MINUTE STEM
This Colondar from No. 403
was approved as Minute from No. 402 by the State Lands
Commission by a vote of 2
to 22 at its 346 91

CALENDAR ITEM

C 03

A 7

S 1

03/06/91 WP 3887 PRC 3887 Gordon

GENERAL PERMIT - RECREATIONAL USE

APPLICANT:

Lake Tahoe Park Association, Trustee c/o Richard Wilson
P. O. Box 5771
Tahoe City, California 95730

AREA, TYPE LAND AND LOCATION:

A 0.057-acre parcel, a 0.048-acre parcel, a 0.225-acre parcel, and forty-five 0.029-acre circular parcels, each 40 feet in diameter, composing 1,.298 acres, together totalling 1.628 acres of submerged land located in Lake Table near Sunnyside, Placer County.

LAND USK:

Maintenance of two existing piers, a swim line and swim area, and forty-five existing mooring buoys, respectively, utilized for noncommercial, multiple-use, recreational boating and swimming.

TERMS OF PROPOSED PERMIT:

Initial period:

Five (5) years beginning September 29, 1988.

Public liability insurance:

Combined single limit coverage of \$1,000,000.

Special:

1. The permit is conditioned on permittee's conformance with the Tahoe Regional Planning Agency's Shorezone Ordinance.

CALENDAR PAGE 13 MINUTE PAGE 346

CALENDAR ITEM NO. C 0 3 (CONT'D)

- 2. The permit restricts any residential use of the facilities.
- 3. The permit requires refuse containers for the disposal of vessel-generated trash.
- 4. The permit conforms to the Lyon/Fogerty decision.
- 5. The permit is conditioned on the seasonal use of the swim line and swim area for a four-month period beginning June 1st and ending September 30th of each year.
- 6. The permit is conditioned on permittee's retention of the public trust area and the Rorippa habitat area in its natural condition.

CONSIDERATION:

\$607.06 from September 29, 1988 through September 28, 1989 and \$684.74 per annum, thereafter; plus P.R.C. 6503.5; with the State reserving the right to fix a different rental on each fifth anniversary of the permit.

OTHER CONSIDERATION:

\$273.52 for the term July 1, 1987 through September 28, 1988, as to the swim line and swim area.

BASIS FOR CONSIDERATION:

Pursuant to 2 Cal. Code Regs. 2003.

APPLICANT STATUS:

Applicant is owner of upland.

PREREQUISITE CONDITIONS, FEES AND EXPENSES: Filing fee has been received.

STATUTORY AND OTHER REFERENCES:

- A. P.R.C.: Div. 6, Parts 1 and 2; Div. 13.
- B. Cal. Code Regs.: Title 3, Div. 3; Title 14, Div. 6.

AB 884:

07/28/91

CALENDAR PAGE 14.
MINUTE PAGE 3 4 7

CALENDAR ITEM NO. C 0 3 (CONT'D)

OTHER PERTINENT INFORMATION:

- 1. Applicant is a homecwners association. The subject facilities are utilized by its homeowner members, tenants, and guests. Because the membership currently includes 9.739 percent of non-natural persons as members, Applicant does not fully meet the criteria of P.R.C. 6503.5 for rent-free status. Therefore, the rental, which is for boat-mooring purposes, is the result of a proration according to this percentage. This is an application to replace Applicant's permit for the pier, which expired September 28, 1988, and to bring the buoys, swim line, and swim area under permit to the Commission.
- 2. Pursuant to the Commission's delegation of authority and the State CEQA Guidelines (14 Cal. Code Regs. 15025), the staff has prepared a Proposed Negative Declaration identified as EIR ND 472, State Clearinghouse No. 89050834. Such Proposed Negative Declaration was prepared and circulated for public review pursuant to the provisions of CEQA.

Based upon the Initial Study, the Proposed Negative Declaration, and the comments received in response thereto, there is no substantial evidence that the project will have a significant effect on the environment. (14 Cal. Code Regs. 15074(b))

In order to determine the potential trust uses in the area of the activity, the staff contacted representatives of the following agencies: Tahoe Regional Planning Agency, California Department of Fish and Game, Placer County, and the Tahoe Conservancy. None of these agencies expressed a concern that the activity would have a significant effect on trust uses in the area. The agencies did not identify any trust needs which were not being met by existing facilities in the area. Identified trust uses in this area would include swimming, boating, walking along the beach, and views of the lake.

