MINUTE IT.'M

10/80 W 40143 Freckman

34. PROPOSED OIL AND GAS LEASE OFFER WITHIN GRAY LODGE WATERFOWL MANAGEMENT AREA

Calendar Item 34 attached was pulled from the agenda prior to the meeting.

Attachment: Calendar Item 34.

A 3 S 1

CALENDAR PAGE

MINUTE PAGE

2546

CALENDAR ITEM

34.

10/80 W 40143 Freckman

PROPOSED OIL AND GAS LEASE OFFER WITHIN GRAY LODGE WATERFOWL MANAGEMENT AREA

APPLICANT:

Getty Oil Company

P.O. Box 5237

Bakersfield, California 93308

AREA, LAND TYPE AND LOCATION:

Proprietary lands under jurisdiction of the Department of Fish and Game; 904.80 acres within Gray Lodge Waterfowl Management Area, Butte County. (See Land Description -Exhibit "A", and Location Map - Exhibit "B")

TERMS:

Primary Term: 20 years, Drilling Term: 2 years, Surety Bond: \$100,000.

PROPOSED ACTION:

Lease the subject lands for the extraction of oil and gas pursuant to competitive public bid. The applicant, if the successful bidder, proposes to drill a test well to a depth of 6500 feet on the State land shown in Exhibit "B" (Location Map). The well would test the Kione and upper Forbes formations for natural gas potential.

The drilling and testing procedure will be conducted in accordance with the rules and regulations of the State Lands Commission and other applicable agencies.

Pursuant to Section 6827 of the Public Resources Code, it is proposed to award the lease to the qualified bidder who undertakes to pay the highest percentage of net profits derived from the lease according to the accounting procedures attached to the lease on file in the Office of the State Lands Commission. The successful

A 3

S 1

CALENDAR PAGE MINUTE PAGE 248 254**7**

CALENDAR ITEM NO. 34. (CONTD)

bidder would agree to pay to the State, in addition to the percentage of net profits, a royalty of 16 2/3% on natural gas, oil and other hydrocarbon products extracted from the leased parcel. In addition to the percentage of net profits and the royalty described above, a rental of \$20 per acre per year will be charged.

The staff will, subsequent to authorization by the State Lands Commission, offer the prior described parcel for competitive public bid.

PREREQUISITE ITEMS:

In accordance with the State guidelines for implementation of the CEQA of 1970, as amended, Commission staff prepared an Initial Study for the project and concluded that the proposed work would not result in a significant effect on the environment. However, there are two (2) areas (see Exhibit "B", Location Map) in which drilling will be prohibited as recommended by the Society of California Archaeology. As a result of the findings of the Initial Study, the preparation of an EIR is not required. As such, a Negative Declaration (Exhibit "C") was prepared and circulated to concerned agencies and to the public.

The proposed lease has been reviewed by staff counsel who have advised that the proposed lease will comply with the applicable provisions of the law and the rules and regulations of the Commission.

EXHIBITS:

- A. Land Description.
- B. Location Map.
- C. Negative Declaration.

IT IS RECOMMENDED THAT THE COMMISSION:

1. DETERMINE THAT AN EIR HAS NOT BEEN PREPARED FOR THIS PROJECT BUT THAT A NEGATIVE DECLARATION HAS BEEN PREPARED BY THE COMMISSION'S STAFF.

CALENDAR ITEM NO. 34. (CONTD)

- 2. CERTIFY THAT THE NEGATIVE DECLARATION (EIR ND 253)
 HAS BEEN COMPLETED IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE CEQA OF 1970,
 AS AMENDED, AND THE STATE EIR GUIDELINES, AND THAT
 THE COMMISSION HAS REVIEWED AND CONSIDERED THE INFORMATION
 CONTAINED THEREIN.
- 3. FIND THAT CHANGES OR ALTERATIONS HAVE BEEN REQUIRED IN, OR INCORPORATED INTO THE PROPOSED PROJECT WHICH MITIGATE OR AVOID THE SIGNIFICANT ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS THEREOF AS IDENTIFIT THROUGH THE INITIAL STUDY PROCESS.
- 4. AUTHORIZE THE STAFF TO OFFER, BY COMPETITIVE PUBLIC BID AS PER SECTION 6827 OF THE PUBLIC RESOURCES CODE, AN OIL AND GAS LEASE FOR THE EAST 1/2 OF SECTION 13, T17N, R1E, MDM; AND ALL OF SECTION 18, T17N, R2E, MDM, BUTTE COUNTY THE BID LEASE FORM TO BE USED FOR THE PARCEL SHALL BE THE FORM ON FILE IN THE OFFICE OF THE STATE LANDS COMMISSION IDENTIFIED AS W 40143 AND BY REFERENCE MADE A PART HEREOF. THE RENT IS TO BE \$20.00 PER ACRE PER YEAR, ROYALTY IS TO BE 16 2/3% AND THE DRILLING TERM IS TO BE TWO YEARS. THE PERFORMANCE BOND IS TO BE IN THE AMOUNT OF \$100,000.
- 5. DIRECT THE STAFF TO REPORT THE RESULTS OF THE COMPETITIVE PUBLIC BID AT A SUBSEQUENT MEETING OF THE COMMISSION.

calendar page 250
MINUTE PAGE 2549

EXHIBIT "A"


LAND DESCRIPTION

A parcel of State lands located within the Gray Lodge Waterfowl Management Area, including the East 1/2 of Section 13, T 17 N, R 1 E, and all of Section 18, T 17 N, R 2 E, MDM, Butte County.

