

MINUTE ITEM

This Calendar Item No. 28  
was adopted by the Board of Supervisors  
at its meeting held on 3/24/83  
at the 0 o'clock meeting.

CALENDAR ITEM

At 28

3/24/83  
W 40316 PRC 6395  
Hart

APPROVAL OF A PROSPECTING PERMIT FOR  
MINERALS OTHER THAN OIL, GAS, GEOTHERMAL  
RESOURCES, SAND AND GRAVEL,  
IMPERIAL COUNTY

APPLICANT: Walter H. Wheeler  
P. O. Box 1755  
Yuma, Arizona 85364

PROPOSED AUTHORIZATION:

Approval of a Prospecting Permit for two years to prospect for gold and silver and other valuable minerals, other than oil, gas, geothermal resources, sand and gravel, on approximately 127 acres of land located in Imperial County.

CONSIDERATION: Filing fee of \$25, expense deposit of \$100 and acreage deposit of \$127.

TYPE OF LAND AND LOCATION:

State School Land - Portion of W $\frac{1}{2}$  of W $\frac{1}{2}$ , Section 36, T13S, R22E, SBB&M, Imperial County, 1.3 miles south west of Picacho, more particularly described in Exhibit "A".

PROPOSED PROJECT:

The applicant will take exploratory samples from the subsurface in two phases by excavating six sample pits, three per phase. The samples will be assayed for gold and silver to discover and delineate any possible gold and/or silver deposits.

A 80

S 39

CALENDAR PAGE	133
MINUTE PAGE	389

CALENDAR ITEM NO. <sup>A</sup> 28 (CONTD)

TERM: The primary term of a Prospecting Permit is two years. The Commission may, in its discretion, extend the term for one additional year.

ROYALTY: Royalty payable under the prospecting permit shall be 20 percent of the gross value of the minerals secured from the permit area and sold or otherwise disposed of or held for sale or other disposition.

PREREQUISITE ITEMS:

1. Required statutory filing fee, expense deposit and acreage deposit have been submitted by the applicant.
2. Subject parcel is not known to contain a commercially valuable deposit of minerals.
3. Royalty payable under any preferential lease issued shall be as follows: Not less than ten percent of the gross value of all minerals produced from the leased lands, less any charges incurred by the lessee and approved by the State, in processing and transporting said minerals.

STATUTORY REFERENCES:

- A. P.R.C.: Div. 6, Section 6891.
- B. Cal. Adm. Code: Title 2, Section 2200.

AB 884: 7/7/83.

OTHER PERTINENT INFORMATION:

1. A Negative Declaration was prepared by the Commission's staff pursuant to CEQA and implementing regulations.
2. This project is situated on lands not identified as possessing significant environmental values pursuant to P.R.C. 6370.1, and is unclassified. A staff review of available environmental information indicates no reason to identify the subject parcel as having such values at this time.

3. Pursuant to P.R.C. Section 6895, the applicant would have a preferential right to a lease for a maximum of 160 acres embraced within the permit. Said right shall be subject to all necessary environmental approvals. The permit will not affect the discretion of the Commission in granting or denying such environmental approvals.

APPROVALS OBTAINED:

Pursuant to P.R.C. Section 6890, the subject permit application has been approved by the Office of the Attorney General as to compliance with applicable provisions of the law.

EXHIBITS:

- A. Land Description.
- B. Site Map.
- C. Negative Declaration.

IT IS RECOMMENDED THAT THE COMMISSION:

