MINUTE ITEM
This Calendar Item No. <u>C50</u>
was approved as Minute Item
No. <u>50</u> by the State Lands
Commission by a vote of <u>3</u>
to <u>at its //-26-0/</u>
meeting.

## CALENDAR ITEM C50

A 67

11/26/01

S 35

PRC 8241

J. Porter

# CONSIDER RESCINDING PRIOR AUTHORIZATION FOR GENERAL LEASE - PROTECTIVE STRUCTURE USE AND APPLICATION FOR A NEW GENERAL LEASE - PROTECTIVE STRUCTURE USE

#### APPLICANT:

John D. Brady, Jr., Trustee of the Brady Qualified Personal Residence Trust U/A dated June 17, 1997, James Brady, Trustee 16681 Carousel Lane Huntington Beach, CA 92649

## AREA, LAND TYPE, AND LOCATION:

Those State-owned submerged lands in Huntington Harbour, City of Huntington Beach, lying within a strip of land ten feet in width waterward of and immediately adjacent to Lot 144 of Tract 5481, as shown on a Map recorded in Book 215, pages 11 - 22 of Miscellaneous Map, records of Orange County.

#### **AUTHORIZED USE:**

Repair of an existing bulkhead that may include all or some of the following: (1) existing pile repair; (2) placement of sheet piles; and (3) installation of rock slope protection.

#### LEASE TERM

Ten years, beginning November 1, 2000.

#### CONSIDERATION:

The public health and safety; with the State reserving the right at any time to set a monetary rent if the Commission finds such action to be in the State's best interest.

## SPECIFIC LEASE PROVISIONS:

Insurance:

Liability insurance with coverage of no less than \$1,000,000.

CALENDAR PAGE COZAS

## CALENDAR ITEM NO. C50 (CONT'D)

## OTHER PERTINENT INFORMATION:

- Applicant owns the uplands adjoining the lease premises.
- 2. At is meeting on November 27, 2000, pursuant to Minute Item 34, the Commission authorized a General Lease Protective Structure Use to John D. Brady, Jr., Trustee of the Brady Family Trust, for repair of an existing bulkhead adjacent to Lot 144 of Tract 5481, Huntington Harbour, Huntington Beach, Orange County. Staff was subsequently informed that on June 17, 1997, the ownership of Lot 144 of Tract 5481 was transferred to John D. Brady, Jr., Trustee of the Brady Qualified Personal Residence Trust U/A dated June 17, 1997, James Brady, Trustee. Staff is recommending that the Commission rescind the prior General Lease Protective Structure Use and authorize a new General Lease Protective Structure Use to John D. Brady, Jr., Trustee of the Brady Qualified Personal Residence Trust U/A dated June 17, 1997, James Brady, Trustee.
- Rescinding the Prior Lease:

Pursuant to the Commission's delegation of authority and the State CEQA Guidelines (Title 14, California Code of Regulations, section 15060 (c)(3)), the staff has determined that this activity is not subject to the provisions of the CEQA because it is not a "project" as defined by the CEQA and the State CEQA Guidelines.

Authority: Public Resources Code section 21065 and Title 14, California Code of Regulations, sections 15060 (c)(3) and 15378.

4. <u>Protective Structure</u>:

A Mitigated Negative Declaration and Mitigation Monitoring Program were prepared and adopted for this project by the City of Huntington Beach. The Commission's staff has reviewed such documents

5. This activity involves lands identified as possessing significant environmental values pursuant to Public Resources Code sections 6370 et seq. Based on staff's consultation with the persons monitoring such lands and through the CEQA review process, it is the staff's opinion that the project, as proposed, is consistent with its use classification.

