

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

MEETING
STATE LANDS COMMISSION
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

ORIGINAL

STATE CAPITOL
ROOM 444
SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA

WEDNESDAY, MARCH 2, 1988
10:00 A.M.

Nadine J. Parks
Shorthand Reporter

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

MEMBERS PRESENT

Jim Tucker, appearing for Gray Davis, State Controller,
Chairman

Steve Hopcraft, appearing for Leo T. McCarthy,
Lieutenant Governor, Commissioner

La Fenus Stancell, appearing for Jesse R. Huff,
Director of Finance, Commissioner

STAFF PRESENT

Claire T. Dedrick, Executive Officer
Robert Hight, Staff Counsel
Jan Stevens, Deputy Attorney General
Sue Breace, Commission Secretary

I N D E X

	<u>Page</u>
1	
2	
3	1
4	1
5	
6	1
7	
8	
9	
10	2
11	2
12	3
13	3
14	
15	
16	
17	16
18	16
19	
20	17
21	17
22	17
23	18
24	18
25	19

PETERS SHORTHAND REPORTING CORPORATION

3306 BRADSHAW ROAD SUITE 210
 SACRAMENTO CALIFORNIA 95821
 TELEPHONE 826-2818 FAX

	<u>Page</u>
1	INDEX, continued. . .
2	Item 36 19
3	Item 37 20
4	Item 38 (Off Calendar)
5	Item 39 20
6	Item 40 20
7	Item 41 (Off Calendar)
8	Item 42 21
9	Item 43 21
10	Item 44 22
11	Item 45 22
12	Item 46 22
13	Item 47 Statement by Commissioner McCarthy 4
14	WITNESSES:
15	Jerry Meral, Californians for Parks & Wildlife 5
16	Richard Spotts, Defenders of Wildlife 6
17	John Gamper, California Farm Bureau Federation 8
18	Discussion 12
19	Adjournment 22
20	Certificate of Reporter 23
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

PETERS SHORTHAND REPORTING CORPORATION

2536 BRADSHAW ROAD, SUITE 240
SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA 95827
TELEPHONE (916) 362-2745

P R O C E E D I N G S

--000--

1
2
3 CHAIRMAN TUCKER: Okay. Let's begin, please.
4 If anybody would like to speak on any of the items that are
5 on the calendar today, you should fill out one of these
6 forms so we make sure that we don't miss you.

7 There is a consent calendar. If anyone wishes
8 to speak on any of the items on the consent calendar,
9 this is the time for you to come forward; otherwise, we
10 will take up the calendar. You have any objections?

11 COMMISSIONER STANCELL: No.

12 CHAIRMAN TUCKER: We'll approve it.

13 EXECUTIVE OFFICER DEDRICK: Mr. Chairman,
14 some items have been removed from the calendar. And I'm
15 not certain you have the complete list.

16 CHAIRMAN TUCKER: You'll make the record clear
17 I'm sure.

18 Okay. On the regular calendar -- and in winging
19 through this, if I go by you, don't hesitate to interrupt
20 me and indicate that you would like to speak on one of
21 these items. We want to make sure everybody has an
22 opportunity to be heard. Item 16?

23 EXECUTIVE OFFICER DEDRICK: Item 16, Bureau of
24 Land Management. This is a request for approval for
25 authority for the Executive Officer to execute a memorandum.

PETERS SHORTHAND REPORTING CORPORATION

3336 BRADSHAW ROAD, SUITE 240
SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA 95827
TELEPHONE (916) 362-2345

1 of understanding with the Bureau of Land Management for
2 a land exchange of about 26, 27,000 acres of State land
3 for about 3300 acres of BLM land of higher -- the values
4 would come out equal.