1. CERTIFY THAT NEGATIVE DECLARATION NO. 321 HAS BEEN COMPLETED IN ACCORDANCE WITH CEQA, THE STATE CEQA GUIDELINES AND THE COMMISSION'S ADMINISTRATIVE REGULATIONS, AND THAT THE COMMISSION HAS REVIEWED AND CONSIDERED THE INFORMATION CONTAINED THEREIN PRIOR TO THE APPROVAL OF THE PROJECT. THIS PROJECT SHALL INCLUDE THIS PERMIT AND ANY EXTENSION THE COMMISSION MAY GRANT IN ITS DISCRETION FOR THE SAME PROJECT DESCRIBED IN THE PERMIT.
2. DETERMINE THAT THE PROJECT WILL NOT HAVE A SIGNIFICANT EFFECT ON THE ENVIRONMENT.
3. DETERMINE THAT THE LANDS DESCRIBED IN THE PERMIT ARE NOT KNOWN TO CONTAIN COMMERCIALY VALUABLE DEPOSITS OF MINERALS.
4. AUTHORIZE THE ISSUANCE OF THE PROSPECTING PERMIT TO WALTER H. WHEELER, FOR A TERM OF TWO YEARS, FOR ALL MINERALS, OTHER THAN OIL, GAS, GEOTHERMAL RESOURCES, SAND AND GRAVEL ON A FRACTIONAL PORTION OF THE WEST HALF OF THE WEST HALF, SECTION 36, T13S, R22E, SBB&M, IMPERIAL COUNTY, CONTAINING APPROXIMATELY 127 ACRES; IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE STANDARD FORM OF PERMIT. ROYALTY

CALENDAR ITEM NO. 28 (CONTD)

PAYABLE UNDER THE PERMIT SHALL BE TWENTY PERCENT. ROYALTY PAYABLE UNDER ANY PREFERENTIAL LEASE ISSUED UPON THE DISCOVERY OF COMMERCIALY VALUABLE DEPOSITS FOR ANY AND ALL MATERIALS EXTRACTED OR REMOVED FROM SAID PREMISES FOR SALE OR STOCKPILING SHALL NOT BE LESS THAN TEN PERCENT OF THE GROSS REVENUE, LESS SPECIFIC CHARGES, AS APPROVED BY THE COMMISSION, RELATED TO TRANSPORTING AND PROCESSING, AS SET FORTH IN SAID LEASE; THE DETERMINATION OF SAID ROYALTIES SHALL BE AT THE DISCRETION OF THE COMMISSION.

EXHIBIT "A"

LAND DESCRIPTION

W 40316

Those portions of Lots 1, 2, 3, 4 in the W 1/2 of the NW 1/4 and the W 1/2 of the SW 1/4 of Section 36, T13S, R22E, SBM, Imperial County, California.

END OF DESCRIPTION

PREPARED NOVEMBER 16, 1982, BY BOUNDARY AND TITLE UNIT, LEROY WEED, SUPERVISOR.

EXHIBIT	137
PAGE	323




EXHIBIT "B"  
W 40316



CALENDAR PAGE 138

MINUTE PAGE 394

## EXHIBIT "C"

PROPOSED NEGATIVE DECLARATION

EIR ND 321

File Ref.: W 40316

SCH#: 82041850

Project Title: Mineral Prospecting Permit,  
Walter H. Wheeler

Project Location: Portion of W $\frac{1}{2}$  of W $\frac{1}{2}$ , Section 36, T. 13 S., R. 22 E.,  
S.B.B. & M., Imperial County.

Project Description: Walter H. Wheeler will take exploratory samples from the subsurface in two phases, and assay them for gold and silver content to discover and delineate any possible gold and/or silver deposits.

This NEGATIVE DECLARATION is prepared pursuant to the requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act (Section 21000 et seq. of the Public Resources Code), the State CEQA Guidelines (Section 15000 et seq., Title 14, of the California Administrative Code), and the State Lands Commission regulations (Section 2901 et seq., Title 2, of the California Administrative Code).

Based upon the attached Initial Study, it has been found that:

☒ the project will not have a significant effect on the environment.

☐ the attached mitigation measures will avoid potentially significant effects.

Contact Person: Ted T. Fukushima  
1807 - 13th Street  
Sacramento, CA 95814  
Tel: (916) 322-7813

139
395

COMMENTS RECEIVED AND RESPONSES TO INITIAL STUDY

1. Department of Parks and Recreation

Comments:

"There are several items which will need to be discussed in more detail in the Negative Declaration for prospecting permit W 40316. Since the project is adjacent to Picacho State Recreation Area, the general public may have occasion to be in the project area. Some sort of warning sign to alert the public to keep out of the project area will be needed. Because camping and other recreational activities occur throughout this area, even if the digs are only of a few days duration, on-site signs should be posted.

