

1	MEETING OF
2	STATE LANDS COMMISSION
3	October 27, 1966 Los Angeles, California
5	
6	PARTICIPANTS:
7	THE STATE LANDS COMMISSION:
8	Hon. Alan Cranston, Controller, Chairman
9	Hon. Glenn M. Anderson, Lieutenant Governor
10	Hon. Hale Champion, Director of Finance, absent, represented by:
11	
12	Mr.John P. Sheehan, Chief Deputy Director of Finance
13	
- 14	Mr. F. J. Hortig, Executive Officer
15	
16	
17	OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL:
18	Mr. Jay L. Shavelson, Assistant Attorney General
19	Mr. N. Gregory Taylor, Deputy Attorney General
20	
21	***
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

i

1			INDE	X			
2		т. н.	(In accordance with G	alendar	Summary)	· .	
3	IT	EM C		ITEM ON CALENDAR	PAGE OF CALENDAR	PAGE OF TRANSCR	<u>I P</u>
4	1	Cal	l to order				-
5 6	2		MITS, EASEMENTS, RIGHTS- WAY, NO FEE:				
7		(a)	Lake County Bd. of Sup.	39	1	· 1	
8		(b)	Moss Landing Harbor Dist.	44	2	1	
9		(c)	Sacramento Municipal Utility District	33	3	1	
10		(d)	San Diego Unified Port Dis	t, 38	4	2	
11		(e)	County of Santa Clara	14	6	2	-
12		(f)	County of Solano	27	8	2	
13 14	3		HITS EASEMENTS, LEASES, HTS-OF-WAY, FEE:				-
15		(a)	Holly Sugar Corp.	13	10	3	
16	÷	(b)	John S. Smith, dba Tahoe Cedars Lodge	26	11	3	
17		(c)	George W. Ladd	1	12	3	
18		(d)	Oil Terminals Company	23	13	3	
19		(e)	Santa Catalina Isl. Co.	32	14	3	
20		(f)	Pacific Gas & Elec. Co.	2	21	4	
21		(g)	Pacific Gas & Elec. Co.	22	22	4	
22 23		(h)	Southern Calif. Gas Co. and Southern Counties Gas Co.	d 24	23	4	
23 24	, n	(1)	Southern Calif. Gas Co. and Southern Counties Gas Co.	d 25	27	- 4	
25							
26		-	contina	ued		- 1	

1 2			INDE (In accordance with continu	Calendar	Summary)	
3	<u>IT</u>	EM CI		ITEM ON CALENDAR		PAGE OF TRANSCRIE
4 5	3	PERM RIGH	IITS, EASEMENTS, LEASES, ITS-OF-WAY, FEE: (cont'd)			
8 7		(j)	Southern Calif. Edison Co. (1) (2) (3)	15 16 17	29 31 33	5 5 5 5 5 5
8 9			(4) (5) (6)	18 19 20	35 37 39	5 5 5
10 11		(k)	Standard Oil Co. of Calif. Newhall Land & Farming Co. Atlantic Oil Company		41	S
11	4		& GAS AND MINERAL LEASES PERMITS:	-		
13 14			Humble Oil & Refining Co.	40	42	5
15		(b)	Humble Oil & Refining Co. Standard Oil Co. of Calif. & Sunray DX Oil Company	45	43	5
16		(c)	Shell Oil Company	8	44	6
17 18			Merlyn Oil Company	42	46	6
19			Capitol Oil Corp. and Bruce D. Brooks	6 .	47	6
20	 -		Phillips Petroleum Co. Standard Oil Co. of Calif.	41 36	48 49	6
21 22		.0/	Standard Oil Co. of Calif.		50	7
23		(i)	Pittsburgh Plate Glass Co.	43	51	7
24	5		COF LONG BEACH: Subsidence costs for rais-			
25 26		(4)	ing W strip and Seaside Blvd. on Terminal Isl., etc		54	8
~	-		continu			

iii

1

.

1 2			(In accordance	INDEX e with Ca continued	lendar	Summary)	
2				IT	EM ON	PAGE OF	PAGE OF TRANSCRIP
4	IT		ASSIFICATION	· · · ·	LENUAK	CALENDAR	INANJUAIT
	5	CITY	OF LONG BEACH cont	'd			
5 6		(b)	Cooperative agreeme water injection Lon Unit and Standard	nt for g Beach	31	56	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
7 8		(c)	Interim price for t dry gas rec'd by Mu Gas Dept, City Long	nicipal	10	58	8
9 10		(d)	Bottom-hole locatio Well J-115 Long Bea	n of ch Unit	11	59	8
11		(e)	1967 Plan of Develo Operations and Budg Beach Unit	opment & get, Long	3	60	8
12 13	6	LAN	D SALES				
14		(a)	Frank V. Amaral, S.	Bern.Ćo.	5	63	9
15		(b)	County of Riverside		9	65	9
16		(c)	P.C.Fredericksen, 1	Lassen Co.	29	66	9
17		(d)	Rejection Keith W. Roger Alderman, Tud	and Diumne Co.	. 30	68	9
18	7	PRO	POSED ANNEXATION - (Sand City, Monterey	City of	35	70	10
19			• •				
20	8		ROVAL OF MAPS & BOU	NVARI AGRI			10
21		•	City of Oceanside		21	71	
22		•••	Huntington Pacific		7	72	11
23	9	ADM	INISTRATION - Mauri Schott & Helen E. Mr. & Mrs. H.F. Mo	Schott & ore -	34 ar 12	nd 80	11
24 25	10	INF	Termination Lease etc. ORMATIVE - Litigati		46	82	11
26	11	-	T MEETING		inued		12