5 CHAIRMAN TUCKER: Any questions?

6 COMMISSIONER STANCELL: No questions. I move.

7 CHAIRMAN TUCKER: Okay. That matter is
8 approved.

9 EXECUTIVE OFFICER DEDRICK: Item 17, 18, and 19
10 are off calendar.

11 Item 20 is Lake Minerals Corporation, approval of
12 an amendment and a ten-year renewal of a general lease
13 for minerals operation in the bed of Owens Lake in Inyo
14 County.

15 CHAIRMAN TUCKER: Any questions?

16 COMMISSIONER STANCELL: No questions. I move.

17 CHAIRMAN TUCKER: Okay. That item is approved.

18 EXECUTIVE OFFICER DEDRICK: Item 21, Bush Oil
19 Company. This is an amendment to the State lease on the
20 lease at Rincon Island in Ventura County, PRC No. 145.

21 CHAIRMAN TUCKER: Any questions?

22 COMMISSIONER STANCELL: No questions. Move the
23 item.

24 CHAIRMAN TUCKER: Okay.

25 EXECUTIVE OFFICER DEDRICK: Item 20-- excuse me.

PETERS SHORTHAND REPORTING CORPORATION

3336 BRADSHAW ROAD, SUITE 240
SACRAMENTO CALIFORNIA 95827
TELEPHONE (916) 362-2345

1 Item 22, this is an award of a royalty oil sales contract
2 at South Ellwood Field for the Huntley Corporation, 27.5
3 cents over posted.

4 CHAIRMAN TUCKER: Any questions on that item?

5 COMMISSIONER STANCELL: No questions. Move the
6 item.

7 CHAIRMAN TUCKER: That's approved.

8 EXECUTIVE OFFICER DEDRICK: Item 23, this is
9 an assignment of the remaining portion of PRC 145 from
10 the previous holders to the Bush Oil Company, the current
11 holders of the lease.

12 CHAIRMAN TUCKER: I'm sorry. Were you doing
13 23?

14 EXECUTIVE OFFICER DEDRICK: Yes. This is a
15 request for an assignment of interest from the previous
16 holders of a portion of PRC 145 to the current holder,
17 Bush Oil Company.

18 CHAIRMAN TUCKER: Anybody have any comments
19 on that? Okay. Without objection, that's approved.

20 EXECUTIVE OFFICER DEDRICK: Item 24 --

21 CHAIRMAN TUCKER: Just a second.

22 EXECUTIVE OFFICER DEDRICK: Excuse me, sir.

23 CHAIRMAN TUCKER: Let's skip to the item
24 related to Item 47 relating to the support of the
25 California Wildlife Coastal and Parks Initiative. Do

PETERS SHORTHAND REPORTING CORPORATION

3336 BRADSHAW ROAD, SUITE 240
SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA 95827
TELEPHONE (916) 362-2345

1 you wish to say something on that?

2 COMMISSIONER MC CARTHY: Yes, Mr. Chairman, if
3 I may. Thank you.

4 I would like to move that the State Lands
5 Commission endorse this bond issue, commonly described
6 as CALPAW, and then make some arguments why if I
7 could have a second on that.

8 CHAIRMAN TUCKER: Okay.

9 COMMISSIONER MC CARTHY: This Commission is
10 responsible for managing about four and a half million
11 acres of public lands. This particular bond issue, more
12 than any I've ever seen, a bond issue of this nature for
13 these purposes, would add to the lands that are
14 available for recreation for the millions more of
15 California families that are going to be living here, and
16 would protect a lot of the same kinds of unique resources
17 that this Commission is charged by the Constitution and
18 State statutes to protect.

19 I'm talking about the coastal beach areas,
20 coastal wetlands, riparian habitat, wildlife habitat. We
21 already have a number of current projects of our own very
22 close by -- the American, and Sacramento, and San Joaquin
23 Rivers, where we have special riparian habitat programs
24 underway.

25 And I think it would be an important signal

1 to the people of California that they hear how we feel
2 about this particular bond issue.