CALENDAR PAGE 00250
MINUTE PAGE

## CALENDAR ITEM NO. C50 (CONT'D)

#### **EXHIBITS:**

- A. Location Map
- B. Land Description
- C. Notice of Determination
- D. Mitigation Measures

## PERMIT STREAMLINING ACT DEADLINE:

N/A

#### RECOMMENDED ACTION:

IT IS RECOMMENDED THAT THE COMMISSION:

#### **CEQA FINDING:**

#### RESCINDING THE PRIOR LEASE:

FIND THAT THE ACTIVITY IS NOT SUBJECT TO THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE CEQA PURSUANT TO TITLE 14, CALIFORNIA CODE OF REGULATIONS, SECTION 15060 (c)(3) BECAUSE THE ACTIVITY IS NOT A PROJECT AS DEFINED BY PUBLIC RESOURCES CODE SECTION 21065 AND TITLE 14, CALIFORNIA CODE OF REGULATIONS, SECTION 15378.

#### PROTECTIVE STRUCTURE:

FIND THAT A MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION AND MITIGATION MONITORING PROGRAM WERE PREPARED AND ADOPTED FOR THIS PROJECT BY THE CITY OF HUNTINGTON BEACH AND THAT THE COMMISSION HAS REVIEWED AND CONSIDERED THE INFORMATION CONTAINED THEREIN.

ADOPT THE MITIGATION MONITORING PROGRAM AS DESCRIBED IN THE SEPTEMBER 14, 2000, NOTICE OF ACTION PREPARED BY THE CITY OF HUNTINGTON BEACH, A COPY OF WHICH IS ON FILE IN THE SACRAMENTO OFFICE OF THE COMMISSION.

## SIGNIFICANT LANDS INVENTORY FINDING:

FIND THAT THIS ACTIVITY IS CONSISTENT WITH THE USE CLASSIFICATION DESIGNATED BY THE COMMISSION FOR THAT LAND PURSUANT TO PUBLIC RESOURCES CODE SECTIONS 6370, ET SEQ.

CALENDAR PAGE 00251


MINUTE PAGE 200000


## CALENDAR ITEM NO. C50 (CONT'D)

#### **AUTHORIZATION:**

RESCIND THAT PART OF THE PRIOR AUTHORIZATION FOR A GENERAL LEASE - PROTECTIVE STRUCTURE USE CONTAINED IN MINUTE ITEM C34 MEETING OF NOVEMBER 27, 2000 COVERING APPLICANT JOHN D. BRADY JR., TRUSTEE OF THE BRADY FAMILY TRUST AND AUTHORIZE ISSUANCE TO JOHN D. BRADY, JR., TRUSTEE OF THE BRADY QUALIFIED PERSONAL FAMILY RESIDENCE TRUST U/A DATED JUNE 17, 1997, JAMES BRADY, TRUSTEE OF A GENERAL LEASE - PROTECTIVE STRUCTURE USE, BEGINNING NOVEMBER 1, 2000, FOR A TERM OF TEN YEARS, FOR REPAIR OF AN EXISTING BULKHEAD ADJACENT TO LOT 144 OF TRACT 5481, THAT MAY INCLUDE ALL OR SOME OF THE FOLLOWING: (1) EXISTING PILE REPAIR; (2) PLACEMENT OF SHEET PILES; (3) INSTALLATION OF ROCK SLOPE PROTECTION ON THE LAND DESCRIBED ON EXHIBIT B ATTACHED AND BY THIS REFERENCE MADE A PART HEREOF; THE PUBLIC HEALTH AND SAFETY, WITH THE STATE RESERVING THE RIGHT AT ANY TIME TO SET A MONETARY RENT IF THE COMMISSION FINDS SUCH ACTION TO BE IN THE STATE'S BEST INTEREST; LIABILITY INSURANCE WITH COVERAGE OF NOT LESS THAN \$1,000,000.

-4-





#### **EXHIBIT B**

Those state owned submerged lands lying within a strip of land ten feet in width waterward of and immediately adjacent to Lot 144 of Tract 5481 as shown on a Map recorded in Book 215, pages 11 - 22 of Miscellaneous Map, records of Orange County.

