MINUTE ITEM This Calendar Item No C24 was approved as Minute Item No. 24 by the State Lands Commission by a vote of 3 to dits 12-12-90 meeting.

CALENDAR ITEM

13

C 24

S g PRC 7481

12/12/90

W 24596

Martinez

DREDGING PERMIT

APPLICANT:

Sity of Emeryville Attn: City Manager

2200 Powell Street, 12th Floor Emeryuille, California 94608

AREA, TYPE LAND AND LOCATION:

Granted mineral reservation land in

San Francisco Bay at City of Emeryville Marina,

Alameda County.

LAND USE:

Dredge a maximum 40,000 cubic yards of material to maintain a navigable depth. The Applicant has proposed disposal of the dredged material at the Corps of Engineers' approved Alcatraz Aquatic Disposal Site SF-11.

TERMS OF PROPOSED PERMIT:

Initial period:

One (1) year beginning

December 12, 1990.

Royalty:

No charge for aquatic disposal; \$0.25 per cubic yard for any material sold or used for commercial purposes.

Additional Fee:

A fee of \$0.25 per cubic yard

for any dredged material disposed of at any site in San Francisco Bay, including, but not limited to, SF-11 to

-1-

CALENDAR PAGE \_ 142 MINUTE PAGE \_ 3479

# CALENDAR ITEM NO. C (CONT'D)

offset the cost of studies necessary to develop non-Bay disposal sites for future use.

PREREQUISITE CONDITIONS, FEES, AND EXPENSES: Filing fee has been received.

STATUTORY AND OTHER REFERENCES:

A. P.R.C.: Div. 6, Parts 1 and 2; Div. 13.

B. Cal. Code Regs.: Title 2, Div. 3; Title 14, Div. 6.

AB 884:

05/08/91.

OTHER PERTINENT INFORMATION:

- 1. Water quality testing has been performed pursuant to the Corps of Engineers and California Regional Water Quality Control Board permitting requirements. The test results indicate that the materials are suitable for disposal at SF-11 as proposed.
- 2. Questions have been raised about continuing disposal of dredged material in San Francisco Bay. It is anticipated the currently approved in-Bay sites will reach capacity within ten years. However, the current lack of suitable upland disposal sites or EPA/Corps-approved offshore disposal sites severely limits the options available for disposal.

Through participation in the Federal/State Joint Long-Term Management Study being conducted to identify and evaluate site options for the disposal of material dredged from San Francisco Bay, the State Lands Commission has emphasized the need to focus on the selection of upland and ocean disposal site(s). This need has also been expressed by the San Francisco Bay Conservation and Development Commission (SFBCDC).

-2-

CALENDAR PAGE 143 MINUTE PAGE 3480

## CALENDAR ITEM NO. C 2 4 (CONT'D)

Identification and evaluation of alternate disposal sites will require numerous studies which have been estimated to cost several million dollars. As ongoing in-Bay disposal contributes to the eventual obsolescence of in-Bay sites and the need to develop other alternatives, a fee will be charged as a condition to the proposed permit to be deposited in a fund to offset the cost of needed studies.

3. An environmental analysis was prepared, circulated, and adopted for this project through the regulatory program of the GFBCDC which has been certified by the Secretary for Resources as meeting the requirements of P.R.C. 21080.5 for a functional equivalent agency. The document concluded that the project, as defined, will have no significant environmental effects.

The SFBCDC, as Lead Agency, consulted with the responsible agencies, as required by CEQA Guidelines Section 15253, and determined that the project, as approved, would not have a significant effect on the environment. The SFRCDC, as Lead Agency, approved the project on February 16, 1990. The staff of the State Lands Commission has reviewed the SFRCDC's environmental analysis and believes that the conditions have been met for the Commission, as a Responsible Agency, to use the document as a substitute for a Negative Declaration as provided under CEQA Guidelines, Section 15253.

#### APPROVALS OBTAINEU:

United States Army Morps of Engineers, SFBCDC, and Regional Water Quality Control Board.

EXHIBIT:

A. Site Map.

CALENDAR PAGE 144
MINUTE PAGE 3481

### CALENDAR ITEM NO. C 2 4 (CONT'D)

the state of the s

#### IT IS RECOMMENDED THAT THE COMMISSION:

- 1. FIND THAT AN ENUIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS WAS PREPARED, CIRCULATED, AND ADOPTED FOR THIS PROJECT BY THE SAN FRANCISCO BAY CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION UNDER ITS CERTIFIED STATE REGULATORY PROGRAM [CEQA GUIDELINES SECTION 15251(h)], THAT THE CONDITIONS SPECIFIED IN GUIDELINES SECTION 15253 HAVE BEEN MET AND PURSUANT TO GUIDELINES SECTION 15253(a), THE COMMISSION, AS A RESPONSIBLE AGENCY, ADOPTS THAT ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS AS A SUBSTITUTE FOR A NEGATIVE DECLARATION.
- FIND THAT THE COMMISSION HAS CONSIDERED THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THE ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS AND HAS DETERMINED THAT THE PROJECT, AS APPROVED, WILL NOT HAVE A SIGNIFICANT EFFECT ON THE ENVIRONMENT.
- AUTHORIZE STAFF TO ISSUE TO THE CITY OF EMERYUILLE THE DREDGING PERMIT ON FILE IN THE OFFICE OF THE STATE LANDS COMMISSION. THE PERMIT SHALL ALLOW DREDGING A MAXIMUM VOLUME OF 40,000 CUBIC YARDS OF MATERIAL FROM SAN FRANCISCO BAY AT EMERYVILLE, ALAMEDA COUNTY, FOR ONE YEAR BEGINNING DECEMBER 12, 1990 IT IS PREFERRED THAT DREDGED MATERIALS SHALL BE DISPOSED OF AT AN UPLAND OR EPA/CORPS OF ENGINEERS-APPFOVED OFFSHORE OCEAN DISPOSAL SITE. IN THE ABSENCE OF AVAILABILITY OF SUCH SITES, THE MATERIAL MAY BE DISPOSED OF AT THE CORPS OF ENGINEERS APPROVED ALCATRAZ DISPOSAL SITE. NO ROYALTY SHALL BE CHARGED FOR MATERIAL DISPOSED OF AS APPROVED. A ROYALTY OF \$0.25 PER CUBIC SHALL BE CHARGED FOR ANY MATERIAL USED FOR PRIVATE BENEFIT OR COMMERCIAL SALE PURPOSES. A FEE OF \$0.25 WILL BE CHARGED FOR EACH CUBIC YARD OF DREDGED MATERIAL PLACED IN IN-BAY DISPOSAL SITES. THIS MONEY WILL BE PLACED IN A SEPARATE FUND TO OFFSET COSTS OF STUDIES NECESSARY TO IDENTIFY AND ANALYZE ALTERNATIVE DISPOSAL SITES. PERMITTED ACTIVITY IS CONTINGENT UPON THE APPLICANT'S COMPLIANCE WITH APPLICABLE PERMITS, RECOMMENDATION, AND LIMITATIONS ISSUED BY FEDERAL, STATE, AND LOCAL GOVERNMENT AGENCIES.

-4-

CALENDAR PAGE	145
MINUTE PAGE	3482