Also, a thorough investigation of the weather and climate patterns should be done, to determine the potential effects any flash flooding may have on the project site or adjacent areas. Changes in absorption rates, drainage patterns, and the rate and amount of surface runoff should be included.

The use of backhoes and other equipment will produce fumes and odors and digging will generate dust. These items need to be mentioned and measures taken to minimize their effect.

Picacho State Recreation Area is a quiet, rural area, where the general public goes to enjoy the outdoors. The quality of this recreational experience may be diminished if the scenic vistas and relative quiet are disturbed. Therefore, the project site land alterations should be kept to a minimum and the machinery creating any noise should only be operated for one eight hour period per day, from 9:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m."

Response:

1. Paragraph eight of the prospecting permit provides for notifying the public by requiring the permittee to mark each corner of the permitted lands with a substantial monument and post a notice in a conspicuous location on the permitted land stating that the prospecting permit has been issued and describing the permitted lands.



1. Department of Parks and Recreation (Contd.)

Response: (Contd.)

2. Since the total activity involves a maximum of only 360 cubic yards of material and each excavation will be restored, as nearly as possible, to the natural ground contour, the staff does not foresee any significant impacts from flash flooding during the proposed exploration. Additionally, the nominal total surface disturbance of 0.012 acre should not have any significant impacts with respect to changes in absorption rates, drainage patterns, or the rate or amount of surface runoff.
3. The prospecting permit (Paragraph 24b) binds the permittee to conduct all operations in such manner as to eliminate as nearly as possible all dust, noise, vibration, or noxious odors. Since the excavating, sampling and restoration at the six possible sites will be completed in approximately two days, there should not be any substantial air emissions or deterioration of ambient air quality or a permanent increase in the existing noise levels.

CALENDAR PAGE	141
MINUTE PAGE	397

August 16, 1982

INITIAL STUDY  
INTRODUCTION

Walter H. Wheeler has applied to the State Lands Commission for a prospecting permit on State lands located south of Ficacho State Recreation Area in eastern Imperial County. The proposed project consists of excavating six sample pits, three per phase, to discover and delineate any possible orebody. The permit, when issued, is for a two year period.

This Initial Study consists of an initial study checklist, information form responses, and maps.

STATE LANDS COMMISSION

August 1982

DATE	142
PAGE	398






EXHIBIT "B"

PICACHO PEAK, CA.  
LITTLE PICACHO PEAK, CA.  
PICACHO SW, AZ-CA.  
PICACHO, AZ-CA.

7.5 USGS QUADRANGLES

2 Miles

CALENDAR DAY  
MINI TRAIL  
400

COPPER  
Basin  
Process

## INITIAL STUDY CHECKLIST

Form 13.20 (7/80)

File Ref.: W 40316

## I. BACKGROUND INFORMATION

A. Applicant: Walter H. WheelerP. O. Box 1755Yuma, Arizona 85301B. Checklist Date: 8 / 16 / 82C. Contact Person: James B. Hart, State Lands CommissionTelephone: (213) 590-5201D. Purpose: Prospect for valuable minerals.E. Location: Portion of W $\frac{1}{2}$  of W $\frac{1}{2}$ , Section 36, T. 13 S., R. 22 E.,  
S.B.B.&M., Imperial County. See attached maps.F. Description: Applicant will dig six test holes to approximately  
18 feet with a back hoe, take samples at the 6, 12,  
and 18 foot depths, and assay the samples for gold & silver  
content.G. Persons Contacted: See detailed project description.

## II. ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS. (Explain all "yes" and "maybe" answers)

A. Earth. Will the proposal result in:

Yes Maybe No

1. Unstable earth conditions or changes in geologic substructures? ☐ ☐ ☒
2. Disruptions, displacements, compaction, or overcovering of the soil? ☒ ☐ ☐
3. Change in topography or ground surface relief features? ☐ ☐ ☒
4. The destruction, covering, or modification of any unique geologic or physical features? ☐ ☐ ☒
5. Any increase in wind or water erosion of soils, either on or off the site? ☒ ☐ ☐
6. Changes in deposition or erosion of beach sands, or changes in siltation, deposition or erosion which may modify the channel of a river or stream or the bed of the ocean or any bay, inlet, or lake? ☐ ☐ ☒
7. Exposure of all people or property to geologic hazards such as earthquakes, landslides, mudslides, ground failure, or similar hazards? ☐ ☐ ☒