CALENDAR PAGE 15 MINUTE PAGE 3:18

CALENDAR ITEM NO. C 0 3(CONT'D)

- 4. Staff physically inspected the site for purposes of evaluating the impact of the activity on the Public Trust.
- 5. This activity involves lands identified as possessing significant environmental values pursuant to P.R.C. 6370, et seq. Based upon the staff's consultation with the persons nominating such lands and through the CEQA review process, it is the staff's opinion that the project, as proposed, is consistent with its use classification.
- APPROVALS OBTAINED: (As to the Piers, Swim Line, and Swim Area)
 Tahoe Regional Planning Agency, United States Army Corps of
 Engineers, California Department of Fish and Game, Lahontan
 Regional Water Quality Control Board, and County of Placer.
- PURTHER APPROVALS REQUIRED: (As to the Piers, Swim Line, and Swim Area)

 None.
- APPROVALS OBTAINED: (As to the Mooring Buoys)
 None.
- FURTHER APPROVALS REQUIRED: (As to the Mooring Buoys)
 Tahoe Regional Planning Agency, United States Army Corps of
 Engineers, california Department of Fish and Game, Lahontan
 Regional Water Quality Control Board, and County of Placer.

EXHIBITS:

- A. Land Description
- B. Location Map
- C. Local Government Comment
- D. Proposed Negative Declaration

IT IS RECOMMENDED THAT THE COMMISSION:

- 1. CERTIFY THAT A NEGATIVE DECLARATION, EIR ND 472, STATE CLEARINGHOUSE NO. 89050834, WAS PREPARED FOR THIS 6PROJECT PURSUANT TO THE PROVISIONS OF THE CEQA AND THAT THE COMMISSION HAS REVIEWED AND CONSIDERED THE INFORMATION CONTAINED THEREIN.
- 2. ADOPT SAID NEGATIVE DECLARATION AND DETERMINE THAT THE PROJECT, AS APPROVED, WILL NOT HAVE A SIGNIFICANT ON THE ENVIRONMENT.

CALENDAR PACE 16
MINUTE PAGE 3 9

CALENDAR ITEM NO. C 0 3(CONT'D)

AUTHORIZE ISSUANCE TO LAKE TAHOE PARK ASSOCIATION, TRUSTEE, 3. OF A FIVE-YEAR GENERAL PERMIT - RECREATIONAL USE BEGINNING SEPTEMBER 29, 1988; IN CONSIDERATION OF ANNUAL RENT IN THE AMOUNT OF \$607.06 FROM SEPTEMBER 29, 1988 THROUGH SEPTEMBER 28, 1989, AND \$684.74 THEREAFTER, PLUS P.R.C. 6503.5; AND OTHER CONSIDERATION IN THE AMOUNT OF \$273.52 FOR THE TERM JULY 1, 1987 THROUGH SEPTEMBER 28, 1988, AS TO THE SWIM LINE AND SWIM AREA; WITH THE STATE RESERVING THE RIGHT TO FIX A DIFFERENT RENTAL ON EACH FIFTH ANNIVERSARY OF THE PERMIT; AND WITH PROVISION OF PUBLIC LIABILITY INSURANCE FOR COMBINED SINGLE LIMIT COVERAGE OF \$1,000,000; FOR MAINTENANCE OF TWO EXISTING PIERS, A SWIM LINE AND SWIM AREA, AND FORTY-FIVE EXISTING MOORING BUOYS UTILIZED FOR NONCOMMERCIAL, MULTIPLE-USE, RECREATIONAL BOATING ON THE LAND DESCRIBED ON EXHIBIT "A" ATTACHED AND BY REFERENCE MADE A PART HEREOF.

-5-

EXHIBIT "A"

WP 3887

LAND DESCRIPTION

Ferty-eight Parcels of State owned submerged land in Lake Tahoe, Placer County, California, said parcels are described as fellows:

PARCELS 1 & 2 - PIERS

Two parcels of land lying beneath two existing piers, TOGETHER WITH those necessary use areas extending not more than 10 feet from the extremities of said piers; said piers are adjacent to the land described in the Deed recorded March 7, 1952 in Volume 604, page 336, Official Records of Placer County.

EXCEPTING THEREFROM any portion lying landward of the ordinary low water mark of Lake Tahoe.