CALENDAR PAGE

MINUTE PAGE

 2550^{-251}



STATE LANDS COMMISSION

KENNETH CORY, Controller
MIKE CURB, Licutenant Governor
RICE RD T. SILBERMAN, Director of Finance



EXECUTIVE OFFICE 1807 - 13th Street Secremento, California 95814

WILLIAM F. NORTHROP

Executive Officer

EIR ND <u>253</u>

File Ref.: W 40143

NEGATIVE DECLARATION

Project Applicant: Getty Oil Company

P. O. Box 5237

Bakersfield, CA 93308

Project Location:

St clands located within the Gray Lodge Waterfowl Management area, Butte County, including the East 1/2 of Section 13 T. 17 N., P. 1 E., and all of Section 3, T. 17 N., R. L.E., MDBM

Project Description:

To explore for and, if a discovery is made, produce natural gas from the above described State lands.

This NEGATIVE DECLARATION is prepared pursuant to the requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act(Section 21000 et.seq. of the Public Resources Code), the State EIR Guidelines(Title 14, Section 15000 et. seq. of California Administrative Code), and the State Lands Commission regulations (Section 2901 et.seq. of California Administrative Code).

Based upon the attached Initial Study, the Commission finds that:

the project will not have a significant effect on the environment.

the mitigation measures included in the project will avoid potentially significant effects.

Contact Person:

Ted Fukushima (916) 322-7813 State Lands Commission

1807 13th Street Sacramento, CA 95814

calendar page 253
MINUTE PAGE 2552

Responses as needed to Comments on the Initial Study

1. Ref. Memo - Dept. of Parks and Recreation - August 13, 1979

Contacted Mr. Keith Johnson, Calif. St. Chico, who coordinated the response from the Society for California Archaeology. This letter (9/27/79) outlines two areas where drilling would be prohibited. The attached map (Exhibit "B") identifies the areas where drilling is to be excluded.

?. Ref. Memo - Division of Oil and Gas - August 20, 1979

The operator will meet the Division of Oil and Gas requirements for blowout prevention, cementing, freshwater protection, and water shutoff.

Surface casing will extend to a minimum depth of 1,500 feet in order to protect the freshwater deposits extending to 1,350+ feet. This casing depth will also compensate for the overpressured "Forbes" formation.

3. Ref. Memo - State Water Resources Control Board - August 20, 1979

The recommendations are duely noted and shall be acknowledged by the operator as part of Item No. 2 under "III. Discustion of Environmental Evaluation."

4. Ref. Memo - Dept. of Fish and Game - August 22, 1979

The recommendation is noted and shall be considered as addenda to the third paragraph in "F. Description."

CALENDAR PAGE 254
MINUTE PAGE 2553



SOCIETY FOR CALIFORNIA ARCHAEOLOGY

District 2 Clearinghouse c/o Department of Anthropology California State University Chico, CA 95929

September 27, 1979

John T. Freckman State Lands Commission 100 Ocean Gate, Suite 300 Long Beach, CA 90802

Dear Mr. Freckman:

One archaeological site, CA-BUT-325 is located in the lease area, but not particularly close to the proposed drilling site. This site is a prehistoric Maidu site located in the NW % of the NE % of Section 18, Township 17N, Range 2E. There is also an unrecorded prehistoric village site approximately located near the SE corner of Section 13 Township 17N Range 1E.

If the well is drilled at the indicated location we do not expect any archaeological sites to be endangered. If however, the well site is moved to either of the above mentioned areas on the lease, we recommend that an on-site archaeological reconnaissance be conducted by a qualified archaeologist.

Sincerely,

Henton

Gregory H. Henton District Archaeologist

GHH: ab


CALENDAR PAGE MINUTE PAGE

DJE ĆFE AT:W RCP IJTF

2 RAK Enc. ADW

FILE: 4014.=

Tel (916) 845-6142



Memorandum

Date & August 13, 1979

. State Lands Commission 100 Oceangate, Suite 300 Long Beach, CA 90802 Attn: Al Willard

From : Department of Parks and Recreation

DATE AUG 17 1979
DJE
CFE/
ADW_24
RGP
2 Stp an
3.C.K.
Enc. Fire
FILE: 140143

Subject: Proposed Oil and Gas Lease, Gray Lodge Waterfowl Man agement Area, Butte County

The Office of Historic Preservation cannot complete its review of the environmental document referenced above without additional information.

Documentation supporting the determination of no effect to archaeological, historical, or other cultural resources should be included demonstrating compliance with CEQA (14 Cal. Adm. Code: 15080 et. seq.).

The requested information should be prepared by qualified professionals of the appropriate disciplines and a copy of the resulting report submitted to this office for review. For assistance in preparing this documentation, we recommend that the Regional Officer of the California Archaeological Site Survey be contacted. The Regional Officer for the project area in question He may be contacted by calling is Mr. Keith Johnson Calif. St. Chico. (916) 895-6192.

If you have any questions concerning this review, please contact Nicholas Del Cioppo, Office of Historic Preservation, by calling (916) 322-8703.

my meller Dr. Knox Mellon

State Historic Preservation Officer

Office of Historic Preservation

James P. Tryner, Chief Resource Preservation and

Interpretation Division

131" " 1. " 1. " 17"

7013C PERM

Anthropology Depil. Calif. State Univ. - Chico Chico, CA 95929

CALENDAR PAGE

'AUG 2 2 1979 THE SOURCES AGENCY OF CALIFORNIA DATE State of California DJF Memorandum CFI D ADI Dote : August 20, 1979 RG? . D. J. EverAtts 3 (100 State Lands Commission PK PAK 100 Oceangate, Suite 300 Species: Initial Study - Lease of Long Beach, California 90802 Gray Lodge, Butte County - Room 1316.35, 1200011:113/ From : Department of Conscipation — Division of Oil and Gos 1416 6:1.51 THE WASH Sacramento

The Division of Oil and Gas agrees with the finding that a Negative Declaration should be prepared for the proposed competitive bid o'l and gas lease at the Gray Lodge Waterfowl Maj agement Area.

