CALENDAR ITEM

A 10

: 6

05/01/91 W 23677 Maricle

S 5

GENERAL LEASE - COMMERCIAL USE

APPLICANT:

Vollman-Clark Ranch, a general partnership 953 Keith Drive Roseville, California 95661

AREA, TYPE LAND AND LOCATION:

A 1.139-acre parcel of tide and submerged land in the Sacramento River, Sacramento County.

LAND USE:

Commercial boat storage marina.

Terms of proposed lease:

Initial period:

Twenty-five (25) years beginning June 1, 1991.

Surety bond: \$10,000.

Public liability insurance:

Combined single limit coverage of \$1,000,000.

Special:

Lessee to provide and maintain appropriate waste receptacles for benefit of lease premises.

CONSIDERATION:

\$2,500 per annum; minimum rent or five percent (5%) of gross income per annum, whichever is greater, with the State reserving the right to fix a different rental on each fifth anniversary of the lease.

PASIS FCR CONSIDERATION:

Pursuant to 2 Cal. Code Regs. 2003.

APPLICANT STATUS:

Applicant is owner of upland.

CALENDAR PAGE 24
MINUTE PAGE 159

CALENDAR ITEM NO. 36 (CONT'D)

PREREQUISITE CONDITIONS, PEES, AND EXPENSES: Filing fee has been received.

STATUTORY AND OTHER REFERENCES:

- A. P.R.C.: Div. 6, Parts 1 and 2; Div. 13.
- B. Cal. Code Regs.: Title 2, Div. 3; Title 14, Div. 6.

AB 884:

09/29/91

OTHER PERTINENT INFORMATION:

- 1. A Negative Declaration was prepared and adopted for this project by the County of Sacramento. The County determined that the project, as amended, would not have a significant effect on the environment. The State Lands Commission's staff has reviewed such document.
- 2. The improvements which would be covered under a lease include two access ramps, a header float, pilings, and 30 berths, all as described and shown on Exhibit 6 of the use permit issued by Sacramento County, as referenced in Number 3 of the Conditions of Approval within Exhibit "D" hereto.
- The State Department of Fish and Game, in 1985, recommended denial of the marina project on the premise that the project would interfere with established striped bass trolling, and related boat movements, by fishermen in this area. The Applicant subsequently engaged Mr. George Bruns, licensed by Fish and Game as a guide between 1977 and 1985, who concluded, in a written statement, that the subject area has very little to offer to recreational fishermen and who has repeatedly advised anglers that the most popular and productive trolling areas are downstream of this site. Mr. Bruns has served on the Board of Directors of the California Striped Bass Association, helped in the founding of the Executive Council of the California Striped Bass Association, and founded the Lodi Chapter of the California Striped Bass Association.
- 4. The United States Army Corps of Engineers (Corps) has permitting authority over the proposed project. On January 20, 1987, the Corps issued permit no. 9407,

CALDHOAN MOE 233. 1 MERUTE PAGE 1592

CALENDAR ITEM NO. 36 (CONT'D)

which gave Corps approval to the proposed marina. This permit expired on November 15, 1989; however, the Applicant received a renewal until June 30, 1992. "An environmental assessment prepared by the Corps noted concerns by the Department of Fish and Game, but concluded that impact on the fishing area would be minimal and would be outweighed by the public's need for additional berthing." (EIS Initial Study, 85-UP-830)

- During consideration of this item at the Commission's April 2, 1991 meeting, the Commission directed the staff to make additional inquirles of the lease Applicant regarding the need for and potential alternatives to a marina at the subject location. The Commission further directed the staff, to make a more thorough analysis of the competition between Public Trust uses at this location, and to weigh the objections of the Department of Fish & Game in that context. The Applicant has supplied additional data concerning the proposed project and the need for such a project at this location. Staff members have also made a site inspection to view firsthand the area of the proposed project, along with fishing, navigation, existing improvements and other environmental aspects of the area. The staff is aware that the proposed project presents a difficult conflict between two uses which are clearly encompassed within the broad spectrum of uses allowable under the Public Trust Doctrine. The staff believes, based upor analysis of the additional information supplied by the Applicant and staff's own site inspection and independent analysis of demand for berthing in this part of the delta, that a marina project can be justified in this area. Staff recognizes that there are competing trust uses at many locations throughout the delta and that not all uses can be allowed at each and every site. A demand exists for the proposed berthing at this location. It appears to staff that the competing use of this area as a turning basin for trollers can be met in the area of the Sacramento River that is just downstream of the proposed marina site where the river is actually wider and no encroachments into the channel exist.
- 6. Applicant will be required to execute a reimbursement agreement to cover staff expenses in monitoring a

CALENDAR PAGE 233 · 2 MINUTE PAGE ____ 1593

CALENDAR ITEM NO. 36 (CONT'D)

portion of the construction of the permitted facilities. Mitigation measures adopted by the lead agency call for the installation of an emergency exist ramp to be located so as to preclude removal of any riparian vegetation. A part of the emergency ramp will extend into sovereign lands and is covered in the land description herein.