PARCEL 3 - SWIM AREA and SWIM LINE

All that land bounded on the north and south by said Parcels 1 and 2; on the east by a line lying 50 feet westerly of the westerly buoys described as Parcels 4 through 47 of this description; and on west by the ordinary low water mark of Lake Tahoe.


PARCELS 4 through 48 - BUOYS

Forty-five circular parcels of land, each having a diameter of 40 feet lying adjacent to the land described in said Deed recorded in Volume 604, page 336, Official Records of Placer County.

END OF DESCRIPTION

REVISED JULY 26, 1990 BY LLB.

CALENDAR PAGE 18 MINUTE PAGE 351





LOCAL GOVERNMENT COMMENT

PLACER COUNTY

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORK

JACK WARREN, Director
JAN WITTER, Assistant Director
LARRY ODDO, Deputy Director
ALAN ROY, Deputy Director

-feat to division

to make the control of the contr

Gerald D. Gordon California State Lands Commission 1807 - 13th Street Sacramento, CA 95814

RE: PIÉR - SHOREZONE CONSTRUCTION

Dear Mr. Gordon:

The County of Placer has reviewed the below referenced requests for construction activities within the shorezone of Lake Tahoe. We have no objections to the construction activities described in the below applications contingent upon approval by your office.

1.	McKinney Landing Hone Owners Assocation	
2.	Strain Ranch	WP 4195
2. 3.		WÝ 4091
4.	Lake Tahoe Park Association	WP 3887
5.	Cedar Point Home Owners Association Antiques and Heirlooms	WP 2859
6.	Nichael Babcock	W 23946
7.	Tahoe Tayara Proposition	W 24201
8.	Tahoe Tavern Property Owners Association Lyon/Hawkins	WP 5956
	-1 - 11 11 11 11 11 12 11 12 11 12 11 12 11 11	WP 5884

Should you have any questions or if I can be of further assistance, please call at your convenience.

COUNTY OF PLACER
DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS
JACK WARREN, DIRECTOR

JAHES A. MCLEOD

ASTISTANT CIVIL ENGINEER

JARtas

CALENDAR PAGE 20 MINUTE PAGE 3 3 3

STATE LANDS COMMISSION 1807 13TH STREET SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA 95814

EXHIBIT D





472 EIR ND

WP 3887 File Ref.:

SCH#: 89050834

Project Title:

Lake Tahoe Park Assn. Piers/Buoys

Project Proponent:

Lake Tahoe Park Association

Project Location:

At Lake Tahoe, adjacent to Tahoe Park subdivision, south of

Tahoe City, Placer County

Project Description: Renewal of 2 existing, previously authorized piers, and permit

45 existing buoys, previously unauthorized.

Contact Person:

Dan Cohen

Telephone: (916) 324-8497

This document is prepared pursuant to the requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act (Section 21000 et seq., Public Resources Code), the State CEQA Guidelines (Section 15000 et seq., Title 14, California Code Regulations), and the State Lands Commission regulations (Section 2901 et seq., Title 2, California Code Regulations).

Based upon the attached Initial Study, it has been found that:

the project will not have a significant effect on the environment.

// mitigation measures included in the project will avoid potentially significant effects.

CALENDAR PAGE __ MINUTE PAGE _

Form 13.17 (2/89)