The Initial Study stated that in the event commercial production is not established, the well would be plugged and abandoned in accordance with the rules and regulations of the Division of Oil and Gas. Mention should be made in the Negative Declaration that the operator must also meet the division's requirements for blow-out prevention, cementing, freshwater protection, and water shutoff.

Wells drilled in the proposed lease area will penetrate the basal "Forbes" formation, which is overpressured in this general area, the 1300 feet of surface casing is minimal. In addition, as the freshwater deposits extend to 1350±, it would appear that 1500 feet of surface casing should be set to maximize the protection of the fresh waters.

If you have any questions, please contact John Sullivan at (916) 662-4683.

Brose 18 50 an ear

State (il and Gas Supervisor

calendar page 25.8

Memorandum

D. J. Everitts, Chief Division of Energy and Minural Resources State Lands Commission 100 Oceangate, Suite 300 Long Eeach, CA 90802

AUG 20 Dates

In Roply Rofer To: 420/KH 916/322-4512

DATE AUG 2 2 1979
1979 CLE
2 ADW
ngi
JEPPEL PAR
Ene STTS - IV
FILE:\!! 40145

From : STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD DIVISION OF PLANNING AND RESHARCH

SHOW LONG FROM

T. U. 130× 100

Subject: Initial Study for Competitive Bid Oil and Gas Lease on a Portion of the Gray Lodge Waterfowl Management Area, Butte County

We have coordinated the review of the subject Initial Study with the Hydrogeologic/ Geotechnical Section of the State Board.

If toxic materials such as thinning agents are used in the drilling muds, the mud pit must be lined with plastic or other impervious material and the spent mud must be disposed of at a site approved by the California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Valley Region, A negative declaration is appropriate for this project if proper procedures for drill mud and production waste disposition will be followed. The project sponsor should provide adequate information to the Lead Agency to ensure that proper disposal of wastes will be accomplished.

If you have any questions, please contact Kathy Haitz at the above number.

L. Ray Dunham

Acting Assistant Chief

cc: California Regional Water Quality Control

Board, Central Valley Region

3201 "S" Street

Sacramento, California 95816

ATTN: Tony Landis

CALENDAR PAGE

MINUTE PAGE

259

Memorandum



State Lands Commission 100 Oceangate, Suite 300 Long Beach, California 90802

	DATE AUG 24 1919 OF THE CPT AUG 24 1919			The Res
	3CPP CL		Augwst	22, 1979
	ENDSULF TIV	Your	file:	W 40143
١	FILE: W40143			

From : Department of Fish and Game - Region 2

Subject: Initial Study for Competitive Bid Cil and Gas Lease on a Portion of the Gray Lodge Waterfowl Management Area, Butte County

The subject document has been reviewed and the Department's comments are as follows:

The Initial Study check list appears to cover the assessment needed. We agree with the determination.

Under "F Description", the Department would like the following sentence added at the end of the next to the last paragraph:

"However, the pad and access road need not be restored if so judged, by the area manager, to be beneficial to the State."

Robert W. Lassen Regional Manager

cc: Chief of Operations
Environmental Services Branch, D. Lollock
Wildlife Management Branch, E. Hunt
J. Cowan (Area Manager)

1.27 12 142

GALENDAR PAGE

MINUTE PAGE

260 **2559**



Getty Oil Company | P. O. Box 5237, Bakersfield, California 93388 • Telephone: (805) 399-2961

Western Exploration and Production Division

August 13, 1979

State Land Commission State Lands Division 100 Oceangate, Suite 300 Long Beach, California 90802

Attn: A. D. Willard

Re: W-40143

Gray Lodge Area

Butte County, California

DATE_	AUG: 15 1979	\
	JE	
	FE	
انسل	ADWWDA	
[I	RGP	
3,0	pp 0.	
9-2	PK PAR	
Ence	- 1/2/1/	3
FILE		

Gentlemen:

Getty Oil Company has read the Initial Study for Competitive Bid Oil & Gas Lease.

Getty Oil concurs with the State Land Commission that the proposed project could not have a significant effect on the environment and believes that a negative declaration should be prepared.

Very truly yours,

GETTY OIL COMPANY

George M. Thompson

Acting Division Exploration Mgr.

GMT:WCH:dml

Viel 11 Or this.

CALENDAR PAGE 261
MINUTE PAGE 2560

EIR/NOTICE MAILING LIST

Member of the Senate Member of the Senate Member of the Senate Member of the Senate Member of the Senate Member of the Senate County Board of Supervisors Member of the Senate Member of the Senate County Board of Supervisors Member of the Senate Member	man, Senator or Soard of
1859 Bird Street Oroville CA 95965	Supervisors are affected, add to list in the spaces provided on the following pages.
PRESS BURFAU OFFICES AND No (Cross out those not wants	EWSPAPERS ed)
Capitol News Service Fred Kline. Executive Editor 301 Capitol Mall, Suite 300 Sacramento, CA 95814 UPI News Bureau 07 S. Broadway Los Angeles, CA 90012 Associated Press 1111 S. Hill Street Los Angeles, CA 90015 United Press International 925 L Street, Suite 1185 Sacramento, CA 95814 Associated Press 925 L Street, Suite 320 Sacramento, CA 95814	San Francisco Examiner 110 Fifth Street San Francisco, CA 94103 Sacramento Bee 21st & Q Street Sacramento, CA 95804 Los Angeles Times Times-Mirror Square Los Angeles, CA 90012 City News Service 107 S. Broadway, Room 1127 Los Angeles, CA 90012 Attn: Mr. Marshall Voight City News Service P.O. Box 2522 Los Angeles, CA 90054
REGIONAL NEWSF	PAPERS
Mere 184-Rejider P.O. Ber 651 TROVILLE, CH 9595	

Page 1 of 3

WILL be sent with the notice.

