

. 1	INDEX	Page
2	Members Present	111
3	Proceedings	1
4	Confirmat on of May 27, 1975 Meeting Minutes	1
5	Report of Executive Officer	1
6	Report of Renewal of Interagency Agreement	8
7	Report of Energy and Mineral Resources - Long Beach	9
8	Report of Pacific Terrace Center	1.3
9	Report of Applicants for Permits (Public Benefit)	13
10	Report of Applicants for Permits	14
11	Report of Renewal of Contracts	15
12	Report of Resurveyed Location of School Land	
13	Report of Sale of Vacant State School Lands	16
14	Report on Major Litigation	17
15	Discussion of Next Meeting	18
16		21
17	Reporter's Certificate	23
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

i.t

 $\dot{0}$

₩ 	
1	MEMBERS PRESENT
3 2	Mr. Kenneth Cory, State Controller, Chairman
3	Mr. Roy M. Bell, Director of Finance
4	Mr. Mervyn M. Dymally, Lieutenant Governor
. 5	
6	MEMBERS ABSENT
7	None
8	STAFF PRESENT
10	
11	Mr. R. S. Golden, Assistant Executive Officer
12	Mr. W. M. Thompson, Manager of Long Beach operations
13	Mr. Robert C. Hight, Staff Counsel
	THE RODELC C. HIGHL, DEALL COURSEL
15	ALSO PRESENT
16	Mr. N. Gregory Taylor, Deputy Attorney General
17	
18	$\mathbf{N}_{\mathrm{ext}}$ is the second s
19 20	
21 22	
23 24	
25	

C

<u>i</u>ii

PROCEEDINGS

1.

12 0

2	~~00o~~
3	CHAIRMAN CORY: The meeting will come to order.
. 4	Note the presence of a quorum for confirmation of
5	the meeting of May 27th, any corrections?
6	COMMISSIONER DYMALLY: No.
7	COMMISSIONER BELL: NO.
8	CHAIRMAN CORY: That shall be the order.
9	For the Executive Office, Mr. Northrop?
10	MR. NORTHROP: Mr. Chairman, members, I have a
11	few informational items to bring to the Commission today,
12	but before I do that, I would like to ask the Commission's
13	assistance.
14	In the first of these is the area of geothermal
15	resources. The staff recognizes and appreciates the
16	Commission's interest in geothermal resources, both as a
17	revenue producer for the State and energy source for the
18	public. The staff has considerable expertise in this area
19	and we would like to develop a really more active program.
20	The State owns over a half a million acres of
21	land or mineral interest in land that offers potential
22	for the production of geothermal resources. Only a small
23	amount of the land has been explored. With your approval,
24	the staff would recommend that the Commission appoint a
25	geothermal subcommittee from the Commission for liaison to

meet with staff and develop a manageable or a management
program of geothermal. So, Mr. Chairman, if that pleases the
Commission, we would be of a great deal of help.

CHAIRMAN CORY: Is that okay?

5 COMMISSIONER BELL: I make a motion that
6 Governor Dymally be chairman of that subcommittee.

7 CHAIRMAN CORY: Without objection, such will be the
8 order. You have been drafted.

MR. NORTHROP: Thank you very kindly gentlemen.
The USGS public hearing is the next informative
item. Last week, we received from the USGS a copy of their
draft Environmental Impact Statement on potential oil and
gas development in Federal offshore waters in Santa Barbara.
The staff is currently reviewing this three-volume document.

USGS has scheduled public hearings in Santa
Barbara for JULY 14 th and 15 th, possibly on the 16th.
Oral comments will be accepted at these hearings. Written
comments will be accepted until July 31st and are to be sent
to the USGS headquarters in Reston, Virginia.

I am bringing this up in order to ask for
approval for the staff to present oral statements. However,
at the present time, I don't have the oral statements
prepared and we will circulate them to the members prior
to that and get your approval before we give them.

In addition, we will be working on written comments

which will be available for your approval at the next
 Commission meeting on July 24th.

CHAIRMAN CORY: Is there a motion? COMMISSIONER DYMALLY: So move. COMMISSIONER BELL: Second.