CALENDAR PAGE MINUTE PAGE

To:	TICE OF DETE	AUMIN
	Office of Planning and P	From:
_	Office of Planning and Resea 1400 Tenth Street, Room 121	City of Huitington Beach
	Sacramento, Ca 95814	Planning Department
	5251amento, Ca 93814	2000 Main St., 3rd Fir
	Orange County Clerk Recorde	Unat a vo
	Public Services Division	- Omec
	P.O. Box 238	☐ Fee: Exempt per Govt. Code Section 6103
	Santa Ana, CA 92702	
SUBJE	CCT: Filing of Notice of D Public Resources Co	Determination in compliance with Section 21108 or 21152 of the
<b>.</b>		
rroje	ct Title: Negative Declarat	ion No. 00-05 (Humboldt & Trinidad Island Seawall Repairs
State	Clearinghouse #: 200008	1028 Contact Person: Mary Beth BroerenPhone: (714) 536-52
		on Humboldt and Trinidad Islands, Huntington Harbor
Proje	et Description: The project	et would repair the bulkhead on 40 properties on Humboldt
	Table of Properties on Time	uau ISIANO The project is decised as
Culu	and of the omenicad and b	TOVIDE toe protection to inhibit and 6.4
erosio	n. In general, the repairs w	yould be made in three phases: pile and repair, replacement
of she	et piles, and installation of	slope protestion also also also and repair, replacement
proper	ties The first two phases	slope protection, although not all three phases apply to all
ise of	harges and a crops. The	will be completed by divers. The final phase will require
77355 3	and soft bottom balitate. The pi	roject proposes mitigation for potential impacts to eel
2033 6	and soft bottom nabital. Th	ne project is expected to take a year to complete.
	A	f II 1
l his is	to advise that the City of	Huntington Beach Zoning Administrator has
·PP O	Leg the above described t	f Huntington Beach Zoning Administrator has project and has made the following determinations
·PP O	to advise that the <u>City or</u> ved the above described p ling the above described p	Orolect and has made the following July 1990
egard	ling the above described p	project and has made the following determinations project:
egard The	ling the above described project \(\sigma\) will, \(\begin{array}{c}\text{will not}\)	project and has made the following determinations project:  have a significant effect on the environment
egard The	ling the above described possible project will, will not An Environmental Impact	project and has made the following determinations project:  have a significant effect on the environment
egard The	ling the above described project \(\sigma\) will, \(\begin{array}{c}\text{will not}\)	project and has made the following determinations project:
regard The	ling the above described per project \(\sigma\) will, \(\maxstrum\) will not An Environmental Impact is visions of CEQA.	project and has made the following determinations project:  , have a significant effect on the environment.  Report was prepared for this project pursuant to the
regard The	ling the above described project will, will not An Environmental Impact visions of CEQA.  A Negative Declaration was	project and has made the following determinations project:  have a significant effect on the environment
The pro	ing the above described project \(\sigma\) will, \(\sigma\) will not An Environmental Impact visions of CEQA.  A Negative Declaration was visions of CEQA.	project and has made the following determinations project:  , have a significant effect on the environment.  Report was prepared for this project pursuant to the spread of th
regard . The pro pro pro pro . Mit	ing the above described project will, will not An Environmental Impact visions of CEQA. A Negative Declaration was visions of CEQA. igation Measures were	project and has made the following determinations project:  have a significant effect on the environment.  Report was prepared for this project pursuant to the sprepared for this project pursuant to the
regard . The pro pro pro pro . Mit	ing the above described project will, will not An Environmental Impact visions of CEQA. A Negative Declaration was visions of CEQA. igation Measures were	project and has made the following determinations project:  have a significant effect on the environment.  Report was prepared for this project pursuant to the sprepared for this project pursuant to the
The propro	ing the above described project will, will not An Environmental Impact visions of CEQA. A Negative Declaration was visions of CEQA. igation Measures were, tatement of Overriding Contact the statement of Overriding Contact the above described project the statement of Overriding Contact the above described project the above described proj	project and has made the following determinations project:  , have a significant effect on the environment.  Report was prepared for this project pursuant to the  s prepared for this project pursuant to the  were not, made a condition of approval of the project.  nsiderations was, was not, adopted for this project.
The propro	ing the above described plant the above described per project will, will not An Environmental Impact visions of CEQA.  A Negative Declaration was visions of CEQA.  igation Measures were, tatement of Overriding Coutof to certify that the final	project and has made the following determinations project:  , have a significant effect on the environment.  Report was prepared for this project pursuant to the sprepared for this project pursuant to the were not, made a condition of approval of the project.  I Environmental Impact Papert.   Name to
The propro	ing the above described project will, will not An Environmental Impact visions of CEQA. A Negative Declaration was visions of CEQA. In igation Measures were, tatement of Overriding Couto certify that the final cation, with comments and	project and has made the following determinations project:  have a significant effect on the environment.  Report was prepared for this project pursuant to the  s prepared for this project pursuant to the  were not, made a condition of approval of the project.  nsiderations was, was not, adopted for this project.  Environmental Impact Report, Report of the project approval in