An asterisk indicates that a copy of the environmental page 2561

FORM 13.5 (rov. 10/78

#Mr. William Kier Senate Office of Research 1116-9th Street, Room 23 Sacramento, CA 95814

#Mr. Jeffrey Arthur, Consultant Senate Committee on Natural Resources and Wildlife State Capitol, Room 2203 Sacramento, CA 95814

*Assembly Committee or Resources and Land Use State Capitol, Room 3142

*Chief Clerk of the Assembly California State Legislature State Capitol Sacramento, CA 95814

*Commander 11th Coast Guard Dist.

15 Fine Avenue

Long Beach, CA 90802

(Southern California Ocean items only)

#Mr. Phil DeYoung
Pacific Gas and Flectric Company
P.O. Box 7444
Sacramento, CA 95826

*Mr. N. Gregory Taylor
Assistant Attorney General
Department of Justice
3580 Wilshire Boulevard
Los Angeles, CA 90010

*Mr. Robert H. O'Brien Environment and Consumer Protection Department of Justice 3580 Wilshire Boulevard Los Angeles, CA 90010

#William F. Northrop

*Don Hoadland (Geothermal only)

*NOTE: An asterisk indicates that a copy of the environmental document will be sent with the notice.

Consulting Engineer
Energy Resources
2216 Westboro Avenue
Alhambra, CA 91803

*Secretary of the Senata State Capitol Sacramento, CA 95814

*USC Law Center
USC, Room 422
Los Angeles, CA 90007

Friends of the Earth 717 K Street, Suite 209 Sacramento, CA 95814

Honorable Stan Statham
Member elyle Assenili
1st District
Carrieria State Locistature
State Cr. 401
SACRAMANTO, MA 95014
•

APPLICANT

P.O. P. 5234

P.N. TW. Woffington

FORMENDAR FACE TOV. 2 00778
MINUTE PAGE 2562

#The Resources Agency 1416 Ninth Street, Room 1311 Sacramento, CA 95814 #Secto Clearinghouse (15 copies) Office of Planning and Research 1400-10th Street, Room 121 Sacramento, CA 95814 #U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service 2800 Cottage Way Sacramento, CA 95825 #State Library (3 copies) Government Publications Section Library and Courts Buildings Sacramento, CA 95814 Planning Department Sec Alla Lal Maddic 125		#Environmental Protection Agency 100 California Street. San Francisco, CA 94111 Attn. Paul De Falco, Jr. Regional Administrator *U.S. Geological Survey 345 Middlefield Road Menlo Park, CA 94025 Attn: Reid Stone, Geothermal Supervisor (Send only geothermal related documents) *Ed Hastey, State Director California State Office Bureau of Land Management 2800 Cottage Way-Room 2841 Sacramento, CA 95825 *Union Oil Company of California P.O. Box 7600 Los Angeles, CA 90051 Attn. Mrs. Ferbrache (Minerals, Geothermal, Oil & Gas) ***********************************
	•	NOTE: An asterisk indicates that a copy of the environmental document will be sent with
Pa	.go 3 of 3	the notice

FOR LONG BEACH EIR'S ONLY

DISTRIBUTION LIST FOR HIMOS

E. C. Fullerton Department of Figh and Game 1416 Ninth Street Sacramento, CA 95814

Department of Fish and Game Region 2 1001 Jedsmith Drive Sacramento, CA 95670

Department of Conservation Division of Oil and Gas 1416 Ninth Street, Room 1316 Sacramento, CA 95814

John Huddleson State Water Resources Control Board 2125 19th Street... Sacramento, CA 95670

Bob Sleppy Solid Vaste Management Board 825 K Street, Suite 300 Sacramento, CA 95814

Kenneth Buell Pepartment of Health 714 P Street, Room 430 Sacramento, CA 95814

Mel Schwartz Reclamation Board 1416 Ninth Street, Room 201-5 Sacramento, CA 95814

Office of Planning and Research Attn: Jaurie Wright 1400 10th Street Saaramento, CA 95814

James Trynee
Department of Parks & Recreation
1200 "K" Street Mall
Sacramento, CA 95814

CALENDAR PAGE 265
MINUTE PAGE 2564

DISTRIBUTION LIST FOR LETTERS

Lawrence J. Lawson
Planning Director
Butte County Planning Department
Seven County Center Drive
Oroville, CA 95965

Butte County Air Follution Control District P. O. Box 1229 316 Nelson Avenue Oroville, CA 95965

J. W. Woffington Getty Oil Company P. O. Box 5237 Bakersfield, CA 93308

> calendar page 266 Minute Page 2565

STATE LANDS COMMISSION

KENNETH CORY, Controller
MIKE CURD, Lieutenant Governor
RICTARD T. SILDERMAN, Director of Finance



EXECUTIVE OFFICE 1807 + 13th Struct Secremento, California 55814

WILLIAM F. NORTHROP Executive Officer

Filo Rof .: W 40143

INITIAL STUDY CHECKLIST

I.	BACKGROUND	INFORMATION

	A.	Applicant: GETTY OIL COMPANY
		P. G. BOX 5237
	•	BAKERSFIELD, CA 93508
	,	
J	B.	Checklist Date: <u>7 / 25 / 79</u> .
·	c.	Contact Person: John T. Freckman
	• •	Telephone: (213) 590-5213
•	D.	Purpose: To explore for and: if a discovery is made, produce natural
•		gas from the State lands described below.
		•
	E.	Location: State lands located within the Gray Lodge Waterfowl Management
	•	Area, Butte County, including the East 1/2 of Section 13 T17N, RIE,
٠.	•	and all of Section 18, T17N, RIE, N.D.B. & M. (See Exhibit "A")
	F.	Description: Issuance by competitive bid of an Oil and Gas Lease on
		the above described State lands. Upon issuance of the lease, ex-
•		ploratory drilling will be initiated on this land. The initation
)	· . 'of drilling will require the establishment of a drill-site pad and
•		(see attached Description continuation)