APPROVALS CETAINED:

County of Sacramento, State Reclamation Board, and United States Army Corps of Engineers.

FURTHER APPROVALS REQUIRED: State Lands Commission.

EXHIBITE:

- A. Land Description.
- B. Location Map.
- C. Notice of Determination with accompanying Negative Declaration.
- D. Use Permit, County of Sacramento.

IT IS RECOMMENDED THAT THE COMMISSION:

- 1. FIND THAT A NEGATIVE DECLARATION (SCH NO. 87061514) WAS PREPARED AND ADOPTED FOR THIS PROJECT BY THE COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO AND THAT THE COMMISSION HAS REVIEWED AND CONSIDERED THE INFORMATION CONTAINED THEREIN.
- 2. REQUIRE VOLLMAN-CLARK RANCH TO EXECUTE A REIMBURSEMENT AGREEMENT TO COVER STAFF MONITORING COSTS RELATIVE TO:
 (1) THE LOCATION OF A PROPOSED EMERGENCY EXIT RAMP SO AS TO PRECLUDE THE REMOVAL OF ANY RIPARIAN VEGETATION FROM SOVEREIGN LANDS; AND (2) THE PRESERVATION OF EXISTING RIPARIAN VEGETATION WITHIN THE LEASE AREA.
- PARTNERSHIP, FOR A 25-YEAR GENERAL LEASE COMMERCIAL USE, BEGINNING JUNE 1, 1991 OR UPON RECEIPT OF THE SIGNED REIMBURSEMENT AGREEMENT REQUIRED IN NO. 2 ABOVE, WHICHEVER IS LATER; IN CONSIDERATION OF A BASE ANNUAL RENT IN THE AMOUNT OF \$2,500 OR FIVE PERCENT OF GROSS INCOME PER ANNUM, WHICHEVER IS GREATER, WITH THE STATE RESERVING THE RIGHT TO FIX A DIFFERENT RENTAL ON EACH FIFTH ANNIVERSARY OF THE LEASE; PROVISION OF A \$10,000 SURETY BOND; PROVISION OF PUBLIC LIABILITY INSURANCE FOR COMBINED SINGLE LIMIT COVERAGE OF \$1,000,000; FOR A 30-BERTH MARINA, TOGETHER

CALENDAR PAGE 273 · 3
MINUTE PAGE 1594

CALENDAR ITEM NO; 36 (CONT'D)

HEREIN AND REFERENCED IN EXHIBIT "D", ALL ON THE LAND DESCRIBED ON EXHIBIT "A" ATTACHED AND BY REFERENCE MADE A PART HEREOF.

-5-

EXHIBIT "A"

W 23677

LAND DESCRIPTION

A parcel of tide and submerged land in the bed of the Sacramento River, Walnut Grove, Sacramento County, California, said parcel lying immediately beneath a dock TOGETHER WITH a necessary use area extending 10 feet from the extremities of said dock, said dock, being adjacent to the land described in the document recorded April 17, 1957, in Book 3283, page 563, of Official Records said County.

EXCEPTING THEREFROM any portion lying landward of the ordinary high water mark of the Sacramento River.

END OF DESCRIPTION

PREPARED AUGUST 24, 1989 BY BIU 1.