iv

1	INDEX
2	SUPPLEMENTAL CALENDAR: ITEM ON PAGE OF PAGE OF CALENDAR CALENDAR TRANSCRIPT
3 4	12. Report on oil slick, Long Beach-Huntington Beach area 49 84 12
5 6	13 Proposal of City of Long Beach to expend tideland oil revenue for modification Navy Landing 47 86 21
7 8	14 Authorization to execute agree- ment with City Long Beach settling number of matters relating to Long Beach Tide- lands Trust 48 88 22
9	lands Trust48882215 Approval of November 1966
10 11	drilling schedule from Island A, Long Beach Unit 50 89 27
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19 20	
-0	
21 22	$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}} \frac{d^{n+1}}{dt} e^{-\frac{1}{2}t} e^{-\frac{1}{2}t} \frac{\partial t}{\partial t} e^{-\frac{1}{2}t} $
23	
24	
25	
26	

V

7

 \hat{O}_{i}

1		(In ac	<u>I N</u> cordanc e wi	DEX th item num	ibers)		. •
2 3	ITEM ON CALENDAR	PAGE OF CALENDAR	PAGE O₽ TRANSCRIPT	ITEM ON CALENDAR	PAGE OF CALENDAR	PAGE OF TRANSCRIP	T
4	1	12 21	3 4	43 44	51	7	1
5	2 3 4 5 6	60 41	8 5 9 6	45 46	43 82	6 11	
6		63 47			PLEMENTAL		
7	7 8	72 44	11 6				
8	9 10	65 58	9 8	47 48	86 88	21 22	
9	11 12	59 80	8 11	49 50	84 83	12 27	
.0	13 14	10 6					
.1	15 16	29 31	3 2 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	NEX	T MEETING	12	
.2	17 18	33	5	- • •		1	
.3	19 20	37 39	5				
.4	20 21 22	71	10				
5	23	13	3				
6	24 25	23 27					
L7	26 27	11 8	4 3 2 8				
- ' 18-	28 29	54 66	9			а 1 — 1 Х	
	30 31	68 56	9				
.9	1 32 *	14	3				
50	33 34 35 36	3 80 70 49 50 4	11 11 10				
21	36	49	10 7 7				
22	37 38 39 40 41 42	4	2				
23	40	42 48 46	5				
24	41	46	6				
25							
26							

vi

ij

<u>ි</u>

OCTOBER 27, 1966 - 10:08 A.M.

1

2

3		MR. CRANSTO							
4	order.	Lieutenant Go	overnor a	Anderson	has	sent	word	he	will
5	be with	us almost at	once.						

6 Item Classification 2 - Permits, easements, and 7 rights-of-way to be granted to public and other agencies at 8 no cost, pursuant to statutes.

9 Applicant (a) Lake County Board of Supervisors --10 Permit to dredge approximately 1300 cubic yards of material 11 from a portion of the bed of Clear Lake, Lake County, to 12 provide an entrance channel for a proposed harbor; spoils to 13 be deposited upon adjacent county park lands.

(b) Moss Landing Harbor District -- Permit to perform maintenance dredging by removing approximately 2,000
cubic yards of material from bed of Moss Landing Harbor in
Monterey County. Material to be deposited on a low-lying
area adjacent to Moro Cojo Slough in an effort to reclaim
the area.

(c) Sacramento Municipal Utility District -49-year life-of-structure permit, 0.027 acres sovereign land
in bed of the American River, Sacramento County, approximately seven miles easterly of City of Sacramento, to construct a 12-kv power line to service the Arcade Water District; underground line not feasible due to prevalence of
washouts in the area in periods of heavy weather.

(d) San Diego Unified Port District -- Permit to 1 dredge approximately 640,000 cubic yards of material from 2 tide and submerged lands in bed of San Diego Bay, San Diego 3 County, to facilitate construction of needed marinas and 4 other small-boat anchorages; dredged material to be deposited 5 in two different areas under jurisdiction of the San Diego 6 Port District which are within an area granted to the City 7 of San Diego. 8

(e) County of Santa Clara -- 15-year lease, 0.109 9 acre ungranted sovereign lands in Steamboat Slough within the 10 City of Alviso, Santa Clara County (for use in connection 11 with the operation of a County-owned small-boat marina). 12 with the understanding that no fee or rental will be charged 13 while the land is used for public parking, but that if the 14 land is to be used for any other purpose or a fee is to be 15 charged, the County must obtain prior consent of the Commis-18 sion and rental will be charged. 17

(f) County of Solano -- 49-year right-of-way easement, 1.35 acres tide and submerged lands in Cache Slough,
Solano County, for the construction and proper maintenance
of a bridge for public use.

MR. SHEEHAN: I'll so move.

