#### MINUTE ITEM

MINUTE ITEM

10/80 W 9635 Willard

#### 22. MODIFICATION OF THE STATE'S RIGHT TO SURFACE ENTRY

During consideration of Calendar Item 22 attached, Mr. Walter C. Bench, Air Space Management Specialist with the Air Force Flight Test Center, Edwards Air Force Base, appeared. Mr. Bench objected to the proposed development because it was beneath their restricted air space set aside for testing and evaluating hazardous-type flying. During the discussion, Mr. Northrop advised Mr. Bench that his concerns were not within the purview of the recommendation before the Commission. The Commission suggested to Mr. Bench that he submit his comments to the Kern County Board of Supervisors and the State Department of Real Estate during the environmental review process.

Upon motion duly made and carried, the resolution as presented in Calendar Item 22 was adopted by a vote of 2-0.

Attachment: Calendar Item 22

A 34 S 16

CALENDAR PAGE

MINUTE PAGE

2430

#### CALENDAR ITEM

22.

10/80 W 9635 Willard

#### MODIFICATION OF THE STATE'S RIGHT OF SURFACE ENTRY

APPLICANT:

Charles W. Terrell

Chief Executive Officer Great Western Cities, Inc.

4605 Lankershim Blvd., Suite 600 North Hollywood, California 91602

#### AREA, LAND TYPE AND LOCATION:

Approximately 13,000 acres, including school lands and lands conveyed to the State pursuant to Section 8 of the Taylor Grazing Act: Sections 4, 8, 10, 14, 20, 22, 24, 26, 28, 32 (E½ and SW½), 34, 36, T31S, R39E; and Sections 2, 4, 6 (Lots 1 and 2 of the NE½, Lot 1 of the SW½ and SE½), 8, 10, 14, and 18, T32S, R39E, MDM, Kern County. The State retained a 100% mineral interest in the land when patented. These lands are located within the Second Community of the City of California City.

#### PERTINENT INFORMATION:

1a. Section 6401(b) of the P.R.C. provides in relevant part:

"...upon a finding by the State Lands Commission that there are no known deposits of commercially valuable minerals in and above the plane located 500 feet below the surface of any lands sold or exchanged ... by the State to another ..., and wherein any or all of the mineral deposits have or are required by law to be reserved to the State, the Commission may be (sic) ... appropriate instrument, modify the accompanying rights of the State to the use of the surface of such lands, including any right

A 34

S 16

CALENDAR PAGE 1

to enter such lands to a depth of 500 feet below the surface of such lands, as will permit the orderly use and development of all such sold or exchanged lands ... Nothing in this subdivision shall be construed to authorize the relinquishment of any mineral rights in such lands owned or reserved to the State."

b. Seption 6373 of the P.R.C. provides in relevant part:

"Before any disposition of State lands to a private party or other governmental agency, the intended recipient of such lands shall submit to the Commission and to the Legislature a general plan, as specified by the Commission, for the use of the subject lands to be transferred, together with the review and comments of other interested State agencies."

2. The applicant/surface owner has applied to the Commission for the relinquishment of the State's surface entry rights pursuant to Section 6401(b) of the P.R.C. The background events leading to this application are as follows:

Beginning in the late 1950's, the predecessors of Great Western Cities, Inc., project applicant and current developer of California City, began purchasing large tracts of land which have come to comprise California City. The development of California City was to take place in three phases: the First, Second, and Third Communities.

The First Community contains the presently developed areas of California City where major roadways and utility infrastructures, civic facilities, a shopping area, motel, and park facilities, have been constructed. Housing consists mainly of single-family dwellings.

CALENDAR PAGE

MINUTE PAGE 2432

The current population of the First Community is approximately 2,500 people.

Approximately 13,000 acres (6,073 hectares) of land obtained for inclusion in the Second Community were purchased from the State of California. Some of these lands were school lands; others were acquired by the State through an exchange with the United States Government pursuant to the Taylor Grazing Act, 43 U.S.C. Section 315 et seq. In conformance with Public Resources Code Section 6401(a), the sale of the subject property by the State was made subject to the reservation of minerals and the right of access thereto by the State.