CALENDAR PAGE 233 5 MINUTE PAGE 1596




EXHIBIT "C"

NOTICE OF DETERMINATION

70: X	OFFIC 1400	e of Californi E OF PLANNING Tenth Street Emento, CA 95	AND RESEARCH FROM	t: Environmental County of Sacra 827 - 7th Stree Sacramento, C	amento et, Rm. 101
×	Count 720 - Sacra	y Clerk y of Sacramen 9th Street, I mento, CA 958	Rm. 103 314		
SUB	JECT: Fi or	ling of Notice 21152 of the	e of Determination Public Resources	n in compliance wi Code.	th Section 21103
Pr	oject Tit	Te: VOLLMAN	CLARK RANCH USE	PERMIT	Control No.: 85-UP-830
32	ate Clear 870615	•	(If submitted	Contact Person Alcides Freit	Phone No.: 440-7914
1	oject Loc tate High			d on the west and nut Grove Bridge,	
				DW-R zone. Parki ghway 160) within	
L	s is to a	dvise that th	e County of Sacra	mento has made the	following determina-
tio	n regardi	ing the above	described project	: `	following determina-
	n regardi		described project	by: Pròject Pi d on: August 31	lanning Commission
tio	n regardi The pro	ing the above	described project approved disapprove f(Findings	by: Pròject Pi d on: August 31 of overriding con	lanning Commission , 1987 date) siderations attached.)
tio 1.	The pro	ing the above poject has been poject will* will not	described project approved disapprove f(Findings have a sign	by: Pròject Pi d on: August 31	lanning Commission , 1987 date) siderations attached.) the environment.
tio 1. 2.	The pro	ing the above of plect has been been been will not the provision:	described project approved disapprove findings have a sign Impact Report was of CEOA. ration was prepar	by: Project Pi d on: August 31 of overriding connificant effect on	lanning Commission , 1987 date) siderations attached.) the environment. s oroject pursuant
tio 1. 2.	The pro	ing the above object has been been been been been been been bee	described project approved disapprove findings have a sign Impact Report was of CEOA. ration was prepar	by: Project Pr	lanning Commission , 1987 date) siderations attached.) the environment. s project pursuant t pursuant to the
tio 1. 2. 3.	The pro	pject has been will* will not Environmental the provision denative Declary of CE	described project approved disapprove findings have a sign have a sign s of CEOA. ration was prepar OA. were	by: Project Pr	lanning Commission , 1987 date) siderations attached.) the environment. s project pursuant t pursuant to the of the approval ect. Coordinator of mty, State of
tio 1. 2. 3.	The pro The pro An to All pro Mitigat	pject has been will* will not Environmental the provision denative Declary of CE	described project approved disapproved findings have a sign sof CEOA. ration was prepar CA. were findings were findings were findings	by: Project Pr	lanning Commission , 1987 date) siderations attached.) the environment. s project pursuant t pursuant to the of the approval ect. Coordinator of mty, State of

NEGATIVE DECLARATION

Pursuant to Division 6, Title 14, Chapter 3, Article 6, Sections 15070 and 15071 of the California Administrative Code and pursuant to the Procedures for Preparation and Processing of Environmental Impact Reports adopted by the County of Sacramento pursuant to Sacramento County Ordinance No. SCC-116, the Environmental Coordinator of Sacramento County, State of California, does prepare, make, declare, publish, and cause to be filed with the County Clark of Sacramento County, State of California, this Negative Declaration re: The Project described as follows:

- Title and Short Description of Project:
 VOLLMAN CLAFK RANCH USE PERMIT
 The proposed project consists of a request for a Use Permit to allow a
 30 berth marina in the DN-R zone. Parking would occur on the landside
 of the levee road (State Highway 150) within the GC zone.
- Location of Project and Assessor's Parcel Number:
 The project is located on the west and east sides of State Highway 160
 just south of the Walnut Grove Bridge in Walnut Grove.

APN: 142-0070-028 and 142-0070-029

- 3. Project Proponent: Gilbert Labrie
- 4. Said project will not have a significant effect on the environment for the following reasons:
 - a) It will not have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory.
 - b) It will not have the potential to achieve short-term, to the disadvantage of long-term, environmental goals.
 - c) It will not have impacts which are individually limited, but casulatively considerable.
 - d) It will not have environmental effects which will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly.
- 5. As a result thereof, the preparation of an environmental impact report pursuant to the Environmental Quality Act (Division 13 of the Public Resources Code of the State of California) is not required.
- 6. The attached Initial Study has been performed by the Sacramento County Environmental Impact Section in support of this Negative Declaration. Further information may be obtained by contacting the Section at 827 Security Street, Room 101, Sacramento, California, 95814, or phone (916) 914.

