

MINUTE ITEM:

This Calendar Item No. C12
was approved as Minute Item
No. 12 by the State Lands
Commission by a vote of 3
to 0 at its 6/29/82
meeting.

CALENDAR ITEM

C 1 2

6/29/82
W 2564 PRC 6169
Gordon

GENERAL PERMIT - RECREATIONAL USE

APPLICANT: Jean Matocq and Jeanine Matocq
P. O. Box 244
Tahoe Vista, California 95732

AREA, TYPE LAND AND LOCATION: A 0.107-acre parcel of submerged lands,
located in Lake Tahoe at Tahoe Vista, Placer
County.

LAND USE: Construction and maintenance of a multiple-use
pier utilized for public access and recreational
boating.

TERMS OF PROPOSED PERMIT:
Initial period: 15 years from July 1,
1982.

Public liability insurance: Combined single
limit coverage of \$1,000,000
per occurrence for bodily
injury and property damage.

- Special:
1. The permit is conditioned on permittee's conformance with the Shorezone Ordinances of California Tahoe Regional Planning Agency and Tahoe Regional Planning Agency.
 2. The permit is conditioned on the County of Placer's authorization and consent of the structure found to be on or adjacent to County lands.
 3. Section 2 of the permit conforms to the Lyon/Fogerty decision.

CONSIDERATION: \$220 per annum with the State reserving
the right to fix a different rental on
each fifth anniversary of the permit.

A 3

S 1

CALENDAR ITEM N~~o~~ C 1 2 (CONTD)

BASIS FOR CONSIDERATION:

Fair rental benchmark appraisal, pursuant to 2 Cal. Adm. Code 2003.

PREREQUISITE TERMS, FEES AND EXPENSES:

Applicant is permittee of upland.

Filing fee has been received.

STATUTORY AND OTHER REFERENCES:

A. P.R.C.: Div. 6, Parts 1 and 2; Div. 13.

B. Cal. Adm. Code: Title 2, Div. 3; Title 14, Div. 6.

AB 884: 9/4/82.

OTHER PERTINENT INFORMATION:

1. The proposed pier will be a multiple-use facility providing boat mooring and public access to National Avenue Beach, a Placer County recreation facility, and to applicant's restaurant, Bon Vivant, each located on the adjacent upland. The application for this project was filed on December 4, 1980. The planning agencies at Lake Tahoe have approved this activity. Therefore, this project is consistent with the Commission's policy adopted at its April 27, 1982 meeting as Minute Item 10.
2. A Negative Declaration was prepared and certified by California Tahoe Regional Planning Agency, pursuant to CEQA and the State EIR Guidelines. California Tahoe Regional Planning Agency found that the project will not have a significant effect on the environment.
3. The project is situated on lands identified as possessing significant environmental values pursuant to P.R.C. 6370.1, and is classified in use category "B" which authorizes Limited Use. The project as proposed will not have a significant effect upon the identified environmental values.

CALENDAR ITEM NO. C 1 2 (CONTD)

APPROVALS REQUIRED AND OBTAINED.

This facility is subject to the jurisdiction of the California Tahoe Regional Planning Agency, Tahoe Regional Planning Agency, the United States Army Corps of Engineers, the California Department of Fish and Game, Lahontan Regional Water Quality Control Board, and the County of Placer. The proposed permit is conditioned on the approval of all agencies having jurisdiction.

EXHIBITS:

- A. Land Description.
- B. Location Map.
- C. Notice of Determination and Negative Declaration.