3 So, I would ask that we endorse it, Mr. Chairman.

4 CHAIRMAN TUCKER: Do either of the Commissioners
5 want to speak first?

6 COMMISSIONER STANCELL: I'll speak afterward.

7 CHAIRMAN TUCKER: Okay. We have two witnesses
8 pro and con, on this. Mr. Spotts and then after Mr.
9 Spotts, Mr. -- I think it's Lamper (phonetic).

10 MR. MERAL: Mr. Chairman, I'm Jerry Meral. I'm
11 sorry to be slightly late. I just handed in my request
12 to speak on this issue. And I'm campaign director for
13 Californians for Parks and Wildlife, a coalition of over
14 200 business, farming, and conservation groups around the
15 State who are the sponsors of Proposition 70 now, which
16 will be on the June ballot.

17 And I'm simply here to agree with the statements
18 of Lieutenant Governor McCarthy, because the jurisdiction
19 of the State Lands Commission coincides very well with the
20 subject matter of Proposition 70, the California Wildlife
21 Coastal and Park Land Initiative (sic). There is a great
22 deal of money in the initiative for protection of riparian
23 habitat along the very lands that, of course, you manage
24 as a guardian for the State's public trust and our
25 rivers, and along the coast, where, of course, you have

1 equal jurisdiction.

2 And I will say that should you endorse it today,
3 you would join many hundreds of groups, 18 counties, over
4 40 cities, many legislators of both parties, and many
5 constitutional officers, and our two U.S. Senators in
6 support of this measure.

7 And, therefore, we urge your support. And just
8 for your information, one other State Agency has already
9 taken the position on this measure, and that is the
10 California Coastal Commission. And they did endorse this
11 measure last week.

12 CHAIRMAN TUCKER: Mr. Spotts?

13 MR. SPOTTS: Mr. Chairman, Commission members,
14 I'm Richard Spotts, the California representative for
15 Defenders of Wildlife. And we also would like to concur
16 with the Lieutenant Governor's great recommendation.

17 We believe that this initiative bond measure
18 is the single-most important and exciting conservation
19 opportunity in California history. It is also the best
20 hope to protect California's 255 endangered, threatened,
21 and rare species.

22 Both Secretary for Resources Van Vleck and the
23 Department of Fish & Game have currently acknowledged a
24 backlog of over \$190 million in wildlife acquisition
25 projects which they characterize as highest priority.

1 During the budget process, however, we are lucky
2 if we often get between one to three million dollars from
3 traditional funding sources to go after that backlog.

4 Fortunately, this initiative would provide well
5 over \$120 million for endangered species, riparian,
6 forest, wetlands, and other urgently needed additions.
7 And so, again, we believe it's the best hope to
8 effectively protect our endangered species.

9 Of course, in California, the single greatest
10 threat for wildlife and natural diversity is the
11 accelerating loss of habitat. We gain a new
12 Californian every minute. We're, of course, the most
13 populous State. We have more people than Canada, which
14 a lot of people don't recognize.

15 We're the seventh largest economic power. So,
16 clearly, we have an obligation to do a more effective
17 job protecting the wildlife and natural diversity.

18 Finally, we believe that this initiative is good
19 for the economy and the quality of life in California.
20 Tourism is an extremely important industry in California,
21 providing about 35 billion annually in revenue. And,
22 certainly, what we do to protect wildlife and natural
23 diversity will help further attract tourists to the State
24 and enhance the tourism industry.

25 Thank you very much.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

CHAIRMAN TUCKER: Any questions? Okay. Thank you very much. The representative from the Farm Bureau?

MR. GAMPER: Mr. Chairman and members, I apologize for my penmanship. My last name is Gamper, G-a-m-p-e-r.

And I'm Director of Taxation and Governmental Affairs with the California Farm Bureau Federation.

What I'd like to do today is just to ask you to take a minute and look at some of our arguments in opposition to the initiative, to take an objective look at how it was put together and its potential effects on other bonded indebtedness proposals.