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT CHECKLIST - PART II

ACKGROUND INFORMATION	3
Applicant: Lake Tahoe Park Assn., Trustee	
c/o Richard Wilson	
P.O. Box 5771	
Tahoe City, CA 95730	,
Checklist Date:5 / 10 / 89	
Contact Person: Dan Cohen .	
Telephone: (916) 324-8497	
Purpose: Maintain existing recreational operation	
Location: Lake Tahoe, adjacent to Tahoe Park subdivision, south of	
Tahoe City, Placer County	
Description: Existing previously authorized piers (two); existing unauthor	ized
boat mooring buoys (forty-five). Buoys are at 50-foot interv	als
in a 350+ foot by 650+ foot buoy field.	•
NVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS. (Explain all "yes" and "maybe" answers)	
. Earth. Will the proposal result in:	Yes Maybe N
1. Unstable earth conditions or changes in geologic substructures?	
2. Daruptions, displacements, compaction, or overcovering of the soil?	. C C C
3. Change in topography or ground surface relief features?	. 🖱 🗀 (i
4. The destruction, covering, or modification of any unique geologic or physical features?	. 🔘 😅
5. Any increase in wind or water erosion of soils, either on or off the site?	
modify the channel of a river or stream or the hed of the ocean or any bay, AIR ENIME PAIR	55 11 0
N	Applicant: Lake Tahoe Park Assn., Trustee c/o Richard Wilson P. O. Box 5771 Tahoe City, CA 95730 Checklist Date: 5 / 10 / 89 Contact Person: Dan Cohen Telephone: (916) 324-8497 Purpose: Maintain existing recreational operation Location: Lake Tahoe, adjacent to Tahoe Park subdivision, south of Tahoe City, Placer County Description: Existing previously authorized piers (two); existing unauthor boat mooring buoys (forty-five). Buoys are at 50-foot interv in a 350+ foot by 650+ foot buoy field. Persons Contacted: Earth. Will the proposal result in: 1. Unstable earth conditions or changes in geologic substructures? 2. Duruptions, displacements, compaction, or overcovering of the soil? 3. Change in topography or ground surface relief leatures? 4. The destruction, covering, or modification of any unique geologic or physical features? 5. Any increase in wind or water erosion of soils, either on or off the site?.

WP 3887

File Ref.:

	₿.	we brokes territ in:	Yes Maybe N	ίφ
		1. Substantial air emmissions or deterioration of ambient air quality?		x!
		De tradition de dejectionable odors?	L	Δi Xì
	4	3. Alteration of air movement, moisture or temperature, or any change in climate, either locally or regionally?	1,44	Λ! Χ!
	C.	. Water. Will the proposal result in:	that that the	۸;
		1. Changes in the currents, or the course or direction of water movements, in either marine or fresh waters?	171115	v į
		2. Changes in absorption rates, drainage patterns, or the rate and amount of surface water runoff?		. i
		3 Alterations to the course or flow of flood waters?	x	
		4. Change in the amount of surface water in any water body?	ען רו די	, i
		5. Discharge into surface waters, or in any alteration of surface water quality, including but not limited to temperature, dissolved caygen or turbidity?	[] [] [y	
		6. Alteration of the direct on or rate of flow of ground waters?		i
		7. Change in the quantity of ground waters, either through direct additions or withdrawals, or through interception of an aquifer by cuts or excavations?	f	
		8. Substantial reduction in the amount of water otherwise available for public water supplies?	17) 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1
		9. Exposure of people or property to water-related hazards such as flooding or tidal waves?	(_1	;
	1	10 Significant changes in the temperature, flow or chemical content of surface thermal springs?		;
8	IJ,	Plant Life. Will the proposal result in:	i.i i w	ł
		1. Change in the diversity of species, or number of any species of plants (including trees, shrubs, grass, crops, and aquatic plants)?	miit	:
		2. Reduction of the numbers of any unique, rare or endangered species of plants?		:
0	•	3. Introduction of new species of plants into an area, or in a barrier to the normal replenishment of existing species?	[] [] [v	i ,
	4	neduction in acresige of any agricultural crop?	X []	i !
ε	. ,	Animai Life Willishe proposal result in:	al Lina	i
		1. Change in the diversity of species, or numbers of any species of animals (birds, land animals including reptiles, fish and shellfish, benthic organisms, or insects)?	אן דו די	1
	7	2. Reduction of the numbers of any unique, rare or endangered species of animals?		1 1-
		animals?		:
	4	4. Deterioration to existing fish or wildlife habitat?	יאו ויו וייי יאו ויו וייי	i ,
F.	٠,١	wine. Will the proposal result in:	[_] [[X]	i
	1	1. Increase in existing noise levels?	The form	•
	2.	2. Expusiure of people to severe noise levels?		:
G.	. 3.	cigar one trace. Will the proposal result in:		•
	1.	1. The production of new light or glare?	n.1 :A:	•
H.		the proposal estit in:		
	1.	I. A substantial alteration of the present or planned land use of an area?		•
t.		Anna con service and the biobosal territ in:	_[[] [^;	i
	1.	Increase in the rate of use of any natural resources?	ר ז נייז נייי יי	
	2.	Substantial depletion of any nonrenewable resources?	וֹאֵן וֹן לַ	i i
		CALENDAR PACE	23	
		MINUTE PAGE	3:16	