IT IS RECOMMENDED THAT THE COMMISSION:

1. DETERMINE THAT A NEGATIVE DECLARATION HAS BEEN PREPARED FOR THIS PROJECT BY CALIFORNIA TAHOE REGIONAL PLANNING AGENCY.
2. CERTIFY THAT THE COMMISSION HAS REVIEWED AND CONSIDERED THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THE NEGATIVE DECLARATION.
3. DETERMINE THAT THE PROJECT WILL NOT HAVE A SIGNIFICANT EFFECT ON THE ENVIRONMENT; AND THE ACTIVITY IS CONSISTENT WITH THE LANDS USE CLASSIFICATION.
4. AUTHORIZE ISSUANCE TO JEAN MATOCQ AND JEANINE MATOCQ OF A 15-YEAR GENERAL PERMIT - RECREATIONAL USE, FROM JULY 1, 1982; IN CONSIDERATION OF ANNUAL RENT IN THE AMOUNT OF \$220, WITH THE STATE RESERVING THE RIGHT TO FIX A DIFFERENT RENTAL ON EACH FIFTH ANNIVERSARY OF THE PERMIT; PROVISION OF PUBLIC LIABILITY INSURANCE IN THE AMOUNT OF \$1,000,000 PER OCCURRENCE FOR COMBINED SINGLE LIMIT COVERAGE OF BODILY INJURY AND PROPERTY DAMAGE; FOR CONSTRUCTION AND MAINTENANCE OF A MULTIPLE-USE PIER UTILIZED FOR PUBLIC ACCESS AND RECREATIONAL BOATING ON THE LAND DESCRIBED ON EXHIBIT "A" ATTACHED AND BY REFERENCE MADE A PART HEREOF.

EXHIBIT "A"

LAND DESCRIPTION

W 22564

A parcel of submerged land in the bed of Lake Tahoe, Placer County, California, Section 13, T16N, R17E, MDM, more particularly described as follows:

COMMENCING at the southwest corner of Lot 3, Tahoe Vista Subdivision No. 1; thence east 39 feet to the TRUE POINT OF BEGINNING; thence the following 12 courses:

1. East 26 feet
2. South 308 feet
3. East 2 feet
4. South 65 feet
5. West 33 feet
6. North 65 feet
7. East 5 feet
8. North 48 feet
9. West 4 feet
10. North 65 feet
11. East 4 feet
12. North 195 feet to the true point of beginning.

EXCEPTING THEREFROM any portion lying landward of the ordinary low water mark.

END OF DESCRIPTION

PREPARED DECEMBER 15, 1980 BY TECHNICAL SERVICES UNIT, ROY MINNICK, SUPERVISOR.

CALENDAR PAGE	57
MINUTE PAGE	1510




EXHIBIT "B"
W 22564



EXHIBIT "C"
W 22564

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

RECEIVED
Office of the Secretary

JAN 13 1981

Resource Agency of California

TO: Secretary for Resources
1416 Ninth Street, Room 1311
Sacramento, CA 95814

FROM: CTRPA
P.O. Box 14467
South Lake Tahoe, CA 95719

TO: Planning Department
Placer County Planning Dept
11414 B Avenue, Auburn, CA 95603

cc: Calif. State Lands
Dan Cohen
1807 13th St.
Sacramento, CA 95814

SUBJECT: Filing of Notice of Determination in compliance with Section 21109
or 21152 of the Public Resources Code

Project Title: Matoca Pier

State Clearinghouse Number: 81062202

Lead Agency/Contact Person: Harry Gibson Telephone (916) 541-8770

Project Location: Tahoe Vista, Placer County

Project Description: Construct a 335' multiple use, open pile pier

This is to advise that the California Tahoe Regional Planning Agency Governing Board has made the following determinations regarding the above described project:

1. The project has been approved disapproved by the lead agency on Dec. 4, 1981
2. The project will will not have a significant effect on the environment.
3. An Environmental Impact Report was prepared for this project pursuant to the provisions of CEQA.
 A Negative Declaration was prepared for this project pursuant to the provisions of CEQA. A copy of the negative declaration is attached.
4. Mitigation measures were were not made a condition of the approval of the project.
5. A statement of Overriding Considerations was was not adopted for this project

The EIR or Negative Declaration and record of project approval may be examined at the California Tahoe Regional Planning Agency office.

