretionary Action*, and MR-2, *Lease Modification Project Scope*.) See also MR-3, *Responsible Vs. Lead Agency & Supplemental Vs. Subsequent EIR* and MR-4, *Piecemealing*, which discuss groundwater recharge and speculative nature of how desalinated water might be used by the OCWD and distributed to the local water community.
- P25-10 The commenters' statements that desalination will increase water rates will be provided to the Commission for consideration in its decision-making process. The Project that will be considered by the Commission is the proposed Lease Modification Project, as defined in Section 2 of this Supplemental EIR. (See also master responses MR-1, *Scope of the Commission's Discretionary Action*, and MR-2, *Lease Modification Project Scope*.)
- P25-11 The commenters' statements that that recycled water conservation and limited population growth in Southern California reuse should be pursued will be provided to the Commission for consideration in its decision-making process. The Project that will be considered by the Commission is the proposed Lease Modification Project, as defined in Section 2 of this Supplemental EIR. (See also master responses MR-1, *Scope of the Commission's Discretionary Action*, and MR-2, *Lease Modification Project Scope*.) See also MR-3, *Responsible Vs. Lead Agency & Supplemental Vs. Subsequent EIR* and MR-4, *Piecemealing*, which discuss groundwater recharge and speculative nature of how desalinated water might be used by the OCWD and distributed to the local water community.
- P25-12 The commenters' statements that water conservation and reuse should be pursued before using desalinated water and that desalination will lead to similar problems to those caused by Poseidon in San Diego will be provided to the Commission for consideration in its decision-making process. The Project that will be considered by the Commission is the proposed Lease Modification Project, as defined in Section 2 of this

COMMENT SET P25: MODIFIED OPPOSITION FORM LETTER (cont.)

Supplemental EIR. (See also master responses MR-1, *Scope of the Commission's Discretionary Action*, and MR-2, *Lease Modification Project Scope*.)

P25-13 Impacts to the marine environment from the Lease Modification Project are addressed in Supplemental EIR Section 4.1, *Ocean Water Quality and Marine Biological Resources*.

P25-14 See master response MR-3, *Responsible vs. Lead Agency & Supplemental vs. Subsequent EIR*, Subpart 4D.2, *2015 Desalination Amendment and 2014 and 2015 ISTAP Reports*, regarding compliance with the Desalination Amendment.

P25-15 See Response to Comment 25-10.

P25-16 Impacts to the marine environment from the Lease Modification Project are addressed in Supplemental EIR Section 4.1, *Ocean Water Quality and Marine Biological Resources*. The commenter's statement that desalination will undermine California climate and clean energy goals will be provided to the Commission for consideration in its decision-making process. The Project that will be considered by the Commission is the proposed Lease Modification Project, as defined in Section 2 of this Supplemental EIR. (See also master responses MR-1, *Scope of the Commission's Discretionary Action*, and MR-2, *Lease Modification Project Scope*.) See master response MR-3, *Responsible vs. Lead Agency & Supplemental vs. Subsequent EIR*, Subpart 4D.2, *2015 Desalination Amendment and 2014 and 2015 ISTAP Reports*, regarding compliance with the Desalination Amendment.

P25-17 The commenter asserts that there has been scientific proof of the negative impacts of desalination to the marine environment since the Supplemental EIR for the desalination facility was certified in 2010. Impacts to the marine environment from the Lease Modification Project are addressed in Supplemental EIR Section 4.1, *Ocean Water Quality and Marine Biological Resources*. This comment will be provided to the Commission for consideration in its decision-making process. (See also master responses MR-1, *Scope of the Commission's Discretionary Action*, and MR-2, *Lease Modification Project Scope*.)

P25-18 See master response MR-3, *Responsible vs. Lead Agency & Supplemental vs. Subsequent EIR*, Subpart 4D.2, *2015 Desalination Amendment and 2014 and 2015 ISTAP Reports*, regarding compliance with the Desalination Amendment.

COMMENT SET P25: MODIFIED OPPOSITION FORM LETTER (cont.)

- P25-19 See Responses to Comments P25-11 and P25-12.
- P25-20 See Response to Comment 25-10 regarding increased water rates, which are beyond the scope of this Supplemental EIR, as described in master response MR-1, *Scope of the Commission’s Discretionary Action*. The potential for negative impacts to the marine environment, and effects on California climate and clean energy goals is addressed in Supplemental EIR Sections 4.1 and 4.6, respectively. With respect to the statement the facility conflicts with California standards for desalination, please see master response MR-3, *Responsible vs. Lead Agency & Supplemental vs. Subsequent EIR*, Subpart 4D.2, *2015 Desalination Amendment and 2014 and 2015 ISTAP Reports*, regarding compliance with the Desalination Amendment.
- P25-21 The commenter’s assertions that the desalination facility will interfere with the progress of the Orange County Sanitation District’s wastewater conversion and that desalinated water is expensive are beyond the scope of this Supplemental EIR, as described in master response MR-1, *Scope of the Commission’s Discretionary Action*. The Project that will be considered by the Commission is the proposed Lease Modification Project, as defined in Section 2 of this Supplemental EIR. (See also master responses MR-1, *Scope of the Commission’s Discretionary Action*, and MR-2, *Lease Modification Project Scope*.)
- P25-22 The commenter’s assertion that the desalination facility will result in short-term capital for only a small sector of the populace is beyond the scope of this Supplemental EIR, as described in master response MR-1, *Scope of the Commission’s Discretionary Action*. The Project that will be considered by the Commission is the proposed Lease Modification Project, as defined in Section 2 of this Supplemental EIR. (See also master responses MR-1, *Scope of the Commission’s Discretionary Action*, and MR-2, *Lease Modification Project Scope*.)
- P25-23 Regarding the commenter’s assertion that recent weather changes are a changed circumstance since the certification of the 2010 FSEIR, please see master response MR-2, *Lease Modification Project Scope*, and MR-3, *Responsible Vs. Lead Agency & Supplemental Vs. Subsequent EIR*. The Project that will be considered by the Commission is the proposed Lease Modification Project, as defined in Section 2 of this Supplemental EIR. (See also master responses MR-1, *Scope of the Commission’s Discretionary Action*, and MR-2, *Lease Modification Project Scope*.)

COMMENT SET P25: MODIFIED OPPOSITION FORM LETTER (cont.)

- P25-24 Regarding the commenter’s assertion that water delivery alternatives for water generated by the desalination facility have changed since the certification of the 2010 FSEIR, please see master response MR-2, *Lease Modification Project Scope* and MR-3, *Responsible Vs. Lead Agency & Supplemental Vs. Subsequent EIR*. The Project that will be considered by the Commission is the proposed Lease Modification Project, as defined in Section 2 of this Supplemental EIR. (See also master responses MR-1, *Scope of the Commission’s Discretionary Action*, and MR-2, *Lease Modification Project Scope*.)
- P25-25 See Response to Comment 25-7.