22

23 MR. CRANSTON: Approval is moved, seconded, and 24 sc ordered.

25 Item Classification 3 -- Permits, easements, leases 26 and rights-of-way issued pursuant to statutes and established

rental policies of the Commission:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

25

26

(a) Holly Sugar Corporation -- Seven minor-structure permits, four to expire November 20, 1969, three to expire December 31, 1966, in Cache, Lindsey, Haas, and Steamboat Sloughs, Solano County; in the Mokelumne River, San Joaquin County; and in the Sacramento River, Sacramento County. for a fee of \$100 each (for the operation and proper maintenance of beet-receiving stations.) 8

(b) John S. Smith, d.b.a. Tahoe Cedars Lodge --9. Five-year commercial minor-structure permit, 0.021 acre sub-10 merged land in Lake Tahoe, Placer County, for a fee of \$100 11 (for proper maintenance of an existing er). 12

(c) George W. Ladd -- One-year renewal of Lease 13 P.R.C. 400.1, 2.34 acres tide and submerged lands in old 14 channel of San Joaquin River, San Joaquin County, at total 15 rental of \$280.80 (for floating boat sheds and marine ways). 16 Area granted to City of Stockton in 1965, but grant will not 17 be effective until recordation of a survey, which will be com-18 pleted in 1967. 19

(d) Oil Terminals Company -- Ten-year replacement 20 lease, 0.08 acre sovereign land in Alviso Slough, City of 21 Alviso, Santa Clara County, at annual rental of \$714 (for 22 continued operation of an existing petroleum receiving dock 23 in conjunction with a petroleum tank farm). 24

(e) Santa Catalina Island Company -- 15-year lease of 29 non-contiguous parcels of tide and submerged lands at

Santa Catalina Island, Los Angeles County, at annual rental 1 of \$19,217.86, replacing Lease P.R.C. 185.1, covering 17 2 parcels at annual rental of \$1,643.40 which was issued to 3 cover installation of mooring buoys on various coves to pro-4 vide anchorage for small craft. 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

(f) Pacific Gas and Electric Company -- 15-year easement, 1.17 acre ungranted tide and submerged land in Mad River Slough three miles west of Arcata, Humboldt County, at annual rental of \$77.45, for overhead power transmission line to supply electricity for two pulp mills, a plywood mill. a coast guard station, and the towns of Manila and Samoa. (Installation of line has been completed). 12

(g) Pacific Gas and Electric Company -- 15-year 13 lease, 0.115-acre strip of land 25 feet wide extending across 14 the Tuolumne River, Stanislaus County (to construct and main-15 tain a buried 12" gas line across the Tuolumne River near 16 Modesto), at annual rental of \$30.45. 17

(h) Southern California Gas Company and Southern 18 Counties Gas Company -- Four gas-line right-of-way easements 19 over State-owned and accreted lands of the Colorado River, 20 Riverside County, totaling 8.537 acres, at total annual 21 rental of \$460.09. (No structures of any kind will be lo-22 cated within the rights-of-way, with certain critical areas 23 to be fenced and marked). 24

(i) Southern California Gas Company and Southern 25 Counties Gas Company -- 15-year lease, 12.19 acres State 26

school lands, Riverside County, subject to five access easements for road purposes, at annual rental of \$161.53 (pipeline easement).

1

2

3

17

(i) Southern California Edison Company -- Six 15-4 year easements. State school land, San Bernardino County. for 5 overhead wire crossings (for which underground systems are 6 not technically feasible at present), as follows: (1) 41.67 7 acres, total rental \$1,303.80; (2) 20.5 acres, total rental 8 \$732.90; (3) 41.1 acres, total rental \$1,285.95; (4) 47.1 9 acres, total rental \$1,473.60; (5) 26.6 acres, total rental 10 \$832.20; (6) 30.87 acres, total rental \$964.20. 11

(k) Standard Oil Company of California, The Newhall
Land and Farming Company, and Atlantic Oil Company -- Acceptance of quitclaim, effective 7/18/66, of leasehold interest
in State Lease P.R.C. 3361.1, covering 13.77 acres of sovereign land in Whiskey Slough, San Joaquin County.

MR. SHEEHAN: I will move the approval.

18 18 19 MR. CRANSTON: Approval moved and seconded; and if 19 there is no discussion, it is so ordered.

Item Classification 4 -- Oil-and-gas and mineral leases and permits issued pursuant to statutes and established policies of the Commission:

(a) Humble Oil & Refining Company -- Authorization
for Executive Officer to approve Dry Gas Sales Agreement:
dated Dec. 27, 1965, between applicant and Pacific Lighting
Service and Supply Company, as basis for sale and delivery of

Humble's share of dry gas marketed from State 011 & Gas 1 Leases P.R.C.s 91.1, 186.1, 919.1, 920.1, 1824.1, 2207.1, 2 and E. 400.1 and E. 401.1, Santa Barbara County. 3 (b) Humble Oil & Refining Company, Standard Oil Con-4 penv of California, and Sunray DX 011 Company -- Acceptance 5 of quitclaim and termination of Compensatory Royalty Agree-6 ment P.R.C. 1559.1. covering State lands in the bed of the 7 Sacramento River in the Llano Seco area, Glenn and Butte 8 counties. 9 (c) Shell Oil Company -- Execution of Compensatory 10 Royalty Agreement covering lands in the Gill Ranch Gas Field, 11 to protect the State's interest in portions of the bed of the 12 San Joaquin River and associated water courses, Maders and 13 Fresno counties. 14 (d) Morlyn Oil Company - Approval of assignment of 15 production payment in State Oil and Gas Lease P.R.C. 429.1, 16 Ventura County, to Cambrian Oil Co. and Tina Minerals Corp. 17 (e) Capitol Oil Corporation and Bruce D. Brooks --13 Deferment of drilling requirements, State Oil & Gas Lease 19 P.R.C. 3501.1. Grey Lodge Waterfowl Management Area, Butte 20 County, through June 14, 1967. (Fish & Game Commission has 21 indicated no drilling operations can be conducted until late 22 May 1967 due to flooding and incoming waterfowl flights.) 23 (f) Phillips Petroleum Company -- Deferment of 24 drilling requirements, State Oil & Gas Lease P.R.C. 2207.1, 25

Santa Barbara County, through June 21, 1967. (Regional

26

geophysical data from area adjoining the lease recently obtained and is currently being studied in conjunction with the latest geological information from within the lease area and the adjoining areas.)