Deanna

CALENDAR PAGE	<u>59</u>
MINUTE PAGE	<u>1512</u>

CALIFORNIA TIDE NATIONAL PLANNING AGENCY

NEGATIVE DECLARATION

Project: Matocq Pier
Applicant: William Service
P.O. Box 2511
Olympic Valley, CA 95730
Owner: Jean Matocq
Tahoe Vista, CA
Location: 7046 North Lake Boulevard
Tahoe Vista, CA. Placer County
Assessor's Parcel No: 89-141-03 and 89-140-02
Review Per Sections: 4.11, 5.00, 6.20, 6.80, 7.20, 7.40, 7.50, and 21.00
of the CTRPA Shorezone Ordinance.

Brief Description

This application proposes a 335' long open pile pier. The pier will serve both the public, through an agreement with Placer County, and a restaurant. The pier crosses National Avenue Beach; a County beach. Existing drift obstructions shall be removed as part of this application.

Staff Analysis

Use District: Tourist Commercial
Land Capability: JhC - 5 and Be - 1
Shorezone Tolerance District: 1 as mapped, 7 as shown by the engineer's application
Prime Fish Habitat: No
Significant Spawning Area: No
Distance to Nearest Shorezone Structure: Pier - 392' to the east
Jetty - 49' to the west
Bottom Type: Well sorted sands along entire length over recent lakebed.
Coverage: The existing upland coverage is non-conforming. No changes are proposed to the coverage above the high water line.

Staff Comments

1. The pier can be expected to have a very localized effect on the drift around each pile. Investigation at piers at the north and south shores of the lake, having similar bottom types, shows this effect to be within a two foot diameter of the pile. The effect of diffraction is most evident within 6 inches of the pile on the up and down drift sides.
2. There appears to be a localized drift pattern from west to east in the vicinity of the pier. A large fill and breakwater for National Avenue which is 200 feet to the west apparently has caused this pattern.
3. An existing bulkhead at the high water line, and the fill and lawn behind it, will be removed and the beach contour re-established. The bulkhead, through reflection and diffraction has altered the erosion and deposition pattern of the drift on both sides of it's length. Energy previously directed along the bulkhead will now be dissipated through wave up rush along the beach face.
4. There are existing boulders which were placed south and east of the jetty just west of the proposed pier. The removal of those boulders, which are not structurally a part of the jetty, is being analyzed for the short and long term effects on the shorezone.
5. Since the pier extends approximately 150' beyond the nearest shorezone obstruction, lighting along its length and an approved hazard light at its end is being required for navigation safety.
6. The area is mapped as a Shorezone Tolerance District 1, which is defined as a barrier beach separating the lake from marshes and wetlands in the backshore. The backshore is a Class 5 soil type and not a wetland. The engineer's profile shows a steadily increasing elevation without any pockets for wetlands. This profile is similar in nature to a Shorezone Tolerance District 7.

CALIFORNIA LAND & REGIONAL PLANNING AGENCY

ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST FORM: (to be completed by the Lead Agency)

I. BACKGROUND

Name of Project: MITOCC MULTIPLE USE RIVER

CTRPA Project Number: APP 89-141-03

II. ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS

(Explanations of all "yes" and "maybe" answers are required on attached sheets.)

	<u>YES</u>	<u>MAYBE</u>	<u>NO</u>
1. <u>Earth</u> . Will the proposal result in:			
a. Unstable earth conditions or in changes in geologic substructures?	_____	_____	<u>X</u>
b. Disruptions, displacements, compaction or overcovering of the soil?	<u>X</u>	_____	_____
c. Change in topography or ground surface relief features?	<u>X</u>	_____	_____
d. The destruction, covering or modification of any unique geologic or physical features?	_____	_____	<u>X</u>
e. Any increase in wind or water erosion of soils, either on or off the site?	_____	_____	<u>X</u>
f. Changes in deposition or erosion of beach sands, or changes in siltation, deposition or erosion which may modify the channel of a river or stream or the bed of the ocean or any bay, inlet or lake?	<u>X</u>	_____	_____

Source: Appendix I, CEQA Guidelines.

