MINUTE ITEM

This Calendar Item No. 20
was approved as Minute Item
No. 20 by the State Lands

emmission by a vote of 3
0 at its 1/21/89
meeting.

CALENDAR ITEM

20

A 7

09/27/89 PRC 4172 J. Ludlow

S 1

APPROVAL OF RECREATIONAL PIER PERMIT

APPLICANTS:

Elsie M. Fabian and Michael H. Fabian, Trustees

808 Arbutus

Chico, California 95926

AREA, TYPE LAND AND LOCATION:

A parcel of submerged land in Lake Tahoe at

Homewood, Placer County.

LAND USE:

Use and maintenance of an existing pier and

boathouse and retention of one previously

unauthorized mooring buoy.

TERMS OF PROPOSED PERMIT:

Initial period: F

Five years beginning September 27, 1989.

CONSIDERATION:

Rent-free, pursuant to Section 6503.5 of the

P.R.C.

APPLICANT STATUS:

Applicants are owners of upland.

PREREQUISITE CONDITIONS, FEES AND EXPENSES:

Filing fee and processing costs have been

received.

STATUTORY AND OTHER REFERENCES:

A. P.R.C.: Div. 6, Parts 1 and 2; Div. 13.

. Cal. Code Regs.: Title 2, Div. 3;

Title 14, Div. 6.

AB 884:

11/04/89.

-1-

CALERDAN PAGE

MEMBER PAGE

217 34.0

CALENDAR ITEM NO. 20 (CONT'D)

OTHER PERTINENT INFORMATION:

1. As to the existing pier and boathouse, pursuant to the Commission's delegation of authority and the State CEQA Guidelines (14 Cal. Code Regs. 15061), the staff has determined that this activity is exempt from the requirements of the CEQA as a categorically exempt project. The project is exempt under Class 1, Existing Facility, 2 Cal. Code Regs 2905(a)(2).

Authority: P.R.C. 21084, 14 Cal. Code Regs. 15300, and 2 Cal. Code Regs. 2905.

2. As to the existing unauthorized mooring buoy, pursuant to the Commission's delegation of authority and the State CEQA Guidelines (14 Cal. Code Regs. 15025), the staff has prepared a Proposed Negative Declaration identified as EIR ND 476, State Clearinghouse No. 89051502. Such Proposed Negative Declaration was prepared and circulated for public review pursuant to the provisions of CEQA.

Based upon the Initial Study, the Proposed Negative Declaration, and the comments received in response thereto, there is no substantial evidence that the project will have a significant effect on the environment. (14 Cal. Code Regs. 15074[b])

3. In order to determine the other potential trust uses in the area of the proposed project, the staff contacted representatives of the following agencies: Tahoe Regional Planning Agency, Department of Fish and Game, County of Placer, and the Tahoe Conservancy. None of these agencies expressed a concern that the proposed project would have a significant effect on trust uses in the area. The agencies did not identify any trust needs which were not being met by existing facilities in the area. Identified trust uses in this area would include swimming, boating, walking along the beach, and views of the lake.

CALENDAR ITEM NO. 20 (CONT'D)

- 4. All permits issued at Lake Tahoe include special language in which the permittee/lessee agrees to protect and replace or restore, if required, the habitat of Rorippa subumbellata, commonly called the Tahoe Yellow Cress, a State-listed endangered plant species.
- 5. This activity involves lands identified as possessing significant environmental values pursuant to P.R.C. 6370, et seq. Based upon the staff's consultation with the persons nominating such lands and through the CEQA review process, it is the staff's opinion that the project, as proposed, is consistent with its use classification.
- 6. All permits covering structures in Lake Tahoe will include a condition subsequent that if any structure authorized is found to be in nonconformance with the Tahoe Regional Planning Agency's shorezone ordinance and if any alterations, repairs, or removal required pursuant to said ordinance are not accomplished within the designated time period, then the permit will be automatically terminated, effective upon notice by the State, and the site shall be cleared pursuant to the terms thereof.