(g) Standard Oil Company of California -- Deferment of drilling requirements, State Oil & Gas Lease, P.R.C. 1824.1, Santa Barbara County, through June 9, 1967 (to allow sufficient time to obtain suitable drilling equipment).

(h) Standard Oil Company of California -- Defermend of drilling requirements, State Oil & Gas Lease P.R.C.2894.1. Santa Barbara County, through June 27, 1967 (to continue combined geological and engineering study of the field that may lead to additional drilling of exploratory wells to other prospective zones on the lease).

(i) Authorization for Executive Officer to issue a 15 mineral extraction lease to the Pittsburgh Plate Glass Com-16 pany on 3,531.20 acres sovereign lands in Owens Lake, Inyo 17 County, at annual rental of \$2.50 per acre and minimum royal-18 ty rate of 50c per ton or 2% of the average bulk value re-19 ceived f.o.b. the plant at Owens Lake, whichever is greater. 20 (Lieutenant Governor Anderson came into 21 meeting at this point.) 22

MR. SHEEHAN: I'll move approval.

23 MR. CRANSTON: Approval is moved, seconded; without 24 discussion, so ordered.

25 Item Classification 5 -- City of Long Beach (Pursu-26 ant to Chapter 29/56, 1st. E.S., and Chapter 138/64, 1st E.S.):

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

(a) Approval of estimated subproject expenditures from 10/27/66 to termination of \$45,000, with \$38,700 (86%) estimated as subsidence costs, for raising of "W" Strip and Seaside Boulevard on Terminal Island; and raising and relocating water facilities (2nd phase).

/1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

(b) Approve "Cooperative Agreement for Water-Injection Operations Long Beach Unit and Standard," between the City of Long Beach acting both in its capacity as unit operator of the Long Beach Unit and in its municipal capacity, and the Standard Oil Company of California.

(c) Approve an interim price of \$0.2750 per mcf to
be paid the State for tideland dry gas received by the Municipal Gas Department of the City of Long Beach during the
period August 1965 through December 1966, pending final price
determination and settlement.

(d) Approve the action by the Executive Officer con
senting to a modification of the 1966 Plan of Development and
Operations, Long Beach Unit, changing the bottom-hole location of Well J-115 to the revised coordinates set forth in
the Long Beach City Manager's letter dated September 22,1966.

(e) Agree with the City to waive for the present
time the specification of (a) the surface and bottom locations of the wells to be drilled during 1967; (b) the drilling schedule of the wells to be drilled during 1967; (c) the
range of rates of production for the production of wells to
be drilled during 1967; and (d) the range of rates and

1	pressures of injection for the injection wells to be drilled
2	during 1967; and approve the 1967 Plan of Development and
3	Operations and Budget, Long Beach Unit, adopted by the City
4	Council of the City of Long Beach on September 20, 1966.
5	MR. SHEEHAN: I will so move.
6	GOV. ANDERSON: Second.
7	MR. CRANSTON: Approval is moved, seconded; without
8	discussion, so ordered.
9	Item Classification 6 Land Sales (Cleared with
10	all State agencies having a land acquisition program.):
11	(a) Authorize the sale to Frank V. Amaral of 40
12	acres State school land in San Bernardino County at \$10,640
13	(appraised value \$10,000).
14	(b) Authorize the sale to the County of Riverside,
15	without advertising, of 640 acres State school land in River-
16	side County at \$19,200, the appraised price.
17	(c) (1) Find that Lots 3 and 4 of Section 31, T.
18	36 N., R. 16 E., M.D.M., containing 78.64 acres in Lassen
19	County, are or have been occupied by P. C. Fredericksen or
20	his predecessors since prior to 1927, thereby bringing the
21	sale of the lands within the provisions of Section 2303(d)
22	of Title 2, Division 3, of the California Administrative Code;
23	and (2) approve the sale of said land to P. C. Fredericksen
24	at \$3,774.72, the appraised price.
25	(d) (1) Reject application of Keith W. and Roge L.
26	Alderman to select vacant Federal land in Tuolumne County,

and direct return of the deposits currently held under the 1 application except for applicable filing fees; and (2) direct 2 withdrawal of Lots 10, 11, 20 and 22 of Section 6, T. 1 N., 3 R. 14 E., and Lots 21 and 25 of Section 31, T. 2 N., R. 14 E., 4 M.D.M. from State Exchange Application No. 68 currently pend-5 ing with the U.S. Bureau of Land Management. 6 GOV. ANDERSON: I will move it. 7 MR. SHEEHAN: Second. 8 MR. CRANSTON: Approval is moved, seconded; without 9 discussion, so ordered. 10 Item Classification 7 -- Proposed Annexation: 11 Authorize the Executive Officer to notify the City Council of 12 the City of Sand City, Monterey County, that the Commission 13 has determined the present value of State-owned tide and sub-14 merged lands to be annexed under the proposed annexation. 15 designated as "Annex of Tide and Submerged Lands to Sand City," 16 to be \$2,401,000. 17 GOV. ANDERSON: So move. 18 MR. SHEEHAN: Second. 19 MR. CRANSTON: Approval is moved, seconded; there 20 being no discussion, it is so ordered. 21 Item Classification 8 -- Approval of Maps and Boun-22 dary Agreements: (a) Authorize Executive Officer to approve 23 and have recorded Sheets 1 through 6 of 6 of maps entitled 24 "Grant to the City of Oceanside, Vicinity of Oceanside, San 25 Diego County" dated June 1966. 26