CALENDAR PAGE	62
MINUTE PAGE	1515

	<u>YES</u>	<u>MAYBE</u>	<u>NO</u>
g. Exposure of people or property to geologic hazards such as earthquakes, landslides, mudslides, ground failure, or similar hazards?	_____	_____	<u>X</u>
2. <u>Air</u> . Will the proposal result in:			
a. Substantial air emissions or deterioration of ambient air quality?	_____	_____	<u>X</u>
b. The creation of objectionable odors?	_____	_____	<u>X</u>
c. Alteration of air movement, moisture or temperature, or any change in climate, either locally or regionally?	_____	_____	<u>X</u>
3. <u>Water</u> . Will the proposal result in:			
a. Changes in currents, or the course or direction of water movements, in either marine or fresh waters?	<u>X</u>	_____	_____
b. Changes in absorption rates, drainage patterns, or the rate and amount of surface water runoff?	_____	_____	<u>X</u>
c. Alterations to the course or flow of flood waters?	_____	_____	<u>X</u>
d. Change in the amount of surface water in any water body?	_____	_____	<u>X</u>
e. Discharge into surface waters, or in any alteration of surface water quality, including but not limited to temperature, dissolved oxygen or turbidity?	_____	_____	<u>X</u>
f. Alteration of the direction or rate of flow of ground waters?	_____	_____	<u>X</u>
g. Change in the quantity of ground waters, either through direct additions or withdrawals, or through interception of an aquifer by cuts or excavations?	_____	_____	<u>X</u>

	<u>YES</u>	<u>MAYBE</u>	<u>NO</u>
h. Substantial reduction in the amount of water otherwise available for public water supplies?	_____	_____	_____X_____
i. Exposure of people or property to water related hazards such as flooding or tidal waves?	_____	_____X_____	_____
4. <u>Plant Life.</u> Will the proposal result in:			
a. Change in the diversity of species, or number of any species of plants (including trees, shrubs, grass, crops, microflora and aquatic plants)?	_____	_____	_____X_____
b. Reduction of the numbers of any unique, rare or endangered species of plants?	_____	_____	_____X_____
c. Introduction of new species of plants into an area, or in a barrier to the normal replenishment of existing species?	_____	_____	_____X_____
c. Reduction in acreage of any agricultural crop?	_____	_____	_____X_____
5. <u>Animal Life.</u> Will the proposal result in:			
a. Change in the diversity of species, or numbers of any species of animals (birds, land animals including reptiles, fish and shellfish, benthic organisms, insects or microfauna)?	_____	_____	_____X_____
b. Reduction of the number of any unique, rare or endangered species of animals?	_____	_____	_____X_____
c. Introduction of new species of animals into an area, or result in a barrier to the migration or movement of animals?	_____	_____	_____X_____
d. Deterioration to existing fish or wildlife habitat?	_____	_____	_____X_____

- | | <u>YES</u> | <u>MAYBE</u> | <u>NO</u> |
|--|------------|--------------|-----------|
| 6. <u>Noise</u> . Will the proposal result in: | | | |
| a. Increases in existing noise levels? | _____ | _____ | <u>X</u> |
| b. Exposure of people to severe noise levels? | <u>X</u> | _____ | _____ |
| 7. <u>Light and Glare</u> . Will the proposal produce new light or glare? | <u>X</u> | _____ | _____ |
| 8. <u>Land Use</u> . Will the proposal result in a substantial alteration of the present or planned land use of an area? | _____ | _____ | <u>X</u> |
| 9. <u>Natural Resources</u> . Will the proposal result in: | | | |
| a. Increase in the rate of use of any natural resources? | _____ | _____ | <u>X</u> |
| b. Substantial depletion of any nonrenewable natural resource? | _____ | _____ | <u>X</u> |
| 10. <u>Risk of Upset</u> . Does the proposal involve a risk of an explosion or the release of hazardous substances (including, but not limited to, oil, pesticides, chemicals or radiation) in the event of an accident or upset conditions? | _____ | _____ | <u>X</u> |
| 11. <u>Population</u> . Will the proposal alter the location, distribution, density, or growth rate of the human population of an area? | _____ | _____ | <u>X</u> |
| 12. <u>Housing</u> . Will the proposal affect existing housing, or create a demand for additional housing? | _____ | _____ | <u>X</u> |
| 13. <u>Transportation/Circulation</u> . Will the proposal result in: | | | |
| a. Generation of 100 or more vehicle trips or in excess of 1% of the remaining road capacity. | _____ | _____ | <u>X</u> |

