| 9 | 0 • | |
|------------|------------|--|
| 8 1 | ີ້ 1 | TRANSCRIPT OF MEEZING OF |
| | 8 | STATE LANDS COMMISSION |
| .3 | 4 | LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA |
| | ູ້5 | May 28, 1964 |
| | 8 | ***** |
| | 7 | PARTICIPANTS: |
| | 8 | THE COMMISSION: |
| | <i>9</i> 9 | Hon. Alan Cranston, Controller, Chairman (first portion of meeting) |
| • | 10 | Hon. Glenn M. Anderson, Lieutenant Governor, |
| N | 11 | Chairman, (second portion of meeting) |
| | 12 | Mr. Charles S. Hurley, Deputy Controller |
| | 13 | represented Mr. Cranston during second portion of meeting. |
| | 14 | |
| | 15 | Mr. F. J. Hortig, Executive Officer |
| ٦ t | 18 | OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL: |
| | 17 | Mr. Howard S. Goldin, Assistant Attorney Gener |
| 0 | 18 | |
| | 19 | <u>AI PEARANCES</u> : |
| | 20 | Mr. J. R. Jackson, Area Manager Humble Oil & Refining Company |
| | 21 21 | Mr. John F. Janssen, Regional Manager |
| | 23 | California Department of Fish and Game |
| | 24 | Mrs. George D. La Moree |
| | 25 | Mr. Arthur O. Spaulding, Petroleum Administrator City of Los Angeles |
| | 28 | 에 있는 것은 것은 것은 것은 것은 것은 것을 가지 않는 것은 것을 가지 않는 것은 것을 가지 않는 것 같은 것은 |
| | | |

ώ

Ø

۲**۲** ۲

u ct

9

Ğ с,

R.

| | (In accordance with C | | Summary) | Q ya ya |
|----|--|----------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|
| IT | EM CLÁSSIFICATION | ITEM ON CALENDAR | PAGE OF CALENDAP | PAGE OF TRANSCRIPT |
| 1 | Call to order | | | |
| 2 | PERMITS, EASEMENTS, RIGHTS- OF-WAY, NO FEE | | | |
| | (a) American Tel. & Tel, | 6 | 1 | 2 / |
| E. | (b) State of Cali, Dept. of Fish and Game | 13 | 2 . | 3 0 |
| | (c) Los Angeles County Flood Control District | 25 | 3 | 3 |
| | (d) State of California, Division of Highways | 22 | 5 | 3 . ⊂) (|
| 3 | PERMITS, EASEMENTS, LEASES, RIGHTS-OF-WAY, FEE | | | |
| | (a) R. W. Cypher | 33 | 6 | 3 |
| | (b) Decon Corporation | 27 | 10 | 4 |
| | (c) Leo D. Fialkoff | 7 | 12 | 4.: |
| | (d) G. K. Whitney, et al | 4 | 13 | 4 |
| | (e) Leslie Salt Company | 8 | 14 | 4 |
| | (f) Delta Marina Yacht Harbor, Inc. | 17 | 16 | 4 |
| | (g) Oil Terminals Company | 16 | 17 | 5 |
| | (h) Signal Oil & Gas Co. | 14 | 1.8 | 5 |
| | (i) Signal Oil & Gas Co. | 36 30 31 32 | 19 23 27 31 | 5) o 5)cal 5} 5} |
| | | | | 5) |
| | (j) Standard Oil Co. of Cal Western Operations, Inc | | 35 | 3 |
| | (k) Standard Oil Co. of Cal | 9 | 36 | |

OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE PROCECURE, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

t

| 1 2 | (In accordance with contin | Calendar | Summąry) | production of the |
|--|--|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| A service of the serv | M CLASSIFICATION | ITEM ON CALENDAR | PAGE OF CALENDAR | PAGE OF TRANSCRI |
| 4 5 | PERMITS, EASEMENTS, LEASES, RIGHTS-OF-WAY, FEE continued | | | |
| 6 | (1) Standard Oil Co. of Calif | :, 37 | 38 | 13 |
| 7 | (m) Humble Oil & Ref. Co. and Texaco Inc. | 1 10 | 41 | 13 |
| 8 | (n) Phillips Petroleum Co. | 2 | 43 | , 16 |
| 9 | (o) Texaco Inc. | 11 | 45 | 16 |
| 0 4 | CITY OF LONG BEACH | | | |
| 1 2 | (a) Earth Fill Berths 83-87 (b) Raise Oil Facilities " | 28 | 46 | 17 |
| 3 5 | LAND SALES AND EXCHANGES | | | |
| 4 | (a) Molybdenum Corp. of Amer. | 1 | 49 | 19 |
| 6 | (b) George D LaMoree | 23 | 51 | 19 |
| 8 6 | OIL & GAS, AND MINERAL EXTR. | LEASES | | |
| 7 | (a) Pacific Cement & Aggreg. | 24 | 56 | 48 |
| B | (b) R. W. Cypher | 34 | 58 | 49 |
| .9 | (c) Authorization publish not ice re offering for oil a gas lease 74,000 acres | × | | |
| 1 | T & S lands Ventura Co. | 12 | 67 | 49 |
| 2 | (d) Issuance Oil & Gas Lease Parcel 19, Santa Barbara County, Humble Oil & Ref. | | 68 | |
| 3 7 | APPROVAL OF BOUNDARY AGREEMEN | YTS | | |
| 4 | (a) Willis A. Laine | 1.8 | 70 | 49 |
| 15 | (b) Alviso Marina Corp. (c) MacDonald and Dorsa (d) City of Alviso | 19 20 21 | 73 80 85 | 50 50 50 |

Ð

| n Mon | <u>I N D/ Ĕ</u> (In accordance with continu | Calendar | ∬ Summary) | 1 July |
|----------|--|----------------------------|---------------------|---------|
| ITE | M CLASSIFICATION | İTEM ON <u>CALENDAR</u> | PAGE OF CALENDAR | PAGE OI |
| 8 | Dismissal Without Prejudice, City of Hermosa Beach vs. State of Calif.,et al | ري 29 | . 90 | 50 |
| 8 | SERVICE AGREEMENTS | | | |
| | (a) Metropolitan Blueprint | 3 | 92 | 51 |
| | (b) Control Data Corp./ | 5 | 93 | 52 |
| | (c) International Bus, Mach. and Control Data Corp. | 15 | 95 | 52 |
| 10 | INFORMATIVE - LITIGATION | 38 | 97 | 53 |
| 11 | NEXT MEETING | | | 64 |
| SUP | <u>PLEMENTAL</u> | | | |
| 12 | Withdrawl of offers Parcels 20 and 22; Proposed oil and gas leases 20A and 22A | 39 | 100 | 53 |
| 13 | Approval of resolution, Oil and Gas Lease, City of Los Angeles, Santa Monica Bay | 40 | 103 | 54 |
| 14 | LEGISLATION | 41. | 105 | 64 |
| | | | ð. " | |
| | ***** | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | 는 것은 것은 것이 있는 것은 것이 있는 것이 있는 것은 것이 있는 것이 있다. 같은 것은 것은 것은 것은 것은 것을 것이 같이 있는 것이 같은 것을 것을 것이다. | | | |

OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURE, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

 ϕ

1ģ

а С (

1)

Q

 $\langle \varsigma \rangle$

| | 1 | | (In acc | <u>INDE</u> Ordance with | X Item numl | pers) | |
|---------|----------|------------------------|------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|
| *• | 2 | ITEM ON CALENDAR | DAGE OF CALENDAR | PAGE OF TRANSCRIPT | ITEM ON CALENDAR | PA OF CALENDAR | PAGE OF TRANSCRIP |
| , , i î | 4 | ↓ • 1 | ີ່ 4 9 | 19 : | · ⁰ · 24 · ⁰ | 56 | 48 |
| 0 | <u>5</u> | 2 | 43 | 16 : | 25 | 3 | 3 |
| | 8 | | > 92 | 51 | 26 | 68 | |
| 9 16 G | 7 | 4 | 13 | 4 | 27 | 10 | 4 |
| | 8 | 5 | 35 | | 28 | 46 | 17 |
| | 9 | 6 | | 2 : | 29 | 90 | 50 |
| .M | 10 | 7 | 12 | 4 : | 30 | 13 | 5 |
| | * 11 | 8 | 14 | 4 | 31 | 27 | 5 |
| | 12 | 9 | 36 | 5 | 31 | 31 | |
| | 13 | 10 | 41 | 13 | 33 | 6 | 3 |
| | 14 | 11 | 45 | 16 | 34 | 58 | 49 ″ |
| | 15 | 12 | 67 | 49 | 35 | 93 | 52 |
| | - 18 | . 13 | 2 | | 36 | 19 | 5 |
| Şt. | 17 | 14 | 18 | | 37 | 38 | 13 |
|) | 18 | 15 | 95 | 52 | 38 | 97 | 53 |
| | 19 | 1.6 | 17 | | 2°19'9'-19 | | |
| | 20 | • 17 | 16 | 4 | r. | LEMENTAL: | |
| | 21 | 18 | 70 | 49 | 39 | | 53 54 |
| | 22 | 19 | 75 | 50 € | 40 AT | 103 | |
| | 80 | ∖ 20 | 80 | 50 | 41 | 105 | 64 |
| | 24 | 21 | 85 | 50 | NEXT | MEETING: | 64 |
| | 25 | 22 | 5 | 3 | | | |
| | 26 | 23 | 51 | 19 | | | |

ŵ.

1

OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURE, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

19841-304 1.#3 189M 08P

91 - 12

5)N 0.

. 10

5

16

10:10 a.m.

MR. CRANSTON: ' The meeting will please come to 1 We will go first, if there is no objection, to Classiorder. 2 fication 6, item (d), which is: Authorization for issuance 3 of an Oil and Gas Lease, Parcel 19, 5,535 acres of tide and 4 submerged lands in Santa Barbara County, to Humble Oil & 6 Refining Company, as highest bidder, with cash bonus payment 8 Frank, do you have any comments to make on ey. of \$22,002,500. 8 this? The bid received, as just announced by 9 MR. HORTIG: the Chairman, is of course pursuant to prior authorization by 10

1

11 the State Lands Commission to offer the specific parcel of 12 tide and submerged lands for lease. The bid has been reviewed 13 by the Office of the Attorney General as to legal compliance 14 with the specified bid terms and conditions, and has been re-16 viewed by the technical staff of the State Lands Commission as 16 to technical sufficiency and .ccuracy; and, therefore, accept-17 ance of the bid and award of the lease is recommended.

18 MR. CRANSTON: Motion is in order.

GOV. ANDERSON: I move it.

20 MR. CRANSTON: Approval is moved, seconded by myself, 21 and therefore adopted unanimously by those present. Mr. Hale 22 Champion was unable to be here today. Is Mr. Jackson of 23 Humble here with a nice big check for the State?

MR. JACKSON: It's a pleasure to give you the remainder of our twenty-two million. Here is a check for \$17,600,000.

OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURE, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

MR. CRANSTON: Thank you very, very much. I'd like
ko say that this is the largest single cash bonus bid ever
received by this Lands Commission, \$22,000,000. It is the
second largest in the history of this leasing history of the
State. It brings income in this fiscal year to \$57,500,000,
which is more than \$11,000,000 in excess of what was anticipated for the fiscal year in the original budget estimates.

2

8 I think this is evidence that the leasing program 9 that we have undertaken is working very effectively and it is 10 certainly a very pleasant way to get money for the State other than taking it from the taxpayers' pockets. Every dollar, 11 every million dollars, we get this way is money we don't have 12 13 to take from the taxpayers, I hope all of those companies 14 who are represented here will take part in this program for 15 leasing in the future.