145  
401

B. *Air*. Will the proposal result in:

Yes Maybe No

1. Substantial air emissions or deterioration of ambient air quality? ☐ ☐ ☒
2. The creation of objectionable odors? ☐ ☐ ☒
3. Alteration of air movement, moisture or temperature, or any change in climate, either locally or regionally? ☐ ☐ ☒

C. *Water*. Will the proposal result in:

1. Changes in the currents, or the course or direction of water movements, in either marine or fresh waters? ☐ ☐ ☒
2. Changes in absorption rates, drainage patterns, or the rate and amount of surface water runoff? ☐ ☐ ☒
3. Alterations to the course or flow of flood waters? ☐ ☐ ☒
4. Change in the amount of surface water in any water body? ☐ ☐ ☒
5. Discharge into surface waters, or in any alteration of surface water quality, including but not limited to temperature, dissolved oxygen or turbidity? ☐ ☐ ☒
6. Alteration of the direction or rate of flow of ground waters? ☐ ☐ ☒
7. Change in the quantity of ground waters, either through direct additions or withdrawals, or through interception of an aquifer by cuts or excavations? ☐ ☐ ☒
8. Substantial reduction in the amount of water otherwise available for public water supplies? ☐ ☐ ☒
9. Exposure of people or property to water-related hazards such as flooding or tidal waves? ☐ ☐ ☒
10. Significant changes in the temperature, flow or chemical content of surface thermal springs? ☐ ☐ ☒

D. *Plant Life*. Will the proposal result in:

1. Change in the diversity of species, or number of any species of plants (including trees, shrubs, grass, crops, and aquatic plants)? ☐ ☐ ☒
2. Reduction of the numbers of any unique, rare or endangered species of plants? ☐ ☐ ☒
3. Introduction of new species of plants into an area, or in a barrier to the normal replenishment of existing species? ☐ ☐ ☒
4. Reduction in acreage of any agricultural crop? ☐ ☐ ☒

E. *Animal Life*. Will the proposal result in:

1. Change in the diversity of species, or numbers of any species of animals (birds, land animals including reptiles, fish and shellfish, benthic organisms, or insects)? ☐ ☐ ☒
2. Reduction of the numbers of any unique, rare or endangered species of animals? ☐ ☐ ☒
3. Introduction of new species of animals into an area, or result in a barrier to the migration or movement of animals? ☐ ☐ ☒
4. Deterioration to existing fish or wildlife habitat? ☐ ☐ ☒

F. *Noise*. Will the proposal result in:

1. Increase in existing noise levels? ☐ ☒ ☐
2. Exposure of people to severe noise levels? ☐ ☐ ☒

G. *Light and Glare*. Will the proposal result in:

1. The production of new light or glare? ☐ ☐ ☒

H. *Land Use*. Will the proposal result in:

1. A substantial alteration of the present or planned land use of an area? ☐ ☐ ☒

I. *Natural Resources*. Will the proposal result in:

1. Increase in the rate of use of any natural resources? ☐ ☐ ☒
2. Substantial depletion of any nonrenewable resources? ☐ ☐ ☒

CALENDAR PAGE

146

MINUTE PAGE

402

T. Cultural Resources.

Yes Maybe No

1. Will the proposal result in the alteration of or the destruction of a prehistoric or historic archeological site? ☐ ☐ ☒
2. Will the proposal result in adverse physical or aesthetic effects to a prehistoric or historic building, structure, or object? ☐ ☐ ☒
3. Does the proposal have the potential to cause a physical change which would affect unique ethnic cultural values? ☐ ☐ ☒
4. Will the proposal restrict existing religious or sacred uses within the potential impact area? ☐ ☐ ☒

U. Mandatory Findings of Significance.

1. Does the project have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment, reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory? ☐ ☐ ☒
2. Does the project have the potential to achieve short term, to the disadvantage of long-term, environmental goals? ☐ ☐ ☒
3. Does the project have impacts which are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable? ☐ ☐ ☒
4. Does the project have environmental effects which will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly? ☐ ☐ ☒

III. DISCUSSION OF ENVIRONMENTAL EVALUATION (See Comments Attached)

See attached Detailed Project Description, Discussion of Environmental Evaluation, and Form 69.3.