YES MAYBE NO

b. Effects on existing parking facilities, or demand for new parking? _____ _____ X

c. Substantial impact upon existing transportation systems? _____ _____ X

d. Alterations to present patterns of circulation or movement of people and/or goods? _____ _____ X

e. Alterations to waterborne, rail or air traffic? _____ _____ X

f. Increase in traffic hazards to motor vehicles, bicyclists or pedestrians? _____ _____ X

14. Public Services. Will the proposal have an effect upon, or result in a need for new or altered governmental services in any of the following areas:

a. Fire protection? _____ _____ X

b. Police protection? _____ _____ X

c. Schools? _____ _____ X

d. Parks or other recreational facilities? _____ _____ X

e. Maintenance of public facilities, including roads? _____ _____ X

f. Other governmental services? _____ _____ X

15. Energy. Will the proposal result in:

a. Use of substantial amounts of fuel or energy? _____ _____ X

b. Substantial increase in demand upon existing sources of energy, or require the development of new sources of energy? _____ _____ X

	<u>YES</u>	<u>MAYBE</u>	<u>NO</u>
16. <u>Utilities.</u> Will the proposal result in a need for new systems, or substantial alterations to the following utilities:			
a. Power or natural gas?	_____	_____	<u>X</u>
b. Communications systems?	_____	_____	<u>X</u>
c. Water?	_____	_____	<u>X</u>
d. Sewer or septic tanks?	_____	_____	<u>X</u>
e. Storm water drainage?	_____	_____	<u>X</u>
f. Solid waste and disposal?	_____	_____	<u>X</u>
17. <u>Human Health.</u> Will the proposal result in:			
a. Creation of any health hazard or potential health hazard (excluding mental health)?	_____	_____	<u>X</u>
b. Exposure of people to potential health hazards?	_____	_____	<u>X</u>
18. <u>Aesthetics.</u> Will the proposal result in the obstruction of any scenic vista or view open to the public, or will the proposal result in the creation of an aesthetically offensive site open to public view?	_____	<u>X</u>	_____
19. <u>Recreation.</u> Will the proposal result in an impact upon the quality or quantity of existing recreational opportunities?	_____	<u>X</u>	_____
20. <u>Archeological/Historical.</u> Will the proposal result in an alteration of a significant archeological or historical site, structure, object or building?	_____	_____	<u>X</u>

YES MAYBE NO

21. Mandatory Findings of Significance.

a. Does the project have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish population to drop below self sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory? _____ _____ X

b. Does the project have the potential to achieve short-term, to the disadvantage of long-term, environmental goals? (A short-term impact on the environment is one which occurs in a relatively brief, definitive period of time while long-term impacts will endure well into the future.) _____ _____ X

c. Does the project have impacts which are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable? (A project may impact on two or more separate resources where the impact on each resource is relatively small, but where the effect of the total of those impacts on the environment is significant.) _____ _____ X

d. Does the project have environmental effects which will cause substantial adverse effects on human being, either directly or indirectly? _____ _____ X

III. DISCUSSION OF ENVIRONMENTAL EVALUATION

IV. DETERMINATION
(To be completed by the Lead Agency)

On the basis of this initial evaluation:

I find the proposed project COULD NOT have a significant effect on the environment, and a NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared.

I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, there will not be a significant effect in this case because the mitigation measures described on an attached sheet have been added to the project. A NEGATIVE DECLARATION WILL BE PREPARED.

I find the proposed project MAY have a significant effect on the environment, and an ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required.