Frank, let me give you the money.

17 (Television and other photos taker, and motion
18 repeated for their benefit)

19 MR. CRANSTON: (continuing) Now, we will return to 20 the regular order of business.

Item 2 -- Permits, easements, and rights-of-way to be granted to public and other agencies at no fee, pursuant to statute:

(a) American Telephone and Telegraph Company -Approval of location of submarine coaxial telephone and telegraph cable, with necessary appurtenances, across 80,818 acres

OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE FROCEDURE, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

1 tide and submerged lands of Estero Bay and the Pacific Ocean, 2 San Luis Obispo County,

Item (b) State of California, Department of Fish and
Game -- Permit for construction of an underwater reef for fish
propagation purposes, 4.304 acres submerged land in Gulf of
Santa Catalina, 1½ miles southwest of pier at Oceanside, San
Diego County.

8 Item (c) Los Angeles County Flood Control District 9 Life-of-structure permit for period of thirty years, two per10 cels of tide and submerged lands of Santa Monica Bay, Los
11 Angeles County, for construction and maintenance of Storm
12 Drain Project No. 507, Electric Avenue,

Item (d) State of California, Division of Highways Reservation for a bridge right-of-way of a 2.153-acre parcel
of State sovereign lands of the San Joaquin River, Fresno and
Madera counties, as shown on State Highway Map VI-FRE, MAD126(145)-A.

That concludes that item. Motion is in order, GOV. ANDERSON: I so move.

20 MR. CRANSTON: Approval is moved, seconded and made 21 unanimously.

Item 3 -- Permits, easements, leases, and rights-ofway issued pursuant to statutes and established rental policies of the Commission:

Item (a) R. W. Cypher -- One-year extension of Prospecting Permits, Imperial County, through June 3, 1965.

18

Mineral components of the mineral waters being tested; if wells prove relatively constant in productivity, pilot chemical plant will be constructed to recover minerals, and electric generating plant will be built. Exploration and geophysical work has cost approximately \$900,000 to date; additional \$1 million to be spent during 1964 for drilling and testing wells.

4

8 Itom (b) Decon Corporation -- 49-year subjacent
9 permit, 0.268 acre strip of submerged land in Anaheim Bay,
10 Orange County, for installation and maintenance of pilings
11 for support of a bulkhead on applicant's property. Total consideration, \$4900.

Item (c) Leo D. Fialkoff -- Assignment to Sycamore
 Properties of Lease P.R.C. 719.1, tide and submerged lands
 offshore Ventura County.

16

17 Item (d) G. K. Whitney, et al. -- Assignment to
18 Cliff House Properties of Lease P.R.C. 742.1, tide and sub19 merged lands, Pacific Ocean, City and County of San Francisco.

Item (e) Leslie Salt Company -- Permit to dredge approximately 7,000 cubic yards of material at royalty of five cents per cubic yard from portion of bed of the Napa River, Napa County, and to redeposit the material on adjacent property owned by applicant.

25 Item (f) Delta Marina Yacht Harbor, Inc. -- 15-year 26 lease, 0.290 acre tile and submerged land in Sacramento River

OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURE, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

City of Rio Vista, Solano County, for construction and opera-1 2 cion of a floating wharf and walkway, Annual rental \$191.98. 3 Item (g) Oil Terminals Company -- 15-year lease, 0,222 acre tide and submerged land, Petaluma Creek, Sonoma County, 4 5 for construction of pier and four dolphins, for use in con-6 junction with petroleum products storage and distribution plant. 7 on upland property of applicant. Annual rental \$150, which 8 is the minimum. 9 Item (h) Signal Oil and Gas Company -- 10-year re-10 newal of Lease P.R.C. 701.1, 0.55 acre tide and submerged lands 11 of Sacramento River, Yolo County, used for maintenance of pier 12 for unloading petroleum products. Annual Rental, \$693. 13 Item (i) Signal 14 MR. HORTIG: Mr. Chairman, the Office of the Lieuten-15 ant Governor has requested that item (i) relative to Signal 16 Oil and Gas Company be withheld to permit further study of the 17 proposal, 18 Item (i) will be stricken. MR. CRANSTON: 19 Item (j) Standard Oil Company of California, Western Operations, Inc. -- Modification of State's participating per-80 21 centage under Compensatory Royalty Agreement P.R.C. 255,1, Ki by Hill, Solano County -- with appropriate figures. 22 23 Item (k) Standard Oil Company of California --approval of location and construction of a rubble-mound drill-24 ing and production island approximately 1.2 miles from shore 25 26 within area of Oil and Gas Lease P.R.C. 3095.1, Orange County

Į.

OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURE. STATE OF CALIFORNIA

1 to be located thirty-five feet below mean lower low water, 2 with top elevation of twenty-four feet above mean lower low 3 tide, to provide drillsites from which forty wells may be 4 drilled into the leased lands.

6

5 GOV, ANDERSON: Can we talk about chis a little? 6 Frank, will you explain this?

MR. HORTIG: Yes, sir. The Standard Oil Company of
California is proposing to develop State Lease P.R.C. 3095.1 which was issued pursuant to competitive public bidding, on an
authorization by the State Lands Commission, offshore from the
City of Seal Beach -- by means of erecting an offshore drilling island adjacent, but seaward, of the entrance channel to
Anaheim Bay and the Naval Weapons Station at Seal Beach.

14 The Corps of Engineers, on approval by the Lands Con-15 mission of the present proposed location of an offshore driil-16 ing island, will hold public hearing to determine to the satis-17 faction of all the navigation interests concerned that the 18 location of the island will not constitute a hazard to naviga-19 tion, or permit to construct the island will not be granted.

The island or an offshore platform is necessary to permit the development of the lease as it was issued by the State Lands Commission and was authorized by the lease as issued, and as authorized by the statutes pursuant to which such leases are awarded.

Are there any other specifics you would like to have, Governor?

19381-404 1-64 180M DOP

1 GOV. ANDERSON: I think maybe the best thing I can 2 do is ask questions. How many of these do we have now out in 3 that area? 4 MR. HORTIG: In Orange County, one. 6 GOV. ANDERSON: That was the one we granted here e about three or four months ago? At the time I raised a ques-7 tion on it. 8 MR. HORTIG: No. sir. That was for location of an offshore drilling platform. This is an island-type operation. 9 10 That was on an adjoining lease, which was issued approximately 11 1945. 12 GOV. ANDERSON: That result would be the same, ex-13 cept this would be more permanent than that one? 14 MR. HORTIG: This is correct. 18 GOV. ANDERSON: At that time you told about a temport 16 ary derrick that would be up for a period of time.... 17 MR. HORTIG: Yes. sir. 18 GOV. ANDERSON: .. and then you would have a floating 19 platform for the length of time they would be using it, which would be twenty, thirty, forty years, perhaps. 20 21 MR. HORTIG: Correct. GOV. ANDERSON: This one, instead of being a tempor-83 23 ary platform, will be a permanent island? 24 However, with the condition of removal MR. HORTIG: 25 if desired at the termination of the production -- a maximum of twenty-four feet over the water, and drilling specifications 26

the second

OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURE. STATE OF CALIFORNIA

1 for the island include removal on completion of development. 2 GOV. ANDERSON: You are going to have a derrick or 3 rig up there for drilling. How high will that be? 4 MR. HORTIG: One hundred sixty feet. 6 GOV. ANDERSON: One hundred sixty feet above the 6 surface of the water? 7 MR. HORTIG: Above mean lower low water. 8 GOV. ANDERSON: And then when the derrick is taken 9 down, there is a mast or something that will be put up or 10 down probably a few times a week, depending on the drilling? 11 This is right -- depending on how much MR. HORTIG: 12 development and maintenance work is required. It could re-15 quire as little as once every several months; on the other 14 hand, it could be a maximum of two or three times a week. 15 GOV, ANDERSON: How high will that be, when they 18 work on it? 17 Eighty feet. MR. HORTIG: GOV. ANDERSON: Eighty feet on top of the permanent 18 island? 19 20 MR. HORTIG: Yes, sir; on top of the island. 21 So, eighty feet from there up, and GOV. ANDERSON: 22 the island itself will be twenty feet above 23 MR. HORTIG: Twenty-four. So when this is up, which could be 24 GOV. ANDERSON: 25 two or three times a week, we will have something one hundred 28 four feet up in the air ...

8

OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURE, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

9 That is correct. 1 MR. HORTIG: GOV. ANDERSON: ... and this will be as long as we 2 are getting oil out of the area? 3 MR. HORTIG: That is correct. 4 GOV. ANDERSON: How many of these islands are we B contemplating in this area? 8 7 MR. HORTIG: This, as I say, since 1945 is the 8 second island in Orange county and only the third proposed offshore California. There is an island similar to this in 9 place in the Rincon Field. 10 GOV. ANDERSON: How many of these do you think we 11 will have in the next twenty years? I am a little concerned 12 about having an orchard of these wells sticking up there, 15 Now we are coming up with another one; we don't know how 14 many there are going to be. My feeling is there ought to be 15 some sort of plan, so we can tell the people when they express 18 concern that there is only going to be ten or twenty, or what 17 ever it is going to be. We do not have this kind of plan? 18 MR. HORTIG: We do not have a specific plan at this 19 time. As you will recall, Governor, the staff has been told 20

GOV. ANDERSON: That was several months ago? MR. HORTIG: Yes, sir.

to report to the Commission on this and this is in progress.

GOV. ANDERSON: How long will this kind of thing take? I had hoped we would have a plan before another one came in.

OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURE, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

21

22

23

Ô

MR. HORTIG: As you know, the legislative session came in.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.7

14

15

16

13181-404 1×64 120M OFF

GOV. ANDERSON: Who is preparing this? You are not doing this yourself?

MR. HORTIG: No, sir -- a staff engineer.

GOV. ANDERSON: Is this someone who understands esthetics?

MR. HORTIG: Very definitely. The report will include all aspects of estimated maximum density; the esthetic factors; photographs of actual installations; photographs of actual installations of other types of commercial activity which have been found to be more objectionable, if anything, or could be found to be more objectionable; plus things such as the results of development factors, such as removal of drilling derricks from platforms and the resultant diminution of objections on esthetic grounds.

17 Parenthetically, we can report with respect to one 18 drilling platform in the Santa Barbara area that the drilling 19 has been completed, and the drilling equipment has been re-20 moved, which it was anticipated would minimize objections to 21 the platform. We have had only one objection -- and that is 22 there aren't the colorful string of lights that used to be 23 This is the only thing we have received on this there. 24 operation.

GOV. ANDERSON: You apparently have different com munications than I have, because I have had several inquiries

OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURE, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

following the last one -- what was that three or four months ago?

MR. HORTIG: Yes, sir.

GOV. ANDERSON: And I had to explain why we were allowing this kind of thing off their shore and not Santa Monica; and I said there was a law prohibiting this off of Santa Monica. I had to answer the questions and I answered that the law prohibited them off Santa Monica and we are going to try to do this with the best degree of safety for the people of Orange County.

I am not going to object to this, but before we authorize another island I would like to see a report and we should have some kind of goal -- whether there will be ten, twenty -- that we are going to do something about the beautification of these things. I would hate to see twenty of these things sticking up one hundred sixty feet.