calendar page 21

		· •2• ·	CALEN	DAR PAGE	_263 2563
	8.	Substantial air emmissions or deterioration of ambient air quality?			XXX
В.	Air.	Will the proposal result in:	•		
	7.	Exposure of people or property to geologic hazards such as earthquakes, landslides, mudslides, ground failure, or similar hazar			[XY]
		or erosion which may modify the channel of a river or stream or the bed of the ocean or any bay, inlet, or lake?	•	•	•
•.	6.	Changes in deposition or erosion of beach sands, or changes in siltation, deposition			[XX]
٠.	5.	Any increase in wind or water erosion of soils, either on or off the site?			XY
•	ц.	The destruction, covering, or modification of any unique geologic or physical features	<u></u>		XX
•	3	Change in topography or ground surface relief features?		[XX]	
) ·	· 2.	Disruptions, displacements, compaction, or overcovering of the soil?	. <u>X</u> Z7		
	1.	Unstable earth conditions or in changes in geologic substructures?		<i>D</i>	XX
۸.	Ear	th. Will the proposal result in:			
			Yes	Maybe	No
		ENTAL IMPACTS 1 all "yes" and "maybe" answers)			
١,	•				
			•		
			·		
					
	•;	•			

ř.

٠,			LOS	MITARO	No.
*	· 9•	The creation of objectionable eders?		□ .	XXX
•	10.	Alteration of air movement, moisture or temperature, or any change in climate, either locally or regionally?	<i>□</i>		
c.	Wate	er. Will the proposal result in:		•	
•	ù.	Changes in the currents, or the course or direction of water movements, in either marine or fresh waters?			
••	12.	Changes in absorption rates, drainage patterns, or the rate and amount of surface water runoff?		X	
	13.	Alterations to the course or flow of flood waters?			<u> </u>
	щ.	Change in the amount of surface water in any water body?	X		
	15.	Discharge into surface waters, or in any alteration of surface water quality, including but not limited to temperature, dissolved oxygen or turbidity?			[XY]
	16.	Alteration of the direction or rate of flow of ground waters?			XX
	3.7.	Change in the quantity of ground waters, either through direct additions or withdrawals, or through interception of an aquifer by cuts or excavations?			Ø
•	18.	Substantial reduction in the amount of water otherwise available for public water sup; lies?			XX
•	19.	Exposure of people or property to water related hazards such as flooding or tidal waves?		<u></u>	AY.
D.	Plan	nt Life. Will the proposal result in:			
	20.	Change in the diversity of species, or number of any species of plants (including trees, shrubs, grass, crops, and aquatic plants)?	<i>∑</i> .		<i></i>
	21.	Reduction of the numbers of any unique, rare or endangered species of plants?			
J	22,	Introduction of now species of plants into an area, or in a parrier to the normal ro-			ZX.
		whom bully and a solution and almost	CALENDAR MINUTE PA	ű	269 568 :
		•	4		