Date 7-21

Henry P. Robinson
(Signature)

For CTRPA

CALENDAR PAGE	<u>69</u>
MINUTE PAGE	<u>1522</u>

CALIFORNIA TAHOE REGIONAL PLANNING AGENCY

Checklist Form Discussion - Matocq Pier

1. b, c & f. As part of the mitigation measures for the project an existing concrete bulkhead at the high water line and the earth fill behind it will be removed. The existing wall has altered the deposition and erosion patterns of the drift. A second mitigation measure of removing boulders placed in the nearshore is also being evaluated at this time.
3. a The mitigation measures above will change the existing man-altered water movement during high water years by restoring the beach contour and energy dissipation through sp-rush.
3. i The pier deck is shown to be at elevation 6231' Lake Tahoe Datum. This is approximately 2' above the high water line of Lake Tahoe. A forecasted design wave of 3' during high water years would cause waves to hit the pier and expose anyone on the pier to this hazard. Most of the piers in Lake Tahoe are at an elevation of 6231 to 6232 feet.
6. b Pier construction using a pile driver can be expected to produce a sound level of 105 dC(A) at 50 feet for a period of approximately 20 days.
7. The pier will incorporate indirect lighting along its length and have a U.S. Coast Guard approved hazard light at it's lakeward end.
18. The pier will obstruct the view of users of the National Avenue Beach and at the same time provide an additional viewing area since the pier is open to the public. The Tahoe Shorezone User Perception Survey found that a pier density of 6.7 piers per 1000' was disliked by 25.4% of the non-boater respondents. The addition of this pier will increase the density of the piers in the area to 2.66 per 1000'.
19. The pier will partially obstruct the area of beach use while providing additional temporary mooring for boaters. It will then increase the diversity of use of the area.

CALENDAR MINUTE RECORDAL PLANNING AGENCY

INITIAL ENVIRONMENTAL STUDY
(to be completed by the applicant)

page 1

The purpose of this initial environmental study is to determine if the project might have significant effect on the environment.

This initial study is required by Section 4.13-c and 6.15 of the CTRPA Land Use Ordinance and Article 7 of the Guidelines for Implementation of the California Environmental Quality Act of 1970 when the CTRPA is the lead Agency.

Please complete this form to the best of your ability. Some of the questions may not apply to your particular project. Attach additional sheets as necessary.

You will be notified if additional information is required and the type of environmental review that will be appropriate.

This form should accompany your application for project approval thereby reducing duplication of information.

Name of Project: MULTIPLE USE PIER, DOCKING FACILITY, ON LAKE TUBEE

Name of Applicant: JOHN MATOCCO

Specific Location of Project: TUBEE VISTA AP # 89-141-03

Attach Maps as Appropriate Attached

PROJECT DESCRIPTION (in addition to the CTRPA application form)

Floor area 2500 FT²

Number of floors of construction 1

Number of off-street parking spaces to be provided N/A

Proposed scheduling of project SUMMER 1981

Anticipated phasing of project ONE PHASE - APPROX TOTAL TIME: 1 MONTH

Number of persons to be employed 2

Estimated occupancy N/A

Is there a site plan attached to this report which shows the existing lot lines, roads, buildings, etc. YES

Describe the signs and outdoor lighting (intensity-direct/indirect) associated with the project NONE

Is the project designed for permanent residents or seasonal useage? BOTH

ENVIRONMENTAL SETTING

Describe the subject property as it exists before the project including pertinent information on topography, soil stability, plants and animals, existing structures, and the use of existing structures. Attach photographs as appropriate. Snapshots or polaroid photos are acceptable.

THE PROPERTY IS LAKEFRONT RESTAURANT ABUTTING STRIP OF COUNTY OWNED BEACH. THERE IS AN EXISTING PIER (PRIVATE) WITHIN 50 FEET. LAKE DEPTH IS BEACH SAND & ROCKY LAKE BOTTOM. FULL DEPTH, NO ANIMALS.

Describe the surrounding properties, including use of the land, existing structures, scale and intensity of development, existing traffic patterns, circulation, parking, etc. Attach photographs as appropriate.

ADJACENT STRUCTURES ARE MIXED PRIVATE RESIDENTIAL AND COMMERCIAL (MOTELS, ETC). NO DEVELOPMENT PLANNED BEYOND PIER CONSTRUCTION. NO INCREASE IN AUTO TRAFFIC, BUT POSSIBLE REDUCTION DUE TO INCREASED PATRONS THROUGH BOAT TRAFFIC. THERE ARE PRESENTLY ONLY 2 RESTAURANTS ON THE NORTH SHORE WHICH ARE ACCESSIBLE BY BOAT.