The Second Community was subsequently subdivided into approximately 25,900 lots, of which an estimated 65 percent have been sold to private individuals. These individuals purchased lots with the expectation of being able to develop their land. Some improvements (grading for roads and culverts) were constructed during this time. The sale of those lots from lands acquired from the State were subject to the reservation of minerals and access thereto held by the State. As a result of this cloud on the title to these lots, development financing has proved difficult to obtain. In addition, as a result of the 1973 settlement in the case of <u>People</u> v. <u>Great Western Cities</u>, <u>Inc.</u>, et al. (Los Angeles Superior Court No. C 51745) brought by the Department of Real Estate and the State Attorney General regarding sales practices in California City, the developer agreed to use its best efforts to obtain from the State of California the modification of surface entry rights. Thus, in an effort to comply with the terms of the settlement and

CALENDAR PAGE 146
MINUTE PAGE 2433

in order to clear title to the lots so as to facilitate development financing, Great Western Cities, Inc., has submitted the current application to the State Lands Commission.

The Third Community of California City is, like the Second Community, presently undeveloped. Great Western Cities has indicated their intent to apply for a modification of the State's right of surface entry on those parcels within the Third Community on which the State has mineral rights. No timetable has been established yet by Great Western Cities for this future application.

- 3. Staff has conducted an evaluation of the mineral potential in the upper 500' of the subject land and has concluded that at this time there are no known commercially valuable minerals within this interval.
- 4. Pursuant to the Great Western Cities' application to the State Lands Commission, an Environmental Impact Report (EIR #267) was prepared by Westec Services Incorporated for the State Lands Commission discussing the impacts of the proposed modification of the State's right of surface entry to the lands described in Exhibit "A".

The final EIR for this project, dated September 21, 1980, is on file in the principal office of the Commission, and is incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein. An executive summary of the environmental document is attached hereto as Exhibit "F".

As more fully discussed in the EIR, the proposed project has the potential for having a significant effect on the environment. Those potential significant effects are:

CALENDAR PAGE 147
MINUTE PAGE 2434

Air Quality: If significant development occurs, automobile pollutant emissions combined with other growth relaced pollution sources, may exceed clean air standards. Measures that could be taken to reduce air pollution include:

- 1) Orderly development of the City providing commercial services and work places near residential areas.
- Assistance in forming car and van pools for those persons working outside the City.
- 3) Energy conservation guidelines governing the design and construction of future development in California City.
- 4) Establish a regional transit system to serve California City and the surrounding areas.

Cultural Resources: Ten archeological sites were found during the survey. Additionally, there is a high potential that other sites exist. Mitigation is best conducted when development plans are formulated. At that time a professional archeologist can evaluate the importance of any sites and monitor subsequent grading and development.

Biological Resources: The area is habitat for the rare Mojave Ground Squirrel. In addition, the Desert Tortoise is found in high densities throughout the second community. The Tortoise may soon be proposed for threatened status. Development would decrease the habitat for these species. The potential effect on the habitat of the Desert Tortoise and the Mojave Ground Squirrel can be partially mitigated by the conveyance of land by the developer to BLM for use in connection with the Desert Tortoise Natural Area, a BLM wildlife preserve.

-5-

CALENDAR PAGE 148
MINUTE PAGE 2435

- 5. In compliance with Section 6373 of the P.R.C., the applicant has filed the following items with the Commission:
  - a. Copies of EIR #267.
  - b. The geologic report prepared by the staff of the State Lands Commission.
  - c. The applicant's plan of development for the subject land. Five-year plan of Great Western Cities.

The Commission staff has distributed these items as follows:

- a. One copy of each to the Chairman and members of the State Lands Commission.
- b. One copy of each to the Chief Clerk of the Assembly.
- c. One lopy of each to the Secretary of the Senate.

The applicant has duly executed copies of the proposed agreement and has returned them to the Commission.

6. The staff and the applicant have negotiated an agreement considered to be in the best interests of the State, whereby:

Great Western Cities will grant to the State all its right, title and interest to the lands described in Exhibit "B" (approximately 640 acres).

Pursuant to Public Resources Code, Section 6401(b), the State will relinquish to owners of record its rights to use the surface of the lands described in Exhibit "A", including its right to e ter such lands to a depth of 500 feet (approximately 12,200 acres).

-6-

calendar page 149
MINUTE PAGE 2436

Great Western Cities will grant to the United States Department of the Interior, Bureau of Land Management, all of Great Western Cities' right, title and interest to approximately 640 acres as provided in Section 1 of Part B of the proposed Agreement (a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit "D"), subject to the mineral rights therein owned by or reserved to the State and the right of the State to use the surface of that property, including any right to enter such lands to a depth of 500' below the surface of such lands, for use of the BLM in connection with the Desert Tortoise Natural Area which provides protection of the habitat of the Desert Tortoise and the Mojave Ground Squirrel, so as to partially mitigate adverse impacts upon that habitat which may result from development of the lands listed in Exhibit "A".