17 MR. HORTIG: This is completely right, Governor. 18 You will recall the particular lease under discussion here is pursuant to clearance under the Public Resources Code, where-19 20 under the State Lands Commission did hold a public hearing in 21 Orange County with respect to the entire proposed program, 22 As a matter of fact, that public hearing was chaired by Mr. 23 Cranston at the time, and none of the proposed operations --24 the placement of the platforms, the placement of additional 25 islands, et cetera -- were objected to,

GOV. ANDERSON: Frank, nobody knows we do these

ISTAL-KOA 1-RA INON ORP

26

3

2

3

| - - - | |
|-------------|--|
| 1 | things until we do them. Now that the people are becoming |
| 2 | aware we are doing this, it gets to them. Now we are getting |
| 3 | some objection to the idea. When you hold a public hearing |
| 4 | in an area, the average person does not know about it and |
| 5 | does not comr and protest. |
| 8 | How many of these do we have ahead of us now? |
| 7 | MR, HORTIG: I believe there are two additional |
| 8 | leases that we have now in the immediate offing that are going |
| 9 | to require some type of offshore development structure. |
| 10 | GOV. ANDERSON: When will they be coming up for |
| 11 | this same kind of permit we have here today? |
| 13 | MR. HORTIG: Within the next few months, just as |
| 15 | fast as the operators on the lease have developed their |
| 14 | engineering economics as to the type of structure to be |
| 15 | utilized, |
| 16 | GOV. ANDERSON: Could we have our report back before |
| 17 | we pass on the next one? |
| 18 | MR. HORTIG: We will, sir. |
| 19 | GOV. ANDERSON: I am not going to object to this |
| 20 | because I realize there has been a great deal of work and |
| SI | planning that has gone into this. Before I vote on another |
| 22 | of these islands, I would like to have the State Lands Com- |
| 23 | mission have some kind of goal as to what we are going to |
| 24 | have. I think the people should know what to look for. |
| 25 | MR. CRANSTON: I would like to say I share your |
| 28 | concern and I would like to concur in that request. |
| | |

L

A

чġ

18891-404 1-04 100H OHP

OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURE, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

1 MR. HORTIG: Mr. Chairman, in connection with the 2 proposed action of the Commission, the proposed location of 3 the island is now contemplated to be eight hundred eighty feet 4 farther seaward from the coast than as reported in the calen-5 dar item before you. It is this farther seaward location that will be considered by the U. S. Army Corps of Engineers and 6 17 it is this farther seaward location that would be approved and authorized by the State Lands Commission, 8

13

MR. CRANSTON: 0. K. We will turn to item (1) -Standard Oil Company of California: Determine that the entering into and performance of the Rio Vista Gas Unit Agreement
for Easement 415.1, Sacramento, San Joaquin and Solano counties,
is in the public interest; approve Unit Agreement and Unit
Operating Agreement, in accordance with Section 3301 of the
Public Resources Code,

16 Item (m) Humble Oil & Refining Company, and Texaco
17 Inc. -- Deferment of drilling requirements under Oil and Gas
18 Lease P.R.C. 186.1, Belmont Offshore Field, Orange County,
19 through December 31, 1964. New activities to be undertaken
20 should be consistent and compatible with operations in pro21 posed Long Beach Unit.

22 GOV. ANDERSON: Are these next three deferments some-23 what related?

MR. HORTIG: No, sir -- only in point of time of issuance and the time on the development program that has gone into these leases, which are in the same general area and,

OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURE, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

consequently, the same difficulties have been experienced and the necessity for developing the engineering and geologic studies to make the economic optimum selection for future drilling programs.

13441-304 1-84 120M OUP

GOV. ANDERSON: Are these the first deferments in
these cases, or have there been prior deferments?

7 MR. HORTIG: I believe there have been prior defer-8 If I may refer to the whole calendar item, where ments. 9 these are listed - - With respect to the request for defer-10 ment for Phillips Petroleum, there have been prior deferments 11 as listed in paragraph two, based on the fact that the addi-12 tional wells that have been drilled by the lessee actually 13 essentially completed the required lease drilling requirements 14 to date. There are operating wells on the lease to the maxi-15 mum extent that it has been possible to develop operating 16 wells and, therefore, prior to drilling any additional wells, 17 the geologic information on areas adjacent to the lease are 18 desired to be reviewed in order to determine optimum location 19 for new wells.

On page 41 of the agenda, there is reflected that the Commission has also previously granted deferments of drilling requirements on this lease through June 30, 1964; and this lease, which has actually fifty-two wells producing from the first offshore island that was erected on a Cali-Cornia lease, adjoins the area which is going to be considered for development under a unit plan by the City of Long Beach

OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURE, STATE OF GAL:FORNIA

1 and the so-called Alamitos State Beach Park parcel; and there2 fore, further development program in connection with this
3 lease should preferably include a study of this new develop4 ment program of Long Beach as to compatibility and possible
5 unitization of operations under this existing lease prior to
6 going ahead on an independent drilling program.

GOV, ANDERSON: Well, I am looking at the last para8 graph on page 1, those first five lines:

9 "The lands covered by State Lease P.R.C. 186.1 are
10 not far removed from the large offshore area in which the City
11 of Long Beach plans to conduct oil and gas development opera12 tions in the immediate future, and for which it proposes uniti13 zation with other nearby areas. It is conceivable that under
14 certain conditions such expansion would include State Lease
15 P.R.C. 186.1 in the proposed unit."

MR. HORTIG: Yes, sir.

17 GOV. ANDERSON: What would that do to this particu-18 lar lease if it was brought in?

MR. HORTIG: If it was brought into a unit, it would mean that the additional development drilling under Lease P.R.C. 186.1....

GOV. ANDERSON: A new lease would have to be drawn up? MR. HORTIG: No, sir -- simply that the lease, on approval of the Lands Commission, be included within the program for unit operations of the entire area -- which at a maximum, as it is envisioned now, would consist of tide and

thent. doe town tront comp

submerged ands granted to the City of Long Beach by the
Legislature; tide and submerged lands under the jurisdiction
of the State Lands Commission, known as the Alamitos Beach
Park; the lands under Lease 186.1.

GOV. ANDERSON: Would that be to the State's advantage to bring this into unitization?

MR. HORTIG: There will be no staff recommendation
unless it is to the State's advantage. It would be dependent
upon and optional upon whether it would be to the State's
advantage and to the lessee's advantage to bring it into a
unitization program.

MR. CRANSTON: Item (n) Phillips Petroleum Company
Deferment of drilling requirements under Oil and Gas Lease
P.R.C. 2207.1, Santa Barbara County, through December 21,
1964, to permit lessee to integrate geological information
from an adjacent area into current engineering and geological
studies.

18 Item (o) Texaco Inc. -- Deferment of drilling requirements under Oil and Gas Lease P.R.C. 2725.1, Santa Barbara County, through January 24, 1965, to enable applicant to obtain production data that will indicate whether an extensive program of development of other sands is economically feasible.

Motion is in order to approve all items in this classification, exluding (i) and (k) which have been taken off the calendar.

GOV. ANDERSON: No. (k) wasn't taken off. We

26

1 left (k) on.

2 MR. HORTIG: Mr. Chairman, if the Commissioners can 3 refer to item (1), Standard Oil Company of California, and 4 particularly to page 40, to the proposed form of recommendation for approval, it is recommended by counsel that item (1) 5 6 of the recommendation should be amplified to read: 7 "It is recommended that the Commission (1) deter-8 mine that the entering into and the performance of the Rio 9 Vista Gas Unit Agreement is in the public incerest and will 10 promote conservation and prevent unreasonable waste of 11 natural gas, condensate and associated hydrocarbons in the 12 Rio Vista Field; and ***" 13 The balance of the recommendation should be as it 14 is before you on page 40. 15 MR. CRANSTON: With that exception, motion is in LB order to approve item classification 3. 17 GOV. ANDERSON: I make the motion. 18 MR. CRANSTON: Second. Unanimously approved. 19 (Mr. Cranston left the meeting at this point 20 and Lieutenant Governor Anderson took over as Chairman; Mr. Charles S. Hurley sat in for 21 22 Mr. Cranston.) 23 GOV. ANDERSON: This takes us, then, down to 24 Item 4 -- City of Long Beach approvals required pursuant to 25 Chapter 29, 1956, First Extraordinary Session: 26 Project (a) Earth Fill, Berths 83-87, Back Area,

17

OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURE, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

1.1.1.1.1.

1946" ACE 1-84 120M CEP

(2nd Phase) -- Estimated subproject expenditures from
 5/28/64 to termination of \$1,320,000, with \$897,600 (68%)
 estimated as subsidence costs.

Project (b) Raise Oil Facilities, Berths 83-87,
Back Area. (2nd Phase) -- Estimated subproject expenditures
from 5/28/64 to termination of \$85,000, with 100% estimated
as subsidence costs.

Any comment on that?

MR. HORTIG: Governor Anderson, these items are the 9 continuing repair of subsidence-damaged areas in the Long 10 Beach Harbor District, which require advance approval by the 11 Lands Commission prior to expenditure of any funds by the City, 12 in order that the Harbor Commission may be compensated up to 13 twenty-five percent of the cost of such subsidence elements 14 from the State's share of the tideland revenues, as provided 15 under Chapter 29 of the Statutes of 1956. This is a continual-16 tion of the program on which the Commission since 1956 has 17 had items for action of the same general nature at virtually 18 every meeting of the Commission 19

Specifically, the recommendation again limits the amounts that will ultimately be allowed to the City to those amounts that are determined to have qualified, after the work has actually been completed. Therefore, there is no commitment or guarantee that the estimated amount as approved in advance will ever be paid to the City; and, as a matter of fact, in general there are rebates in the accounting procedure

OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURE, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

when these projects are completed, as a result of being able
 to conduct accurate engineering, economic and audit reviews
 after the project has been completed much more precisely than
 on any estimated basis.

19

MR. HURLEY: I move approval.

GOV. ANDERSON: Second. I think the secretary
should make note that Mr. Hurley is sitting in for Mr.
Cranston.

9 Item 5 -- Land Sales and Exchanges. All items here
10 presented have been reviewed by all State agencies having a
11 land-acquisition program, and, unless otherwise indicated, no
12 interest has been reported by those agencies in the lands proposed for sale or exchange.

(a) Selection and sale to Molybdenum Corporation of
America, of 190 acres vacant Federal land in San Bernardino
County, at appraised price of \$9,690.

17 (b) Find that the Department of Fish and Game has 18 adequately supported its objections to the sale to George D. La Moree of Fractional Section 36, Township 7 North, Range 1 19 20 West, S.B.M., San Bernardino County, by showing substantial 21 public need and greater benefit to the public; reject applica-22 tion of George D. La Moree to purchase said land, with all 23 funds submitted by him except the \$5 filing fee to be re-24 turned; land to be held for two-year period from April 3, 1964, for purchase or lease by Department of Fish and Game; 25 28 Or if the Commission finds otherwise: (1) Determine

OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURE, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

that the land is unsuitable for cultivation without artificial
 irrigation; and (2) Authorize the sale of the land to George
 D. La Moree at the October 3, 1961, staff appraisal of
 \$26,287.10.

MR. HORTIG: Governor Anderson, on the second item. 6 (b), you will recall the then Chairman Champion of the last 8 meeting of the State Lands Commission, at which this item was 7 presented, suggested deferment and full hearing at the next 8 meeting of the Lands Commission to be held in the Los Angeles 9 area, at which time Mr. La Moree could be presented and also 10 at which time specific presentations could be made by the 11 Department of Fish and Game with respect to the position of 12 that Department in desiring to acquire these lands over the 13 prior application of Mr. La Moree. This was the purpose of 14 recalendaring this item here today. 15

Representatives of the Department of Fish and Game are in the audience, as well as Mrs. La Moree, and the proposal at the last Sacramento meeting was to the effect that the Commission would hear from the State's original applicant for purchase, from either Mr. or Mrs. La Moree, and then consider the proposals by the Department of Fish and Game in order to determine the disposition of these lands.