ZONING

Is the project compatible with existing zoning and plans? YES

If the project involves a variance, conditional use permit, or other permits and approvals, state this and indicate clearly why they are needed _____

REQUIRES COUNTY PERMIT & U.S. ARMY CORPS
L.P. CONTINGENT 25

GEOLOGY AND SOILS

Have there been any of the following on the subject property or in the nearby surrounding areas:

- ~~_____~~ building or soil settlement No steep areas
- ~~_____~~ landslides No rock falls
- ~~_____~~ slumps No mud flows
- ~~_____~~ faults No avalanches
- ~~_____~~ other natural hazards (describe) _____

How much of the area (square feet) will be disturbed by grading activity? 6 ft²

What is the maximum proposed depth and slope of any excavation? NONE

What is the maximum height and slope of any fill? NONE

How many cubic yards of material will be imported NONE exported NONE

Where will the material come from? N/A

What type of material? N/A

Where will the exported material be deposited? N/A

Will there be any blasting during construction? No

Is there any fill material presently on the site? No

If yes, describe type and amount N/A

Are there any known natural economic resources on the property such as sand, gravel, building stone, road base rock, or other mineral deposits? No

What is the maximum slope of the land within the project? 3%

Will the project result in the destruction, covering or modification of any unique geologic or physical features? No

HISTORY-ARCHAEOLOGY

Are there any historical, cultural or archeological sites on the subject property or nearby? No

What effects will the project have on existing sites nearby? None

AESTHETICS

Will the project block any views of Lake Tahoe? No

Will the project block any views of other scenic views or areas? No

Will the project open to the view of the public any aesthetically offensive view or site? No

Is there attached a description or graphic of the appearance of the completed project at the human scale? Yes

VEGETATION AND WILDLIFE

Describe the existing vegetation on the site (no., size and type of trees, shrubs, etc.).

NONE

Describe the vegetation to be removed (no., type and size of trees, shrubs, etc.).

NONE

What percent of the total trees on the property are to be removed? NONE

Are there any rare or endangered species of plants on the property? No

Are there any rare or endangered species of animals found in the area? No

Will the project displace or reduce any rare, unique or endangered species? No

What other changes to the existing animal and plant communities will the project cause?

NONE

Will the project introduce new plant or animal species into the area? No

Will the project result in a barrier to the replenishment of existing species? No

Will the project deteriorate existing fish and wildlife habitats? No

CALENDAR PAGE	<u>74</u>
MINUTE PAGE	<u>1527</u>

WATER AND HYDROLOGY

- 1. Is there a body of water within the boundary of the property? YES
- 2. Where is the nearest body of water, stream, river, pond, lake or year-round drainage course? LAKE TAYLOR
- 3. Is the property within or adjacent to a flood plain? No
- 4. Is the property subject to flooding? WAVE ACTION ONLY
- 5. Will the project alter the natural direction of water flow? No
- 6. Will the project result in the physical alteration of any body of water? No
- 7. Is there a high ground water table in the area? No
- 8. Will any water courses or drainage areas to be filled? No
- 9. Will the project result in changes in the amount of surface water flow? No
- 10. Will the rate or flow of ground water be affected? No
- 11. Will the project change the quantity of ground waters (either through direct addition or withdrawals or through interception by cuts and fills)? No
- 12. Will the project result in the exposure of people or property to water related hazards such as flooding or wave action? WAVE ACTION COULD AFFECT PEOPLE ON PIER

- 13. Will the project cause substantial vehicular emissions to be produced? No
- 14. Will the project itself emit substantial air pollutants emissions and/or cause a deterioration of ambient air quality? No
- 15. Will the project alter air movement, moisture or temperature, or cause any change in climate, locally or regionally? No

16. Describe the nature of direct emissions attributable to the project NONE

17. Describe the nature of the total net increase in vehicular emissions resulting from the project NONE

18. What are the proposed mitigation measures which the applicant believes will offset by an amount 1 1/2 times greater than the emissions attributable to the project N/A