3 Rick of Port Days	
J. Rink of Epier. Does the proposal result in	1.
chemicals, or radiation) in the event of a 2. Possible interference	Yes Maybe Nonse plan or an emergency evacuation plant.
K. Population Water	iponse plan or an emergen
K. Population. Will the proposal result in:	Yes Maybe N an accident or upset conditions? Sponse plan or an emergency evacuation plan?
L Mousing way	rowth rate of the human
L Housing. Will the proposal result in:	rowth rate of the human population of the area?
M. Transporting existing housing, or create a den	mand for addition
M. Transportation/Circulation. Will the proposa 1. Generation of substantial and an arms.	nand for additional housing?
2 Augustion of substantial additional vehicu	If result in:
a recting existing parking facilities, or crea	ile a demonstration
5. Substantial impact upon existing transporta	alter movement?
Alterations to present patterns of circulation	noon systems?
3. Alterations to waterborne, rail, or air traffic	ation systems? In or movement of people and/or goods? X X X X X Dicycliste, or pedestrians?
O Increase in traffic hazards to motor vahicles	, □ □ 区
activities in any of the data proposal have an eff.	
" (" Protection)	To liew of altered
To the protection?	
3. Schools?	ect upon, or result in a need for new or altered governmental
4. Parks and other region	ППы
5. Maintenance of publications	······
6. Other governmental services?	
O. Energy. Will the proposition in	П П
1. Use of substantial amount	
2. Substantial increase in A	*****
P. Unlities. Will the property	systems, or substantial alterations to the following utilities:
1. Power or natural one	systems, or substantial to
2 Communication	to the following utilities:
3. Water?	····· [] [] []
4. Sewer or septic tacked	
5. Storm water deaman	
6. Solid waste and dis	
O. Human Health. Will the proposal result in:	
1. Constitution:	
2. Exposure of page 1	Pard (avolus)
2. Exposure of people to potential health hazards? R. Aesthetica. Will the proposal result in: 1. The obstruction of any scenic vista or view occur.	Zard (excluding mental health)?
1 The state of the	
Unerzina cien a unen inchi unen inchi	the market
S. Recreation. Will the proposal result in:	the public, or will the proposal result in the creation
1. An impact of on the quet	deation of
1. An impact won the quality or quantity of existing rec	X035;
	CALENDAR PAGE 24 6
	MINUTE PAGE