Under the circumstances, it appears that the presentations of both parties should now be heard.

GOV. ANDERSON: Which one should come first -- Mrs. 26 La Moree or the Department of Fish and Game?

OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURE, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

20

23

24

MR. HORTIG: In view of the fact that Mrs. La Moree
reported at the last meeting of the Lands Commission but there
was no specific proposal made by representatives of Fish and
Game -- although the Commission has received letter reports
to this effect since -- possibly Fish and Game should be
called on first.

7 GOV. ANDERSON: Who is representing Fish and Game?
8 Would you identify yourself?

9 MR. JANSSEN: My name is John Janssen. I am repre10 senting the California Department of Fish and Game in this
11 matter.

A number of years ago we engaged in a cooperative
program with the Bureau of Land Management of selecting lands
with unique wildlife values to be included in their Resource
Management areas. We have requested that a considerable block
of Bureau of Land Management lands east and north of Victorville be withdrawn from public sale, to be included in one
of these Resource Management areas.

19 If I may, Mr. Chairman, I would like to show you
20 some maps to orientate you on the area. For the benefit of
21 the audience, I am showing the Chairman a map of San Bernardino
22 County with the general area of this proposed Wildlife Resource
23 Management area outlined on the map in an area east and north
24 of Victorville. This is for just orientation of the general
25 area.

Now, here I have the topo map of this area

OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURE. STATE OF CALIFORNIA

28

INANI-408 1-04 120M OSP

circumscribed there. The light orange lands are Bureau of
 Land Management lands. These sections uncolored are private
 holdings within the Bureau of Land Management lands. The
 blue section here and this fractional section here are State
 lands. This is the land under consideration -- this section
 here.

Now, in our work with the Bureau of Land Management
we are assisting the Bureau of Land Management and locating
the owners of the private lands within this proposed Resource
Management area and we are assisting them in negotiating land
exchanges. so that we can have as solid a block of Government
land here as possible and as convenient a boundary as possible.
You will notice this exterior boundary is rather awkward.

Now, in similar negotiations with the Bureau of
Land Management, the State of California has actually put up
funds to assist the Federal Government in their program of
developing roads, camp sites; we have developed water in these
areas and the Bureau of Land Management has developed water
in these areas to make these areas suitable for various types
of public recreational use.

This section here under consideration is unique in that it has water available in two locations here and water isn't generally available in this area. We have developed some springs in a few areas and there is water at a few locations; but this is rather unique in that there are two sources of water in this general area and, as I pointed out, this is

OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURE, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

INGN1-404 1-04 180M 08*

2)

| 1 | a program we are carrying on in cooperation with the Bureau |
|-----|--|
| 2 | of Land Management. I believe in your folder is the letter |
| 3 | that we submitted, in which we pointed out the lands that we |
| 4 | are interested in, in working with the Bureau of Land Manage- |
| в | ment, comprise approximately 131,000 acres. |
| 6 | I would be pleased to answer any questions. |
| 7 | GOV. ANDERSON: Why has the Department changed its |
| 8 | mind from time to time on this piece of property? |
| 9 | MR. JANSSEN: Sir, the Department of Fish and Game |
| 10 | has not changed its wind. |
| 11 | GOV. ANDERSON: I understood they had. |
| 1.8 | MR, JANSSEN: No, I think there is a misunderstand- |
| 13 | ing on this point. |
| 14 | MR. HURLEY: I think Mrs. La Moree pursued this |
| 15 | consistently. |
| 16 | MR. HORTIG: The problem is that while the Depart- |
| 17 | ment of Fish and Game, as Mr. Janssen has said, has not |
| 18 | changed its mind, it did not indicate a continued interest in |
| 19 | these lands pursuant to requests from the State Lands Division |
| 20 | as to expression of interest in lands that the Department |
| 21 | specifically desired to have held for it against private sale, |
| 22 | pursuant to the newly adopted and still being generated policy |
| 23 | of the State Lands Commission; and the records of the State |
| 24 | Lands Division indicate a period of time where there was a |
| 25 | lapse of expression of interest by the Department with respect |
| 26 | to the desired acquisition of these lands; and the statement |
| | 🖌 a ser an |

OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURE, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

1 as to desire to acquire was renewed by the Department of Fish 2 and Game only at the time that the staff recommendation came 3 to the Commission proposing to sell these lands pursuant to 4 the original private application. 8 GOV, ANDERSON: When did Fish and Game first ex-8 press an interest? 17 If I may refer to the historical resume MR. HORTIG: 8 starting on page 51 of the agenda before you, Governor, it was 9 at least as early as February 27, 1962, 10 GOV. ANDERSON: That was the first time Fish and 11 Game expressed an interest in this property? 12 MR. HORTIG: This was one of the earliest expressions. GOV. ANDERSON: At any time since that time have 13 14 they relinquished that interest? 15 MR, HORTIG: By at least indirection, Governor, in 16 that upon circularizing all departments with respect to hav-17 ing a land acquisition program preceding the staff recommenda-18 tion for private sale, Fish and Game did not include these 19 specific lands. 20 When was this? GOV. ANDERSON: 21 This was in the latter part of 1962, MR. HORTIG: 22 early 1963. We are scanning the files now to get the exact 23 dates, Governor; and, consequently, it was assumed that, in view of the fact that the Department of Fish and Game did not 24 25 again include these specific lands in a program for holding 26 or acquisition from the State Lands Commission, everything was

24

OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURE, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

18401-403 1-64 120M 054

clear for the sale of the lands pursuant to the application of
Mr. La Moree, and this was the staff proposal at the meeting
of April 29, 1964 -- that the lands should be so sold.

It was at that time, then, that Fish and Game interposed an objection -- renewing, in effect, their interest and
stated their proposal of possible consideration of acquisition
of these lands in connection with the wildlife development
program as Mr. Janssen has outlined.

GOV. ANDERSON: When was this renewal, then, made?10 That's the one we are talking about right now?

11 MR, HORTIG: Apparently at the meeting of the last 12 State Lands Commission, but it had been made by letter; and, 13 as you will recall, Governor Anderson, there was no authorized 14 representative of the Department of Fish and Game present at 18 the Commission's last meeting to discuss the significance of the renewal and to validate the position and the strength of 16 17 the interest of the Department in the acquisition of these lands; and, therefore, the matter was recalendared for this 18 19 meeting today.

20 GOV. ANDERSON: When did the La Morees first make **21** their application with the State Lands Division?

MR. HORTIG: About 1960 -- February 4, 1960.
GOV. ANDERSON: Well, this then gets back to my
question. The application was made by the La Morees in 1960.
Fish and Game expressed their first interest in 1962 and then,
when they were circularized when we circularized all the

IDVAL-IOA I-GA INOM OBP

different State people, they did not express an interest;
 and then in 1964, when we are ready to consummate the sale,
 Fish and Game comes in and renews their interest.

MR. JANSSEN: I believe when the original applica-4 5 tion was filed in February 1960 -- I am not sure, but I be-6 lieve we were not aware of the application at that time. We 7 were engaged at that time in a program with the Bureau of 8 Land Management of investigating lands for withdrawal, but I 9 do not believe that the Department of Fish and Game knew of this calendar item when it was on your calendar in February 10 -1960.

GOV. ANDERSON: Frank, under our new method, do we have to wait for a private party to want to buy a piece of land before the State department comes in and chooses; or can't the State department come in and identify what they want before we find a buyer?

17 MR. HORTIG: Prior to the current year, there was 18 no specified or orderly procedure for letting all State de-19 partments know as to what applications for sale to private 20 ownership were being contemplated by the Commission. Under 21 the new policy of the Commission, all State departments having 22 a land acquisition program are informed of the proposed sale and are permitted to submit their application for holding of 23 the land for the department's acquisition over and above a 24 26 private application.

GOV. ANDERSON: Now, leaving this particular thing

26

TARAL-GOA THEA HIGH DAR

aside, would Fish and Game have notified you what land they
want that we have, so we don^Tt embarrass a person who finds
what they want, goes through all the red tape, filing fees,
and everything, and after the lands are put up for sale they
find out it isn't available? This isn't fair.

MR. HORTIG: The only improvement in the situation,
 if I can so characterize it, is that applicants for future
 purchases of State land will know that the application is sub ject to possible superseding by the application of a public
 agency to buy the land.

11 GOV. ANDERSON: Well, when the La Morees found this 12 property in 1960 and decided they wanted to buy it, there 13 wasn't anyone who knew that they were interested in it.

MR. HORTIG: Nor, when the La Morees did this, was
it the policy of the Commission to have all pending applications reviewed for higher and better use.

17 GOV. ANDERSON: It is my thinking, Frank, that Fish 18 and Game and all other State departments should come in before 19 this time; they ought to be able to check the lands and tell 20 us, "We don't want you to put it up for sale," instead of hav-21 ing somebody make all their plans and then have a department 22 say, "We want it."

23 MR. HORTIG: This, of course, is the optimum program, 24 Governor Anderson. We haven't been able to achieve it yet be-25 cause these development programs of these departments do 26 change. Additionally, it was felt undesirable to withdraw

OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURE, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

o //

| | 28 |
|------------|--|
| • 1 | from the State lands reservoir for potential sale all lands in |
| 2 | which all agencies indicated they might have, some time in the |
| 3 | nebulous future, some tenuous desire to have these lands. |
| 4 | A possible clarification and elimination of diffi- |
| 5 | culty in the prior program was made in the new program |
| 6 | which, unfortunately, does not apply to the La Morees, in |
| 7 | which full cash deposit was made. Future applications will |
| 8 | not require full cash deposit and applicants will not be sub- |
| 9 | jected to having to make the full cash deposit and then find |
| 10 | they can't have their application perfected. |
| 11 | GOV. ANDERSON: When did the La Morees put up their |
| 12 | cash? |
| 13 | MR. HORTIG: In 1960. |
| 14 | GOV. ANDERSON: And how much did they put up at |
| 15 | that time? |
| 18 | MR.JANSSEN: \$12,401.33 |
| 17 | GOV. ANDERSON: It has been sitting there for four |
| 18 | years? |
| 19 | MR. JANSSEN: I am reading from Exhibit B of |
| 20 | Calendar Item 20. |
| S 1 | MR. HORTIG: Of the April meeting, I believe. |
| 22 | MR. JANSSEN: At a later date, it was re-appraised |
| 23 | and, in all fairness, they put up additional money at a later |
| 24 | date, |
| 25 | MR. HORTIG: For a total of \$26,287.10. |
| 28 | GOV. ANDERSON: When did they put this balance up? |

office of administrative procedure, state of California

ŝ

観察院

1)

Ŷ.

1 MR. HORTIG: October 23, 1961. GOV. ANDERSON: In 1961 they put up another sixteen 2 thousand? 3 MR. HORTIG: Roughly, the difference between \$26,287 4 and \$12,401. 5 GOV. ANDERSON: So they have had \$26,287 up for 8 almost four 'years? 7 MR. HORTIG: Right - - three, from '61 to '64. 8 9 GOV. ANDERSON: Now, let's go back here, when did the agency come in? 10 11 MR. JANSSEN: As soon as we were officially advised. GOV. ANDERSON: That was in early '62? 12 13 MR. HORTIG: February 27, 1962. 14 MR. JANSSEN: As soon as we were officially advised that this land was being offered or considered for sale. 15 GOV. ANDERSON: You knew by that time that somebody 16 had \$26,000 up? 17 18 MR. JANSSEN: We were notified by the State Lands Commission and this was the first opportunity we had to ex-19 20 press ourselves because it was the first knowledge we had that this land was possibly going to be sold. 21 GOV, ANDERSON: Then we came back about a year 22 23 later... MR. JANSSEN: We expressed our opposition at that 24 time. Then, following that, a notice was sent around, appar-25 ently, to the State agencies, I am assuming; and since we had 26

OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE PRODEDURE, BTATE OF CALIFORNIA

Č.