Upon Great Western Cities' grant to BLM as described above, and pursuant to Public Resources Code, Section 6401(b), the State will relinquish to BLM and other record owners its right to use the surface of the land described in Exhibit "C" hereto, including its right to enter such lands to a depth of 500 feet.

#### EXHIBITS:

- A. Lands in which the State will relinquish its surface entry rights.
- B. Lands which Great Western Cities will grant to the State.
- C. Lands in which the State will relinquish its surface entry rights upon conveyance by Great Western Cities, Inc. of 640 acres to BLM.
- D. Agreement regarding State's modification of surface entry rights in California City, Kern County, California.

CALENDAR PAGE 150
MINUTE PAGE 2437

- E. Site Map.
- F. EIR Executive Summary.

#### I'T IS RECOMMENDED THAT THE COMMISSION:

- 1. DETERMINE THAT A FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT HAS BEEN PREPARED FOR THIS PROJECT BY THE COMMISSION FOLLOWING EVALUATION OF COMMENTS AND CONSULTATION WITH PUBLIC AGENCIES HAVING JURISDICTION IN THE PROJECT AREA.
- 2. CERTIFY THAT THE FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT (EIR NO. 267) HAS BEEN COMPLETED IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT OF 1970, AS AMENDED, AND THE STATE EIR GUIDELINES AND THAT THE COMMISSION HAS REVIEWED AND CONSIDERED THE INFORMATION CONTAINED THEREIN.
- DETERMINE THAT THE PROJECT HAS THE POTENTIAL TO CAUSE A SIGNIFICANT EFFECT ON THE ENVIRONMENT; NAMELY - AIR QUALITY - MITIGATION REQUIREMENTS TO LESSEN IMPACTS ARE WITHIN THE RESPONSIBILITY AND JURISDICTION OF ANOTHER PUBLIC AGENCY AND NOT THE STATE LANDS COMMISSION. SUCH PUBLIC AGENCY CAN AND SHOULD ADOPT APPROPRIATE MITIGATION MEASURES. CULTURAL RESOURCES - MITIGATION REQUIREMENTS TO LESSEN IMPACTS ARE WITHIN THE RESPONSIBILITY AND JURISDICTION OF ANOTHER PUBLIC AGENCY AND NOT THE STATE LANDS COMMISSION. SUCH PUBLIC AGENCY CAN AND SHOULD ADOPT APPROPRIATE MITIGATION MEASURES. BIOLOGICAL RE-SOURCES - PARTIAL MITIGATION FOR HABITAT LOSS WILL BE ACHEIVED BY GREAT WESTERN CITIES, INCORPORATED'S CONVEYANCE TO THE BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT OF APPROXI-MATELY 640 ACRES FOR USE IN CONNECTION WITH THE DESERT TORTOISE NATURAL AREA.

FAILURE TO APPROVE THE PROJECT WOULD RESULT IN HARDSHIPS TO HUNDREDS OF SMALL LANDOWNERS AND THE DEVELOPER AS THEY WOULD BE UNABLE TO OBTAIN CONSTRUCTION FINANCING THEREBY VIRTUALLY ELIMINATING OPPORTUNITIES TO DEVELOP. THEREFORE, OVERRIDING SOCIAL AND ECONOMIC CONSIDERATIONS PREVENT ADOPTION OF THE NO PROJECT ALTERNATIVE.

4. FIND THAT AT THIS TIME, THERE ARE NO KNOWN DEPOSITS OF COMMERCIALLY VALUABLE MINERALS IN AND ABOVE A PLANE LOCATED 500 FEET BELOW THE SURFACE OF THE LANDS IN EXHIBITS "A" AND "C".

calendar page 151
MINUTE PAGE 2438

- 5. AUTHORIZE THE EXECUTION OF THAT AGREEMENT ENTITLED "AGREEMENT REGARDING STATE'S MODIFICATION OF SURFACE ENTRY RIGHTS IN CALIFORNIA CITY, KERN COUNTY, CALIFORNIA."
- 6. AUTHORIZE THE EXECUTIVE OFFICER OR HIS DESIGNEE TO TAKE ALL ACTIONS WHICH ARE NECESSARY AND PROPER TO IMPLEMENT SAID AGREEMENT.