The propro	ing the above described project will, will not An Environmental Impact visions of CEQA. A Negative Declaration was visions of CEQA. In igation Measures were, tatement of Overriding Couto certify that the final cation, with comments and	project and has made the following determinations project:  have a significant effect on the environment.  Report was prepared for this project pursuant to the  s prepared for this project pursuant to the  were not, made a condition of approval of the project.  nsiderations was, was not, adopted for this project.  Environmental Impact Report, Report of the project approval in
propro As	ing the above described plant the above described plant in project will, will not An Environmental Impact visions of CEQA. A Negative Declaration was visions of CEQA. Igation Measures were, tatement of Overriding Couto certify that the final cation, with comments and the final cation, with comments are cations.	project:  , have a significant effect on the environment.  Report was prepared for this project pursuant to the  s prepared for this project pursuant to the  were not, made a condition of approval of the project.  nsiderations was, was not, adopted for this project.  Environmental Impact Report, Regative of responses and record of the project approval is at:
The propro	ing the above described project will, will not An Environmental Impact visions of CEQA. A Negative Declaration was visions of CEQA. In igation Measures were, tatement of Overriding Couto certify that the final cation, with comments and the coutoff of Huntington	project:  , have a significant effect on the environment.  Report was prepared for this project pursuant to the  s prepared for this project pursuant to the  were not, made a condition of approval of the project.  nsiderations was, was not, adopted for this project.  Environmental Impact Report, Regative desponses and record of the project approval is at:  Beach Department of Planning
propro As	ing the above described project will, will not An Environmental Impact visions of CEQA. A Negative Declaration was visions of CEQA. In igation Measures were, tatement of Overriding Couto certify that the final cation, with comments and the coutoff of Huntington	project:  , have a significant effect on the environment.  Report was prepared for this project pursuant to the  s prepared for this project pursuant to the  were not, made a condition of approval of the project.  nsiderations was, was not, adopted for this project.  Environmental Impact Report, Regative of responses and record of the project approval is at:
pro pro Mit As Chis is Declar:	ing the above described plant the above described plant in project will, will not An Environmental Impact visions of CEQA.  A Negative Declaration was visions of CEQA.  Igation Measures were, tatement of Overriding Contact to certify that the final cation, with comments and the comments are comments and the comments and the comments and the comments are comments and the comments are comments.	project:  , have a significant effect on the environment.  Report was prepared for this project pursuant to the  s prepared for this project pursuant to the  were not, made a condition of approval of the project.  nsiderations was, was not, adopted for this project.  Environmental Impact Report, Regative desponses and record of the project approval is at:  Beach Department of Planning
propro A Shis is eclarated	ing the above described plant the above described plant in the project will, will not an Environmental Impact visions of CEQA.  A Negative Declaration was visions of CEQA.	project:  A have a significant effect on the environment.  Report was prepared for this project pursuant to the  s prepared for this project pursuant to the  were not, made a condition of approval of the project.  Insiderations was, was not, adopted for this project.  Environmental Impact Report, Report, Report approval is at:  Beach Department of Planning  Huntington Beach, CA 92648
propro A Shis is eclarated	ing the above described plant the above described plant in project will, will not An Environmental Impact visions of CEQA.  A Negative Declaration was visions of CEQA.  Igation Measures were, tatement of Overriding Contact to certify that the final cation, with comments and the comments are comments and the comments and the comments and the comments are comments and the comments are comments.	project:  , have a significant effect on the environment.  Report was prepared for this project pursuant to the  s prepared for this project pursuant to the  were not, made a condition of approval of the project.  nsiderations was, was not, adopted for this project.  Environmental Impact Report, Regative desponses and record of the project approval is at:  Beach Department of Planning
propro A Since I	ing the above described plant the above described plant in the project will, will not an Environmental Impact visions of CEQA.  A Negative Declaration was visions of CEQA.	project:  A have a significant effect on the environment.  Report was prepared for this project pursuant to the  s prepared for this project pursuant to the  were not, made a condition of approval of the project.  Insiderations was, was not, adopted for this project.  Environmental Impact Report, Report, Report approval is at:  Beach Department of Planning  Huntington Beach, CA 92648
propro As Shis is Peclar vailab	ing the above described plant the above described plant in the project will, will not an Environmental Impact visions of CEQA.  A Negative Declaration was visions of CEQA.	project:  A have a significant effect on the environment.  Report was prepared for this project pursuant to the  s prepared for this project pursuant to the  were not, made a condition of approval of the project.  Insiderations was, was not, adopted for this project.  Environmental Impact Report, Report, Report approval is at:  Beach Department of Planning  Huntington Beach, CA 92648

