# CALENDAR ITEM 103

A Federal 02/20/15

S Federal S. Pemberton

CONSIDER OPPOSING FEDERAL LEGISLATION THAT WOULD PREEMPT STATES' AUTHORITY TO ADDRESS VESSEL DISCHARGES AND ELIMINATE THE LONG-STANDING ABILITY OF STATES TO PROTECT THEIR WATERS FROM INVASIVE SPECIES INTRODUCTIONS

#### INTRODUCTION:

State Lands Commission (Commission) staff has been reviewing various legislative proposals introduced in the 114<sup>th</sup> United States Congress that involve lands and resources under the Commission's jurisdiction. This report describes the Vessel Incidental Discharge Act (S. 373, introduced by Senator Rubio) and proposes a Resolution for the Commission to consider adopting in opposition to this bill.

#### **LEGISLATIVE PROPOSAL:**

#### S. 373 (Senator Rubio) Vessel Incidental Discharge Act:

#### SUMMARY:

S. 373 would preempt states' authority to protect their waters from the threat of nonindigenous species introductions by establishing a uniform, national standard for regulating discharges incidental to the normal operation of a vessel, including ballast water. S. 373 would undo California's past work to protect its water from the threat of nonindigenous species and halt similar current efforts.

#### **BACKGROUND:**

California's coast and waterways are among the most beautiful in the world. From the coast of Humboldt, to the San Francisco Bay, to the southern California beaches and San Diego Bay, millions of people visit California's coasts and estuaries each year, spending money on tourism and recreational activities that are directly related to the health of coastal ecosystems. Ocean-based tourism and recreation industries accounted for almost \$17 billion of California's gross state product in 2011 (NOEP

# CALENDAR ITEM NO. 103 (CONT'D)

2014), and direct expenditures for recreational beach activities alone likely exceed \$3 billion each year (Pendleton and Kildow 2006). In total, California had the second largest ocean-based GDP in the United States, and ranked number one for employment and second in wages (NOEP 2014). International trade is a major component of California's ocean-based economy.

According to the California Association of Port Authorities, international trade involving California's ports account for nearly 25 percent of the state's economy. California is a major gateway for products entering and leaving the United States. Many goods moving through California ports, such as industrial and postconsumer secondary materials, originated in other states. As vessels move from port to port around the world, so do the organisms that attach or are entrained in those vessels. It is estimated that more than 7,000 species are moved around the world on a daily basis due to shipping activity. In California, ships are responsible for the introduction of approximately 81% of invasive species in coastal waters.

Invasive species introductions from vessels are a significant threat to the health and safety of state waters, and the international trade, tourism and recreation they support. Invasive species harm human health, the economy, and have a significant negative impact on ecological health. In recognition of the importance of protecting state waters from invasive species, the Legislature in 1999 directed the Commission to create a program to manage vessel discharges of ballast water and prevent invasive species release into California waters. Like California, other states vulnerable to invasive species have established programs to manage vectors of introduction. These programs are consistent with the structure of federal laws that authorize states to regulate their own waters, such as the Nonindigenous Aquatic Nuisance Prevention and Control Act of 1990, reauthorized as the National Invasive Species Act (NISA), and the federal Clean Water Act (CWA).

In California, vessel discharges are addressed through the state's Marine Invasive Species Program, which is administered by the Commission. As directed by the Legislature, this program develops and implements, in collaboration with other entities, practical and progressive strategies to limit the introduction and spread of invasive species from vessels in ballast water and, more recently, vessel biofouling. In enacting this program, the Legislature intended for California to take action to protect its waters from invasive species, rather than relying on a one-size-fits-all national standard. This approach is consistent with NISA and the CWA, both of which specifically preserve states' authority to regulate their waters.

### CALENDAR ITEM NO. 103 (CONT'D)

#### S. 373

S. 373 would preempt and effectively eliminate states' existing authority to protect their waters from the threat of invasive species through state specific discharge standards. S. 373 instead creates less protective uniform national standards and requirements for the regulation of discharges incidental to the normal operation of a vessel. States with existing laws may request approval from the Secretary to adopt more stringent standards, but the short time frame (90 days after the Act is enacted) and onerous requirements make it virtually impossible for states to meet the requisite criteria.

The national standard required under S. 373 would supersede any permitting requirement or ban on discharges incidental to the normal operation of a vessel under any other provision of law, including those adopted pursuant to California law through the Marine Invasive Species Program administered by the Commission. S. 373 would require the Secretary of the department in which the Coast Guard is operating to administer and enforce the uniform national discharge standards and requirements. States would be authorized, but not required, to enforce the national standards and requirements.

In terms of ballast water management requirements, S. 373 applies the standards for Living Organisms in Ships' Ballast Water Discharged in U.S. Waters: 77 Fed. Reg. 17254 (March 23, 2012), as corrected by the final rule, as the uniform management requirements for ballast water discharge incidental to the normal operation of a vessel. This standard applies until the Secretary revises the ballast water performance standard or adopts a more stringent state standard after consideration of a state petition. Subject to a feasibility review, the Secretary would be required to issue a final rule revising, but not necessarily strengthening, the ballast water performance standard by January 1, 2022. The bill specifies the parameters for the final performance standards.

Two years after the date of enactment of the Act, the Secretary would be required to issue a final rule establishing best management practices for discharges incidental to the normal operation of a vessel other than ballast water.

#### OTHER PERTINENT INFORMATION:

It is imperative that states continue to have the authority to safeguard their unique waters from the threat of invasive species. The preemption provisions in S. 373 would effectively dismantle California's existing authority to prevent the introduction of marine invasive species from large vessels.