JUN 18 1987

NO 35

By Y. ANDREWS, Depay

Environmental Occidinator of Sacramento County, State of California

Environmental Coordinator

AF/11/12/5/83

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT SECTION INITIAL STUDY

NAME: VOLIMAN - CLARK RANCH USE PERMIT

ASSESSOR'S PARCEL NUMBER: 142-0070-028 and 142-0070-029

CONTROL NUMBER: 85-UP-830

LOCATION: On the west and east sides of State Highway 160, just south of the

Walnut Grove Bridge, in Walnut Grove.

OWNER:

Vollman - Clark Ranch P.O. Box 161086 Sacramento, CA 95816

APPLICANT:

Gilbert Labrie P.O. Box 183 Walnut Grove, CA 95690


I. PROJECT DESCRIPTION:


The proposed project consists of a request for a Use Permit to allow a 30 berth marina in the DW-R zone. Parking would occur on the landside of the levee road (State Highway 160) within the GC zone.

II. ENVIRONMENTAL SETTING:

The project site is located on the west side (right bank) of the Sacramento River, south of the Walnut Grove Bridge, on the : nutheast portion of Grand Island in the Delta area. State Highway 160 (a levee separates the easterly waterward portion of the site (approximately 1.1 acres zoned DW-R) from the westerly landward side of the site (39+ acres). Development on the waterside of the levee consists of a irrigation pump station and an abandoned wooden platform. Vegetation on the waterside of the levee consists of a dense growth of riparian vegetation which includes numerous alders, willow and oak trees along the waterline; berry vines, wild anise and common grasses cover the waterside slope. The landside of the levee is developed with a pear orchard (zoned AG-20) except for commercial and industrial uses adjacent to the levee road. These uses include a grocery store and auto repair business in the GC zone, and an agricultural related industrial use (Wilcox Agricultural Products) in the M-1 zone. Surrounding land uses are agricultural uses (pear orchards) to the west and south, residential and commercial uses to the north, and commercial uses to the east (across the Sacramento

CALENDAR PAGE 233.9
MINUTE PAGE 1600





River). Plates 1 and 2 indicates the site's regional location and zoning within the Delta area.

Background: The project was originally submitted to the County for processing in July, 1985. On August 29, 1985, a determination was made that the project would require an Environmental Impact Report (EIR). Subsequently, the applicant revised the project (April, 1967) to address potentially significant impacts identified by the County. Based on a review of the revised plans a determination has been made that an EIR is not required.

The original development plan included 45 boat berths, a southerly access ramp to the dock, and parking (portion) within the AG-20 zone. Revised plans show 30 boat berths, emergency only access at the southerly portion of the dock and all parking restricted to the CC zone. The project, as revised, would have less impact on riparian vegetation and the waterway and would focus parking uses and pedestrian traffic to a commercial area away from a concerned neighbor farmer to the south.

III. ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS:


See Initial Study Checklist attached to the Negative Declaration and the following discussion.

Land Use: The specific features of the proposed marina include a 567 foot long wooden dock with fifteen 14 foot by 30 foot open berths and fifteen 15 foot by 40 foot open berths (Plate 3). The dock mounted on form floatation will be secured to 12 inch diameter butt end wooden piles spaced 40 feet -apart. - Access to the dock will be from the upstream end by rebuilding an existing wooden platform and construction of a dirt path. The landing will connect to the dock by a metal handicap accessible ramp with railing. A emergency exit rump will be constructed at the downstream end of the dock. Parking will be provided on the landside of the levee and along the levee road (see "Parking" discussion).

The proposed marina is located within the DW-R zone. This zone is defined in the County Zoning Code as follows:

235-140.5 (c). RESTRICTED AREAS. As used in this zoned, "Restricted Areas" are those portions of waterways which are restricted for through navigation by existing docks, marinas, or other development, or are located adjacent to the Delta urban communities; but are located such that expansion of such facilities or additions or similar water-oriented facilities will be compatible with the purpose and standards of this zone. Restricted areas shall be indicated on the comprehensive zoning plans as "DW-R".

CALENDAR PAGE 233, 12 MINUTE PAGE 1603



In the subject case the following restriction would apply:

235-147. LOCATION OF STRUCTURES. Structures may be located within RESTRICTED AREAS as indicated on the zoning maps when extensions into the waterways do not exceed on third of the horizontal distance across the waterway and an unrestricted navigation channel of not less than one hundred feet is maintained.

The proposed boat berths would extend about 55-70 feet (upstream-downstream) into the river leaving a clear channel of about 265 feet between the proposed dock and an existing dock on the opposite bank. The river width at the downstream end of the dock is about 470 feet.