23. Reduction in acroage of any agricultural	•	•		Yos	Maybo	<u>No</u>
E. Animal Life. Will the proposal result in: 24. Change in the diversity of species, or numbers of any species of animals (birds, land animals including reprolles, fish and shollfish, benthic organisms, or insects)? 25. Reduction of the numbers of any unique, rare or endangered species of animals? 26. Introduction of new species of animals into an area, or result in a barrier to the migration or movement of animals? 27. Deterioration to existing fish or wildlife 28. Increase in existing noise levels 29. Exposure of people to severe noise levels? 29. Exposure of people to severe noise levels? 30. The production of new light or glare? 31. A substantial alteration of the present or 31. A substantial alteration of the present or 32. Increase in the rate of use of any natural 33. Natural Resources. Will the proposal result in: 34. The involvement of a risk of an explesion 35. Substantial deplotion of any nonrenowable 36. The involvement of a risk of an explesion 37. The involvement of a risk of an explesion 38. The involvement of a risk of an explesion 39. The involvement of a risk of an explesion 30. The production. Will the proposal result in: 31. The involvement of a risk of an explesion 32. Increase in the rate of use of any natural 33. Substantial deplotion of any nonrenowable 34. The involvement of a risk of an explesion 35. The alteration, distribution, denaity, or 36. The alteration, distribution, denaity, or or growth rate of the human population of the capact 35. The alteration, distribution, denaity, or or growth rate of the human population of the paper		23.	Reduction in acreage of any agricultural eron?			XXY
24. Change in the diversity of species, or numbers of any species of animals (birds, land animals including reptiles, fish and shellfish, benthic organisms, or insects)? 25. Reduction of the numbers of any unique, rare or endangired species of animals? 26. Introduction of new species of animals into an area, or result in a berrier to the migration or movement of snimals? 27. Deterioration to existing fish or wildlife 28. Increase in existing noise levels 29. Exposure of people to severe noise levels? 30. The production of new light or glare? 41. Land Use. Will the proposal result in: 31. A substantial alteration of the present or 31. A substantial alteration of the present or 32. Increase in the rate of use of any natural 33. Substantial doplotion of any nonrenowable 34. The involvement of a risk of an explosion 35. Risk of Usest. Will the proposal result in: 36. The involvement of a risk of an explosion 37. Risk of Usest. Will the proposal result in: 38. The involvement of a risk of an explosion 39. The involvement of a risk of an explosion 30. The production. Will the proposal result in: 31. The involvement of a risk of an explosion 32. Increase in the rate of use of any natural 33. Substantial doplotion of any nonrenowable 34. The involvement of a risk of an explosion 35. The alternation, or radiation) in the event of an accident or upset conditions? 36. The alternation, distribution, denaity, or or growth rate of the human population of the appear.	E.	Anir	···		·	
25. Reduction of the numbers of any unique, rare or endangered species of animals? 26. Introduction of new species of animals into an area, or result in a berrier to the migration or movement of animals? 27. Deterioration to existing fish or wildlife	•	24.	Change in the diversity of species, or numbers of any species of animals (birds, numbers including reptiles, fish and		.XX	<i></i>
26. Introduction of new species of animals into an area, or result in a barrier to the migration or movement of animals? 27. Deterioration to existing fish or wildlife			shollfish, benthic organisms; or inscens,			<u> </u>
27. Deterioration to existing fish or wildlife	, •• ·	26.	Introduction of new species of animals		<i></i>	
28. Increase in existing noise levels 29. Exposure of people to severe noise levels? 6. Light and Glare. Will the proposal result in: 30. The production of new light or glare? H. Land Use. Will the proposal result in: 31. A substantial alteration of the present or planned land use of an area? 1. Natural Resources. Will the proposal result in: 32. Increase in the rate of use of any natural resources? 33. Substantial deplotion of any nonronewable resources? 5. Risk of Upset. Will the proposal result in: 34. The involvement of a risk of an explesion resources? 5. Risk of Upset. Will the proposal result in: 34. The involvement of a risk of an explesion rection on the release of hazardous substances (including, but not limited to, oil, posticides, chemicals, or radiation) in the event of an accident or upset conditions? K. Population. Will the proposal result in: 35. The alteration, distribution, density, or regrewth rate of the human population of the area?		27.	Deterioration to existing fish or wildlife	EXT.		
29. Exposure of people to severe noise levels? 6. Light and Glare. Will the proposal result in: 30. The production of new light or glare? H. Land Use. Will the proposal result in: 31. A substantial alteration of the present or planned land use of an area? 1. Natural Resources. Will the proposal result in: 32. Increase in the rate of use of any natural presources? 33. Substantial deplotion of any nemeronewable presources? J. Risk of Upset. Will the proposal result in: 34. The involvement of a risk of an explosion per the release of hazardous substances (including, but not limited to, oil, posticides, chemicals, or radiation) in the event of an accident or upset conditions? K. Population. Will the proposal result in: 35. The alteration, distribution, density, or proveth rate of the human population of the area?	F.	. Noi	so. Will the proposal result in:			
29. Exposure of people to savere horse fevols. 6. Light and Glare. Will the proposal result in: 30. The production of new light or glare? H. Land Use. Will the proposal result in: 31. A substantial alteration of the present or planned land use of an area? 1. Natural Resources. Will the proposal result in: 32. Increase in the rate of use of any natural presources? 33. Substantial depletion of any nenranewable presources? 5. Risk of Upset. Will the proposal result in: 34. The involvement of a risk of an explesion por the release of hazardous substances (including, but not limited to, oil, posticides, chemicals, or radiation) in the event of an accident or upset conditions? K. Population. Will the proposal result in: 35. The alteration, distribution, density, or progression or growth rate of the human population of the area?	• • .	28.	Increase in existing noise levels	XXX.		
H. Land Use. Will the proposal result in: 31. A substantial alteration of the present or planned land use of an area? 13. Natural Resources. Will the proposal result in: 32. Increase in the rate of use of any natural resources? 33. Substantial deplotion of any nonrenowable resources? 34. The involvement of a risk of an explosion or the release of hazardous substances (including, but not limited to, oil, pesticides, chemicala, or radiation) in the event of an accident or upset conditions? 15. The alteration, distribution, density, or or growth rate of the human population of the area?		29.	Exposure of people to severe noise levels?			XXX
H. Land Use. Will the proposal result in: 31. A substantial alteration of the present or planned land use of an area? I. Natural Resources. Will the proposal result in: 32. Increase in the rate of use of any natural presources? 33. Substantial depletion of any near-newable presources? J. Risk of Upset. Will the proposal result in: 34. The involvement of a risk of an explesion por the release of hazardous substances (including, but not limited to, oil, posticides, chemicals, or radiation) in the event of an accident or upset conditions? K. Population. Will the proposal result in: 35. The alteration, distribution, density, or or growth rate of the human population of the area?	G	. <u>Li</u> e	tht and Glare. Will the proposal result in:		•	
planned land use of an area? 1. Natural Resources. Will the proposal result in: 32. Increase in the rate of use of any natural // XX // resources? 33. Substantial deplotion of any nenranewable // XX // resources? 5. Risk of Upset. Will the proposal result in: 34. The involvement of a risk of an explesion // Or the release of hazardous substances (including, but not limited to, oil, posticides, chemicals, or radiation) in the event of an accident or upset conditions? K. Population. Will the proposal result in: 35. The alteration, distribution, density, or or growth rate of the human population of the area?	A	30.	The production of new light or glare?			XXX
31. A substantial alteration of the present of planned land use of an area? 1. Natural Resources. Will the proposal result in: 32. Increase in the rate of use of any natural session of resources? 33. Substantial depletion of any nonranewable session or resources? 5. Risk of Upset. Will the proposal result in: 34. The involvement of a risk of an explesion on the release of hazardous substances (including, but not limited to, oil, posticides, chemicals, or radiation) in the event of an accident or upset conditions? K. Population. Will the proposal result in: 35. The alteration, distribution, density, or or growth rate of the human population of the area?	H	. Lar	nd Use. Will the proposal result in:	•		•
.32. Increase in the rate of use of any natural	•	31.	A substantial alteration of the present or planned land use of an area?		. 🗇	XXX
33. Substantial depletion of any nonranowable	. i	. Na	tural Resources. Will the proposal result	in:		
33. Substantial deplotion of any nonranowable Assures? J. Risk of Upset. Will the proposal result in: 34. The involvement of a risk of an explosion Assures on the release of hazardous substances (including, but not limited to, oil, posticides, chemicals, or radiation) in the event of an accident or upset conditions? K. Population. Will the proposal result in: 35. The alteration, distribution, density, or or growth rate of the human population of the area?		.32.	Increase in the rate of use of any natural resources?	ı	XX.	
34. The involvement of a risk of an explosion or the release of hazardous substances (including, but not limited to, oil, posticides, chemicals, or radiation) in the event of an accident or upset conditions? K. Population. Will the proposal result in: 35. The alteration, distribution, density, or or growth rate of the human population of the area?	•	. 33.	Substantial deplotion of any nonranewable		<u> </u>	
or the release of hazardous substances (including, but not limited to, oil, post- icides, chemicals, or radiation) in the event of an accident or upset conditions? K. Population. Will the proposal result in: 35. The alteration, distribution, density, or or growth rate of the human population of the area? CALENDAR PAGE* 270	J	ι. <u>Ri</u>	sk of Upset. Will the proposal result in:		•	
or growth rate of the human population of the area?	•	34.	on the release of hazardous sucstances (including, but not limited to, oil, post (cides, chemicals, or radiation) in the o	-		
or growth rate of the human population of the area? 270	.1	C. Pc	pulation. Will the proposal result in:	•		, process
the area?		35.	The alteration, distribution, density, or			<u> </u>
	`		the even?	OALE		