This initiative is unlike any previous park bonds proposal ever offered to the voters. First of all, it's the first initiative ever offered; second of all, it's the most expensive ever offered at \$776 million. And since 1926, when the Legislature authorized the use of general obligation bonds for park and recreation purposes, the State's voters have approved one billion six hundred million for parks and wildlife.

And this initiative, in one vote, will increase that 62-year total by 50 percent. With interest, it will cost the State a billion three, it's been estimated.

The second point is that less than 10 percent of the \$776 million is allocated specifically for

PETERS SHORTHAND REPORTING CORPORATION

3336 BRADSHAW ROAD, SUITE 240
SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA 95827
TELEPHONE (916) 362-2346

1 development, refurbishing, and rehabilitation of existing
2 park facilities.

3 This comes at a time when the Auditor General's
4 last report indicated that 84 percent of the State's --
5 State Park System's acreage is without a general plan.
6 The Resources Code says that without a general plan, you
7 can't provide any permanent facilities. So, there is a
8 backlog and need for development. And this bond
9 initiative just simply does not provide the necessary
10 revenue to develop the existing facilities and land that
11 the State already owns.

12 The State and Federal Government in the State
13 already own over 50 percent of the State of California.
14 And in some counties -- in many counties, it's 30 to 40
15 percent and in others -- in many others, it's 70 to 80
16 percent of the property tax base of the county is in
17 public ownership and off the tax rolls. So, we do
18 believe that this initiative will have a significant
19 effect on local government at a time when many counties
20 are distressed financially. They're looking at sales
21 tax overrides, per parcel taxes, which by far are the most
22 inequitable property tax that could ever be levied. But
23 they're looking at methods of raising revenue, and here
24 comes an initiative with approximately 700 million plus
25 that's going to take more land off the private property

PETERS SHORTHAND REPORTING CORPORATION

3336 BRADSHAW ROAD, SUITE 248
SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA 95827
TELEPHONE (916) 362-2345

1 ~~camping~~ rolls.

2 Probably the most troubling aspect of the
3 initiative is the fact that it was put together by
4 special interest groups and really constitutes elitist
5 park planning. What the proponents have done is to go
6 out and tell -- actually sell the park and recreation
7 future of our children and our grandchildren. For \$2500
8 or 5,000 signature they guaranteed a million dollars
9 worth of projects in the bond initiative.

10 Now, if any other group tried to do that with the
11 State's bonded indebtedness, I think the uproar would be
12 tremendous. We have good special interest groups, and
13 then we have, quote-unquote, bad special interest groups
14 in the eyes of the public. But it doesn't make it right,
15 and we believe that -- you know, I've got a letter here
16 from an individual with a check attached,

17 It says, "Here is my pledge -- here is my
18 check for \$2500, provided that a million dollars or more
19 is included for the acquisition of Tecate
20 Cypress Forest in Orange County.

21 And we have another one from Big Sur -- a Big Sur
22 group, I guess they got \$25 million. They weren't
23 required to raise \$2500 per million for the 25 million,
24 that would be in the billions of dollars they'd have to
25 raise. But they did commit to \$62,500. Santa Monica

PETERS SHORTHAND REPORTING CORPORATION

3336 BRADSHAW ROAD, SUITE 240
SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA 95827
TELEPHONE (916) 362-2345

1 Mountains, another example: 50,000 signatures and
2 \$50,000 dropped in \$30,000 in the initiative.

3 We don't think it's -- we think it's bad
4 government. We think that the Legislature should
5 establish the bonded indebtedness priorities for the
6 State. We think the Legislature -- clearly, all park bond
7 proposals are pork barrels to a certain extent. I mean
8 you've got to sell it to the members of the Assembly and
9 the Senate and you want to provide something in each of
10 their districts.

11 But this initiative takes it to new heights. It
12 takes it to the initiative level in actually selling it
13 to individual special interest groups. It avoids the
14 park land professionals that we have in this State. And
15 we think it's bad government, and we think it's wrong.