Several agencies have jurisdiction and permitting authority or an interest in the proposed project. These agencies include but may not be limited to the State Reclamation Board, State Lands Commission, State Department of Fish and Game, Army Corps of Engineers, Reclamation District Number 3, and Caltrans. The applicant is required to obtain all of the necessary permits and secure appropriate agreements from those agencies having jurisdiction over the proposed project.

The State Reclamation Board is interested in any action that may affect the levee. The applicant has submitted a permit application to the Board for the proposed marina (No. 14492). It also appears that the applicant will be required to obtain a permit from the State Lands Commission. This agency generally holds title to the beds of navigable waterways up to the high tide line in the Delta area. Final action will be taken on these permits subsequent to environmental review by Sacramento County (lead agency).

The State Department of Fish and Game would have discretionary approval power (i.e. become a responsible agency) over the reject if substantial alteration of a streambed becomes necessary to construct the project. As proposed, the project would not include dredging of the river. In any case, the Department of Fish and Game has expressed an interest in the project because of its proximity to a recreational fishing area. The area south of the Walnut Grove Bridge downstream to Georgiana Slough is heavily used for striped bass fishing. Boat fishing occurs predominantly in the center two thirds of the river. The Department (Mensch) has stated that although the dock structure itself would not significantly affect fishing, the boat traffic associated with the marina would effectively eliminate striped bass fishing in the immediate vicinity of the dock. Based on this concern the Department has indicated their opposition to the proposed project.

CALENDAR PAGE 233.14
MINUTE PAGE 1605

The Army Corps of Engineers (ACCE), the agency with the responsibility of maintaining the navigability of inland waterways, has permitting authority over the proposed project. On January 20, 1987 the ACCE issued Permit No. 9407 which gave ACCE approval to the proposed marina. This permit expires on November 15, 1989. An environmental assessment prepared by the ACCE noted concerns by the Department of Fish and Game, but concluded that impact on the fishing area would be minimal and would be outweighed by the public's need for additional berthing.

Reclamation District No. 3 which is responsible for local levee maintenance has expressed concern that the proposed facilities wight not be properly maintained and that the walkway would be constructed below the floodplain. These concerns have been addressed by the ACOE permit approval. The permit conditions require that the applicant provide proper maintenance of facilities and that the walkway to the dock shall be constructed so as to rise above the floodplain during periods of high water. Personnel of the ACOE regularly inspect structures in the waterway to ensure proper maintenance.

Veretation: Dense riparian vegetation occurs along much of the waterside of the levee. Vegetation includes numerous willows, alders, oaks, berry vines and common grasses. Riparian vegetation provides essential habitat for a wide variety of wildlife in the Delta. Much of the riparian vegetation along Delta waterways has been removed. That which remains provides an extremely important niche for many fish and wildlife species. The proposed project would require minor removal of vegetation. As proposed, it appears that one or more alder trees (not shown on the development plans) would require removal to accommodate the exit ramp at the southerly end of the dock. If feasible, this exit ramp should be relocated about 30-40 feet to the south to preclude removal of any riparian vegetation. It should be noted that one of the conditions of the Army Corps of Engineers permit is that "existing riparian vegetation be left undisturbed except where absolutely necessary for construction."

Traffic and Access: According to ITE (Institute of Traffic Engineers) data about 90 vehicle trip ends (VTE) per day could be expected from the proposed project. The vehicle trip generation figure is based on the assumption that 3 vehicle trips/day will be generated for each boat berth. On weekends, the traffic generation rate may be slightly higher (i.e., 3.2 vehicle trips/day/boat berth) and a total of 96 vehicle trip ends per day could be expected to be generated. State Highway 160 and River Road are primary access routes to the marina. Existing traffic volumes on these roads are within the acceptable range of traffic level of service. The County Highways and Bridges Division (Hetland) indicates that these roads would still be within the acceptable range with traffic generation from this project. However, the accident potential associated with the increased traffic

CALENDAR PAGE 233:5

may be considered important as these roads are narrow, winding and constructed on levees. The use of warning signs should be considered along these roadways within 1/4 mile of the site to warn motorists that pedestrians and vehicles towing boat trailers may be crossing the roadway ahead.