1 (b) Approve boundary agreement with Huntington 2 Pacific Corporation, establishing the Ordinary High Water 3 Mark at Huntington Beach, and authorize the Executive Officer 4 to execute said agreement on behalf of the State. GOV. ANDERSON: So move. 5 MR. SHEEHAN: Second. 6 MR. CRANSTON: Approval is moved, seconded; without 7 discussion, it is so ordered. 8 Item Classification 9 -- Administration: (a) 9 Authorize Executive Officer to terminate Lease P.R.C. 2214.1. 10 11 covering submerged lands in the Sacramento River, Colusa 12 County, for nonpayment of rent, and authorize the Attorney 13 General to take such legal action against Maurice D. Schott and Helen E. Schott, and Mr. and Mrs. H. F. Moore, and any 14 15 other parties in interest, as is appropriate to secure payment of the balance due to the State and to remove all parties 18 from the State-owned lands. 17 GOV. ANDERSON: So move. 18 MR. SHEEHAN: Second. 19 MR. CRANSTON: Approval is moved, seconded, and so 20 ordered. 21 Item Classification 10 -- Informative only, no 22 Commission action required: (a) Report on status of major 23 litigation. 24 Anything to report? 25 MR. HORTIG: Nothing in addition to the record data 26

that is submitted in the agenda item. This is to keep a
 current inventory in the hands of the Commission as to the
 status of litigation.

MR. CRANSTON: The next item is the date, time and 4 place of the next Commission meeting, but that is not the 5 final item. We have supplemental items. However, the next 6 meeting is scheduled for Thursday, November 17, 1966 at ten 7 a.m. in Sacramento. If there is no objection that will stand. 8 What have you done about the December date, Frank? 9 MR. HORTIG: We are still working on it. 10 MR. CRANSTON: Number 12 -- Report on oil slick 11

occurrences, Long Beach-Huntington Beach area.

12

13

Frank, would you report on that?

MR. HORTIG: Yes, Mr. Chairman. I believe the most effective and expeditious method of reporting on this matter would be if I were to read the covering agenda item for the benefit of the people in attendance.

Historically, the largest oil slick observed off 18 the southern California coast was first reported to the U.S. 19 Coast Guard at 7:15 a.m. on August 6, 1966, by a pleasure 20 craft that radioed its position as eight miles from the east 21 end of the Long Beach breakwater. At 3:35 p.m. a Coast Guard 22 40-boat and helicopter were dispatched to investigate. The 23 slick at that time was reported to be one-half mile wide at 24 a position one and one-half miles east of Alamitos Bay en-25 trance and one mile offshore, extending to one and one-quarter 26

miles wive off the Long Beach breakwater entrance.

1

The slick had reached Humble's and Standard's off-2 shore drilling islands (Monterey and Esther) by 6:30 p.m., 3 and at that time, due apparently to shifting winds and break-4 ing effects of the islands and breakwater, proceeded to spread 5 throughout a large area. It reached its greatest extent on 6 August 8, when it extended from Pier "J" in Long Beach to just 7 north of Huntington Beach at Bolsa Chica Beach. At that time 8 it lay both inside and outside of the breakwater, and was 9 estimated to cover approximately 20 square miles. The slick 10 was not continuous, however, and was broken by spaces of 11 clear water. By August 10, the slick had essentially dissi-12 pated itself on the beaches, and on August 16 a canvass by 13 State Lands Division of all beach cities indicated that the 14 beaches were clean, although some residual color bleeding 15 from the breakwaters was expected to continue for some period. 16

It has not been possible to make an accurate quantitative estimate of the oil in the slick. In the subject instance, quantities have been variously estimated as being from a few barrels to as much as 750,000 gallons. The Department of Fish and Game has estimated "thousands of barrels," citing experience gained from observing an average of 20 harbor spills per month.

Various origins of the material were suggested in the early stages of the investigation. These included tanker bilges, breaks in pipelines servicing offshore platforms,

casing failures on offshore wells, operator failure on offshore platforms, submarine seeps, and so forth. Preliminary conflicting laboratory analyses by various organizations zerved only to confuse the issue.

1

2

3

4

The companies with tideland oil production from the 5 area reported that an inspection of their lines indicated no 8 breaks. An inspection of shipping-pressure charts and pro-7 duction reports by State Lands personnel has indicated no 8 sudden pressure losses or production losses, as would occur if 9 a line ruptured. Furthermore, if tideland production had 10 been the source of the slick, the slick should have been 11 first noted shoreward of the development islands and plat-12 forms, instead of seaward as was the case. 13

A review of major waste-water outfalls was made in conjunction with the State Regional Water Quality Control Boards. Although surveillance of these outfalls is only on a periodic basis, their nature and location is such that they could not be considered sources of the slick material.