[_] I find	the proposed project MAY have a significant effect on the envisued.	CALENDAR PAGE THE State Lands Commission	F25
[_] I find			
DECI		, -11. Olding [6 1 77 1 15	5DAAT A.c.
DECI	uied.	ronment, and a/i ENVIRONMENTAL	•
DEC	is that although the proposed project could have a significant effect is case because the mitigation measures described on an attached. I the proposed strokes MANY by	miret have been added to the project	t. A NEGATIVE
in th	d that although the proposed project could have a significant effect is case because the mitigation measures described on an attached LARATION will be prepared.	t on the environment, there will not be a	Significant -44
[] I fine	I that although the proposed project as	Structurent, and a NEGATIVE DE	CLARATION will
4.2.1 I fine be pr	d the proposed project COULD NOT have a significant effect on that although the proposed and	the environment and area	
Un the, 3;	asis of this initial evaluation:		
PRELIM	WARY DETERMINATION		
		•	
		•	
		•	
	•		
	•		
	•		
~~~			
	·		
	USSION OF ENVIRONMENTAL EVALUATION (See Comments	s Attached)	
III. DISC	either directly or indirectly?  USSION OF ENVIRONMENTAL EVALUATION (C	substantial adverse effects on human beir	
4	4. Does the project have a second and individually limited, but	at cumulatively considerable?	
·	goals?  3. Does the project have impacts which are individual.	**************************************	
	4. Does the project that the second	A All 1916 of Diguistory	1 1. [ ] (5)
	animal or eliminate important examples of the major persons of	t the range of a rare or endangered plan	nate It or
	1. Does the project have the potential to degrade the quality of the wildlife so wies, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop belo a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict animal or eliminate proportion.	e environment, reduce the habitat of a fig	h or
U.	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *		
	4 Will the proposal restrict existing religious or sacred uses within	n the potential impact	🗀 🗀 🗓
	ASIGES A PUAZICE CUSI	nge which would affect unique ethnic cui	tural
	structure scobject?.  3. Does the proposal have the potential to cause a charing the structure of the proposal have the potential to cause a charing the structure.	errects to a prehistoric or historic buil	
<b>an</b>	1. Will the proposal result in the alteration of or the destruction 2. Will the proposal result in adverse physical or aesthetic estructure scrobject?	of a prehistoric or historic archeological	site?. 🔲 [] [7
		•	Yes Maybe N
	Cuitaral Resources	•	

#### STATE LANDS COMMISSION

LEOT. McCARTHY, Lieutenant Governor GRAY DAVIS, Controller JESSE R. HUFF, Director of Finance

EXHIBIT D

EXECUTIVE OFFICE
1807 - 13th Street
Secremento, CA 85
CHARLES WARREN
Executive Officer

## PROPOSED NEGATIVE DECLARATION

**EIR ND: 472** 

File Ref.: W3887

SCH. NO.: 89050834

Project Title: Lake Tahoe Park Association swimline installation

Project Proponent: Lake Tahoe Park Association

Project Location: W. Shore Lake Tahoe parcel (APN 83-0100-03)

Project Description: Install a safety swim line 110 to 120 feet waterward of shore between

two existing piers

Contact Person: Jacques Graber Telephone: (916) 323-7209

This document is prepared pursuant to the requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act (Section 2100 et seq., Public Resources Code), the State CEQA Guidelines (Section 15000 et seq., Title 14, California Code Regulations), and the State Lands Commission regulations (Section 2901 et seq., Title 2, California Code Regulations).

Based upon the Initial Study, it has been found that:

/X/ that project will not have a significant effect on the environment.

/_/ mitigation measures included in the project will avoid potentially significant effects.

FORM 13.17 (4/90)

CALENDAR PAGE 359

# * ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT CHECKLIST - PART II

Fila	Ref.:	N3887.	.1	
THE	nei			

For	Form 13.20 (7/82)		File Ref.: H3007.1
	BA	CKGROUND	INFORMATION
	A.	Applicant:	Lake Taboe Park Association P. O. Box 5771
		•	Tahoe City, CA 95730
		•	(916) 583-3820
	8.	Checklist Da	te: <u>08/ 22 / 90</u>
	C.	Contact Pers	on: <u>Jacques Graber</u>
		Telephone	e. <u>( 916 ) 323-7209</u>
	D	Purpôser]	Install a safety swim line of buoys and plastic line between two
		_existin	ng piers.
	Ε	Location: 1	T.P.A. property on west shore of Lake Tahoe (APN 83-0100-03) between
		Truckee	River and Ward Creek.
	F.	Description	Two existing piers extending 110 and 121 feet waterward of shore.
		The swin	line will be stretched in the 200 foot space between the piers and
		lying 50	feet from the foot of the north pier, 90 feet of the south pier foot.
e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	G.	Persons Cont	acted:
		***	
		<del></del>	
		<del></del>	
		-	
11.	EN	VIRONMENT	AL IMPACTS. (Explain all "yes" and "maybe" answers)
	A.	Earth, Will t	he proposal result in: Yes Maybe N
		1. Unstable e	earth conditions or changes in geologic substructures?
		2. Disruption	ns, displacements, compaction, or overcovering of the soil?
		3. Change in	topography or ground surface relief features?
	à	4. The destru	uction, covering, or modific: tion of any unique geologic or physical féatures?