3

il.

5

б

7

8

2

10

CHAIRMAN CORY: Without objection, such will be the order.

MR. NORTHROP: All right. The next thing, Mr. Chairman, members, I'd like to report on the status of a proposal to the Energy Commission.

The Energy Resources Conservation and Development
Commission has received staff's proposal to provide
assistance in a technical area in an advisory capacity.
This expertise to be provided will be done by State Lands
personnel to evaluate oil and gas potential in the southern
California outer continental shelf which has been proposed
by the Department of Interior for leasing.

18 We have done some preliminary -- the staff has done some preliminary investigative work on the availability 19 20 of data. Three staff members made a trip to Reston, Virginia, 21 which we were told the Energy Commission will handle --22 to cover the expenses -- to develop this data, and we are working on that. As soon as we get a contract written out, 23 24 we will bring it to the Commission for approval. 25 CHAIRMAN CORY: They will reimburse us?

ંદુ

MR. NORTHROP: They will reimburse us. They are meeting tomorrow and it's my understanding that it will come up before the Commission so, staff to staff, we have done 3 some work to try to get it started down the road, because of the very short time -- time is really of the essence. 5 6 CHAIRMAN CORY: Okay.

1

2

14

15

17

19

21

22

23

24

25

MR. NORTHROP: The next item I'd like to bring to 7 your attention is the Standard Oil Company's EIR preparation. 8 In accordance with a previous directive from the Commission, 9 staff solicited proposals for the preparation of an 10 environmental impact report covering the resumption of 11 drilling operations from existing platforms on three leases 12 in the Santa Barbara channel which are operated by Standard. 13

Four firms answered the request for proposal; Dames & Moore, Woodward-Clyde, as consultants, Henningson, Durham & Richardson, Fugro, Incorporated. After a preliminary 16 evaluation of proposals from Dames & Moore, for 50 thousand, Woodward-Clyde for 42, were selected for further negotia-18 tions. The Woodward-Clyde proposal and price included the reproduction and delivery of 200 copies of the draft report. 20 The Dames & Moore price provided only for a single cameraready copy.

Neither negotiated proposal provided for any time or expense for replies to comments to the draft EIR. A separate contract will have to be negotiated. After

1 careful review, the staff recommends that the contract be 2 awarded to Woodward-Clyde Consultants. If you concur with 3. our recommendation, we will notify Standard Oil to submit \$42,000 for deposit on the preparation of the EIR. We will also further advise Standard that any additional amount of funds which may be required on a separate contract for 7 work necessary to reply to the comments must be provided. 8 CHAIRMAN CORY: How does that cost compare to the 9 preliminary request? Somehow something -- 90 thousand 10 dollars ---

11 MR. NORTHROP: Yes, yes. The original request 12 was 90 thousand. Staff, in an attempt to effect -- to get 13 as much value and try to effect some economies, suggested 14 perhaps some of the EIR work had already been done. Rather 15 than starting from square one, they could use a literature 16 review covering the same area. That would considerably 17 reduce the expenses in -- our staff negotiated with them and 18 a jeed to a literature review in areas where we are not --19 where there has not been significant changes in the, in the 20 that part of the environment and those places that are 21 technical. What we are really covering -- the heavy -- the 22 heavy concentration will be areas in which those people who 23 attended the hearings expressed specific concern. Where 24 there were areas where there was no concern expressed by 25 the various local agencies at local hearings, we felt we

ł could do a literature review on those and effect some kind 2 of economy or --

3 COMMISSIONER BELL: You are satisfied then to the 4 extent of the EIR?

5 Yes, I am, if the second step is MR. NORTHROP: 6 taken that when defense of the EIR -- if defense of the 7 EIR has to be made that that additional monies come from the 8 contractor or come from Standard Oil Company.

CHAIRMAN CORY: Okay, all right.