16 In conclusion, I guess I'd just like to say
17 that, number one, it's based more for special interest
18 groups than the public need, number two, it appropriates
19 probably more than a billion dollars in the long run
20 for park land acquisition when we haven't fully developed
21 existing park facilities.

22 Three, the need for transportation financing,
23 the need for funds for schools and school facilities --
24 we're looking at a billion six, I believe, on the ballot
25 for school facilities, construction and repair of sewer

PETERS SHORTHAND REPORTING CORPORATION

3336 BRADSHAW ROAD, SUITE 24C
SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA 95827
TELEPHONE (916) 362-2345

1 systems, a tremendous backlog of potential health
2 problems in the State, for sewer systems and clean water
3 facilities.

4 We have needs for new and refurbished State
5 Prisons, county jails. I understand the counties are going
6 to try put up another bond proposal on the ballot for
7 county jails in November, all of which seem to be a
8 greater need than the special interest acquisition program.

9 We would encourage you not to take a position
10 on the initiative. I can count votes. I've been around
11 and I realize that the Commission probably will endorse
12 it, but I want to get on the record and highlight our
13 problems.

14 One final point, knowing that tens of millions
15 of dollars will be going to private corporations for
16 acquisition of development rights, this is land that will
17 never be used by the public, probably never be seen. They
18 won't be able to go on the property to look at it, because
19 it's still going to be in private ownership.

20 COMMISSIONER MC CARTHY: What private corporations
21 are you referring to?

22 MR. GAMPER: Well, it's not specific in the
23 initiative, but it just says that X-amount of money is to
24 grant to cities, counties, and nonprofit corporations.

25 COMMISSIONER MC CARTHY: That's nonprofit entities.

12
1 that would acquire open space properties for the public
2 use.

3 MR. GAMPER: Yes.

4 COMMISSIONER MC CARTHY: Okay.

5 MR. GAMPER: For the public use, I guess, as a
6 visual view shed (sic), but certainly not for public
7 use as far as utilizing the property.

8 COMMISSIONER MC CARTHY: I don't think that's
9 accurate.

10 MR. GAMPER: Well, for example, one nonprofit
11 group that might be involved would be, say, a farmland
12 trust. If the City of Davis has a million or two million
13 and care to purchase open space around their community,
14 Santa Cruz has 10 million for a greenbelt around the City
15 of Santa Cruz, if they do purchase development rights,
16 the property is still going to be in the ownership of
17 that farmer. And I don't think he's going to look too
18 kindly to trespassers coming on to look at their -- at
19 his land.

20 COMMISSIONER MC CARTHY: We're talking about
21 existing conservancy groups, groups -- nonprofit groups
22 in that nature, specifically designed to make land
23 available for public usage as recreational areas.

24 So, not for private use, not for private property

25 MR. GAMPER: Well, I don't believe the initiative

1 is specific in that respect of whether or not it's going
2 to be used for recreation purposes. If, for example,
3 a land trust does purchase development rights, that land
4 is still going to be in production, and the farmer isn't
5 going to want anybody on there recreating in his own
6 cornfield or in his cow pasture.

7 So, I just don't think there's going to be any
8 usage of that land. It will be visual usage, certainly,
9 if they drive by or whatever, but I don't think they'll be
10 it won't be in public ownership. The development rights
11 will be, but the land will not.

12 So, those are our arguments, and we'd encourage
13 you to think about it, because it is bad government. It
14 sets a terrible precedent for future bond proposals.

15 There is an alternative in the Legislature,
16 AB 1794 by Jim Costa, pending in the Senate. It provides
17 over -- I believe it provides about three times the amount
18 of money for development at about half the cost of this
19 initiative. Henry Agonia, in a letter to us, dated
20 November 28th of 1987, made it clear that he believes the
21 most appropriate means of providing capital outlay funding
22 for State and local park and recreation agencies is
23 through the traditional legislative redevelopment and
24 voter approved bond act. So, we think that the
25 Legislature should establish the debt load for the State

PETERS SHGRTHAND REPORTING CORPORATION

3336 BRADSHAW ROAD, SUITE 7
SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA 9
TELEPHONE (916) 362-2345

1 and not the -- not use the initiative route.