1 already filed a protest, I am assuming that through admini-2 strative oversight, since we had already filed a protest, 3 that our Department did not file an additional protest until 4 the matter came up recently on the calendar, When the matter 5 came up on the calendar again, why, we re-protested, thinking 6 that our previous protest had been on file and had been appart 7 ently overlooked. 8 GOV. ANDERSON: How can this kind of administrative 9 oversight be made? 10 MR. JANSSEN: This was in our own Department --11 this administrative oversight. When the list came out, list-12 ing this land, we already had a protest on file. 13 GOV. ANDERSON: Is this the sort of notification 14 thad would be overlooked? MR. HORTIG: No, sir -- because this was specific 15 18 notification. GOV. ANDERSON: This would have been to someone who 17 18 hid expressed interest in 1962? MR. HORTIG: That's right. 19 GOV, ANDERSON: On a piece of property that some has 20 21 \$26,000 on? MR. HORTIG: Yes, sir. 22 GOV. ANDERSON: Do you send them a mimeographed 23 form? We would have assumed Fish and Game would have an 24 25 interest. MR. JANSSEN: We should have replied at that time. 28

30

MR. HORTIG: We did, in effect, by stating: "The
following lands are going to be recommended to be sold by the
Lands Commission pursuant to private application. Does your
department (and this is as to all departments) have an
interest?"

31

GOV. ANDERSON: But this Department had already
expressed interest in 1962. Fish and Game had already notified you by letter, so I think this is different from a
hundred other agencies.

MR. HORTIG: This is correct. So, as a follow-up,
you will note on page 53 that the Commission on February 27,
1962 adopted a resolution relative to holding the land to give
Fish and Game an opportunity to decide whether they wished
to acquire the land, and giving Mr. La Moree the option of
either canceling his application and receiving a refund of the
moneys which he had on deposit

17 GOV. ANDERSON: That's the initial interest. That's
18 the first time Fish and Jame expressed an interest.....

MR. HORTIG: That's correct.

GOV. ANDERSON: ... in February 1962.

21 MR, HORTIG: Now, when the Commission's policy of 23 circularizing all agencies specifically, with respect to all 23 lands that are going to be offered to be sold, was adopted --24 pursuant to that policy, then, a specific notification again 25 went to all departments including the proposal to sell this 26 particular land on which Fish and Game had previously expressed

OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURE, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

19

an interest and on which there was an application by the
 La Morees; and all departments were specifically circularized
 as to whether they still had or have an interest and desire to
 make any presentation with respect to the proposed sale of
 the lands by the Commission under the new policy.

32

GOV, ANDERSON: But, Frank, the thing I am trying to 6 clear up here, because I am not sure we are right -- You are 7 notifying all agencies, but this one specific agency had in 8 February 1962 written you a letter and expressed interest. 9 If they didn't answer back, it would seem to me you wouldn't 10 11 regard them as any agency but would have called them up and asked them, "Have you dropped your interest?" instead of assum-12 ing they dropped it. It seems to me you have a little responsi-13 bility instead of a notification like this. 14

MR. HORTIG: This circularization was made by the 15 Lands Division in a specific letter dated October 24, 1963; 18 and pursuant thereto, we did receive a response from the 17 Resources Agency on December 23, 1963, which included a report 18 of all lands in which, it said, the Department of Fish and 19 Game was then interested and by omission did not specifically 20 describe the fractional of Section 36 as a section in which 21 the Department was interested. 22

GOV. ANDERSON: Then how can they call it "admini-24 strative oversight"?

25 MR. JANSSEN: I used this expression of "admini-26 strative oversight." We were interested in this. Our people

OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURE, STATE OF CAL., JRNIA

13581- 404 1-64 120M OBI

1 in Sacramento did not list this at this time because we had 2 already applied to have this piece withdrawn. We should have 3 listed it at this time. We assumed too much in assuming that, 4 since we already had a letter on file two years previous, it 5 wasn't necessary; but we should have protected ourselves by 6 listing this parcel again. When we discovered our error, then 7 we submitted another letter to the State Lands Commission.

33

6 GOV. ANDERSON: Now, Frank, don't you also think 9 that on this particular piece of property, where you circular-10 ized all the other agencies and Fish and Game had previously 11 expressed interest and now did not express one -- don't you 12 think our department should have followed up on that one to 13 make sure they had dropped their interest before we again put 14 it up for sale and carried this thing on?

MR. HORTIG: There is probably a difference of 15 interpretation as to what the reason for the last circulariza-16 tion was. In view of the passage of time and the adoption of 17 the new policy by the Lands Commission, the purpose of the 18 circularization by the Lands Division was to determine what the 19 current status of interest of any agency was in all the lands 20 of the State of California; and when we received from the 21 Resources Agency, department by department, a listing showing 22 "The following departments are interested in the following " 23 lands" and a complete and specific list on the part of Fish 24 and Game which did not include this particular parcel, I feel 25 that the logical conclusion was that they were no longer 26

OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURE. STATE OF CALIFORNIA

13681-404 1.84 12051 08

1 interested in this particular parcel because they did give a 2 specific listing, saying "These are the parcels in which Fish 3 and Game is now interested."

GOV. ANDERSON: I don't know how wealthy the La Morees are, but if I had \$26,000 sitting there for four years and got this kind of treatment, I'd be sore. I have nothing further.

7 MR. HURLEY: What has Fish and Game done out here,
8 if anything? What kind of wildlife is on this property?

ĵ]

26

INNEL-ROT I-64 TROM OFF

9 MR. JANSSEN: This area is fine quail habitat, both for desert quail and mountain quail, We have chucker part-10 11 ridges in this area -- and I am speaking widely of this area. 12 the general area I showed you on that map -- and there is fine chucker partridge hunting in this area. We feel this is a 13 14 fine area to reserve for the public, for people who like to 15 watch, observe, other wildlife species -- species other than game species. For instance, our desert tortoise is gradually 16 disappearing and this is a fine area for the desert tortoise. 17 Our burrowing owl, quite interesting little creatures, are 18 found in this area; mourning doves are found in this area; and 19 there is considerable hunting of them in this area and there 20 21 is considerable nesting in this vicinity.

Outside of wildlife, there is considerable scenic area and the fact that there is water for wildlife in this particalar section makes it quite unique and very valuable for wildlife.

GOV. ANDERSON: In this area, everything in a kind

OFFICE OF ADMINIATRATIVE PROCEDURE, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

| | 3: |
|----|--|
| 1 | of orange where do we stand on that? |
| 2 | MR. JANSSEN: The orange land? |
| 3 | GOV. ANDERSON: That's all in the national land |
| 4 | reserve? |
| 5 | MR. JANSSEN: This orange land is all Federal land. |
| 6 | It is all now in the national land reserve. |
| 7 | GOV. ANDERSON: So far as we are concerned, we won't |
| 8 | have any problem. The white in here is the property now owned |
| 9 | by private people? |
| 10 | MR. JANSSEN: The white is now owned by private |
| 11 | people. |
| 12 | GOV. ANDERSON: And the only two parcels we will be |
| 13 | concerned with are Section 36 and this half of Section 16? |
| 14 | MR. JANSSEN: That is right. |
| 15 | GOV. ANDERSON: I notice both sides of Section 36 |
| 16 | are owned by private parties. |
| 17 | MR. HURLEY: Is the Bureau of Land Management making |
| 18 | acquisition attempts? |
| 19 | MR. JANSSEN: They have plans to. We are working |
| 20 | with the Bureau of Land Management, getting the descriptions |
| 21 | of these parcels in private ownership. Eventually, with our |
| 22 | assistance, the Bureau of Land Management is going to contact |
| 23 | the owners and endeavor to make property exchanges to make this |
| 24 | as solid a block of Federal land as they can make it. |
| 25 | GOV. ANDERSON: Have you tried to work out something |
| 26 | with the La Morees? |
| | 🕻 and a second s |

Ó.

OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURE, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

18881-404 1-64 120H ORF

MR. JANSSEN: No.

GOV. ANDERSON: Isn't that something we had hoped might be worked out?

36

MR. HORTIG: Not specifically with respect to this application because at the last meeting there was no representative of Fish and Game who was in a position to comment whether Fish and Game could or would desire to contemplate a program of that type.

9

12881 404 1-64 120M OBP

1

MR. JANSSEN: Thank you,

10 MRS. LA MOREE: You have heard most of our objections 11 and complications in regard to this land, but I just wanted to say that in your statement of the morning, in your agenda, the 12 Fish and Game Commission was supposed to show substantial pub-13 lic need and greater benefit to the public; and we submit that 14 15 this Section 36 was given to the State of California for school land, either to build a school or the proceeds of which to go 16 for schools in the very beginning, in the establishment of our 17 country, and we feel the money spent here would possibly be 18 of greater benefit to the State of California than those items 19 listed by the Fish and Game representative. 20

Also, in the policy which the State Lands Commission adopted in 1962, it was stated that this would establish a firm policy allowing withdrawal of such lands -- that is, land selected for sale -- by a public agency for a reasonable period; and we have a feeling in our case that this period has been unreasonable -- the length of time we have been asked to wait.

OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURE. STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In 1963, in the circularization, the City of Victor
 ville applied and they waived and defaulted three months
 later; but nothing was heard from the Department of Fish and
 Game in reply to that circularization; nothing has been heard
 except in February 1962, at which time they initially objected.

We feel, also, that possibly the representative of Fish and Game has not visited this land. There is no cover on it -- a little bit of greasewood. It is very shaley and rocky. There is no water developed. That seems to mean a lot to his office, but we have investigated and there is no water; it will have to be developed.

We also feel this little corner on the road would
hardly be satisfactory for a wildlife area, with the cars
and traffic going by, and so forth.

15 Also, we have been accused this last month that 18 whatever we had in mind would make the desert unbeautiful and 17 it would be an unplanned desert site. This is far from our 18 plan. We had hoped to divide it, possibly, into forty-acre 19 plots in the future, or some such large size, for the raising 20 of horses or livestock, as the horse in California is being pushed into the background. Places in Los Angeles are having 21 22 to close daily because of the press of population.