A Navy oiler, recently arrived from New Orleans, 19 had been sighted in the vicinity at the time of the original 20 oil slick sighting. Subsequent discussions with the Captain 21 of the vessel and other crew officers indicated that they had 22 been in the area, had been transferring fuel from their cargo 23 tanks to their fuel tanks, had spilled no oil, and had seen 24 no oil on the water. The vessel rebunkered after arriving 25 at Terminal Island, making it impossible to obtain a sample 26

of the fuel from the ship which had been loaded at New Orleans. Through the efforts of the Western Oil and Gas Association, a sample of the fuel was obtained from the 3 original vendor in Louisiana. 4

15

Because of the conflicting analyses from various 5 sources, the Division concluded that it was imperative to 6 have independent analytical determinations. A survey of 7 laboratories in California led to the conclusion that the 8 only laboratories equipped to perform the complete analytical 9 work were the research subsidiaries of the various producing 10 oil companies. Therefore, three coded unidentified samples 11 were delivered to a local independent commercial laboratory 12 for preliminary analyses, and to an out-of-state laboratory 13 for more detailed procedures. Those samples were: 14

- 1. A sample of the fuel oil delivered to the Navy oiler at Algiers, Louisiana, on June 16, 1966;
- 2. A sample of the oil slick occurring on August 6, 1966, which had been obtained by the Coast Guard and delivered to State Lands on August 23, 1966 by the Department of Fish and Game;
- 3. Crude oil produced from a platform operated by one of the State's offshore lessees that had been listed as a possible source of the oil slick.

Through mass spectrometer, gas chromatography, and spectrographic analyses, these independent laboratories have concluded that the oil slick that occurred on August 6, 1966 WAS:

First -- not a crude oil;

26

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

Second -- not similar to the Navy special fuel 1 oil used to fuel the Navy oiler that had been under suspicion; 2 not from the State lessee from whose Third --3 platform the crude oil sample was taken; 4 Fourth - - probably a blend of highly aromatic and/or thermally cracked bunker fuel 5 with two different cut-back stocks; 6 which resulted in the final conclusion that this refined 7 product was very unlikely to have been refined from a Cali-8 fornia crude oil. In other words, the source material was 9 from outside the State of California. 10 Inasmuch as the slick material was not similar to 11 the fuel oil delivered to the Navy oiler at Algiers, Louisi-12 ana, the source most obviously must have been from another 13 and as yet identified vessel; which, incidentally, does not 14 close the case completely in that the Coast Guard and the 15 Pollution Patrol of the State Department of Fish and Game 16 would still like to identify that as yet unidentified 17 substance. 18 GOV. ANDERSON: Frank, we have done quite an in-19 vestigative job on this. Were the investigations conducted 20 by our Lands Division? Is this a normal procedure to go into 21 it this extensively? 22 MR. HORTIG: Well, Governor, it is a normal proce-23

16

²³ dure to go into it; but the investigations in connection with
²⁴ this slick occurrence -- which, as was stated, was the larg²⁵ est one that had occurred on the California coast -- have been

1 far more intense and of greater depth than were conducted 2 previously; and this followed expressions by you, as a mem-3 ber of the Lands Commission, on August 8th and following the 4 field reconnaissance of the problem which you conducted on 5 August 9th.

GOV, ANDERSON: During the time I was down there 6 we received some - - I don't want to use the word "criticism," 7 but that's what it was, because we were at that time depend-8 ing to a great extent on the laboratories of the oil companies. 9 I think you have in this report that you went outside, to 10 outside laboratories; and there seemed to be some criticism 11 that we should have our own facilities. I think you might 12 comment on that, the cost problem if we were to have our own 13 laboratory set-up. 14

MR. HORTIG: Actually, Governor, in conformance with 15 the discussions which resulted from the press conference which 16 you had held at Long Beach, which I recall was about August 17 23rd, at which time it was decided the State Lands Division 18 would study procedures, methods of solution and identifica-19 tion, to expedite identification particularly in the future 20 if an accidental oil spill from an offshore operation would 21 occur (which was not the case here) =- because of that dis-22 cussion and that commentary after a press conference, the 23 follow-up in the State Lands Division has resulted in programs 24 being under way and studies being conducted that will assure 25 in the future minimization of possibility of offshore oil 26

contamination and certainly limit the degree of any even accidental pollution if there should be an accidental break.

1

2

Specifically, to cover this, there are under con-3 sideration right now and orders are being written for certain 4 supplemental equipment for the Division's laboratories at 5 Huntington Beach, which will permit a repid gross analysis 6 and determination of whether we are dealing with a crude oil, 7 refined oil or bunker oil in the future; and additional peri-8 pheral equipment will be added to the gas chromatograph which 9 was already on order by the State Lands Division -- which 10 will permit more detailed analysis in the future by Division 11 personnel. It will give us answers in terms of days, where 12 this last major situation, utilizing the facilities of in-13 dependent facilities, took us weeks to get the answers. 14

Already, and as a result of this more intense in-15 vestigation in greater depth, as I stated, than had ever been 18 applied before -- and which was logical since this was also 17 the largest oil spill with which we had ever had to deal --18 improved liaison and more rapid communication channels have 19 already been established with the Pollution Patrol of the 20 California Department of Fish and Game and the United States 21 Coast Guard. 22

GOV. ANDERSON: In other words, as a result of this even though the report says that it is very unlikely to have been an oil refined from California crude and it is obvious from this report it is not identified with any of our lessees

or anything connected with the State -- even though that has
 been determined, the side effect is that we have made some
 progress to minimize any possibility of pollution from our
 lessees in the future. Is that what you are, in a sense,
 telling us?

MR. HORTIG: This is correct, Governor. If I 6 might amplify one more point: In conjunction with the engi-7 neers of our lessees we will also be reviewing the current 8 state of the art as far as technological developments are 9 concerned, to be certain that on State offshore operations 10 the most utilization is being made of leak detection and 11 safety shutdown instrumentation; so that everything that is 12 in being in terms of instrumentation or equipment that could 13 assist in assuring the maximum safety of operations will actu-14 ally be in use, and not merely on the drawing board. 15

16 GOV. ANDERSON: Now, apparently, then, this would 17 conclude our connection with this particular spill. It now 18 goes to the Coast Guard or the Navy, who will follow up on 19 this and try to establish who the culprit is.