APPROVALS OBTAINED:

All permits for pier and boathouse previously obtained.

EXHIBITS:

- A. Land Description.
- B. Location Map.
- C. Negative Declaration.

IT IS RECOMMENDED THAT THE COMMISSION:


1. AS TO THE EXISTING PIER AND BOATHOUSE, FIND THAT THE ACTIVITY IS EXEMPT FROM THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE CEQA PURSUANT TO 14 CAL. CODE REGS. 15061 AS A CATEGORICALLY EXEMPT PROJECT, CLASS 1, EXISTING FACILITY, 2 CAL. CODE REGS. 2905(a)(2).


CALENDAR ITEM NO. 20 (CONT'D)

- 2. AS TO THE EXISTING UNAUTHORIZED MOORING BUOY, CERTIFY THAT A NEGATIVE DECLARATION, EIR ND 476, STATE CLEARINGHOUSE NO. 89051502, WAS PREPARED FOR THIS PROJECT PURSUANT TO THE PROVISIONS OF THE CEQA AND THAT THE COMMISSION HAS REVIEWED AND CONSIDERED THE INFORMATION CONTAINED THEREIN.
- 3. DETERMINE THAT THE PROJECT, AS APPROVED, WILL NOT HAVE A SIGNIFICANT EFFECT ON THE ENVIRONMENT.
- 4. AUTHORIZE ISSUANCE TO ELSIE M. FABIAN AND MICHAEL H. FABIAN, TRUSTEES OF A FIVE-YEAR RECREATIONAL PIER PERMIT BEGINNING SEPTEMBER 27, 1989, FOR THE USE AND MAINTENANCE OF AN EXISTING PIER AND FOR THE RETENTION OF ONE EXISTING MOORING BUOY, ON THE LAND DESCRIBED ON EXHIBIT "A" ATTACHED AND BY REFERENCE MADE A PART HEREOF.

_ A __

CALENDAR PAGE 220
MINUTE PAGE 3475





STATE LANDS COMMISSION

307 13TH STREET CRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA 95814



EXHIBIT "C"

PROPOSED NEGATIVE DECLARATION

MAY 15 1989 STATE CLEARINGHOUSE

File Ref.: PRC 4172

EIR ND 476

SCH#: 89051502

Project Title:

FABIAN BUOY PERMIT

Project Proponent:

Elsie M. & Michael H. Fabian, Trustees

Project Location: In Lake Tahoe adjacent to Fractional Section 25, T.15 N., R.16 E.,

M.D.M., 2720 Westlake Blvd., Tahoe City, Placer County.

Project Description: Continued use and maintenance of an existing unauthorized buoy.

Contact Person:

TED T. FUKUSHIMA

Telephone:

(916) 322-7813


This document is prepared pursuant to the requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act (Section 21000 et seq., Public Resources Code), the State CEQA Guidelines (Section 15000 et seq., Title 14, California Code Regulations), and the State Lands Commission regulations (Section 2901 et seq., Title 2, California Code Regulations).


Based upon the attached Initial Study, it has been found that:

the project will not have a significant effect on the environment.

mitigation measures included in the project will avoid potentially significant effects.

CALENEAR PAGE





		9.0	•	~		88	
_		1 /	•	~		2525	
D	Filed:	16	. ,	•	,	\sim	

File Ref.: PRC 4172

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT FORM - Port I

(To FORM 69 3(11/82)

GENERAL INFORMATION

VINOIVIMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT FORM — Part I	
the completed by applicant)	
M 60 3/11/27	

1. Name, address, and telephone number: Applicant Contact person if other than applicant: Elsie M. & Michael H. Fabian, Trustees 4706 Dovercourt Circle Carmichael, CA 95608 (916) 483-5926 Project location: (Please reference to nearest town or community and include county) In Lake Tahoe adjacent to Fractional Section 25, T.15 N., R.16 E., M.D.M., 2720 Westlake Blvd., Tahoe City, Placer County. Assessor's parcel number: 85-030-04 3. Existing zoning of project site: ___ Existing land use of project site: Existing unauthorized buoy Proposed use of site. To obtain a permit for the buoy. Other permits required. None PROJECT DESCRIPTION