9

21

22

23

25

10 MR. NORTHROP: The next item, Mr. Chairman, 11 members, is well-by-well approvals. As you know, Platform 12 Holly, under authority delegated to the Executive Officer 13 by the Commission on May 27th, I will approve the first 14 new well on Platform Holly today, in the field operated 15 by Atlantic-Richfield. Drilling has not yet commenced. 16 There are 13 wells, as you know, already on this platform 17 and the new redrilling well that I will approve is the 18 first of the 17 and, as this is the initial one, I wanted 19 to report to you that we are satisfied staff-wise that it's 20 there, I felt I should advise you of the first one.

> CHAIRMAN CORY: Okav.

MR. NORTHROP: The next item is on Island Esther. Pursuant to your authorization with regard to resumption 24 of drilling by Standard Oil Company on lease 3095 off shore, with the City of Seal Beach, Standard is resuming on

ĮŞ\$

Island Esther. A new well was completed on June 21st and I
have approved another redrill on that lease. Standard's total
approved development plan involves a drilling of additional
wells to a maximum of 19 and certain redrilling operations to
maintain well production capacity.

6 CHAIRMAN CORY: What's the production on the well that's
7 been completed, the one that was completed on June 21st?
8 MR. NORTHROP: I'm not sure that we've had enough to run
9 out the log of some time. About 200 barrels a day and that's
10 of course new. That's a ball-park figure of \$10 a barrel. The
11 next and final item, Mr. Chairman, is Long Beach Dry Gas.

12 As the members of the Commission have been advised, I 13 have been negotiating with the City of Long Beach on a price 14 determination for dry gas from the Long Beach Tideland. The 15 pity has agreed to make retroactive payments to the State, under 16 the 1967 pricing formula. The first payment, covering 1973-1974 17 palendar years, will be made July 1st in the amount of \$731,000. 18 the retroactive payment for the first six months of 1975 will 19 be paid later in the later part of July, in the approximate 20 amount of three quarters of a million dollars, so the ball-21 bark number is somewhere around a million and a half dollars 22 when you put it all together,

We are also continuing to negotiate to obtain
reasonable wholesale market value for dry gas in the future.
We are negotiating with the city to rectify past underpayment

t to producers in the Los Angeles Basin through additional 2 payments. This completes my report, Mr. Chairman. 3 (Whereupon a brief discussion was had off the 4. record.) 5 CHAIRMAN CORY: Okay. 6 MR. NORTHROP: The next item, Mr. Chairman, 7 item number four on the calendar, has been withdrawn and R a special meeting has been -- of the State Lands 9 Commission is scheduled for Thursday, June 26th, 10:00 a.m., 10 Room 6031, here in Sacramento -- in the State Capitol in Sacramento -- to consider the joint employment of special 11

8

12 counsel by the State Lands Commission and City of Long Beach 13 for the purpose of recovering damages arising from city 14 contractors.

15 CHAIRMAN CORY: Okay. We have the next item which 16 is a contract for renewal of -- the AG?

MR. NORTHROP: Yes. Mr. Hight is going to
address us on that.

MR. HIGHT: Yes. This is an interagency contract
between the Attorney General and the Commission to provide
services for the Long Beach operations and the 95 thousand
dollars is reimbursable out of the Long Beach Tideland fund.
CHAIRMAN CORY: How about -- 't's a total of 95 -MR. HIGHT: It's a total of 95 thousand; 85 which
is for the Long Beach oil, and 10 thousand which is for the

I continuing Alamitos Bay investigation.

2

3

17

18

19

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER DYMALLY: So move.

COMMISSIONER BELL: Second.

CHAIRMAN CORY: Without objection, that will be
the order.

MR. NORTHROP: Mr. Chairman, members, Mr. Thompson
7 will address the next item regarding the Long Beach oil
8 production.

ŝ

MR. THOMPSON: All right. Item 6(A) is an update. 9 Last April, you approved the plan and budget for the Long 10 Beach unit. Included in that were some economic projec-17 tions. This is an update of those projections through the 12 third quarter. Any impact on this has already been covered; 13 as far as State revenues, there has been no change; it 14 15 doesn't require any transfer of funds or any augmenta-16 tion.

COMMISSIONER BELL: No problems.

COMMISSIONER DYMALLY: Okay.