IV. DETERMINATION

On the basis of this initial evaluation:

- ☒ I find the proposed project COULD NOT have a significant effect on the environment, and a NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared.
- ☐ I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, there will not be a significant effect in this case because the mitigation measures described on an attached sheet have been added to the project. A NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared.
- ☐ I find the proposed project MAY have a significant effect on the environment, and an ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required.

Date: 8 / 16 / 82

James B. Hart  
 JAMES B. HART  
 For the State Lands Commission  
 PAGE 403  
 Form 13.20 (7/80)

J. *Risk of Upset.* Does the proposal result in:

Yes Maybe No

1. A risk of an explosion or the release of hazardous substances (including, but not limited to, oil, pesticides, chemicals, or radiation) in the event of an accident or upset conditions? ☐ ☐ ☒
2. Possible interference with emergency response plan or an emergency evacuation plan? ☐ ☐ ☒

K. *Population.* Will the proposal result in:

1. The alteration, distribution, density, or growth rate of the human population of the area? ☐ ☐ ☒

L. *Housing.* Will the proposal result in:

1. Affecting existing housing, or create a demand for additional housing? ☐ ☐ ☒

M. *Transportation/Circulation.* Will the proposal result in:

1. Generation of substantial additional vehicular movement? ☐ ☐ ☒
2. Affecting existing parking facilities, or create a demand for new parking? ☐ ☐ ☒
3. Substantial impact upon existing transportation systems? ☐ ☐ ☒
4. Alterations to present patterns of circulation or movement of people and/or goods? ☐ ☐ ☒
5. Alterations to waterborne, rail, or air traffic? ☐ ☐ ☒
6. Increase in traffic hazards to motor vehicles, bicyclists, or pedestrians? ☐ ☐ ☒

N. *Public Services.* Will the proposal have an effect upon, or result in a need for new or altered governmental services in any of the following areas:

1. Fire protection? ☐ ☐ ☒
2. Police protection? ☐ ☐ ☒
3. Schools? ☐ ☐ ☒
4. Parks and other recreational facilities? ☐ ☐ ☒
5. Maintenance of public facilities, including roads? ☐ ☐ ☒
6. Other governmental services? ☐ ☐ ☒

O. *Energy.* Will the proposal result in:

1. Use of substantial amounts of fuel or energy? ☐ ☐ ☒
2. Substantial increase in demand upon existing sources of energy, or require the development of new sources? ☐ ☐ ☒

P. *Utilities.* Will the proposal result in a need for new systems, or substantial alterations to the following utilities:

1. Power or natural gas? ☐ ☐ ☒
2. Communication systems? ☐ ☐ ☒
3. Water? ☐ ☐ ☒
4. Sewer or septic tanks? ☐ ☐ ☒
5. Storm water drainage? ☐ ☐ ☒
6. Solid waste and disposal? ☐ ☐ ☒

Q. *Human Health.* Will the proposal result in:

1. Creation of any health hazard or potential health hazard (excluding mental health)? ☐ ☐ ☒
2. Exposure of people to potential health hazards? ☐ ☐ ☒

R. *Aesthetics.* Will the proposal result in:

1. The obstruction of any scenic vista or view open to the public, or will the proposal result in the creation of an aesthetically offensive site open to public view? ☐ ☐ ☒

S. *Recreation.* Will the proposal result in:

1. An impact upon the quality or quantity of existing recreational opportunities? ☐ ☐ ☒

CALENDAR PAGE

MINUTE PAGE

148  
404



## DETAILED PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Walter H. Wheeler proposes to conduct a two-phased exploration plan in the permit area with the execution of Phase I dependent upon the achievement of success in Phase II. The two phases are described as follows:

## Phase I:

The applicant will prospect by digging sample holes 1, 2, and 3 (refer to sample location map) to a total depth of approximately 18 feet with a backhoe tractor. Samples will be obtained at the six, twelve, and eighteen foot depths, and assayed for gold and silver content.