3.4

4/24

• '			Yos	Maybo	No
I	. Ilo	using. Will the proposal result in:	•	•	•
	36.	Affecting existing housing, or create a demand for additional housing?			LYX
Y	. Tre	ansportation/Circulation. Will the proposa sult in:	ı		
	37.	Generation of substantial additional vehicular movement?	~		
	38.	Affecting existing parking facilities, or create a demand for new parking?			EX).
	39.	Substantial impact upon existing trans- portation systems?			KXY.
	40.	Alterations to present patterns of circulation or movement of people and/or goods	, 🗁		<u> </u>
•	41.	Alterations to waterborne, rail, or air traffic?			XXY.
	42.	Increase in traffic hazards to motor vehi- cles, bicyclists, or pedestrians?			XXX.
N.	alt	lic Services. Will the proposal have an ect upon, or result in a need for new or ered governmental services in any of the lowing areas:			
•	43.	Fire protection?		[7	/xxx
	44.	Police protection?			[XX).
	45.	Schools?			XXX
	46	Parks and other recreational fasilities?			ĹΧΫ.
•	47.	Maintenance of public facilities, including roads?			[XXX
	48.	Other governmental services?			<u> ZXX</u>
٥.	Enor	rey. Will the proposal result in:			
	49.	Use of substantial amounts of fuel or energy?			
	50.	Substantial increase in domand upon existing sources of energy, or require the development of new sources of energy?			<u> XX</u> X

CALENDAR PAGE 271
MINUTE PAGE 2570

		Y .	on	Maybo	<u>No</u>
P.	for-	ities. Will the proposal result in a need new systems, or substantial alterations to following utilities:			•
	51.	Power or natural gas?	J		XXX
	52.	Communication systems?	フ		XXI
	53.	Water?	7		<u> </u>
•	54.	Sewer or septic tanks?	7		<i>[27]</i>
	55.	Storm water drainage?			<u> </u>
••	56.	Solid waste and disposal?	\mathcal{I}		KY).
Q.	· Hum	en Health. Will the proposal result in:			
	57.	Creation of any health hazard or potential / health hazard (excluding mental health)?			XXX.
•	5 8.	Exposure of people to potential health Anazards?	<i></i>		XXX
R.	Acs	thics. Will the proposal result in:		•	
)	59.	The obstruction of any scenic vista or view open to the public, or will the proposal result in the creation of an aesthetically offensive site open to public view?			
s.	Roc	reation. Will the proposal result in:		•	
•	60.	An impact upon the quality or quantity of a existing recreational opportunities?			EX.
T.	. Arc	haological/Historical. Will the proposal ult in:			•
	61.	An alteration of a significant archeologi- cal or historical site, structure, object, or building?		· 🗇	
υ.	Mar	datory Findinds of Significance.	*		
	62.	Does the project have the potential to de- grade the quality of the environment reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, re- duce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal or elimi nate important examples of the major period of California history or prohistory?)		
		67 Culligating miscory of promiscory;	` I	LEND IR PAGE IUTE PAGE	* <u>272</u> 2571
			1 tant	IN IE CAUE	

è

*		Yos	Maybo	110
63.	Does the project have the potential to achieve short-term, to the disadvantage of long-term, environmental goals?		<i></i>	XXY
64.	Does the project have impacts which are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable?			XXI
65.	Does the project have environmental effect which will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly?			XXY

III. DISCUSSION OF ENVIRONMENTAL EVALUATION

See attached Discusion

calendar page 273 | minute page 25.72 |

IV. DETERMINATION

On the basis of this initial evaluation:

- I find the proposed project COULD NOT have a significant effect you the environment, and a NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared.
- I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, there will not be a significant effect in this case because the mitigation measures described on an attached sheet have been added to the project. A NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared.
- I find the proposed project MAY have a significant effect on the environment, and an ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required.

Date: 7/25/79

D. J. EVERITTS, Chief

Division of Energy

and Mineral Resources
For The State Lands Commission

CALENDAR PAGE 274
MINUTE PAGE 2573

RIE RZE GRAY LODGE HEADQUARTERS MANAGEMENT WATERFOWL AREA BUTTE COUNTY PENNINGTON T17 N TISN EXHIBIT "A" LOCATION W.O. 40143 STATE LANDS COMMISSION Proposed Oil & Gas Lease, Butte County PARCEL NOMINATED FOR COMPETITIVE BID July 1979 MILES RZE (M. BALENDA! PAGE

F. DESCRIPTION: (cont.)

an access road to it. An earthen pit will also be needed for temporary storage of waste drilling fluid. Casing will be run in the well to protect any subsurface fresh water aquifer encountered. Assuming a total well depth of about 6,500 feet, typically 1,300 feet of 9 5/8 inch surface casing would be run and cemeried.