CHAIRMAN CORY: Okay. B?

20 MR. THOMPSON: Section (B) is a similar one on
21 the part of prior development.

COMMISSIONER BELL: No problems.

COMMISSIONER DYMALLY: Okay.

CHAIRMAN CORY: No problems, fine.

MR. THOMPSON: For subsidence expenditures in

Long Beach, the Commission is required to get prior approval. Item 6 (G) is to do some fill work in an area that 2 has subsided about nine feet. This is putting in land fill, 3 a hundred percent subsidence. 4 CHAIRMAN CORY: Nine feet? 5 MR. THOMPSON: Yes. In this particular area. 6 CHAIRMAN CORY: It can't be very big if you fill 7 in nine feet for 40K. 8 MR. THOMPSON: It's a very small area adjdoent to the Q flood control -- in increments so they can bring it up in 10 11 sections. CHAIRMAN CORY: How do you know whether that's 12 subsidence or some other natural erosion or those sorts of 13 14 problems? MR. THOMPSON: Well, this is an area --15 CHAIRMAN CORY: Is there any field inspection that 16 17 is done? Yes, this couldn't beerosion because 18 MR. THOMPSON: it's right next to a flood control and naturally they 19 monitored this subsidence through the area for two years 20 and they have bench marks so they know how much has actually 21 22 gone down. CHAIRMAN CORY: I'm just curious. How do you 23 know that it -- if it's next to a flood control, how do you 24 25 know it couldn't be part of the --

Ð

1	MR. THOMPSON: It's just by a whole regional trend.	
2	MR. NORTHROP: It's in the contour lines throughout	
3	the entire basin that part of the basin and the part	
4	they are building up is on the line that is harmonious with	
5	the rest of the countours, so there is no	
б	MR. TAYLOR: The answer is that the flood control	
7	channel is cement and rock and this is an area behind the	
8	cement and rock area which is not subject to erosion. It's	
9	the land behind a cement rock levee.	
10	CHAIRMAN CORY: If there's no lead.	
11	MR. THOMPSON: No.	
12	(Laughter.)	
13	This also leads into item 6(F). We might as well	
14	start	
15	CHAIRMAN CORY: I'm fine.	
16	(Laughter.)	
17	(Whereupon a brief discussion was held off the	
18	record.)	
19	MR. THOMPSON: What we do is we start in some areas	
20	where we think there is a minimum subsidence; some piece of	
21	formation miles away from the area, because the whole Los	
22	Angeles area in itself is tectonically active and going up and	
23	down. We must find a reference point miles away from the area.	
24	So we are constantly surveying this area and then periodi-	
25	cally every four years, we tie it into a big net which is	

ŧ.

÷,

the whole Los Angeles Basin so, in effect, the whole
 Los Angeles Basin is being monitored for going up and down.
 CHAIRMAN CORY: Okay. I have got no problem with
 (C).

A State of the second second

COMMISSIONER BELL:

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

 $\langle \rangle$

MR. THOMPSON: Item 6(D). Now because of this subsidence, lots of times, drainage patterns for storm water changes, so this requires additional work on a storm drain pumping station. You modify this and bring it up so that, in case of a heavy rain, water can be pumped --

COMMISSIONER BELL: No problems.

COMMISSIONER DYMALLY: Okay.

CHAIRMAN CORY: Okay. No problems; how about (E)? MR. THOMPSON: Now this is where they have to do maintenance work throughout the year because of subsidence damage. This is going to provide funds and will require prior approval. They must have these funds available.

CHAIRMAN CORY: In each of these there is actual on-the-site physical inspection by Lands staff?

MR. THOMPSON: Yes.

CHAIRMAN CORY: Okay, fine.

MR. THOMPSON: After all of these projects are one, an engineering review is done before the work proposed and it's done afterward and the -- is closed and the scope of the work, it's audited -- .