2 Thank you very much.

3 CHAIRMAN TUCKER: Thank you. Any questions?

4 COMMISSIONER STANCELL: No.

5 CHAIRMAN TUCKER: Okay. Anybody else who
6 wishes to speak on this? Okay. Mr. Stancell?

7 COMMISSIONER STANCELL: Mr. Chairman, I just
8 want to have the record reflect that because the
9 Governor has not taken a position on this initiative,
10 and I would not want a vote one way or the other to be
11 misconstrued by the public reflecting what the Governor
12 may do, so on that basis, I would want the record to show
13 that I shall abstain from voting on this issue in order
14 to avoid any misleading or misconstruing of any action
15 that may be attributed to any vote that I may take today.

16 CHAIRMAN TUCKER: Okay. Thank you.

17 Anything else?

18 COMMISSIONER MC CARTHY: No.

19 CHAIRMAN TUCKER: Okay. I think it can be
20 recorded as two ayes and one abstention on this.

21 COMMISSIONER MC CARTHY: Thank you, Mr.
22 Chairman. You take over.

23 CHAIRMAN TUCKER: Item 24. Is that where we're
24 at?

25 EXECUTIVE OFFICER DEDRICK: Yes. Item 24 is

PETERS SHORTHAND REPORTING CORPORATION

3336 BRADSHAW ROAD, SUITE 240
SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA 95827
TELEPHONE (916) 362-2345

1 off calendar, Mr. Chairman, as are Items 25 and 26.

2 Item 27, Phillips Petroleum Company. This is
3 a refund of \$894,249 in overpayment of royalties on several
4 leases in Orange County.

5 CHAIRMAN TUCKER: Any questions on this item?

6 Okay. That will be approved.

7 Item 28?

8 EXECUTIVE OFFICER DEDRICK: This is a revenue
9 report for the first six months of '87 and '88, and the
10 estimates for 87-88 -- the rest of '88, 87-88, and 88-89.

11 I'd be happy to discuss this if you would
12 like us to, or --

13 CHAIRMAN TUCKER: I think we've been through it.

14 COMMISSIONER STANCELL: I understand it. Didn't
15 like it, but I understand it.

16 CHAIRMAN TUCKER: We're pretty familiar with
17 it.

18 EXECUTIVE OFFICER DEDRICK: I can understand
19 that.

20 (Laughter.)

21 CHAIRMAN TUCKER: We just need to have the price of
22 oil go up. So, if you guys can take care of that--

23 EXECUTIVE OFFICER DEDRICK: We'll work on that
24 problem, Mr. Chairman. Item 29 -- I'm sorry, Did you
25 record a vote? I don't think you need a vote for that.

1 think that's an information item.

2 Item 29, sir, is off calendar.

3 Item 30, City of Long Beach. This is the
4 fourth modification of the 87-88 plan of development and
5 operations and budget for the Wilmington Field. This
6 involves a transfer of funds within the budget. It makes
7 no difference in the bottom line of the budget.

8 CHAIRMAN TUCKER: Okay. Any questions?

9 COMMISSIONER STANCELL: No questions.

10 CHAIRMAN TUCKER: It's approved. 31?

11 EXECUTIVE OFFICER DEDRICK: Item 31 and 32 are
12 approvals -- request for approvals of geophysical survey
13 permits for State-owned tide and submerged lands in the
14 Delta.

15 The environmental work has been done on these.
16 Initial studies were circulated, and negative declarations
17 are ready to be filed.

18 CHAIRMAN TUCKER: Okay.

19 COMMISSIONER STANCELL: No questions.

20 CHAIRMAN TUCKER: And these items are consistent
21 with the guidelines that we followed on the earlier
22 geophysical permits?