<b>1</b>	•	5 Any încre	ase in wind or water erosion of soils, either on or off the site?
		6. Changes in modify th	n deposition or erosion of beach sands, or changes in siltation, deposition of erosion which may 7.
			of all people or property to geologic hazards such as earthquakes landslides, mudslides, ground similar hazards?.

	CALENDAR PAGE	28 351
	2. Substantial depletion of any nonrenewable resources?	
	Increase in the rate of use of any natural resources?     Substantial depletion of any nonrenewable resources?	
I.	Natural Resources. Will the proposal result in.	
	1. A substantial alteration of the present or planned land use of an area?	
H.	Land Use. Will the proposal result in	
	1. The production of new light or glare?	[] [ I iX
G.	Light and Glare. Will the proposal result in:	
	2. Exposure of people to severe noise levels?	
	1. Increase in existing noise lévels?	! i i i i <b>:</b> X
ŧ.	Noise. Will the proposal result in.	1.J     K.Z
	4. Deterioration to existing fish or wildlife habitat?	
	3 Introduction of new species of animals into an area, or result in a barrier to the migration or movement of animals?	1 1 🗸
	2 Reduction of the numbers of any unique, rare or endangered species of animals?	LILIK
	1 Change in the diversity of species, or numbers of any species of animals (birds, land animals including reptiles, fish and shellfish, benthic organisms, or insects)?	
E	Animal Life. Will the proposal result in.	
	4 Reduction in acreage of any agricultural crop?	LILX
	3. Introduction of new species of plants into an area, or in a barrier to the normal replenishment of existing species?	
	2. Reduction of the numbers of any unique, rare or endangered species of plants?	$H \mapsto X$
	1 Change in the diversity of species, or number of any species of plants (including trees, shrubs, grass, crops, and aquatic plants)?	· : : : ×
J.		
n	10 Significant changes மு.the temperature, flow or chemical content of surface themal springs? . Plant Life ( Velt), file proposal result in:	
	9. Exposure of people or property to water-related hazards such as flooding or tidal waves?	11:11:1
	8 Substantial reduction in the amount of water otherwise available for public water supplies?	111.X
	7 Change in the quantity of ground waters, either through direct additions or withdrawals, or through interception of an aquifer by cuts or excavations?	111:8
	6. Alteration of the direct on or rate of flow of ground waters?	
	5. Discharge into surface waters, or in any alteration of surface water quality, including but not limited to temperature, dissolved caygen or furbidity?	IIIIX
	4. Change in the immount of surface water in any water body?	11111
	3. Alterations to the course or flow of flood waters?	HIN
	2 Changes in absorption rates, drainage patterns, or the rate and amount of surface water runoff?	THE
	1. Changes in the currents, or the course or direction of water muvements, in either marine or fresh waters?	11:11
C	. Will the proposal result in	
	3. Alteration of air/movement, moisture or temperature, or any change in climate, either locally or regionally?	
	? The creation of objectionable odors?	
	1. Substantial air emmissions or deterioration of ambient air quality?	וווז'א
1	p. 111. Will the proposal result in:	Yes Maybe No

ال ا	1 Risk of Upset. Does the proposal result in:			_	
• •	<ol> <li>A risk of an explosion or the release of hazardous substances (including, bu chemicals, or radiation) in the event of an accident or upset conditions?</li> </ol>	t not limited to, oil, pesticides,	Yes	Mayb	No No
	<ol> <li>Possible interference with emergency response plan or an emergency evacuation.</li> <li>Population. Will the proposal result in:</li> </ol>				X
L	The alteration, distribution, density, or growth rate of the human population.     Illusting. Will the proposal result in:	of the area?			X
_	1 Affecting existing housing, or create a demand for additional housing?			$\Gamma$	47
M	. Transportation/Circulation. Will the proposal result in:	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	لـا		K
	Generation of substantial additional vehicular movement?				87
	2 Affecting existing parking facilities, or create a demand for new parking?				X
	3. Substantial impact upon existing transportation systems?				X
	4. Alterations to present patterns of circulation or movement of people and/or g				X
	5 Alterations to waterborne, rail, or air traffic?	oogsf	님		S
			H		X
N	6. Increase in traffic hazards to motor vehicles, bicyclists, or pedestrians?	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	لہا		X
	Public Nervices Will the proposal have an effect upon, or result in a need for services in any of the following areas:				
	1. Fire protection?	•••••			X
	2. Police protection?	*****			X
	3. Schools?	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	$\bar{\sqcap}$	$\overline{\Box}$	$\overline{\otimes}$
	4. Parks and other recreational facilities?		$\overline{\Box}$	H	$\overline{\mathbf{x}}$
	5. Maintenance of public facilities, including roads?	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	$\overline{\sqcap}$	$\Box$	X
	6. Other governmental services?	*****	$\ddot{\sqcap}$	$\exists$	X
Ο.	Energy. Will the proposal result in:				
	Use of substantial amounts of fuel or energy?	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	П		X