We feel that having our land on the tax roll, having it still in the public domain of the State of California in private hands but in the domain of the State of California taxwise -- and because we plan to develop it so it won't be

OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDUPE, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

THEF WOR LANA TOOM OBP

1 offensive to the eye, and perhaps because of the bureaucratic 2 errors that have taken place, we would like to appeal to the 3 Commission the right to close on this particular piece of 4 property for which we have been applying for four years. 5 GOV. ANDERSON: Do you own any of the property 6 adjacent to this? 7 MRS. LA MOREE: No. we do not. 8 GOV. ANDERSON: Why this specific piece? 9 MRS. LA MOREE: We saw it in 1960 on a map and went 10 visiting it and saw it and felt it was one we would like to 11 bid on. GOV. ANDERSON: What are on the two properties 12 13 north and south of you there? MRS. LA MOREE: It is undulating desert land. 14 The particular piece we are talking about, 36, starts in a gentle 15 16 upgrade and then goes upward on a rather steep hill. There is very little cover. One can walk without touching brush 17 through it. We saw one jackrabbit and two mice, and no water 18 developed. On the contour maps, the geodetic survey maps, it 19 shows places where springs possibly used to be. I think it 20 was surveyed, the map was made in 1917. 21 GOV. ANDERSON: On the map it shows one well and on 22 the edge of the property it shows "Taylor Springs." 23 MRS. LA MOREE: We couldn't locate anything of that 24 They were eroded, where possibly rain water runs down, sort. 25 but we could not locate them. 26

38

OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURE. STATE OF CALIFORNIA

1 Governor Anderson, the appraisal report MR. HORTIG: 2 shows: Well and spring reported on the quadrangle could not 3 be located. However, a spring location, not flowing, was 4 found; but the water was not located after a thorough search. б. MR. HURLEY: You are making me wonder why you want to buy it. 8 7 GOV. ANDERSON: Where would you get your water? 8 Your plan is to cut it into ranches for sale? MRS. LA MOREE: Very vaguely; we haven't really 9 10 worked it out. 11 GOV. ANDERSON: Where would you get your water for 12 this? 13 It would be a matter of chance, MRS. LA MOREE: 14 GOV. ANDERSON: Where does water come from there? 15 MRS. LA MOREE: I suppose as in any other undeveloped area -- just get a water expert and hope you can make a 16 17 successful well. Down below, at least indicated on this map, there is a dry lake bed. The , are several farmers who have 18 alfalfa fields and must have wells. 19 20 GOV. ANDERSON: So on this section below you there 21 are active wells? MRS. LA MOREE: No. no. It is miles down, Lucerne 22 23 Valley. I know about Lucerne Valley. T was GOV. ANDERSON: 24 actually thinking about the two sections, because the repre-25 sentative of Fish and Game indicated they might also try to 26

OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURE, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

get these other white sections, which would be "1" and "25". 1 I was wondering what was on "1" and "25" now, Is there any 2 3 thing there? 4 MRS. LA MOREE: Not a thing -- just more shaley greasewood property. They aren't game cover whatever. No C quail could live there because there is no water, 6 7 MR. HURLEY: I can express sympathy to Mrs. La Moree 8 for the slow treatment she has received, but I feel after 1962 as far as having the deposit tied up, this was done with her 9 eyes open. She knew from 1962 by experience that this might 10 not be decided tomorrow. 11 12 GOV. ANDERSON: What did Victorville want with this piece of property? 13 MRS. LA MOREE: I don't know. We weren't informed. 14 Victorville is some twenty miles away, I don't know what they 15 16 had in mind. GOV. ANDERSON: What kind of road is this? Is this 17 a pretty good road that skirts this property? 18 MRS. LA MOREE: Yes, it is paved by the county and 19 in good condition. 20 GOV. ANDERSON: That's the one from Lucerne to 21 What is our legal position on this? Do we have to 22 Barstow. sell this to Fish and Game? 23 MR. HORTIG: I believe it is under the control of 24 the Commission, as a matter of policy. We have a valid pend-25 ing application from the La Morees. We also have a policy 26

OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURE. STATE OF CALIFORNIA

ή.X

base expressed by the Commission, where there is demonstrated
higher and better public use value in the lands, and the power
of the Commission to withhold such lands for such agency upon
definite showing of active intent on the part of the public
agency to acquire the lands within two years.

MR, HURLEY: What impresses me, Mrs. La Moree, is the program of the Bureau of Land Management, which is holding property all around three sections; and just looking at the map, it appears for us to sell this into private ownership could be a deterrent to their program,

MRS. LA MOREE: I just wonder is it your obligation 12 to do so.

MR. HURLEY: Well, it is our obligation to determine
whether or not there is a showing of substantial need or
greater public benefit. This is what I am not entirely
decided upon.

GOV. ANDERSON: Is there any other land that we have that the La Morees might want, that would be outside of this area? Is there any area that Fish and Game has, where something might be done?

MR. HORTIG: Well, offhand

GOV. ANDERSON: If there was something specific about this piece of property, why they would want it over some other section - - Apparently, just four miles south the land reserve stops and just five or six miles north. MR. HURLEY: Did you look into any other property.

OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDUNE, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

| | - 42 |
|-----------|---|
| 1 | Mrs. La Moree? |
| 2 | MRS. LA MOREE: Not in this area. |
| 3 | GOV. ANDERSON: She hasn't got another \$27,000. |
| 4 | MR. HURLEY: She had \$27,000 to put here. |
| 5 | MRS. LA Chine: Not in this area at this time. We |
| 6 | have looked before and under the bidding system of the State |
| 7 | of California we were not the highest bidder on another piece |
| 8 | in Kern County, I believe. We were not the hignest bidder; |
| 9 | and although we raised the bid, the first people met it. On |
| 10 | this particular piece, we were successful. These are the |
| 11 | only two. |
| 12 | MR. HURLEY: Am I correct that what you have in |
| 13 | mind is speculating on this property? |
| 14 | MRS. LA MOREE: Well, we thought it was a good |
| 15 | investment, yes. |
| 1.8 | MR. HURLEY: So in your purpose, for speculation, |
| 17 | you might look anywhere for property. There is nothing |
| 18 | particularly significant about the physical characteristics |
| 19 | of this property as distinguished from some other property? |
| 20 | MRS. LA MOREE: No, we don't expect to live there |
| 21 | unless we develop it into a desirable piece, if there were |
| 22 | water and so forth; but we are just taking that chance. |
| 23 | MR. HURLEY: I would like to talk to our legal |
| 24 | counsel in our office again on this. |
| 25 | GOV. ANDERSON: Aren't we interested in finding out |
| 26 | just what Fish and Game really intends to do if we do not |
| | |

Ò

OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURE, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

4

10

 ^{3}C

sell this to the La Morees and then we turn it over to Fish
and Game? What happens, then, to this and some of these other
blank pieces of property out there? Now, if they really had
a program to develop this, then there wouldn't be any question
in my mind; but if it is just a case to keep these people from
having it because they feel they may want to do something in
the area, I'd like to see something...

43

8 MR. HORTIG: The program would be, on rejection of the La Moree's purchase, based on recommendation "3" on page 9 10 55 -- that the land would be held for the two-year period from 11 April 1964 for purchase or lease by the State Department of 12 Fish and Game in implementing the program which Mr Janssen 13 outlined here this morning for Fish and Game, A possible alternative course, though I hesitate to mention this 14 15 GOV. ANDERSON: What happens if Fish and Game decides they don't want it in two years? 16

MR. HORTIG: Then the Lands Commission has a piece
of vacant State school land available for sale.

MRS. LA MOREE: May I just say that under the policy adopted, it said it required that on or before the expiration of the withdrawal period, such agency must offer to purchase or lease the land. We feel they we had more than their two years' appropriate time. I would think it would be necessary to show they have the funds.

GOV. ANDERSON: Why do we have to wait until April 1966 to have Fish and Game make up their mind if they

OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURE, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Ĩ.

rant it or not?

1

大な時代

2 MR. HORTIG: This was part of the policy adopted
3 by the Commission.

GOV. ANDERSON: It seems to me there are some irregularities here. This isn't a brand new, fresh thing where we have to give them two years. It would seem if we take this off the list for Fish and Game, we should have some indication they want it.

9 MR. HORTIG: I agree with you, Governor Anderson.
10 The application, the request of Fish and Game, the additional
11 deposit by the La Morees, all spanned this transitional time
12 of the adoption by the Commission of a policy of withdrawing
13 lands for better and higher jublic use.

14GOV. ANDERSON: What would prevent Fish and Game15from telling us now? It isn't money?

MR. HORTIG: It probably is from a budgetary standpoint. Mr. Janssen might be able to tell us. In an undated
memorandum from Fish and Game, in reply to our inquiry of
May 5, 1964, Fish and Game reported over the signature of
Director Shannon, and I quote:

"We feel that Section 36, T. 7 N., R. 1 W., S.B.M. has significant wildlife values in itself and it is an integral part of a very important part of a wildlife recreation area. We desire to purchase or lease this section within the next two years and we will plan for adequate funding for such purchase or lease."

OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURE, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

12251+404 1-64 13DM 057

1 GOV, ANDERSON: When they purchase or lease, what 2 kind of money are they talking about? They are not talking 3 about this kind of money? 4 MR. HORTIG: Yes, they must meet the appraised value 5 as indicated on the agenda of the Commission, because these 6 funds are put in the State School Fund, which is a trust fund. 7 GOV. ANDERSON: They would have to have it approved 8 at the next budget session, an item of at least \$26,287? 9 MR. HORTIG: That is correct. 10 GOV. ANDERSON: So they could not purchase this 11 until the next budget session next September. 12 MR. JANSSEN: Mr. Chairman, I believe this land 13 could be purchased by the Wildlife Conservation Board without 14 having it a budget item in the Fish and Game Department. The 15 Wildlife Conservation Board purchases land juite frequently. 16 GOV. ANDERSON: Then why do we have to wait two 17 years? 18 MR. JANSSEN: The Director assured the Commission 19 that the purchase would be made within two years. If the 20 Commission does not sell this land to the applicant, then we 21 will immediately take steps to fund the purchase ourselves 22 and we will have to decide whether we want to fund through 23 our regular budgetary process or through the Wildlife Conser-24 vation Board. 25 GOV, ANDERSON: If you use the former, it will take

OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURE. STATE OF CALIFORNIA

TRATINUE TOBA INDM OBP

26

until next year?

MR. JANSSEN: Right.

GOV. ANDERSON: If you use the latter, you can do it immediately?

46

MR. JANSSEN: We can do it as soon as the Wildlife 5 Conservation Board approves it.

6 GOV. ANDERSON: Then why do we have to wait two 7 years?

MR. JANSSEN: It isn't necessary to wait two years.
9 We are just guaranteeing we will do it in two years.

10 GOV. ANDERSON: I go along with Mr. Hurley -- maybe I shouldn't say this; maybe I don't know what you think. I 11 don't want to disrupt a long range program of the national 12 land reserve. In the other hand, I just don't want us to 13 kick an applicant around that has come in with their money 14 like this and say, after four years, "O. K. here is your money 15 back and we don't want to go through with it," and after two 26 years Fish and Game might not want it anyway. 17

18 If they want us to put ff this sale and they want 19 it put into reserve, they should take it right away. In the 20 meantime, I think we should work out something as good as this 21 land that she would want to buy, if it is as good as she 22 described it ...

MR. HORTIG: Summarizing on both of those factors, Governor Anderson, number one, we do have a letter of commitment by the Department of Fish and Jame to buy the land as Mr. Janssen outlined.

OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURE, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

TREAT ADA 1-64 TAUM DAP

miller

MR. HURLEY: What is the date of that?

J.

1

MR. HØRTIG: Some time in May, because it is in
response to a letter from our office of May 5th. Unfortunately,
the copy Mr. Janssen has does not have a date.

47

5 MR. JANSSEN: This was quoted from my file cory. I 8 prepared the letter and sent it to the Director for his signad 7 ture. He signed it. The original is certainly in your files. 8 MR. HORTIG: I have just had the information that 9 the original letter is dated May 26th, which is two days ago; so there is the commitment on the part of the Department of 10 11 Fish and Game to buy the land if the Commission does not 12 order its sale to Mrs. La Moree.

13 Secondly, of course, with the coming into effect in 14 the immediate future of rules and regulations of the Lands 15 Commission to provide for new private sale applications for 16 the first time in four years -- new and separate applications 17 that could be processed much more expeditiously than those 18 which suffered through the entire transition period could be 19 discussed with the La Morees as to other available land; 20 whether desirable to them, of course, is the problem of their 21 own evaluation.

GOV. ANDERSON: I think it is the feeling of Mr. Hurley and myself that we not take action today; that we do it at the next meeting. In the meantime, I'd like to see some effort made that there wouldn't be this kind of tie-up for the La Moreos. I know we have a lot of land out there.