HAZARDOUS

Will the project involve a risk of explosion or the release of hazardous substances in the event of an accident (including but not limited to oil, pesticides, chemicals, radiation, etc.)? No

Will the construction or operation of the project create noise, vibration, odor, dust, fumes or smoke? DECREASE VIBRATION, INCREASE DUST, FUMES, OIL

Will the project require the use or disposal of potentially hazardous materials (such as toxic substances, flammables, explosives, etc.)? No

ACCESS

Will the project reduce or restrict access to public lands, parks or shorelines? No

WILL INCREASE

TRANSPORTATION

Estimate the total number of new vehicle trips per day to be generated by the project.

10 ADDITIONAL WATERBORNE VEHICLES / DAY

What type of traffic? BOAT

What are the peak hours of traffic? NOON - 3:00

Will a new demand for parking facilities be created? (no. of spaces) No

Will present patterns of traffic circulation or movement of goods and people be altered? MOTOR VEHICLE TRAFFIC MAY BE REDUCED

Will the project result in an increased hazard to motor vehicles, bicyclists or pedestrians? No

Will the project alter existing waterborne or air traffic? INCREASE IN WATERBORNE TRAFFIC

FIRE PROTECTION

What entity provides the fire protection for the project? N. TAMES FIRE PROTECT

How distant are their facilities? 2 Miles

Where is the nearest fire hydrant or emergency source of water for fire protection? N/A

What additional fire protection service needs would the project create (such as additional fire hydrants, expansion of water mains, etc.) NONE

Are any special fire protection services necessary? NONE

Is there a will-serve letter attached from the serving fire district? No

WATER

Who will provide the water service for the project? N/A

If self, please identify source of water and legal basis for use? N/A

What amount of water will be used by this project (daily)? NONE

Are alterations necessary to the existing system to serve the project? No

Is there a will-serve letter attached from the serving water district? No

SEWERAGE

Who will provide sewage export service? N/A

What amount of sewage will be generated by this project? NONE

Is there a will-serve letter attached from the serving entity? No

SOLID WASTE

Who will provide the solid waste export service? N/A

What amount of solid waste will be generated by this project? NONE

What type of solid waste will be produced? NONE

ENERGY

What types of energy will the project use:

_____ electrical _____ natural gas _____ bottled gas
_____ fuel oil _____ other (explain) None

Will substantial alterations be required to the existing facilities to accommodate this project? No

Will substantial amounts of energy be necessary for this project? No

Are utility lines to be installed? No overhead No underground

EDUCATIONAL FACILITIES

What effects will the project have on existing education facilities? None

RECREATIONAL FACILITIES

What effects will the project have on the existing recreational facilities in the area?

MAKE ACCESS TO PUBLIC BEACH BY BIAT, NOW NONEXISTANT.

COMMERCIAL SERVICES

What effect will the project have on existing commercial services? PROVIDE BETTER BIAT AC.

Will new commercial services be required? No

OFF SITE IMPLICATIONS

Will the project result in added maintenance requirements of public facilities such as roadways No other governmental facilities No

MITIGATION MEASURES PROPOSED

Describe the ways you propose to mitigate the significant environmental effects of the project None

Will any residents will the project generate? None

Will the project displace or require relocation of any residential uses? No

What changes in the character of the neighborhood would the project cause? None

Will the project create/destroy job opportunities? No

In what ways could the project foster economic or population growth? None

Will the project remove any existing obstacles to growth? No

Will the project result in any change to the shoreline of any lake or body of water? Slight change to shoreline

Will there be any changes in deposition or erosion of beaches? No

Will the project affect any submerged lands? Steel pilings driven in

Will the project reduce or restrict access to public lands, parks or shorelines? No

INCREASE

CERTIFICATION: I hereby certify that the statements furnished above and in the attached exhibits present the data and information required for this initial evaluation to the best of my ability, and that the facts, statements, and information presented are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

10/30/80
(date)

WILLIAM A. SERVICE
(name of person completing this form)

William A. Service
(signature of person completing this form)