#### Exhibit D



# OFFICE of the ZONING ADMINISTRATOR CITY OF HUNTINGTON BEACH • CALIFORNIA

P.O. BOX 190

CALIFORNIA 92648

(714) 536-5271

#### NOTICE OF ACTION

September 14, 2000

PETITION DOCUMENT: NEGATIVE DECLARATION NO. 00-05 (HUMBOLDT/TRINIDAD

**ISLANDS**)

APPLICANT:

Fernando Pages, Tetra Tech, Inc., 670 North Rosemead Boulevard,

Pasadena, CA 91107

PROPERTY OWNER:

REQUEST:

104 property owners on Humboldt and Trinidad Islands, Huntington Harbor The project would repair the bulkhead on 40 properties on Humboldt Island and 64 properties on Trinidad Island. The project is designed to restore the foundation of the bulkhead and provide toe protection to inhibit any future scouring or erosion. In general, the repairs would be made in three phases:

pile and repair, replacement of sheet piles, and installation of slope

protection, although not all three phases apply to all properties. The first two phases will be completed by divers. The final phase will require use of barges and a crane. The project proposes mitigation for potential impacts to eelgrass and soft bottom habitat. The project is expected to take a year to

complete.

LOCATION:

Humboldt and Trinidad Islands, Huntington Harbor (northwest Huntington

Reach)

PROJECT PLANNER:

Mary Beth Broeren

Dear Applicant:

Your application was acted upon by the Zoning Administrator of the City of Huntington Beach on September 13, 2000, and your request was <u>Approved with Mitigation</u>. Included in this letter are the Mitigation Measures for this application.

Under the provisions of the Huntington Beach Zoning and Subdivision Ordinance, the action taken by the Zoning Administrator is final unless an appeal is filed to the Planning Commission by you or by an interested party. Said appeal must be in writing and must set forth in detail the action and grounds by which the applicant or interested party deems himself aggrieved. Said appeal must be accompanied by a filing fee of Two Hundred Dollars (\$200.00) if the appeal is filed by a single family dwelling property owner appealing the decision on his own property and Six Hundred Ninety Dollars (\$690.00) if the appeal

i i	
CALENDAR PAGE	-00256
MINUTE PAGE	0.046877

Negative Declaration No. 00-05 Page 2

is filed by any other party. The appeal shall be submitted to the Secretary of the Planning Commission within ten (10) calendar days of the date of the Zoning Administrator's action.