Prospect holes 1, 2, and 3 will be excavated, sampled, and back filled in approximately one day. Each test hole will be restored before commencing an excavation at the next sample location.

## Phase II:

If the assay results of the samples obtained in Phase I indicate commercial potential, the applicant will excavate sample holes 4, 5, and 6. Samples acquired at the six, twelve, and eighteen foot depths will be assayed for gold and silver to delineate any possible ore body.

The excavation duration and reclamation of the Phase II test holes will be identical to that described in Phase I.

The maximum surface area disturbance per test hole will be approximately 90 square feet. Therefore, the total maximum surface area disturbance for Phases I and II will be about 540 square feet or 0.012 acre. The maximum excavated sample volume per hole will be 60 cubic yards. Therefore, the total maximum excavated sample volume for Phases I and II will be 360 cubic yards.

If a commercially valuable mineral deposit is discovered through prospecting efforts under authorization of a prospecting permit, a comprehensive environmental report will be required on the future impacts of mining the deposit.

### III. Discussion of Environmental Evaluation

- A 2. Disruption, displacement, compaction, and overcovering of the rocky, sandy soil will occur in the immediate vicinity of the sampling sites. Each excavation will be restored to the natural ground contour, as nearly as possible, before proceeding to the next sample location. A minimal amount of disruption and compaction of the soil will occur when the sampling equipment is moved on and off a site.
- A 5. An increase in wind and water erosion of the disturbed soil at the sampling sites will take place during wind and rain storms.
- F 1. The use of the backhoe tractor and associated sampling activities of Phases I and II will temporarily increase the existing noise levels.

# Location of Sample Points


W 40316

Little

X278

R. 22 E.

TRAIL



ENVIRONMENTAL INFORMATION FORM  
(To be completed by applicant)

## GENERAL INFORMATION

1. Name and address of developer or project sponsor: Walter H. Wheeler  
P. O. Box 1755 Yuma, Az. 85361
2. Address of project: None  
Assessor's Block and Lot number: None
3. Name, address, and telephone number of person to be contacted concerning this project: Walter H. Wheeler P. O. Box 1755 Yuma, Az. 85361 (602) 783-5361
4. Indicate number of the permit application for the project to which this form pertains: W O 40316
5. List and describe any other related permits and other public approvals required for this project, including those required by City, regional, state and federal agencies: Imperial County Planning Commission.
6. Existing zoning district: Not zoned  
Present use of site: Recreational
7. Proposed use of site (Project for which this form is filed): Prospecting and mining

## PROJECT DESCRIPTION:

8. Site size. 160 acres
9. Square footage. 6,569,600
10. Number of floors of construction.
11. Amount of off-street parking provided.
12. Attach plans.
13. Proposed scheduling. Start operating as soon as lease is signed
14. Associated projects. None
15. Anticipated incremental development. None
16. If residential, include the number of units, schedule of unit sizes, range of sale prices or rents, and type of household and household size expected.
17. If commercial, indicate the type, whether neighborhood or city oriented, square footage of sales area, and loading facilities.
18. If industrial, indicate type, estimated employment per shift, and loading facilities.
19. If institutional, indicate the major function, estimated employment per shift, estimated occupancy, loading facilities, and community benefits to be derived from the project.

LEADER PAGE 152  
408

20. If the project involves a variance, conditional use or rezoning application, state this and indicate clearly why the application is required.