CALENDAR PAGE 152
MINUTE PAGE 2439

#### EXHIBIT "A"

#### LAND DESCRIPTION

W 9635

All those lands within California City, Kern County, California, described as follows:

Sections 4,8,10,14,20,22,24,26,28, the E-1/2 and the SW-1/4 Section 32, and Sections 34 and 36, in T31S, R39E, MDM, and Sections 2, 4, Lots 1 and 2 of the NE-1/4 and Lot 1 of the SW-1/4, and the SE-1/4 of Section 6, and Sections 8,10,14 and 18 in T32S, R39E, MDM.

#### END OF DESCRIPTION

PREPARED OCTOBER 16, 1980 BY TECHNICAL SERVICES UNIT, ROY MINNICK, SUPERVISOR.

CALENDAR PACE 153
MINUTE PAGE 2440

EXHIBIT "B"

#### LAND DESCRIPTION

W 9635

All those lands within California City, Kern County, California, described as follows:

The W-1/2 and SE-1/4 of Section 7 and the NE-1/4 of Section 18 in T31S, R40E, MDM.

END OF DESCRIPTION

PREPARED OCTOBER 16, 1980 BY TECHNICAL SERVICES UNIT, ROY MINNICK, SUPERVISOR.

CALENDAR PAGE 154 2441

MINUTE P/

EXHIBIT "C"

LAND DESCRIPTION

W 9635

All those lands within California City, Kern County, California, described as follows:

Section 18 in T31S, R39E, MDM.

END OF DESCRIPTION

PREPARED OCTOBER 16, 1980 BY TECHNICAL SERVICES UNIT, ROY MINNICK, SUPERVISOR.

CALENDAR PAGE 24

EXHIBIT, "D"

DOCUMENT RECORDED AT 'THE REQUEST OF AND WHEN RECORDED RETURN TO: 3 Great Western Cities, Inc. 4605 Lankershim Boulevard Suite 600 North Hollywood, CA 91602 Attention: Charles W. Terrell Chief Executive Officer TAX DUE: 8 9 10 11. 12 13 14 1,5 16 AGREEMENT REGARDING STATE'S MODIFICATION OF SURFACE ENTRY RIGHTS IN CALIFORNIA CITY, 17 KERN COUNTY, CALIFORNIA 18 Instructions to the County Recorder of the County of Kern 19 This document includes conveyances between the 20 parties to this Agreement. Please index this document as follows: 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 1. COURT PAPER CALENDAR PAGE MINUTE PAGE

1	Agreement Exhibit In Which Real Property Grantor Grantee Is Described
	Grantor
3	acting by and CITIES, INC., a
4	through the State California Lands Commission corporation; and
5	GWU, INC., a California
6	corporation; and ALL OTHER OWNERS
7 '	OF RECORD
8	CREAT WESTERN STATE OF CALIFORNIA B CITIES, INC., a acting by and
9	California through the State corporation Lands Commission
10	
11	AGREEMENT REGARDING STATE'S MODIFICATION OF SURFACE ENTRY RIGHTS IN CALIFORNIA CITY,
12	KERN COUNTY, CALIFORNIA
13	This Agreement, entered into this day of
14	, 1980, is by and between the STATE OF
15	CALIFORNIA, acting by and through the State Lands Commission
16	(hereinafter referred to as "State") and GREAT WESTERN CITIES,
17	INC., acting on behalf of Great Western Cities, Inc., a California
!8	corporation, GWU, INC., a California corporation, and All Other
19	Owners Of Record of the real property which is the subject of
20	this Agreement (hereinafter referred to as "Second Party").
21	WITNESSETH
22	WHEREAS, the real property which is the subject of
23	this Agreement (hereinafter "Subject Property") is described
24	in Exhibits "A", "B", and "C", all of which are attached hereto
25	and are by this reference expressly made a part hereof, as if
26	set forth in full; and
<b>2</b> 7	
COURT PAPER	2.
STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD 113 (REV 0:72)	CALENDAR PAGE 2444

WHEREAS, the Subject Property was patented by the State of California to Second Party or its predecessors in interest, reserving to the State the mineral interests therein and the right of the State to the use of the surface of that Property to develop those mineral interests, including the right to enter the Subject Property to a depth of 500 feet below the surface thereof; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to Public Resources Code Section 9 6401(b) (hereinafter "Section 6401(b)"), the State, upon a finding by the State Lands Commission that there are no known deposits of commercially valuable minerals in and above a plane 11 located 500 feet below the surface of certain lands, may by 12 quitclaim, patent, agreement, or other appropriate instrument, 13 14 modify the rights of the State to the use of the surface of those lands, including any right to enter such lands to a depth 16 of 500 feet below such surface, as will permit the orderly use and development of those lands; provided, however, that nothing in the State Lands Commission's action shall be construed to authorize the relinquishment of any mineral interests in those lands owned by or reserved to the State; and