1. For building construction projects, complete "ATTACHMENT A".

For non-building construction projects. Describe fully, the proposed activity, its purpose and intended use, e.g. for proposed mineral prospecting permits, include the number of test holes, size of holes, amount of material to be excavated, maximum surface area of disturbance, hole locations, depth of holes, etc. Attach plans or other drawings as necessary.

CALENDAR PAGE

ACHUTE PAGE

C. ENVIRONMENTAL SETTING

Describe the project site as it exists before the project, including information on topography, soil stability, plants and animals, and any cultural, historical, or scenic aspects. Describe any existing structures on the site, and the use of the structures.

2. Describe the surrounding properties, including information on plants and animals and any cultural, historical, or scenic aspects, indicate the type of land use (residential, commercial, etc.), intensity of land use (one family, apartment houses, shops, depart ment stores, etc.), and scale of development (height, frontage, set-back, rear yard, etc.).

D. ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT

Answer the following questions by placing a check in the appropriate box. Discuss all items checked "yes" or "maybe". (Attach additional sheets as necessary)

	(Mitacii anditional success as independ in						
Wil	the project involve:	YES M	AYBE	NO			
ĩ.	a change in existing features of any bays, tidelands, beaches, lakes, or hills, or substantial alteration of ground contours?			X			
2.	a change in scenic views or vistas from existing residential areas or public lands or roads?			X)			
3.	a change in pattern, scale, or character of the general area of project?	Π		X			
4.	a significant effect on plant or animal life?,			X			
5.	significant amounts of solid waste or litter?			X)			
	a change in dust, ash, smoke, fumes, or odors in the vicinity?			X)			
7.	a change in ocean, bay, lake, stream, or ground water quality or quantity, or alteration			X			
8.	a change in existing noise or vibration levels in the vicinity?			X			
9.	construction on filled land or on slops of 10 percent or more?			[X]			
10.	use or disposal of potentially hazardous materials, such as toxic or radioactive			X			
11.	a change in demand for municipal services (police, fire, water, sowage, etc.)?			X			
12.	an increase in follis fuel consumption (electricity, oil, natural gas, etc.)?			X			
13.	a larger project or a saries of projects?			X			
E.	CERTIFICATION						
	I hereby certify that the statements furnished above and in the attached exhibits present the date and information required for this initial evaluation to the best of my ability, and that the facts, statements, and information presented are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and ballief.						
2	Date: 12-5-88 Signed: Mark Luss		····				

CALENDAR PAGE 227
ANYUTE PAGE 3432

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT CHECKLIST - PART II

Form 13.20 (7/82)

File Ref .: PRC 4172 I. EACKGROUND INFORMATION A. Applicant: Elsie M. & Michael H. Fabian, Trustees 4706 Dovercourt Circle Carmichael, CA 95608 B. Checklist Date: ____5 / 15 / 89 C. Contact Person: TED T. FUKUSHIMA Telephone: (916) 322-7813 D. Purpose: To place under permit an existing unauthorized buoy. E Location In Lake Tahoe adjacent to Fractional Section 25, T.15 N., R.16 E. M.D.M. 2720 Westlake Blvd. Tahoe City. Placer County. F. Description: Continued use and maintenance of an existing buoy. Persons Contacted: ___ II. ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS. (Explain all "yes" and "maybe" answers) Yes Maybe No A, Earth, Will the proposal result in: 2 Disruptions, displacements, compaction, or overcovering of the soil?.... 5 Any increase in wind or water erosion of soils, either on or off the site?..... 6 Changes in deposition or erosion of beach sands, or changes in siltation, deposition or erosion which maymodify the channel of a river or stream or the bed of the ocean or any bay, inlet, or lake? GOLERON PASS. 7 Exposure of all people or property to geologic hazards such as earthquakes, landslides, mydslides, ground