The last day for filing an appeal and paying the filing fee for the above noted application is September 25, 2000.

Provisions of the Huntington Beach Zoning and Subdivision Ordinance are such that any application becomes null and void one (1) year after the final approval, unless actual construction has begun.

#### SUGGESTED FINDINGS FOR APPROVAL - NEGATIVE DECLARATION NO. 00-05

- 1. The Negative Declaration No. 00-05 has been prepared in compliance with Article 6 of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Guidelines. It was advertised and available for a public comment period of thirty (30) days. Comments received during the comment period were considered by the Zoning Administrator prior to action on the Negative Declaration.
- 2. Mitigation measures avoid or reduce the project's effects to a point where clearly no significant effect on the environment will occur. Mitigation measures address water quality, the loss of eel grass and soft bottom habitat and noise. Mitigation measures were generally designed to minimize impacts to homes in the vicinity and the habitat of the Harbor.
- 3. There is no substantial evidence in light of the whole record before the Zoning Administrator that the project, as mitigated, will have a significant effect on the environment. The purpose of the project is to repair seawalls, which reduces flood hazard for the area. Potential impacts resulting from construction of the project are temporary and intermittent or can be adequately mitigated. Mitigation plans have been developed in concert with various regulatory agencies including the Department of Fish and Game and U.S. Army Corps of Engineers.

#### MITIGATION MEASURES FOR ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT NO. 00-05

- 1. During all phases of the project, Best Management Practices (BMPs) will be used to prevent and control turbidity. BMPs may include the installation of a silt curtain.
- 2. If turbidity is observed at a distance of 100-ft. or greater from the actual work site, either the work will be stopped until the water returns to normal or, if deemed necessary, a silt curtain will be installed until turbidity returns to normal.
- 3. A soft bottom mitigation plan has been prepared which consists of improving a 3,410 sq. ft. wetland area in the Bolsa Chica Ecological Reserve in Huntington Beach, California by removing debris, regrading, and repairing the tidal inlet conduit. This plan will be implemented by the project proponents concurrently with the bulkhead repairs.

ACCUPATION OF THE PERSON OF TH	<b>Date</b>
CALENDAR PAGE	00257
MINUTE PAGE	1 42877
and the second of the second o	

- 4. An eelgrass mitigation transplant was completed in June 2000. Tetra Tech, Inc. transplanted 3,600 sq. ft. of eelgrass as mitigation for the bulkhead repair project. This was completed in conjunction with the County of Orange mitigation project for the Sunset Harbor Maintenance Dredging project, pursuant to the County of Orange Eelgrass Mitigation Plan that has been approved by the appropriate agencies. The Plan and transplant are consistent with the Southern California Eelgrass Mitigation Policy. In addition to the initial transplant, the Policy requires conducting mitigation monitoring surveys to evaluate the level of transplant success and conducting additional transplants if the primary transplant does not meet project success criteria.
- 5. An anchor management plan will be prepared and implemented to avoid damage to eelgrass that would not otherwise be impacted.
- 6. All work will be performed on weekdays (Monday to Friday) and hours of operation will be 7:00 a.m. to 3:30 p.m. and in accordance with City of Huntington Beach Municipal Code Section 8.40. No work will be performed on Saturdays, Sundays or federal holidays.

Please be advised that the Zoning Administrator reviews the conceptual plan as a basic request for entitlement of the use applied for in relation to the vicinity in which it is proposed. The conceptual plan should not be construed as a precise plan reflecting conformance to all Code requirements.

I hereby certify that Negative Declaration No. 00-05 was Conditionally Approved by the Zoning Administrator of the City of Huntington Beach, California, on September 13, 2000, upon the foregoing conditions and citations.

Very truly yours,

Mike Strange, Acting

Mike Strum

Zoning Administrator

MS:MBB:rk

xc: Property Owner

CALENDAR PAGE 00258
MINUTE PAGE