The access drives to the proposed lower parking area are rather steeply sloped and visibility is limited until the top of the driveway is reached. Another potential hazard involves a left turn in or right turn out of the northerly access drive. This movement would be extremely tight for large vehicles and would require a slow and deliberate turning movement even for a more compact vehicle, which could impede the flow of traffic and increase the accident potential. For this reason it may be necessary to modify the alignment of this drive and/or convert to one way access. The Highways and Bridges Division recommends that all access drives comply with Section 4-27-I of the County Improvement Standards pertaining to allowable grade.

Consultation with the State Department of Transportation (Caltrans) indicates that the proposed project will require an encroachment permit because work is being proposed within the State Highway right-of-way. Upon receipt of the application for the encroachment permit, Caltrans will review the proposal from the standpoint of safety and engineering.

Parking: Proposed parking includes seven (7) parking spaces along the east side of the levee across from the existing grocery store and twenty seven (27) parking spaces located south of the grocery store within an existing pear orchard. The levee side parking would consist of two passenger loading spaces for the marina and five spaces to be used by both the marina and the existing grocery stores. It should be noted that the parking adjacent to the levee would increase the potential for vehicular and pedestrian conflicts. Further, this parking except for passenger loading spaces is not needed to meet Zoning Code requirements. The applicant is proposing 27 parking spaces for 30 boat berths. Parking requirements are set forth in Section 330-45 of the Zoning Code as follows:

330-45. MARINAS. For marinas, an off-street parking area is required to accommodate one (i) vehicle for every two (2) berths with one third of this area to be improved with a paved surface and the remainder to be turf area with an automatic sprinkler system. In addition, at least two (2) ten by twenty foot (10' x 20') passenger loading spaces are to be provided convenient to the marina entrances. The spaces are to be paved and signed as loading spaces. These spaces may be located on-street, if approved by the Public Works Department.

CALENDAR PAGE 233 16 MINUTE PAGE _____ 1607 Sewage Disposal/Water Quality: The proposed project does not include installation of sewage disposal facilities such as restrooms and boat pumpout facilities which are often located at marinas. Staff of the County Health Department (Peters) states there are no local regulations requiring these types of facilities. However, consultation with the State Water Resources Control Board (Hodges) indicates that marinas may be required to provide boat pumpout facilities. In September, 1986, Senate Bill 2562 amended the Harbors and Navigations Code (Title 23, Subchapter 20) to require marinas to be equipped with pumpout facilities in accordance with the requirements of the California Regional Water Quality Control Board. Section 776 of the Code reads as follows:

776 (a). Every vessel terminal shall as required by the regional board for the protection of the quality of the waters of this state, be equipped with vessel pumpout facilities for the transfer and disposal of sewage from marine sanitation devices in imposing this requirement, the regional board shall take into account the number and type of vessels that use or are berthed at the vessel terminal and whether there exists at other locations pumpout facilities that have a total capacity sufficient for and are convenient and accessible to vessels that use or are berthed at the vessel terminal. In addition, the regional board may require any vessel pumpout facility to be equipped with a meter for the purpose of measuring use of the facility. All pumpout facilities installed after the operative date of the statute adding this section shall be equipped with a meter.

- (b). This section does not apply to the following:
 - (1) small craft launching facilities;
 - (2) dockage adjacent to and serving private residences in areas where vessel purpout facilities are conveniently available to vessels so docked.
 - (3) other types of facilities designated by the regional board after consulting with the department.

The applicant should consult with the California Regional Water Quality Control Board to determine if a boat pumpout facilities will be required for the project site. Any required facilities would be subject to State Water Resources Control Board standards for the construction, operation and maintenance of pumpout facilities.

CALENDAR PAGE 233.17 MINUTE PAGE 1608 Discharge from boats is regulated by the Environmental Protection Agency and the U.S. Coast Guard standards pursuant to Section 312 of the Federal Clean Water Act (Public Law 92-500). The Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board has recommended adoption of a State wide policy which includes a prohibition of discharge from all boats using waterways in the Central Valley Basin which are designated for municipal water supply and recreation beneficiary uses (Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board, 1976).

Agricultural Spraying: The contiguous property owner to the south (Giovannoni) of the project site has expressed concern that the proposed development could be detrimental to his agricultural operations (pear orchard) since the prevailing Delta breezes could cause pesticide spray to drift over the proposed marina development. The State Legislature, in 1981, attempted to deal with the problem of agricultural uses being encroached upon by non agricultural uses. They adopted Section 3482.5 of the Civil Code which generally provides that no agricultural activity maintained for commercial purposes shall become a muisance due to any changed condition in or about the locality if the agricultural operation has been in operation for more than three years.