OFFICE OF ADMINISTENTIVE PROCEDURE, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

C

1 MR. HORTIG: We will certainly review the vacant 2 land list with the La Morees and proceed with an inventory for 3 that purpose immediately. 4 GOV. ANDERSON: Then we will take this under submis-5 sion for one month. 8 MR. HURLEY: Yes. 7 GOV. ANDERSON: Jtem (b) in Classification 5 will 8 be taken under submission for one month. The other item --9 MR. HURLEY: I'll move. 10 GOV. ANDERSON: You make a motion on 5(a)? 11 MR. HURLEY: I move we sell that at the appraised 12 price. 13 Item 5(a) is moved and seconded. GOV. ANDERSON: Item (b) we will take off calendar 14 so ordered that we sell, 15 for one month and make our decision at the next meeting. 18 MRS. LA MOREE: Thank you very much for hearing us. 17 I appreciate it. 18 GOV. ANDERSON: Item Classification 6 -- Oil and 19 Gas, and Mineral Extraction Leases: 20 (a) (1) Determine that extraction of limited amount 21 of sand from area proposed in application of Pacific Cement and Aggregates, Inc. will not adversely affect the adjoining 22 shoreline; (2) Authorize Executive Officer to offer for lease, 23 pursuant to competitive bidding, for extraction of sand at 24 minimum royalty of six cents per cubic yard, 10.00 acres tide 25 20 and submerged lands in vicinity of Lapis, Monterey Bay,

OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURE, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

18881-404 1-84 120H DAP

| Monterey County.

1

21

22

Tanat AUX 1.84 120M OHP

(b) Determine that valuable deposits of geothermal 2 3 steam and mineral waters have been developed within limits of Prospecting Permits P.R.C. 2705.1, P.R.C. 2706.1, P.R.C. 4 2707.1, and P.R.C. 2708.1, covering approximately 535 acres 5 of State land under jurisdiction of the Department of Fish and 6 Game in Imperial County; (2) Authorize Executive Officer to 7 issue four preferential mineral extraction leases to R. W. 8 Cypher covering: P.R.C. 2705.1, 55 acres more or less; P.R.C. 9 2707.1. 160 acres more or less; P.R.C. 2706.1, 160 acres more 10 or less; and P.R.C. 2708.1, 160 acres more or less, at rental 11 of one dollar per acre per year, and at the royalty rates 12 specified in the prospecting permits. 13

14 (c) Authorization for Executive Officer to publish
15 notice that the Commission is considering the offering for
16 oil and gas lease, pursuant to competitive public bidding
17 under the Public Resources Code, of approximately 74,000 acres
18 of tide and submerged lands offshore Ventura County, for
19 bidding at such times and in such parcels as the Commission
20 shall determine to be in the best interests of the State.

(d) we have taken care of.

MR. HURLEY: I'll move on (a), (b) and (c).

GOV. ANDERSON: (a), (b), and (c) have been moved, seconded, and so ordered.

25 Item 7 -- Approval of boundary agreements. Authori-28 zation for Executive Officer to execute agreements fixing the

OFFICE OF ADMINIBTRAL."E PROCEOUNE, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

50 1 Ordinary Low Water Mark along Steamboat Slough, a tidal water 2 way in the vicinity of Alviso, Santa Clara County, (a) With Willis A. Laine, as described in Boundary Agreement, W.O. 4667; 3 (b) With Alviso Marina Corporation, as described in Boundary 4 6 Agreement, W.O. 4668; (c) With MacDonald and Dorsa, as de-6 scribed in Boundary Agreement, W.O. 4669; (d) With the City 7 of Alviso, as described in Boundary Agreement, W.O. 4672. 8 I'll move that the Executive Officer MR. HURLEY: 9 be authorized. 10 GOV, ANDERSON: Moved and seconded; so ordered. 11 Classification 8 -- Authorization for Executive 12 Officer to inform the Office of the Attorney General that a 13 Dismissal Without Prejudice may be entered on behalf of the 14 State in the matter of City of Hermosa Beach vs. State of Cali-

15 fornia, et al., Los Angeles County Superior Court Case No. 16 757030.

Do you want to comment on that, Frank?

MR. HORTIG: Yes, sir. Since we have Assistant
Attorney General Goldin here, whose office has been handling
the action, the details of the report should properly come
from him, Mr. Chairman.

MR. GOLDIN: Yes. Governor, in 1960 the City sued the State in declaratory relief to obtain a judicial declaration that it could expend two cash bonuses totaling about a half million dollars for the execution of a tidelands contract and an uplands contract, this money to be spent on general

OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURS, STATE OF GALIFORNIA

12081 404 1+64 120H OH

municipal projects. The State filed an answer, stating that the entire bonus was impressed with the Tidelands Trust.

1

2

23

WHAT-WOR THEY INON DAP

3 At the present time, the City has indicated its A. intention to expend the entire cash bonus on a proper tide-Б lands trust purpose and, consequently, the City is desirous 6 of dismissing its complaint for declaratory relief. However, 7 since the State filed a cross-complaint seeking affirmative 8 relief, in that we questioned the validity of the then City 9 drilling ordinance, the case cannot be dismissed unilaterally 10 by the City, but requires concurrence by the Attorney General's 11 Office, Before we acquiesce in any such dismissal, we want 12 the approval and permission of our client.

13 When we questioned the anti-drilling ordinance, it 14 was predicated on the assumption that the tide and submerged 15 lands off Hermosa Beach were being drained by drilling opera-16 tions of Redondo Beach. Subsequent to that time, we have 17 had an engineering expert study which convinces us that this 18 is not a realistic danger and, consequently, the question of the anti-drilling ordinance is one which does not involve 19 draining; and at the present time the State Lands Commission 20 is being asked to authorize its attorney to dismiss an action 21 22 in which the State was sued as a defendant.

MR. HURLEY: So move.

24 GOV. ANDERSON: Second; no objection, so ordered.
25 Item 9 -- Service Agreements: (a) Authorization
26 for Executive Officer to enter into and execute an agreement

OFFICE OF ADRIMISTRATIVE PROCEDURE, STATE OF GALIFORNIA

1 for reproduction services for the 1964-65 fiscal year with 2 the Metropolitan Blueprint Company of Los Angeles, at a cost 3 not to exceed \$7500.

(b) Authorization for Executive Officer to enter 4 into and execute a Supplementary Agreement to that certain 6 agreement known as LC-34, dated July 1, 1961, as amended by 6 7 Agreement LC-91, dated January 1, 1963, between Control Data Corporation and the State Lands Commission, to provide funds 8 for the continued rental and maintenance of a G-15 general 9 purpose computer, a flexowriter, and for systems analysis 10 11 service for the period July 1, 1964 through June 30, 1965 at 12 a cost not to exceed \$11,940,

MR. HOF IG: Mr. Chairman, items (b) and (c), both 13 in accordance with the budget of the State Lands Commission, 14 15 are for covering the service and rental of the electronic 16 data processing equipment which is operated by the Lands Division. In item (c), the typewriter invented a new corpora-17 tion, the Internal Business Machines, which should read 18 "International Business Machines," With that correction, it 19 is recommended. 20

GOV. ANDERSON: (c) Authorization for Executive Officer to enter into and execute contracts for the rental of periph@ral computer equipment for the 1964-65 fiscal year, as follows: International Business Machines, not to exceed \$9,510; Control Data Corporation, not to exceed \$4,570. MR. HURLEY: I move authorization of (a), (b) and (c).

OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDUKS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

53 GOV. ANDERSON: Seconded, so ordered. 1 Informative only, no Commission action required --2 3 Report on the status of major litigation. MR. HORTIG: As noted, informative; and the princi-4 pal litigation item has already been outlined to you under 5 Classification 8, the dismissal of the City of Hermosa Beach 6 vs. California item. No action is required at this time. 7 GOV. ANDERSON: Is there any other matter to be 8 brought before we settle the adjournment date? 9 10 MR. HORTIG: Yes, We have supplemental calendar items. 11 12 GOV. ANDERSON: Supplemental items -- Item 12: Withdrawal of offers to receive bids for proposed oil and gas 13 leases - Parcel 20, Parcel 22; and proposed cil and gas lease, 14 Orange County, Parcel 20A, and proposed oil and gas lease, 15 Ventura County, Parcel 22A. 16 MR. HORTIG: Mr. Chairman, the Commission has pre-17 18 viously authorized and had published three bid receipt dates for three separate parcels of tide and submerged lands, to 19 be opened during the month of June 1964. 20 21 In view of the control desired by the Lands Commission on administration of the sequential bid lease offer 22 23 program, to offer parcels at a location and at times which will optimize the entire operation, it is being recommended 24 here that the bid offers for Parcels 20 and 22 be terminated 25

and rescheduled in succeeding months, leaving the current 26

OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURE, STATE OF GALLFORNIA

bid opening date for Parcel 21 for the 9th of June still available for industry to submit bids. 2 The situation becomes complicated mechanically only 3 because, to comply with the statute, it is required that the 4 Commission authorize the termination of the prior offer, to 6 cancel the publication, and to re-authorize the offering at a 6 7 later date of the same parcels which the Commission had previously authorized. 8 MR. HURLEY: That's what -- north of Huntington 9 10 Beach? MR. HORTIG: They are scattered. Actually, one is 11 12 in Orange County and one in Ventura County. 13 MR. HURLEY: I'll move withdrawal of the offers. 14 GOV. ANDERSON: Second, and so ordered. 15 Item 13 -- Approval of resolution, oil and gas lease, City of Los Angeles, Santa Monica Bay, Los Angeles 16 17 County. Frank? 18 MR. HORTIG: By Statutes of 1959 the Legislature 19 required that any grantee of tide and submerged lands from 20 the State of California, who thereafter wished to offer tide 21 and submerged lands for oil and gas lease, would -- in addi-22 tion to complying with their municipal code and their charter 23 if a chartered city -- also be required to secure advance 24 approval of the State Lands Commission as to the form and 25 method under which it was proposed to lease such granted tide 26

and submerged lands. Subsequently, after bids are received

OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURE, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

1

on such an approved lease offer, the bids must also be approved
 by the State Lands Commission prior to issuance of a lease.

Following this procedure, it is the desire of the
City of Los Angeles to call for bids on two parcels of tide
and submerged lands lying on lands previously granted primarily/
to the City of Venice, subsequently annexed to the City of Los
Angeles, in the Santa Monica Bay area easterly of the City of
Santa Monica,

In accordance with the statutory requirements, the 9 10 City of Los Angeles has adopted a resolution setting forth the proposed requirements of the lease, the lease offer, and all 11 matters required both by local ordinance and by the State 12 13 statutes; and the request before the Lands Commission today -and it is recommended by the staff -- is that the resolution 14 15 as adopted by the City and the procedure to be carried on by 18 the City of Los Angeles be approved and authorized by the 17 Statë Lands Commission,

18 GOV. ANDERSON: How does this fit with the law 19 prohibiting drilling offshore in Santa Monica Bay?

MR, HORTIG: Uniquely, Governor, the portions of
Division 6 of the Public Resources Code prohibiting the offering of oil and gas leases by the State Lands Commission, except
to protect State lands against drainage, apply only to Stateowned tide and submerged lands; do not apply to granted tide
and submerged lands. So we do have the anomaly that the City
of Los Angeles and other grantees in Santa Monica Bay are

1988 HORI 1464 180H 08

56 authorized to go forward in the development of tide and sub-1 2 merged lands in a manner which the State is not authorized 3 to do. 4 GOV. ANDERSON: What lands are we talking about 5 that are granted in this place? You have only shown Parcels 6 1 and 2 off Santa Monica and off El Segundo. 7 MR. HORTIG: Actually, this comprises the entire 8 grant to the City of Los Angeles, inasmuch as it is comprised 9 of the tidelands between El Segundo and the City of Santa 10 Monica, 11 GOV. ANDERSON: Then, the lands off Redondo, Paso 12 Robles -- are they in the same situation? 13 MR. HORTIG: Yes, they have been granted to the 14 municipalities. 15 GOV. ANDERSON: Are they in the same position as 16 the City of Los Angeles? MR. HORTIG: Yes, sir, 17 GOV. ANDERSON: So the only one where the State law 18 applies is El Segundu? 19 MR HORTIG: Yes, this is correct -- because the 20 cide and submerged lands are still under the jurisdiction of 21 the State Lands Commission, In the asse of the City of 22 Santa Monica itself, there has been no proposed development 23 of the tide and submerged lands because the City of Santa 24 Monica, while it has a grant and has no State statutory pro-26 hibition against or limitation against leasing, does have a 28 municipal ordinance -- an initiative ordinance prohibiting

OFFICE OF ADAUNISTRATIVE PROCEDURE, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

57

drilling.