₿.	.1ir. Will the proposal result in:	Yes	May	be	No
	Substantial air emmissions or deterioration of ambient air quality?		i.	!	X,
	2 The creation of objectionable odors?	["]	1	!	[x:
	3. Alteration of air movement, moisture or temperature, or any change in climate, either locally or regionally?		i		¦x
C.	Water. Will the proposal result in:				
	1. Changes in the currents, or the course or direction of water movements, in either marine or fresh waters?	1:		•	įχ`
	2. Changes in absorption rates, drainage patterns, or the rate and amount of surface water runoff?		ĺ	;	[x]
	3. Alterations to the course or flow of flood waters?		1	:	ίxι
	4. Change in the amount of surface water in any water body?	11	1	ı	¦x'
	5. Discharge into surface waters, or in any alteration of surface water quality, including but not limited to temperature, dissolved caygen or turbidity?	1 1	ļ	:	įχ.
	6. Alteration of the direct on or rate of flow of ground waters?	[]	1	;	X
	7. Change in the quantity of ground waters, either through direct additions or withdrawals, or through interception of an aquifer by cuts or excavations?	[]	l		x
	8. Substantial reduction in the amount of water otherwise available for public water supplies?	1 :	!	:	¦Χ'
	9. Exposure of people or property to water-related hazards such as flooding or tidal waves?		į		;X
	10. Significant changes in the temperature, flow or chemical content of surface thermal springs?		ļ	•	ļ×,
D.	Plant Life. Will the proposal result in:				
	1. Change in the diversity of species, or number of any species of plants (including trees, shrubs, grass, crops, and aquatic plants)?	i	;	į	x
2	2. Reduction of the numbers of any unique, rare or endangered species of plants?	Ţ	i	;	'x
9	3. Introduction of new species of plants into an area, or in a barrier to the normal replenishment of existing species?	[]	i		,×
	4. Reduction in acreage of any agricultural crop?	li	í	:	įΧ
E.	Animal Life Will the proposal result in:				
	1. Change in the diversity of species, or numbers of any species of animals (birds, land animals including reptiles, fish and shellfish, benthic organisms, or insects)?		!	i	[x !
	2. Reduction of the numbers of any unique, rare or endangered species of animals?	!	ł	i	X:
	3. Introduction of new species of animals into an area, or result in a barrier to the migration or movement of animals?	i 1	í	i	x
	4. Deterioration to existing fish or wildlife habitat?	_			
F.	Anne, Will the proposal esult in:	• • •	•		•
	1. Increase in existing noise levels?		i	ļ.	x
	2. Exposure of people to severe noise levels?				
G,	Light and Glare. Will the proposal result in.			•	•
	1. The production of new light or glare?	[7]		i	x.
H.	Land Use. Will the proposal result in:				
	1. A substantial alteration of the present or planned land use of an area?		i	į	įΧ
<u>,</u> 1.	Neural Resources. Will the proposal result in:				
	1. Increase in the rate of use of any natural resources?		ļ	į	Х.
	2. Substantial depletion of any nonrenewable resources?				
	DARPOST DAGE	~~	2) C	
	ONLINE PAGE	34	3		
	Transfit (NO)	dente.			