23 EXECUTIVE OFFICER DEDRICK: That is correct.

24 COMMISSIONER STANCELL: I move.

25 CHAIRMAN TUCKER: Okay. Those two items are

PETERS SHORTHAND REPORTING CORPORATION

3336 BRADSHAW ROAD, SUITE 240
SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA 95827
TELEPHONE (916) 362-2345

1 approved. 33?

2 EXECUTIVE OFFICER DEDRICK: Item 33, Mega
3 Northwest Corporation is an applicant for maintenance
4 dredging permit in the Klamath River in Del Norte County.

5 CHAIRMAN TUCKER: Any questions? That's
6 approved. 34?

7 EXECUTIVE OFFICER DEDRICK: Item 34, a
8 modification of Platform ~~Emery~~ on the Huntington Beach
9 lease of Shell Western in Orange County. Again, the
10 environmental work has been completed there.

11 CHAIRMAN TUCKER: We've requested on this, this
12 is where the Department of Finance's offices will be?
13 They're building new offices there?

14 (Laughter.)

15 EXECUTIVE OFFICER DEDRICK: I don't remember
16 that in the EIR.

17 COMMISSIONER STANCELL: It's the alternative
18 for the State Controller's offices.

19 (Laughter.)

20 EXECUTIVE OFFICER DEDRICK: They'd have to go
21 out a long way.

22 CHAIRMAN TUCKER: Any questions on this?
23 Okay. That's approved.

24 EXECUTIVE OFFICER DEDRICK: Okay.

25 CHAIRMAN TUCKER: 35?

1 EXECUTIVE OFFICER DEDRICK: Item 35 is NGC
2 Corporation. This is a negotiated subsurface oil and gas
3 lease within the bed of the San Joaquin River. We have to
4 negotiate it because the only available sites for
5 drilling are on the upland.

6 CHAIRMAN TUCKER: Okay. Any questions?

7 COMMISSIONER HOPCRAFT: Is there any known
8 opposition to this?

9 EXECUTIVE OFFICER DEDRICK: No.

10 CHAIRMAN TUCKER: Is there any unknown
11 opposition?

12 (Laughter.)

13 EXECUTIVE OFFICER DEDRICK: If so, they're not
14 out there today that we know of.

15 CHAIRMAN TUCKER: All right. That's approved.
16 Item 36?

17 EXECUTIVE OFFICER DEDRICK: Item 36 is -- a
18 while back, the Commission authorized a settlement on
19 ownership on the Colorado River for Arnold Travis. As
20 part of that settlement, the Commission agreed to
21 consider having a reduction in a cash payment in exchange
22 for a substantial -- for a piece of land. Mr. Travis
23 came back with a proposal for a really good piece of land
24 with some public access available from the nearest
25 highway. And this proposal that's before you is that

1 final settlement.

2 It includes \$80,000 in cash and a piece of land
3 right -- immediately adjacent to the current bed of the
4 Colorado River.

5 CHAIRMAN TUCKER: Okay.

6 COMMISSIONER STANCELL: I move.

7 CHAIRMAN TUCKER: Okay. That's approved,
8 Item 37?

9 EXECUTIVE OFFICER DEDRICK: The City of Los
10 Angeles. This is an approval of a proposed settlement --
11 yes. This is the item we discussed in the executive
12 session this morning, a proposed settlement with -- not
13 Venice Properties, but with Summa Corporation. There was
14 a mistake in the --

15 CHAIRMAN TUCKER: Any questions on that?

16 COMMISSIONER STANCELL: No.

17 CHAIRMAN TUCKER: That's approved. Item 38?

18 EXECUTIVE OFFICER DEDRICK: 38 is off
19 calendar. 39 is the authorization to enter into a boundary
20 agreement with the property owner along the San Joaquin
21 River, which would result in a mutual conveyance of
22 titles and a settlement of the dispute.