1

لاستعنى

GOV. ANDERSON: This morning or some time, I read
somewhere that the courts had upheld the State law in Santa
Monica.

MR. HORTIG: That was the City's ordinance prohibiting drilling.

GOV. ANDERSON: Then the State law only applies to
the City of El Segundo in the whole bay?

9 MR. HORTIG: And westerly of Santa Monica, where
10 there are no tidelands grants; in other words, from the City
11 of Santa Monica westerly.

12 GOV. ANDERSON: Are we going to have development of 13 fields off all of these cities?

MR. HORTIG: This is possible at the option of the cities.

16 GOV. ANDERSON: But it is also at our option if 17 there is a resolution for us to approve. What happens if we 18 don't approve this?

MR. HORTIG: Then there could be no legal procedure and no legal lease offering by the cities on the granted lands if the Lands Commission does not approve.

22 GOV. ANDERSON: This is something I'd like to have 23 another look at, Frank.

MR. HORTIG: I am looking for the representative of the City of Los Angeles. The Petroleum Administrator for the City of Los Angeles, Mr. Arthur Spaulding, is here, Mr.

OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURE, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Chairman, and I think the viewpoint and the proposals and
 the program of the City should be detailed for you by him.

3 MR. SPAULDING: Mr. Chairman, this program represents the first effort by the City of Los Angeles to initiate 4 6 leasing in Santa Monica Bay on these lands granted by the State to the City. The program that we have devised involves 6 7 lands seaward one mile from shore. We have divided the land 8 into two parcels, each consisting of about 3,500 acres. This 9 will be the first phase -- which will be from the city limits 10 of the City of Santa Monica to the City of El Segundo, This 11 effort is necessary to develop the lands in connection with 12 upland leases at the present time,

We would be delighted to answer questions on this.
GOV. ANDERSON: Are there exploratory results that
indicate there is oil off these shores? Haven't you been involved in this, Frank?

MR. HORTIG: No, sir -- not on this specific land
because, again, these are granted lands that are administered
by the City of Los Angeles and on which we have only such
information as is relayed to the State Lands Commission by
the City of Los Angeles.

22 GOV. ANDERSON: Did we not, though, approve some 23 geodetic surveys off Santa Monica?

24 MR, HORTIG: No, sir -- never in connection with
 25 granted lands, The surveys in Santa Monica Bay are always
 26 for those lands under the State Lands Commission's jurisdiction,

OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURE, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

INTELEDA THE TROM ONT

GOV, ANDERSON: So this means El Segundo? MR. HORTIG: El Segundo and west of the City of Santa Monica. 59

GCV. ANDERSON: When we granted those exploration permits I don't think it was ever clarified. I understood they were doing their exploration in Santa Monica Bay and it wasn't limited to El Segundo aúd the Malibu coast.

8 MR. HORTIG: It was limited to those lands under
9 the Lands Commission's jurisdiction.

10 MR. SPAULDING: Concerning your question as to the 11 prospective (il and gas accumulations in Santa Monica Bay, 12 there is every reason to believe that there and such accumu-13 lations. It is not our plan to include these lands. We have 14 other problems on which we have to think before offering the 15 inshore mile. This is the reason we have offered the two 16 parcels seaward one mile, the extent of the City's ownership, 17 as the original offer.

As you may recall from that map before you, if I 18 19 am not incorrect there is indicated the oil field at Venice 20 itself. You will find that on the reference map. Perhaps 21 you are familiar, Governor Anderson, with the oil field that 22 is located on the Venice peninsula itself. That has been 23 there Jince 1930. It is our effort at this time to commence 24 a program which eventually would lead to the development of 25 the region, so there will be no longer any drainage taking 26 place from our lands lying offshore.

OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURE, STATE OF GALIFORNIA

1

2

3

4

5

8

1 Subsequent to the development of the Venice oil 2 field, other developments have taken place on the shoreline 3 immediately north of the Venice peninsula. Where you see 4 that small projection where the word "beach" appears - -"Beach Survey Control Line" -- that small projection seaward 5 6 there are several operators who have taken very strong lease-7 hold positions on shore, and it is our intent that the City 8 of Los Angeles should place itself in a position to offset any development the onshore developers may propose. This is, 9 10 again, why we urge your approval of our present proposal.

60

MR. GOLDIN: Mr. Chairman, may I make an observation? I don't purport to be dogmatic about this because this is a problem which has been raised by Governor Anderson as of this moment, but the action is this:

15 That where you have a grant of tide and submerged 18 lands in trust to a city, it is a determination for that 17 municipal grantee to decide for itself whether or not to 18 proceed with oil exploitation. If it so decides to proceed 19 with offers for lease, then certain statutory formalities 20 have been prescribed by the Legislature. One of these formali-21 ties has been the declaration in the form of resolution of the 22 notice of intention to offer for lease, The contents of that 23 resolution are prescribed in Section 7058.5 of the Public 24 Resources Code, Then, Section 7060 provides that "No such 25 lease or agreement shall be effective unless prior to adopt-26 ing the resolution provided for by Section 7058.5 the city

04

19. 19.

OFFICE OF AMMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURE, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

"shall have petitioned the State Lands Commission for approval of the proposed resolution, and the proposed re-lution shall have been approved by the State Lands Commission."

61

4 I believe that it may well constitute an abuse of 5 discretion on the part of the State Lands Commission to refuse 6 to approve a resolution which meets the form of the statutory 7 formalities. In other words, Governor, what I am saying is 8 that in my opinion I believe the determination as to whether 9 or not to proceed with the leasing of granted trust lands is 10 one for the municipality to make, in which event it is incum? 11 bent upon the Lands Commission to see that certain Statutory 12 formalities and statutory safeguards are observed,

13 GOV, ANDERSON: With all regard to what he has 14 said, I don't want to vote for this until I look it over. 15 You may call it a formality but, in effect, when we approve 1.6 it we are approving something I am not aware of and I am not 17 sure other people were aware of it, either. I was under the 18 impression that the State land covered Santa Monica Bay. I 19 didn't think we were going to be faced with the same situation 20 in the Santa Monica Bay, with the exception of El Segundo, 21 that we have in Orange County and other places; and I am not 22 sure the other Commissioners are aware of this because I am 23 about as close to this as anyone can be.

MR. SPAULDING: May I say, Governor Anderson, we are trying to protect this against drainage.

GOV. ANDERSON: I know what you are trying to

24

25

26

1

2

1 protect. You are trying to protect your lands from upland 2 people who are starting drilling. 3 MR. SPAULDING: Yes. 4 GOV. ANDERSON: And I am in sympathy with you, but 5 I want to know what is going to happen along that bay. If 6 you start yours, it will start all along that bay; and I am 7 not too sure we want this. This is a legislative thing and 8 I thought the Legislature had spoken, but apparently not. 9 MR. SPAULDING: Also, Governor, the City has by 10 Council action of September last year determined that these 11 steps should be taken by the City.

62

12 GOV. ANDERSON: Why did this come to us as a supple-13 mental item? This wasn't ready to be on the agenda you 14 passed out to us?

15 MR. HORTIG: That is correct Governor, for the 16 reason that while the staff review as to the technical com-17 nliance with the statutes -- that is, as to the proposed 18 technical phases of the procedures #- as outlined in the 19 resolution had been completed; --- concurrently we had the 20 Office of the Attorney General so loaded with work, particu-21 larly in connection with legislation, that we did not receive 22 the Attorney General's Office opinion with respect to the 23 legal compliance.

GOV. ANDERSON: But you knew of this in the last two or three weeks?

MR. HORTIG: Yes, sir.

28

18081-404 1-64 180M DAT

OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE PROG DURE, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

GOV. ANDERSON: Then it would seem to me you
should have notified the Commission something like this was
coming up. This is not a little thing.

4 MR. HORTIG: Under the normal circumstances, we 5 would have expected not having it ready for the regular calendar, because we did not have the Attorney General's 8 7 opinion and we would have proposed this item fully in accord-8 ance with the regular procedure for the June meeting; but 9 because of other deadlines and times that are desired to be 10 met, or were desired to be met, by the City of Los Angeles 12 and at the request of Mr. Spaulding, we indicated that if 12 we did receive the Attorney General's opinion in time so that 13 we could present this matter at this medting we would present 14 it as a supplemental item.

15 GOV. ANDERSON: According to the calendar you sent 16 me a week ago, it was not on there and I was ready to adjourn. 17 I am sure this is something that Alan and Mr. Champion would 18 want to think about a little, In connection with Mr. Hurley 19 and myself, I am not saying there is anything we can do but 20 approve this, but I say when we approve this, maybe some of 21 the people in the Legislature should be talked to, as to 22 whether this was their intent. I did not know that that 23 bill I thought applied to Santa Monica Bay applied only to El 24 Segundo and offshore Malibu,

25 MR. SPAULDING: One further comment, Governor
 26 Anderson: In my letter which transmitted this proposal to

404 1-44 120H OUP

| 9 5 | 64 |
|--------|---|
| ı | the State Lands Commission, I think copies were sent to |
| 2 | members of the State Lands Commission. |
| 3 | MR. SIEROTY: That's true. |
| 4 | GOV. ANDERSON: Let's continue this until our next |
| ō | meeting. |
| 6 | Item 14 is the status of legislation. |
| 7 | (MR, HORTIG: In view of the fact that the closing |
| 8 | deadline for preparation of status is May 22nd, prior to the |
| 9 | time of adjournment of the Legislature, this is informative |
| 10 | only. A final status of legislation report, indicating those |
| 11 | areas of additional assignment of responsibility to the Lands |
| 12 | Commission or otherwise affecting the administration of the |
| 13 | Lands Commission, a complete and final report, will appear as |
| 14 | part of the June agenda of the Lands Commission. |
| 1,5 | GOV. ANDERSON: Reconfirmation of date, time and |
| 16 | place of next Commission meeting Wednesday, June 24, 1964, |
| 17 | ten a.m., Sacramento. |
| 18 | MR. HURLEY: Move. |
| 19 | GOV. ANDERSON: It has been moved and seconded, |
| SÓ | so ordered. |
| ST | |
| 22 | ADJOURNED 12:12 p.m. ****** |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| 26 | |
| | |

Å.

0.50

Í

OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURE. STATE OF CALIFORNIA

ац. С

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

I, LOUISE H. LILLICO, reporter for the Office of
Administrative Procedure, hereby certify that the foregoing
sixty-four pages contain a full, true and correct transcript
of the shorthand notes taken by me in the meeting of the
STATE LANDS COMMISSION held in Los Angeles, California on
May 28, 1964.

Dated: Los Angeles, California, June 4, 1964.

und

OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURE, STATE OF CALIFORNIA