J.	Risk of Upset. Does the proposal result in:	Yes	Maybe	No
	1 A risk of an explosion or the release of hazardous substances (including, but not limited to, oil, pesticides, chemicals, or radiation) in the event of an accident or upset conditions?			
	2. Possible interference with emergency response plan or an emergency evacuation plan?			X
к.	Population. Will the proposal result in:			
	1 The alteration, distribution, density, or growth rate of the human population of the area?			X
L.	Housing. Will the proposal result in:			
	1 Affecting existing housing, or create a demand for additional housing?			X
M.	Transportation/Circulation. Will the proposal result in:			
	1. Generation of substantial additional vehicular movement?			X
	2. Affecting existing parking facilities, or create a demand for new parking?			X
	3. Substantial impact upon existing transportation systems?			X
	4. Alterations to present patterns of circulation or movement of people and/or goods?			X
	5. Alterations to waterborne, rail, or air traffic?			X
	6. Increase in traffic hazards to motor vehicles, bicyclists, or pedestrians?			X
N.	Public Services. Will the proposal have an effect upon, or result in a need for new or altered governmental services in any of the following areas:			
	1. Fire protection?			[X]
	2. Police protection?			[X]
	3 Schools?			
	4. Parks and other recreational facilities?			X
	5. Maintenance of public facilities, including roads?			[X]
	6. Other governmental sersices?			[X]
0.	Inergy. Will the proposal result in:			
	1 Use of substantial amounts of fuel or energy?			X
	2. Substantial increase in demand upon existing sources of energy, or require the development of new sources? .			X
P.	finities. Will the proposal result in a need for new systems, or substantial alterations to the following utilities:			
	1. Power or natural gas?			X
	2 Communication systems?			X
	3 Water?			X
	4. Sewer or septic tanks?			X
	5, Storm water drainage?			X
	6. Solid waste and disposal?			X
a.	Human Health. Will the proposal result in:			
	1. Creation of any health hazard or potential health hazard (excluding mental health)?			X.
	2. Exposure of people to potential health hazards?			$[\overline{x}]$
R.	Sexthetics. Will the proposal result in:			
	1. The obstruction of any scenic vista or view open to the public, or will the proposal result in the creation of an aesthetically offensive site open to public view?			XI
S.	Recreation. Will the proposal result in:	· 	- 	
	1. An impact upon the quality or quantity of existing recreational opportunities?	1	班	- <u>x</u> -
	ECON STRUCTS	34	<u>35</u>	

		Yes M	laybe	No
Τ.	Cultural Resources. 1. Will the proposal result in the alteration of or the destruction of a prehistoric or historic archeological site?	[]		x
	1. Will the proposal result in the alteration of or the destruction of a premisorie of instance of historic building		• "	•••
	2. Will the proposal result in adverse physical or aesthetic effects to a prehistoric or historic building, structure, or object?			X
	3. Does the proposal have the potential to cause a physical change which would affect unique ethnic cultural values?	1.1		x
	4. Will the proposal restrict existing religious or sacred uses within the potential impact area? ,	L.J	į	{ x ;
U	. Mandatory Findings of Significance.			
	1. Does the project have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment, reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory?	[.]	[]	{x }
	2. Does the project have the potential to achieve short-term, to the disadvantage of long-term, environmental goals?			[x]
	3. Does the project have impacts which are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable?			[X]
	to the state of th	,	L.J	{ x :
	either directly or indirectly?	لہا	l _1	<u>ا</u> م،
111. C	DISCUSSION OF ENVIRONMENTAL EVALUATION (See Comments Attached)			
	<i>y</i> .			
	\			
A CONTRACTOR				•
	•			
	·			
IV.	PRELIMINARY DETERMINATION		•	
	On the basis of this initial evaluation: $ \vec{X} $ 1 find the proposed project COULD NOT have a significant effect on the environment, and a NEGATIVE DI	ECLAR	ATIC	N will
,	he ntenated.			
,	I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, there will not be in this case because the mitigation measures described on an attached sheet have been added to the project.	ect A	licant NEG/	ettect
	DECLARATION will be prepared.			
	I find the proposed project MAY have a significant effect on the environment, and an ENVIRONMENTAL is requied.	IMPAC	,ı me	.runi
	p entremental en en	υ_	-	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
	- land to Wishington	تجروبه	(3)	
	Date: 5 / 15 / 89	ે ?	्रा	•

5 mm 17 77 47 8