In the event of commercial production, the appropriate casing would be run and would be tested to meet the requirements of the California Division of Oil and Gas. An example of this type of operation would be the running and cementing of a string of 5 1/2 inch production casing through the lowest potentially productive sands. The production casing would then be perforated opposite the natural gas zones. In the event more than one horizon is capable of commercial production, a string of 2 5/8 inch tubing might be set opposite the upper herizon. Upon completion of the well, the drilling rig would be removed and the earthen pit drained and refilled with dirt. A production pad approximately 125 feet by 275 feet would then be graveled. Surface facilities would consist of a heater, a regulator, and a meter to be installed. In the event of dual completion, two heaters, two regulators, and two meters would be needed; however, the size of the pad would not increase.

In the event that commercial production is not established, the well would be plugged and abandoned in accordance with the rules and regulations of the Division of Oil and Gas. The location and access road would then be restored to as near normal as practical, including replanting of local vegetation.

Approximately 15 to 20 days would be required to drill and test the well with an extra 5 to 10 days needed if commercial production is encountered.

calendar page 276
minute page 2575

TII. DISCUSSION OF ENVIRONMENTAL EVALUATION

- No. 2 Construction of the drill-pad and access road will disrupt some vegetation and soil. The disruption will include an area approximately 2 1/2 3 acres depending on the length of the access road. The Department of Fish and Game, which oversees the Gray Lodge Waterfowl Management Area has stipulated that several conditions be met prior to drilling the test well. These are as follows:
 - 1. The drill site pad and access road to be constructed to a height of not less than 30 inches.
 - 2. The access road to be constructed at a location determined by the Area Manager.
 - 3. Fill dirt for the pad and access road to be taken from an area determined by the Area Manager.
 - 4. Any damages to structures, roads, or facilities caused by the lessee to be repaired or replaced.
 - 5. The time frame for work (drilling, construction, etc.) will be February 1 to September 1 of a given year.
 - 6. The site to be cleaned of any litter or material considered unsightly or harmful to wildlife.
 - 7. The Area Manager is to be notified prior to commencement of work.

If production is obtained several other wells could be drilled, the maximim number being five (5) based on a 160 acre spacing across the 904.80 acres of the parcel to be leased. Economical gas production would also mean piping recoverable gas to the nearest gathering line. There are several Pacific Gas and Electric Company gas pipelines within the Water, fowl area and a natural gas odorizing plant within the northern edge of the area.

If commercial gas production is not obtained, the location and access road would be restored to as near normal as practical, including replanting of local vegetation.

- No. 3 Any change in topography would be minor. Any changes would be a result of the drill-pad and access road construction described in No. 2 above.
- No.12 The drainage in the immediate area of the drill-site will possibly be affected by the construction of the drill-pad and the access road, however this will be minimal because the area is one of low relief. Nearby marshlands indicate drainage is poor overall. The area is periodically flooded, intentionally, by the Department of Fish and Game.

CALENDAR PAGE

MINUTE PAGE

25.76

III. DISCUSSION OF ENVIRONMENTAL EVALUATION (cont.,)

- No. 14 There will be a slight reduction in the amount of surface water in the area. This will only occur periodically, when the fields are flooded by the Department of Fish and Game to create large ponds and marshlands for the migrating waterfow. The reduction will be on the order of 2 1/2 3 acres, the size of the drill-pad and access road. If commercial production is obtained, up to five (5) wells could be drilled (see No. 2 above) thereby making the total possible surface water reduction about 15 acres.
- No. 20 Some grasses and weeds will be disturbed by the drilling-pad and access road construction (see No. 2 above).
- No. 24 Minor disturbances by operations described in No. 2 above; most animals would be displaced, therefore the numbers would remain relatively unchanged.
- No. 27 As described in No. 2 above, a small section of wildlife habitats would be disturbed by the construction of the drill-pad and access road.
- No. 28 Increased noise level, caused primarily by the drilling machinery will be temporary (15 20 days) during the drilling and testing.
- No. 32 The rate of removal of natural gas will increase, provided commercial production of grains of tained through the exploratory phase of the project.
- Natural gas, if a commercially producible amount is found, is the only nonreversable resource that will be removed.
- No. 34 Certain operations performed in drilling and production work are considered critical with respect to well control, fire, explosion, oil spills and other discharges or emissions. The critical operations may occur during drilling, well completion, well maintenance and construction. The lessee will be required to submit and have approved by the State Lands Commission, a Critical Operations and curtailment Plan, setting forth critical well operations which will be curtailed when adverse conditions exist.

CALENDAR PAGE 278
MINUTE PAGE 2577

Persons or Agencies Contacted

California Department of Parks & Recreation
California Department of Fish and Game
California Department of Conservation, Division of Oil and Gas
California Solid Wasta Management Board
California Reclamation and
California Department of Health
California State Water Resources Control Board
O. P. R. Clearinghouse, Attn: Laurie Wright
Butte County Planning Department
Butte County Air Pollution Control District
Getty Oil Company, Attn: J. W. Woffington

calendar page 279
MINUTE PAGE 2578

DATE: 10/19/79

NOTICE

A regular meeting of the State Lands Commission has
been scheduled for Nov , 1979, at a.m. (p.m.),
in Room of
Among the items to be considered at this meeting will
be an application from GETTY OIL COMPANY
Nominating A PORTION OF THE GRAY LODGE
WATERFOWL MANAGEMENT AREA FOR AN
OIL AND GAS LEASE
In accordance with Division 13 of the Public Resources
Code, (/ a negative declaration identified as EIR
ND) (/_/ an Environmental Impact Report identified
as EIR has been prepared) AND (/_/ is attached
for your information.) (/ may be obtained from
Ted T. Fukushima, 1807 - 13th Street, Sacramento,
CA 95814.)

WILLIAM F. NORTHROP Executive Officer

Form 13.14 (9/78) 2580 m1tk