6(F) is also prior approval to go and do these land 1 2 surveying problems for vertical subsidence and also 3 horizontal movement, because as the ground goes down, it also moves sideways. So we are monitoring constantly for geological 5 hazards to make sure the subsidence problem is being eliminated. CHAIRMAN CORY: Okay. 6 7 COMMISSIONER BELL: No. COMMISSIONER DYMALLY: Okay, so move. 8 CHAIRMAN CORY: Okay. Approved as presented. 9 MR. NORTHROP: Mr. Chairman, I have number 7. On 10 September 12, 1974, the State Lands Commission approved a 11 project in Long Beach known as Pacific Terrace. Lacking in 12 the original approval that date, the parking lots in the area 13 were not defined as such, and this is merely to inform you 14 that the status report on the parking lots are attached and 15 they are a part of the Pacific Terrice and serve only 16 17 that area, and this is to accomplish that CHAIRMAN CORY: Okay? No action is required? 18 19 MR. NORTHROP: No action is required. 20 CHAIRMAN CORY: 8(A). MR. NORTHROP: Mr. Chairman, the City of Larkspur 21 22 is asking for a Fublic Agency Permit to construct a bicycle 23 path for public use. 24 Without; objection --CHAIRMAN CORY: 25 LOMMISSIONER DYMALLY: Okay. So move.

COMMISSIONER BELL: Okay. So move.

14

CHAIRMAN CORY: -- it's approved.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

19

20

21

22

25

MR. NORTHROP: Mr. Chairman, the Department of Navigation and Ocean Development is asking for an agency permit to install seven mooring buoys in an area adjacent to a park. It's for public use; first come first served, so those people who arrive at the park by boat will have a place to moon temporarily while they are there.

CHAIRMAN CORY: Questions?

COMMISSIONER BELL: No problems.

COMMISSIONER DYMALLY: Okay. So move. CHAIRMAN CORY: Without objection, it will be approved.

MR. NORTHROP: Mr. Chairman, members, the City of Sacramento is asking for a Public Agency Permit to construct a -- or do some construction and repair work for a boat launching ramp here in Sacramunto out near Pocket Road.

CHAIRMAN CORY: Questions?

COMMISSIONER BELL: No problems.

COMMISSIONER DYMALLY: Okay.

23 CHAIRMAN CORY: Without objections, it will be approved, okay.

MR. NORTHROP: Mr. Chairman, members, item 9(A), Donald and Sara Sweet have asked for a Use Permit and we are using a new 5-year term as suggested -- as recommended
previously by the Commission that it's a recreational -it's a buoy for mooring and that the consideration is a
hundred dollars a year on that.

15

COMMISSIONER BELL: Not bad.

D

15

17

20

25

97

(Thereupon a short discussion was held off the record.)

8 CHAIRMAN CORY: Without objection, that item will
9 be approved as presented.

MR. NORTHROP: Mr. Chairman, members, in line with the policy of the Commission to cancel permits that have not been used, we are asking the Commission terminate the noncommercial lease of Mr. -- Dr. and Mrs. Gray on the area -- in vange County, at Hungington Harbour, which construction has to begun in a timely manner, so we are asking for for ancellation.

CHAIRMAN CORY: Cancellation for the permit --

18 MR. NORTHROP: They have not met the requirements.
19 It's the policy we have adopted on other permits.

COMMISSIONER BELL: It's a standard policy?

23 CHAIRMAN CORY: Without objection, that shall be 24 the order.

MR. NORTHROP: Mr. Chairman, members, we are asking

1 for the authorization for renewal with the law firm of 2 Lobel, Novins & Lament to provide legal consulting services 3 in Washington D.C. for a one-year period at a cost not to 4 exceed \$40,000. Part of this money -- we used it in 5 figuring the Energy Commission budget.

16

6 COMMISSIONER BELL: This ties in with the Energy 7 Commission?

8 MR. NORTHROP: Yes. They will be doing some of
9 the Energy Commission work that we are doing, so part of that
10 money -- not all of it, but a portion of it was --

CHAIRMAN CORY: Reimbursed by them.

MR. NORTHROP: Right.

11

12

20

2.2

24

25

13 CHAIRMAN CORY: Any objection? Without objection14 the contract will be renewed as presented.

MR. NORTHROP: Mr. Chairman, members, the request authorization for the acceptance of a resurveyed location; apparently survey was out in this, this school land section in San Bernardino County, and this is merely to tidy up the records and give it to --

CHAIRMAN CORY: Is action required by us?