20 MR. HORTIG: The U. S. Coast Guard and the Pollu-21 tion Patrol of the Department of Fish and Game.

GOV. ANDERSON: I see here the Department of Fish and Game estimates an average of twenty harbor spills per month. Is there anything being done to cut that down, to eliminate that?-- because this is a source of problem for quite a while, not to the extent of that last one, of course.

1 Is there anything that can be done to police this particular 2 problem better?

MR. HORTIG: This, of course, is a very serious problem with the Coast Guard and Pollution Control.

5 If the Chair would call and ask if there is either 6 a Coast Guard representative or a representative of Fish and 7 Game here, they are of course directly related with and 8 battling with this problem on a daily basis. I think it would 9 be helpful to the Commission if they could comment on that 10 and answer the question.

GOV. ANDERSON: When I am confronted with this, the same as the other public officials, when we say it is not our responsibility any more but it is the responsibility of the Coast Guard and Fish and Game, the average person does not really distinguish between us. They want to know what we are doing about it. I want to know what to tell them about policing these harbor spills.

18

19

20

3

4

Is there someone here?

(No response from audience)

MR. CRANSTON: Why don't we ask for a report?

GOV. ANDERSON: I'd like to get something more done in this particular field, even though it is not our individual or Commission responsibility, because I am confident we are going to get blamed or at least identified with every spill that occurs.

26 MR. HORTIG: We are, of course, guilty by association with the oil.

MR. CRANSTON: Frank, will you ask them to report 1 2 back to us in writing? MR. HORTIG: I certainly will, Mr. Chairman. 3 MR. CRANSTON: That concludes this item, so we 4 move on to Item 13 -- Proposal of the City of Long Beach to 5 expend tideland oil revenues for the modification of the 6 Navy Landing (preliminary estimate, \$850,000). 7 Frank, do you have any comment on that item? 8 GOV. ANDERSON: I'd like to have him comment a 9 little bit on each of the supplemental items. 10 MR. HORTIG: The Commission, with its familiarity 11 with operations at Long Beach, will recall the construction 12 of a very fine facility entitled the Navy landing, at a time 13 when it was anticipated that the Navy would continue to use 14 these facilities as provided by the City of Long Beach, which 15 were built out of the City's share of tideland oil revenues. 16 Modification of operational procedures by the Navy 17 has resulted in a disuse of the Navy landing facility and, 18 therefore, the commercial establishments which were to oper-19 ate in conjunction with the fleet landings have been unable 20 to continue operation in the building. 21 (Mr. Sheehan left meeting at this point) 22 MR. HORTIG: (continuing) The City of Long Beach 23 now has what appears to the staff an excellent opportunity 24 to secure a tenant for the building after expending addi-25 tional tideland oil revenues (but only the City's share of 26

1 the tideland revenues) to make these modifications in what 2 they propose to lease to North American Aviation to establish 3 a center oceanological research and development within the facilities -- which is, of course, a tremendous improvement 4 5 from the practically vacant condition in which the building 6 is today. 7 Therefore, the staff emphatically recommends ap-8 proval of this proposal by the City of Long Beach. 9 GOV. ANDERSON: I think this is a fine thing, but 10 does this use completely comply with the trust? 11 MR. HORTIG: Yes, it does. It is within the trust 12 provisions and has so been identified and approved by the 13 Office of the Attorney General. 14 MR. SHAVELSON: I believe it is our opinion it does 15 come within the provisions of Section 6(c) of Chapter 138, which does permit the erection of facilities on or adjacent 16 to the Long Beach tidelands which are for the benefit and use 17 of the tidelands; and, factually, from the reports that we 18 received from the State Lands Division and the City of Long 19 Beach, it does come within that provision. 20 GOV. ANDERSON: So move. 21 MR. CRANSTON: Second, in the same fashion. Any 22 discussion? (No response) So ordered. 23 Item 14 -- Authorization for Executive Officer to 24 execute agreement with the City of Long Beach settling a num-25 ber of matters relating to the Long Beach Tidelands Trust; 26

i.e., expenditures for tideland beach operation and mainten-1 ance: interest received from tideland oil revenue; Marine 2 Stadium, Municipal Auditorium and Auditorium parking lot: 3 tideland recreational programs; Los Angeles County financial 4 assistance to City of Long Beach for maintenance of recrea-5 tional facilities; fire and police protection of tideland 6 trust facilities: administrative overhead charge of the City 7 as Unit Operator; Harbor Revenue Fund cash receipts; salt 8 water sales; roller coaster; Magnolia and Pine Street parking 9 lots: revenue from Belmont Pier concessions; Annual Report, 10 Department of Oil Properties; and City legal expenses. 11

23

Frank, do you want to comment on this?

MR. HORTIG: The Commission will recall, on my re-13 freshing their memories, that the staff reported at the May 14 meeting an unresolved problem as to the justification or va-15 lidity of transfer and utilization of approximately \$1,300,000 16 of funds by the City of Long Beach in connection with trust 17 At the time the staff recommendation was that, operations. 18 inasmuch as the statute of limitations was running, the 19 Attorney General be authorized to bring an action in order 20 to stop the statute of limitations from running while the 21 problem was negotiated and settled. Particularly at the sug-22 gestion of Governor Anderson, the Commission directed that we 23 search for more peaceable and non-litigatory means of accom-24 plishing a resolution of the problem and this was predicated 25 on the City of Long Beach agreeing to waive the statute of

26

limitations, which they did do, and which agreement the Commission approved at the next meeting.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

23

24

25

26

Since that time we have, therefore, been in negotiations with the City, considering are problems -- and considering not only the initial problem which was raised before the Commission at the May meeting, but all of the problems of the interpretation of the bookkeeping with respect to tideland trust operations generally, other than the oil and gas operations on which the Commission already has very effective controls.