Are the following items applicable to the project or its effects? Discuss below all items checked yes. (attach additional sheets as necessary)

YES NO

- ☐ No. 21. Change in existing features or any bays, tidelands, beaches, lakes or hills, or substantial alteration of ground contours.
- ☐ No. 22. Change in scenic views or vistas from existing residential areas or public lands or roads
- ☐ No. 23. Change in pattern, scale or character of general area of project.
- ☐ No. 24. Significant effect on plant or animal life.
- ☐ No. 25. Significant amounts of solid waste or litter.
- ☐ No. 26. Change in dust, ash, smoke, fumes or odors in vicinity.
- ☐ No. 27. Change in ocean, bay, lake, stream or ground water quality or quantity, or alteration of existing drainage patterns.
- ☐ No. 28. Change in existing noise or vibration levels in the vicinity.
- ☐ No. 29. Site on filled land or on slope of 10 percent or more.
- ☐ No. 30. Use or disposal of potentially hazardous materials, such as toxic substances, flammables or explosives.
- ☐ No. 31. Change in demand for municipal services (police, fire, water, sewage, etc.)
- ☐ No. 32. Increased fossil fuel consumption (electricity, oil, natural, gas, etc.)
- ☐ No. 33. Relationship to a larger project or series of projects.

#### ENVIRONMENTAL SETTING

34. Describe the *project site* as it exists before the project, including information on topography, soil stability, plants and animals, and any cultural, historical or scenic aspects. Describe any existing structures on the site, and the use of the structures. Attach *photographs* of the site. Snapshots or polaroid photos will be accepted.
35. Describe the *surrounding properties*, including information on plants and animals and any cultural, historical or scenic aspects. Indicate the type of land use (residential, commercial, etc.), intensity of land use (one-family, apartment houses, shops, department stores, etc.), and scale of development (height, frontage, set-back, rear yard, etc.). Attach *photographs* of the vicinity. Snapshots or polaroid photos will be accepted.

#### CERTIFICATION:

I hereby certify that the statements furnished above and in the attached exhibits present the data and information required for this initial evaluation to the best of my ability, and that the facts, statements, and information presented are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Date March 25, 1982

Walter H Wheeler

For \_\_\_\_\_

CALENDAR PAGE	<u>153</u>
MINUTE PAGE	<u>409</u>

## ENVIRONMENTAL INFORMATION FORM 69.3

34. The project area and the surrounding lands are an alluvial plane eroded by washes and intrusions.

The soil is stable, subject only to the erosion caused by infrequent, extremely heavy rain storms.

The area is very sparsely covered with relatively low growing bushes typical of the area.

In traveling through the area many times and flying over it several times, I have only seen two burros one time.

There are no special environmental resources known to me.

There are no existing structures.

35. The project area, as described in 34 above, is typical of the surrounding area.

MAILING LIST - LETTERS

W 40316

August 16, 1982

Walter H. Wheeler  
P. O. Box 1755  
Yuma, AZ 85364

Imperial County Planning Department  
Court House  
El Centro, CA 92243  
Attn: Richard Mitchell

U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
Division of Ecological Services  
2400 Avila Road  
Laguna Niguel, CA 92677

Quechan Indian Tribe  
Fort Yuma Indian Reservation  
P. O. Box 1352  
Yuma, AZ 85364

Colorado River Indian Tribes  
Route 1, Box 23-B  
Parker, AZ 85344

MAILING CASE	155
OFFICE	411

MAILING LIST - MEMOS

W 40316

August 16, 1982

Regional Water Quality Control Board  
Colorado River Basin Region (7)  
Attn: Arthur Swajian Executive Officer  
73-271 Hwy 111, Suite 21  
Palm Desert, CA 92260

Dept. of Health  
Harvey Collins  
714 "P" Street  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Department of Parks & Recreation  
Attn: James M. Doyle  
1220 "K" Street, 3rd Floor  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Air Resources Board  
Mike Redemer  
1102 "Q" Street  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Department of Fish & Game  
Attn: Robert Tharratt  
1416 Ninth Street  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Department of Transportation  
District 11  
Attn: Jim Cheshire  
2829 Juan Street  
San Diego, CA 92139

Native American Heritage Commission  
1400 Tenth Street  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Department of Conservation  
Environmental Program Coordinator  
1416 Ninth Street, Room 1354  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Office of Historic Preservation  
Nick del Cioppo  
1220 "K" Street, 3rd Floor  
Sacramento, CA 95814

State Water Resources Control Board  
John Huddleson  
1416 Ninth Street  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dept. of Fish and Game  
Fred A. Worthley, Jr.,  
Regional Manager  
350 Golden Shore  
Long Beach, CA 90802

CALENDAR PAGE	156
MINUTE PAGE	412