## CALENDAR ITEM NO. 103 (CONT'D)

- S. 373 was introduced in the Senate on February 4, 2015, and has been referred to the Senate Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation. The legislation currently has two cosponsors.
- S. 373 is nearly identical to S. 2094 that was introduced by Senator Begich in the 113<sup>th</sup> United States Congress. S. 2094 did not pass out of the Senate. At its June 2, 2014, public meeting, the Commission adopted a resolution in opposition to S. 2094.

#### **RECOMMENDED ACTION:**

It is recommended that the Commission:

1. Resolve to oppose federal legislation that would preempt states' authority to address incidental vessel discharges and eliminate the long-standing ability of states to protect their waters from invasive species by adopting the Resolution in opposition to S. 373 attached hereto as Exhibit A.

#### Exhibit A

# RESOLUTION BY THE CALIFORNIA STATE LANDS COMMISSION OPPOSING FEDERAL LEGISLATION THAT WOULD PREEMPT STATES' AUTHORITY TO ADDRESS INCIDENTAL VESSEL DISCHARGES AND ELIMINATE THE LONG-STANDING ABILITY OF STATES TO PROTECT THEIR WATERS FROM INVASIVE SPECIES INTRODUCTIONS

**WHEREAS,** the California State Lands Commission has exclusive jurisdiction over school lands and approximately 4 million acres of tide and submerged lands owned by the state, including approximately 120 navigable rivers and sloughs, 40 navigable lakes, tidal bays, inlets, straits, lagoons and estuaries, and along the state's over 1,100 miles of coastline and offshore islands from the mean high tide line to three nautical miles offshore; and,

**WHEREAS,** the people of California are guaranteed the right to enjoy these waters by the State Constitution and common law and all of these waterways are held by the State as trustee of a public trust for the benefit of the people; and,

**WHEREAS**, California's most unique and valuable asset is its coastal and bay waters and resources; and,

**WHEREAS**, nonindigenous species can have severe ecological, economic, and human health impacts on California's coastal and bay waters; and,

**WHEREAS**, the rate of species introductions, and thus the risk of invasion by species with detrimental impacts, has increased significantly during recent decades, with the San Francisco Bay Estuary being one of the most heavily invaded estuaries in the world; and,

**WHEREAS**, the costs of addressing nonindigenous species in California waters is immense, with tens of millions of dollars already having been spent to control zebra and quagga mussels in California since the species were first identified; costs which represent only a fraction of the expected cumulative costs over time because eradication is not possible and control is an ongoing expense; and,

**WHEREAS**, effective policies to reduce the risk of species introductions differ from state to state and coast to coast due to unique, region-specific geographies and associated biological, chemical and physical conditions; consequently, federal policies that intend to reduce the risk of nonindigenous species introductions broadly across all ports in the United States may not be the most protective or appropriate policies for California; and,

#### Exhibit A

**WHEREAS,** in 1999, the Legislature enacted the Ballast Water Management for Control of Nonindigenous Species Act to address concerns about discharges of nonindigenous species from vessels into state waters; and,

**WHEREAS**, the California Marine Invasive Species Act of 2003 renewed and expanded the Ballast Water Management for Control of Nonindigenous Species Act of 1999, charging the California State Lands Commission with oversight and administration of the state's program to prevent or minimize the release of nonindigenous species from vessels that are 300 gross registered tons and above and requiring the Commission to expeditiously eliminate discharge of invasive species into waters of the state; and,

**WHEREAS**, to minimize the threat of nonindigenous species introductions, the Commission's Marine Invasive Species Program uses an inclusive, multi-faceted approach to: develop sound, science-based policies in consultation with technical experts and stakeholders; track and analyze ballast water and vessel biofouling management practices of the California commercial fleet; enforce laws and regulations to prevent introductions; and facilitate outreach to promote information exchange among scientists, legislators, regulators, and other stakeholders; and,

**WHEREAS**, the risk of nonindigenous species introduction to California is influenced by California's specific vessel traffic patterns, vessel ballasting operations, and vessel biofouling management practices; and,

**WHEREAS**, effective policies to reduce the risk of species introductions to California must take these nonindigenous species introduction risk factors into consideration, and federal policies that intend to reduce the risk of nonindigenous introduction broadly across all ports in the United States may not be the most protective or appropriate policies for California; and,

**WHEREAS,** S. 373 would eliminate California's authority to protect its waters from the threat of nonindigenous species introductions by establishing a uniform, national standard for regulating ballast water and other discharges incidental to the normal operation of a vessel, preempting states from instituting stricter state policies that address unique environmental resources; and,

**WHEREAS**, while S. 373 does include a mechanism for states to petition to preserve existing regulatory programs, the criteria and short time frame to petition makes reaching this threshold virtually impossible; and,

#### Exhibit A

**WHEREAS,** it is essential that states continue to have the authority to safeguard their own unique waters from the threat of nonindigenous species; and,

**WHEREAS**, the California State Lands Commission, as the agency charged with moving California expeditiously toward elimination of the discharge of nonindigenous species into the waters of the state or into waters that may impact the waters of the State; now therefore, be it

**RESOLVED BY THE CALIFORNIA STATE LANDS COMMISSION** that it opposes S. 373, which would preempt state authority to regulate incidental vessel discharges in state waters, thereby dismantling California's Marine Invasive Species Program and reducing California's protection from invasive species introductions into state waters; and be it further

**RESOLVED**, that the Commission's Executive Officer transmit copies of this resolution to the President and Vice President of the United States, to the Governor of California, to the Majority and Minority Leaders of the United States Senate, to the Speaker and Minority Leader of the United States House of Representatives, and to each Senator and Representative from California in the Congress of the United States.