The proposed marina development could be subject to drifting spray from the pear orchard operation on the project site (i.e. Vollman-Clark Ranch), although precautions to minimize potential impact to adjacent properties is required by law. Pear orchards require periodic applications of pesticides, some more toxic than others. The most toxic sprays require permits and approval to spray from the Agricultural Commissioner. As a part of the permit procedure, the Commissioner instructs the farmers in the method of application to preclude drifting to adjacent lands. A representative of the Commissioner's office is generally at the site when spraying commences to make certain that the farmer follows the instructions and conditions of the permit.

Floodplain: As indicated on the National Flood Insurance Maps, the project site and much of the surrounding Delta has been designated as having a flood potential. Staff of the Water Resources Division (Coppola) has indicated no specific concerns about site flooding since no residential, office or other permanent structure is being proposed.

IV. MITIGATION MEASURES:

- A. If feasible, the proposed emergency ramp should be relocated about 30-40 feet to the south to preclude removal of any riparian vegetation.
- B. Comply with Section 4-27-I of the County Improvement Standards pertaining to allowable grade for access driveways.

CALENDAR FAGE 233 . 18
MINUTE PAGE 1603

- C. Consult with the California Regional Water Quality Control Board to determine if boat sewage pumpout facilities will be required.
- D. The parking area off the private drive shall be located entirely within the GC zone. The parking area shall comply with all perting development standards outlined in the Zoning Code.

V. EXISTING PLANS AND ZONING:

- A. General Plan: The County General Plan Land Use Map indicates the property for Agricultural Cropland, Commercial and Offices and Recreational.
- B. Community Plan: The Delta Community Plan Land Use Map indicates the property for DW-R, AG-20, GC and M-1.
- C. Zoning: The subject property is presently zoned DW-R, AG-20, GC and M-1.
- VI. This Initial Study has been prepared by Alcides Freitas, Lowell Young, Dan Meier and Lisa Licon of the Sacramento County Environmental Impact Section staff. (CD 5-27-87).

85mp830,,113

CALENDAR PAGE 233 19 MINUTE PAGE 1610

100	IN	ITIAL STUDY CHECKLIST		•.•		SACRAMENTO COUNTY ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT SECTION
	<u>Co</u>	uld/Would the project:	YES	MAYBE	£	CONTROL NO. 85-UP-830
	1}	significently effect Regional air quality?			x	
3; 4) 5) 7	2)	significantly affect local air quality?			x	Incremental increase in local vehicular emissions.
	3)	contribute to the renoval of significant amount of prime agricultural land from agricultural production?			x	
	4)	create the potential for property damane following completion of the project due to existing or altered soil and/or slope conditions?			x	
	5)	be adversely affected by other geologic or seismic hexards?			х	Minor ground subsidence occurs in the area.
	₹}	cause erosion or siltation result- ing in severe water quality impacts or damage to adjacent properties?			x	A minor potential for erosion and siltation would exist during installation of the boat dock and associated facilities. No dredging is being proposed
	7)	have substantial effect on the supply or consumption of a wineral resource?			x	
	·B)	significantly affect ground or surface water supply or quality?			x	No sewage disposal system is proposed. Potential for gas and oil in the water around the docks.
	9)	substantial affect, or be affected(by floodine?	·		х	The site is within the 100 year floodplair of the Delta.
:	10)	adversely effect populations of unique, rere or endangered plants or enirals, or their habitat?			x	
1	1)	significantly affect resident or migratory wildlife or their habitat?			×	
1	2)	affect or result in the receal of critical habitat, such as riparian and wetland plant associations?			x	Development could be accommodated with minor or no removal of riparian vegetation.
1	ίÌ	affect or result in the recovul of prominent, heritace, or laid-mark trees, or otherwise kestle-tically important plant forms?			X	See comment no. 12. A portion of an existing pear orchard would require removal to accommodate a parking lot.
	4)	affect sites of archeological or historical importance?			x	No known or suspected sites. The subject area has been extensively modified by previous work.
		15/2 Doubed 7-50			4	CALENDAR BAGE: 233.20