23 CHAIRMAN TUCKER: Okay. Any questions on that?
24 Okay. That's approved. Item No. 40?

25 EXECUTIVE OFFICER DEDRICK: Item 40, Dana Point

PETERS SHORTHAND REPORTING CORPORATION

3336 BRADSHAW ROAD, SUITE 240
SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA 95827
TELEPHONE (916) 362-2345

1 Citizens for Incorporation. This is a consideration --
2 we're asking you to consent to incorporation of tide and
3 submerged lands into the City of Dana Point.

4 There was an earlier controversy, which has
5 since been resolved, and the Local Agency Formation
6 Commission has acted in favor of Dana Point.

7 CHAIRMAN TUCKER: Okay. Any questions on
8 that? That's approved. 41?

9 EXECUTIVE OFFICER DEDRICK: 41 is off calendar.

10 CHAIRMAN TUCKER: 42?

11 EXECUTIVE OFFICER DEDRICK: Item 42, this is
12 an approval to solicit bids for tree planting in about
13 three -- in a little over three miles of fire breaks in
14 the High Peak burns from the big Lawder fire last summer.

15 CHAIRMAN TUCKER: Okay. Any questions? All
16 right. That's approved. 43?

17 EXECUTIVE OFFICER DEDRICK: Mr. Chairman, during
18 that fire, a firefighter was killed in that area, Denis
19 Lee Cullins.

20 The Department of Forestry has requested that
21 State Lands dedicate a parcel of land in that area to
22 Denis Lee Cullins. And they would like to put a plaque
23 commemorating that event and that fact in the area.

24 CHAIRMAN TUCKER: Okay. Any questions? Okay.
25 That's approved.

PETERS SHORTHAND REPORTING CORPORATION

3336 BRADSHAW ROAD, SUITE 240
SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA 95827
TELEPHONE (916) 362-2345

1 EXECUTIVE OFFICER DEDRICK: Item 44 is the
2 beginning of our current stage, authorization to contract
3 for removal of public safety hazards. The specific
4 hazards that are in the first phase of removal for
5 \$314,000, which is our budgeted amount for this fiscal
6 year, are in Los Angeles, Santa Barbara, and primarily
7 San Diego Counties.

8 CHAIRMAN TUCKER: Any questions? All right.
9 That's approved.

10 EXECUTIVE OFFICER DEDRICK: Item 45 is an
11 authorization for the Executive Officer to amend the
12 agreement with Forestry to allow recoupment of timber sale
13 preparation costs.

14 CHAIRMAN TUCKER: Any questions?

15 COMMISSIONER STANCELL: No.

16 CHAIRMAN TUCKER: Okay. That's approved,
17 Item 46.

18 EXECUTIVE OFFICER DEDRICK: Item 46 is strictly
19 an information item, Commissioners. It's for your
20 information. We're changing some code file references
21 on some leases that predated the current system.

22 CHAIRMAN TUCKER: Okay. Anybody have anything
23 else to add? Okay. The meeting's adjourned. Thank you.

24 (The meeting was adjourned
25 at 10:55 a.m.)

--o0c--

PETERS SHORTHAND REPORTING CORPORATION

3336 BRADSHAW ROAD, SUITE 240
SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA 95827
TELEPHONE (916) 362-2345

1 CERTIFICATE OF SHORTHAND REPORTER

2

3 I, Nadine J. Parks, a shorthand reporter of the
4 State of California, do hereby certify that I am a
5 disinterested person herein; that the foregoing meeting
6 of the State Lands Commission was reported in shorthand by
7 me, and thereafter transcribed into typewriting.

8 I further certify that I am not of counsel or
9 attorney for any of the parties to said meeting, nor in
10 any way interested in the outcome of said meeting.

11 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have set my hand this
12 17th day of March, 1988.

13
14 
15 Nadine J. Parks
16 Shorthand Reporter

17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

PETERS SHORTHAND REPORTING CORPORATION

3336 BRADSHAW ROAD, SUITE 240
SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA 95827
TELEPHONE (916) 362-2345