	2. Substantial increase in demand upon existing sources of energy, or require the d	evelopment of new sources?	$\overline{\sqcap}$	$\Box$	区
P	Utilities. Will the proposal result in a need for new systems, or substantial alteration	ons to the following utilities:	لسا		E?
	1. Power or natural gas?				X
	2. Communication systems?		$\Box$		
	3. Water?		֓֞֟֞֜֟֟֝֟֟֝ <del>֡</del>		
	4. Sewer or septia Links?			ليا	N
	5. Storm water drainage?				
	6. Solid waste and disposal?	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			区 区
Q.	Human Health. Will the proposal result in:	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	L	L	
	1. Creation of any health hazard or potential health hazard (excluding mental heal	lth)?	$\overline{}$		~
	2. Exposure of people to potential health hazards?				
R	Aesthetics. Will the proposal result in:		ب	Ш	×
	1. The obstruction of any scenic vista or view open to the public, or will the prop an aesthetically offensive site open to public view?	Bosal result in the create not			<b>~</b>
S.	Recreation. Will the proposal result in:		<u>!</u>	لـا	N
	1. An impact upon the quality or quantity of existing recreational opportunities		29	目.	×
	11	WINUTE PAGE ろし		_	

F. 1883.

. T. · Cultural Resources.	Vac Aleybe No
1. Will the proposal result in the alteration of or the destruction of a prahistoric or historic archaele	
2. Will the proposal result in adverse physical or seathetic effects to a prehistoric or historic structure, or object?	in building
3. Does the proposal have the potential to cause a physical change which would affect unique ethic values?	nic cultural
4 Will the proposal restrict existing religious or sacréd uses within the potential impact area?	the terms of the t
U. Mandatory Findings of Significance,	
1. Does the project have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment, reduce the habitat wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangere animal or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory?	o eliminate ed plant or
2. Does the project have the potential to achieve short term, to the disadvantage of long-term, envigous?	······ 🛮 🗀 🔀
3. Does the project have impacts which are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable?	🗆 🗆 🔀
4. Does the project have environmental effects which will cause substantial adverse effects on hum either directly or indirectly?	nan beings,
III. DISCUSSION OF ENVIRONMENTAL EVALUATION (See Comments Attached)	
EV. PRELIMINARY DETERMINATION	•
On the basis of this initial evaluation:	
I find the proposed project COULD NOT have a significant effect on the environment, and a NEGA be prepared.	ITIVE DECLARATION WIII
I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, there wi in this case because the mitigation measures described on an attached sheet have been added to DECLARATION will be prepared	ill not be a significant effect the project. A NEGATIVE
[ ] I find the proposed project MAY have a significant effect on the environment, and an ENVIRONM is requied.	IENTAL IMPACT REPORT
Date: 8 / 29 / 90 PAYENDA	R PAGE 30
-4-6	Form 13.20 (7/82)

#### PROJECT SITE

The site of the proposed swim line is located between two piers constructed on State Lands in Lake Tahoe adjacent to property owned by the Lake Tahoe park Association; (APN 83-0100-03) in Placer County. The State-owned lands on which the piers are constructed are under lease from the State (WP 3887).

The parcel is located on the west shore of Lake Tahoe between the mouths of the Truckee River and Ward Creek which drain into Lake Tahoe.

The northerly pier is constructed from the elevation of 6331.8 feet, extending 110 feet southeasterly into the lake to 6216.7 feet elevation. This pier is constructed of 10-inch steel piles connected with wood stringers and deck.

The south pier is constructed in a similar manner. It lies approximately 200 feet south of the north pier. It extends 121 feet into the lake from its shorward point. The deck elevation is the same as the north pier.

#### PROPOSED PROJECT

The proposed project involves the extension of a buoyed plastic rope swim line between the two piers located at Tahoe Park Association property. The swim line will extend from a point approximately 50 feet waterward of the foot of the north pier. The swim line will be extended 200 feet southerly to be attached to the south pier. It will lie approximately 90 feet waterward of the head of the south pier.

The swim line will consist of a 3/8 inch plastic "poly" wound line. Floatation buoys are fitted to the line to furnish floatation and to provide a visible boundary for nearby boating traffic.

A mooring area with buoys to tie boats is located approximately 80 feet east of the planned swim line location. This mooring area is used primarily by Tahoe park Association members.

The proposed swim line is intended to be used as a seasonal barrier for a swimming area established for Tahoe Park Association members. The applicant indicates the swim line is intended for use during the swimming season; being deployed usually in late June and being withdrawn after Labor Day.

The line will be taken out of the water and stored during the winter season.

CALENDAR PAGE 31
MINUTE PAGE 31.4

# TAHOE PARK ASSOCIATION PROPOSED SWIM LINE