EIS/3 - Revised 7-80

	•	YES	MAYBE	€.	• •
	be in conflict with adopted General, Corrunity, or specific plans of Secramento County?			х	
•	conflict with adopted plans of agencies or jurisdictions other than Sacramento County?		х		The project would require permits from the State Lands Commission, State Reclamation Board, Army Corps of Engineers and Caltrans.
17)	require major modification of, or adversely affect, public facilities?			х	Incremental increase in the need for Fire and Sheriff's Department Services.
	have a substantial affect upon transportation facilities?		x		Project would increase accident potential associated with increased traffic. Project would require an encroachment permit from Caltrans: access
19)	have a substential affect on energy derands?			x	improvements may be required.
!	substantially affect the quantity of open space in an area, or severely and adversely change the visual character of the project site?			X	Site will take a more developed appearance.
·	generate average or beak noise levels that would seriously affect the health or general well-being of any nearby beople?			Х	Temporary construction moise. Minor periodic noise associated with boat operations and vehicula traffic.
1	expose future residents or site users to existing or future noise levels that could seriously affect their mealth or ceneral well-being?	i.		x	
•	cause significant shifts in employment or income character-istics of the community?			х	Possible minor increase in commercial activity within Nalnut Grove.
24)	have a substantial and demon- strable negative aesthetic affect?			x	Possibly to some observers.
25)	breach published mational, state, or local standards rela- ting to solid waste or litter control?			x	
26)	induce substantial drowth or concentration of population?			x	
27)	displace a large number of people, or disrupt or divide an established corrunity?			x	
28)	involve a risk of an explosion or the release of hazardous substances in the event of an accident or upset conditions?			X	:
29)	involve mossible interference with an erergency response plan or an energency evacuation plan?	-		x	River navigation is regulated by the Army Corps of Engineers and the Coast Guard.
30)	result in creation of any health heazerd or notential health hazard, or excess people to potential health hezards?		X	X	See discussion of "Agriculturel Spraying" in text of the initial Study.
	The first state of the first sta	. 	-		CALENDAR PAGE

USE PERHIT SACRAMENTO COUNTY PROJECT PLANKINS COMMISSION

Control Number: 85-UF-0830 Date: September 2, 1987

Hearing Date: August 31, 1987

Assessor's Percel No. 142-0070-028 (portion)

To: VOLLMAN-CLARK RANCH, P. O. Box 161086, Sacramento, CA 93816-CILBERT LABRIE, AIA, P. O. Box 183, Walnut Grove, CA 95690

PERHISSION IS GRANTED TO USE THE SUBJECT PREHISES FOR THE FOLLOWING DESCRIBED USES: A Use Permit to allow a 30 berth marina in the DW-R zone and associated parking in the adjacent GC zone.

DESCRIPTION OF PREMISES: Located on the northwestern side of River Road (State Highway 160) and the Sacramento River, immediately south of the Walnut Grove Bridge in the Delta area.

CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL: -

- 1. This action does not relieve the applicant of the obligation to comply with all ordinances, statutes, regulations and procedures applicable at the time of development. Any required subsequent procedural actions aball take place within 36 months of the date on which the permit became effective, or this action shall automatically be null and wold.
- 2. Dedicate to the State of California any needed additional right-of-way for State Highway 160.
- The approval shall be limited to a single boat dock of 567 feet in length containing 30 berths and associated parking areas per Exhibit "C".
- 4. Camping or use of the presides as a RV park is prohibited.
- 5. Relocate the proposed "emergency ramp" 30: feet to the south to preclude the removal of ripartan vegetation to the satisfaction of the Planning Department:
- 6. Comply with Section 4-27-I of the County Improvement Standards pertaining to allowable grade for access driveways.
- 7. Provide sewage pumpout facilities as required by the Harbors and Mavigation Code (Title 23, Subchapter 20), in accordance with the requirements of the California Regional Water Quality Control Board.
- 8. Obtain an encroachment permit from the State Department of Transportation (Caltrans) for any improvements within right-of-way of State Highway 160.
- 9. Access driveway and at least 1/3 of the parking area (27 spaces) shall be paved to the satisfaction of the Public Works Department. Those 7 spaces adjacent to State Highway 160 shall be paved and signed as losding spaces to the satisfaction of the Public Works Department.
- 10. Approved permits from the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, the State Reclamation Board, and any other state and federal agencies, if required for the proposed development, shall be submitted to the Planning Department prior to issuance of any building permits.
- 11. The existing riparied vegetation along the Sacramento River shall be preserved.
- 12. Post signs within the parking area adjacent to the pear orchard warning patrons that serial spraying of the orchards are conducted upon an regular basis and may pose a hazard to personal health and/or property.

CALENDAR PAGE 233 22
MINUTE PAGE 1613