21 MR. NORTHROP: Yes.

COMMISSIONER DYMALLY: So move,

23 COMMISSIONER BELL: Second.

CHAIRMAN CORY: Without objection such will be the order. MR. NORTHROP: The next item -- CHAIRMAN CORY: On those permits up at Tahoe,
Roy needs to pick up a hundred and 15 million; you need to
find another hundred and 14 --

17

(Laughter.)

4

5

6

7

8

19

20

21

25

MR. NORTHROP: Another 14 million; I see Long Beach representatives are in the audience. Maybe that's a good place to start.

(Laughter.)

9 (Thereupon a brief discussion was held off the 10 record.)

11 MR. NORTHROP: The next item is the sale of vacant school land. Highway 127 is presently on this land. 12 13 It was the Division of Highways -- Cal-Transit had a permit 14 earlier and the highway is now constructed and the total --15 appraised price is \$3,770.57. Staff recommends that --16 In fact, we don't have much choice. The road is there. 17 COMMISSIONER BELL; Do we normally sell mineral 18 rights?

MR. NORTHROP: We normally retain mineral rights in those positions.

MR. TAYLOR: We still have jurisdiction.

22 MR, NORTHROP: We still have jurisdiction in that
 23 area. It's the highway part that they are -- the surface
 24 rights --

CHAIRMAN CORY: We're hanging onto all the mineral

1 rights. 2 It's just the surface rights. MR. NORTHROP: 3 COMMISSIONER BELL: No problems. COMMISSIONER DYMALLY: No problems. 5 CHAIRMAN CORY: Without objection, it will be 6 approved. 7 MR. NORTHROP: Mr. Chairman, Mr. Bob Hight will 8 present the next three items. 9 MR. HIGHT: Mr. Chairman, members. Item (A) is 10 a Federal condemnation action and as a matter of policy, the 11 Federal government serves the Commission and we have 12 determined that there is no State Lands Commission interest 13 in this condemnation action. 14 COMMISSIONER BELL: So it's a disclaimer of interest? 15 MR. HIGHT: Right. Item No. (B) --16 COMMISSIONER BELL: No problem. 17 COMMISSIONER DYMALLY: Okay. 18 CHAIRMAN CORY: Right. 19 MR. HIGHT: Item No. (B) is the Federal government's 20 condemnation for the Port Chicago area and we are entering 21 into a stipulation that the Federal government is not 22 condemning any of our land in this area and we are then 23 entering into a disclaimer to carry out that stipulation. 24 CHAIRMAN CORY: It's just a waive from all of our 25 property?

MR. HIGHT: Right.

: المستذر

1

2

9

10

11

CHAIRMAN CORY: Okay.

MR. HIGHT: Number (C) is -- the City of Long
Beach has conducted an acquisition for park purposes and
this is one of the several parcels that the City intends
to buy for this purpose, and the City is spending
\$73,800 which has been approved -- the appraisal has been
approved by the Commission.

COMMISSIONER BELL: Okay.

CCMMISSIONER DYMALLY: Okay.

CHATRMAN CORY: Approved.

MR. NORTHROP: Mr. Chairman, Commissioners, the status of several suits that the Attorney General is handling will be discussed by Mr. Taylor.

15 MR. TAYLOR: I think there's just one. In the case of Cory vs, The State Lands Commission, the Court 16 denied the motion for summary judgments of both parties. 17 That means that the Court has ruled that they are triable 18 issues of fact. It also means that we will go on for a hearing 19 on the temporary restraining order which was issued against 20 the Commission in consideration of revoking the lease to 21 Exxon for their failure to proceed with development, which 22 will be held on July the 10th, and trial will follow shortly 23 after that date, although I don't think that a specific 24 trial date has been scheduled. Our office and Mr. Newman 25

will file a motion to compel further answers to interrogatories
 which were served on Exxon and to which we feel they have
 given us insufficient answers.