11 This has resulted in an agreement which your staff 12 feels is equitable, which the City of Long Beach agrees to, 13 and to which there is no objection from the legal basis by 14 the Office of the Attorney General -- which would resolve all 15 these problems for past periods and would give us a format 16 and common understanding as to how the accounting will be 17 conducted for the future.

Additionally, this proposed agreement was reviewed with a representative of the Auditor General's Office, with the result. I am happy to report, that we have a letter from the Chairman of the Joint Legislative Audit Committee, Assemblyman Vincent Thomas, reading as follows:

> "I understand the State Lands Commission will take action at its October 27th meeting to approve the agreement prepared by the Attorney General's Office for the settlement of several disputed items between the State and the City of Long Beach.

-	
1	"We have reviewed the agreement and find it to be a reasonable method of settlement of these
2	long-disputed items, many of which have been discussed in our audit reports.
3	(These, parenthetically, are the audit reports of the Auditor
4	General; the same items that appear in the State Lands Divi-
5	sion reports.)
6 7	"Execution of the agreement will clear the way for improved accounting of trust income, ex-
8	penses and assets in the future.
9	"Therefore, on behalf of the Joint Legislative Audit Committee and the Office of the Auditor
10	General, I can assure you that we have no objection to the proposed agreement or its
11	ratification by the State Lands Commission and the City of Long Beach.
12	Sincerely,
13	(Signed) Vincent Thomas "
14	GOV. ANDERSON: I want to ask the Attorney General'
15	Office a question. I have to admit that I have not read your
16	thirty-six page report on this and it states there is no le-
17	gal objection, and so on. I read a couple paragraphs, but
18	I'd like to have you say it quickly and publicly for the
19	record. I am in favor of this, but I want to be sure this
20	has the backing of your office.
21	MR. SHAVELSON: I'll make a very brief statement.
22	A great deal of work went into this matter, both by the
23	State Lands Division staff and with the legal advice of the
24	Attorney General's Office. That work was performed by Deputy
25	Attorney General Greg Taylor, who is at the table with me and
26	who can deal more specifically with the question.

It is our opinion that the many accounting problems
 that arose as a result of examining the audit reports of both
 the Auditor General and the State Lands Division could have
 resulted in a long and difficult litigation with the City,
 with a final result that would not have differed materially
 from the results of this agreement.

We believe that the agreement is legally proper and
we believe the consequences of litigation, other than the
direct results, would have been adverse as far as our overall close and, I think, amicable relationship with the oil
field in Long Beach.

12 This is Deputy Attorney General Taylor, if you have 13 any additional questions.

14 MR. TAYLOR: I don't have anything further to add 15 except it was in the Commission's power, both by the decree 16 in <u>People vs. City of Long Beach</u> and according to the provi-17 sions of Chapter 29 that there be a general supervisory ad-18 ministration or check by the State Lands Division.

Also, our office will sign the agreement, which is allowed by provisions of the decree in <u>People vs. City of</u> <u>Long Beach</u>, and it will be signed by the Harbor Department and the Council of the City of Long Beach; and it will be signed by the Governor. So it will be a binding agreement.

As Mr. Shavelson has pointed out, it does settle a good many problems that would have been extremely difficult. I don't think it would be to the public interest to drag this

thing through the courts.

It provides for the expansion of the auditorium and the increased use of tideland areas for the benefit of the people of the State of California, and at the same time settles many of the bookkeeping problems in the audit of the State and the Auditor General.

GOV. ANDERSON: So move.

8 MR. CRANSTON: Second. If there is no discussion. 9 so ordered.

Finally, Item 15: Approval of Drilling Schedule
for wells to be drilled in November 1966 from Island "A",
1966 Plan of Development and Operations and Budget, Long
Beach Unit, Wilmington Oil Field.

14

1

7

Frank, any comment?

MR. HORTIG: Mr. Chairman, in what has become a
standard operating procedure in order that the operator may
plan and know what he is faced with, I have been approving
(after engineering and technical review) early in the preceding month a drilling schedule, so that the operator can then
be ready to proceed.

Since the normal approval by the Lands Commission comes so late in the month, there would not be sufficient planning time between Commission approval and the beginning of the next month, ordinarily. Therefore, this approval which I have undertaken on behalf of the Commission requires ratification under the terms of the statute and our agreement

6	
1	with the City of Long Beach.
2	GOV. ANDERSON: So move.
3	MR. CRANSTON: Approval is moved, seconded, so
4	ordered.
5	If there is nothing further to come before us,
6	we stand adjourned until the meeting on November 17th in
7	Sacramento.
.8	ADJOURNED 10:54 A.M.
9	
10	******
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

28

))

1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	
3	I, LOUISE H. LILLICO, reporter for the Office of
4	Administrative Procedure, hereby certify that the foregoing
5	twenty-eight pages contain a full, true and accurate tran-
6	script of the shorthand notes taken by me in the meeting of
7	THE STATE LANDS COMMISSION held at Los Angeles, California,
8	
9	on October 27, 1966. Dated: Los Angeles, California, November 7, 1966.
10	Daren: The Wikeres, Carrornia, Movember 1, 19001
11	\mathcal{D} , \mathcal{L}
12	Louise & Fallica
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	