Now that motion will be scheduled at some time.
I think it's significant, although both motions for summary
judgment were denied, it's an intricate part of the Court's
decision that there is a triable issue. I think from Exxon's
standpoint, they count very heavily upon winning the summary
judgment from their standpoint.

CHAIRMAN CORY: What is the timeframe likely to be on the entire litigation and resolution of

12 the --

MR. TAYLOR: It could extend to the end of the 13 year, although I expect that the trial would be conducted 14 before September. The question is how fast the Court rules. 15 The Court has had the motions for summary judgment under 16 submission for several months and it/would be anticipated 17 that the Court, after hearing the evidence, will probably 18 take the matter under submission and keep it under submission 19 20 for several months.

21 MR. NORTHROP: Question: Are we still under a
22 restraining order to discuss the lease cancellation with
23 the Commission or is that -- did that have --

24 MR. TAYLOR: That's going to be heard on25 July the 10th whether the Commission can consider cancelling

the lease. That restraining order is going to be heard on July the 10th and the Court will rule shortly after that 2 or depending upon when the Court decides to rule. The matter 3 will be argued on July the 10th. I don't -- there will be 4 5 presentation of considerable expert testimony at the trial // on both sides and, therefore, there will be some time for (6 7 the Court to evaluate that testimony.

I would think that at the earliest optimistic time. 8 that the matter will be over -- the trial will be sometime 9 before the end of the year. And then if there are appeals 10 subsequent to that, it would be after that. 11

CHAIRMAN CORY: If the motion on the temporary 12 13 restraining order -- if that were appealed -- whichever way it went -- would that tend to conflict with the length 14 15 of time?

16 I believe that's an MR. TAYLOR: NO. 17 independent matter, but I will check to make sure, but I 18 believe that matter will proceed separately.

19 MR. NORTHROP: Mr. Chairman, that completes the 20 calendar. The confirmation of the next meeting date; it will be here in Sacramento on July 24th.

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN CORY: There is a meeting ---

That's right, there is a meeting --MR. NORTHROP: the next regular meeting will be on July the 24th, and there will be a special meeting on Thursday.

1 CHAIRMAN CORY: Right, 2 COMMISSIONER DYMALLY: July 24th. 3 MR. NORTHROP: Right. 4 COMMISSIONER DYMALLY: What time Thursday? 5 MR. MORTHROP: 10:00 a.m., Thursday the 26th, the 6 next meeting. 7 CHAIRMAN CORY: The 26th of June, at 10:00 a.m. 8 MR. NORTHROP: Room 6031. 9 COMMISSIONER DYMALLY: July 27th? 10 MR. NORTHROP: The 24th. CHAIRMAN CORY: June. Which one? ŦŦ. COMMISSIONER DYMALLY! The July meeting. 12 13 MR. NORTHROP: On the 24th in July. 14 CHAIRMAN CORY: That one is --15 MR. NORTHROP: Here in Sacramento. 16 CHAIRMAN CORY: If there is no further business to 17 come before the Commission, the meeting is adjourned. 18 (Thereupon the meeting of the State Lands 19 Commission was adjourned.) 20 21 22 23 24 25

22

C)

State of California)

2 County of Sacramento)

11

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I, ROBERT L. GOLDEN, JR., a Notary Public in and
for the County of Sacramento, State of California, duly
appointed and commissioned to administer of the control of the contro

SS.

10

That I am a disinterested person herein; that
the foregoing State Lands Commission Meeting was reported
in shorthand by me, Robert L. Golden, Jr., a qualified
shorthand reporter of the State of California, and

12 I further certify that I am not of counsel or 13 attorney for any of the parties to said meeting, nor in 14 any way interested in the outcome of said meeting.

thereafter transcribed into typewriting.

OFFICIAL STAL ROBERT L. GOLDEN, JR.

NOTARY PUBLIC - CALIFORNIA I

SACRAMENTO COUNTY My comm. expires MAR 12, 1973

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand
and affixed my seal of office this loth day of July, 1975.

Robert L. Golden, Jr. / Notary Public in and for the County of Sacramento, State of California

23 .