WHEREAS, the Second Party has applied to the State for a modification of the State's right to use the surface of the Subject Property under Section 6401(b); and

WHEREAS, studies have been conducted by the parties to determine the commercial mineral value potential of the 26 Subject Property, and based thereupon the State Lands Commission 27 has found that there are no known deposits of commercially

21

24

CALENDAR PAGE MINUTE PAGE

valuable minerals in and above a plane located 500 feet below the surface of the Subject Property; and

WHEREAS, accordingly, the State Lands Commission has authorized a modification of the State's right to use the surface of the lands described in Exhibit "A" (hereinafter "Release Parcels") in accordance with Section 6401(b), namely, the State's relinquishment and quitclaim of its right to enter the Release Parcels to a depth of 500 feet below the surface thereof, by way of the execution of this Agreement (see, Calendar and Minute Items of the State Lands Commission from its meeting of , copies of which are attached in Exhibit "D", and 12 by this reference made a part hereof); and

WHEREAS, the State and Second Party, singly and 14 jointly, seek to forilitate the orderly use and development 15 of the Release Parcels, as provided by Section 6401(b); and

WHEREAS, State and Second Party seek to mitigate adverse impacts upon the environment which may occur as a result of the development of the Release Parcels, specifically with regard to the habitat of the Desert Tortoise and the Mojave 20 ! Ground Squirrel; and

WHEREAS, Second Party further seeks to satisfy the terms of the settlement reached in the case of People v. Great Western Cities, Inc., Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. C51745, whereby Great Western Cities, Inc., predecessor in interest to Second Party, agreed to make its best efforts to obtain from the State of California a release of surface entry rights as to certain lands, including the Release Parcels;

2

4

5

10

11

13

16

17

19

21

22

23

CALENDAR PAGE MINUTE PAGE

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the mutual covenants and agreements contained herein, and other consideration, the adequacy and receipt of which are acknowledged, the parties mutually agree as follows:

## PART A - QUITCLAIM AND GRANT DEED

1. State's Quitclaim. The State, acting in accordance with Section 6401(b), hereby remises, releases, and quitclaims to Second Party, the State's right to enter the Release Parcels to a depth of 500 feet below the surface of the Release Parcels, such quitclaim to be effective only upon recordation of this Agreement. It is expressly agreed by the parties to this Agreement that nothing herein shall be construed as any conveyance or transfer of any mineral interests in the Release Parcels presently owned by or reserved to the State.

Western Cities, Inc., hereby grants to the State all its right, title and interest to that certain real property described in Exhibit "B" hereto, this grant to be effective upon recordation of this Agreement.

#### 3. Escrow.

(a) Escrow Agent.

The parties, or any of them, shall cause an escrow to be opened with Century Title and Guaranty Company of Bakersfield, or any other duly licensed title company in the County of Kern mutually agreeable to the parties (hereinafter "Escrow Agent").

27 | / / /

1

3

4

5

10

11

12

1.3

14

. 15

16

19

20

21

22

24

25

26

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD 113 LREV 8-721 calendar page 160
min te page 2447

5.

(b) Deposits by the Parties.

(1) The State shall deposit into escrow this Agreement, duly and properly executed by it;

(2) Second Party shall deposit into escrow this Agreement, duly and properly executed by it.

(c) Title Insurance.

Century Title and Guaranty Company of Bakersfield, or any other duly licensed title company agreeable to the State, shall stand ready and be committed to issue upon the close of escrow its standard coverage policy of title insurance in CLTA form insuring to a value of \$ the State's title to the land described in Exhibit "B", subject only to those exceptions agreed to in writing by the State.

(d) Recordation.

(1) Upon the receipt of all the documents listed in Subsection (b) of this Section and the approval of the conditions of title shown in the pro forma title policies and any other conditions previously approved in writing by the parties, Escrow Agent, if it has not received an objection by a party hereto to the closing of escrow, shall notify the parties of its intention to close escrow and to record this Agreement and shall set a date certain for such recordation and closing.

7 | / / /

27 / / /

6.

calendar page 161
MINUTE PAGE 2448

(2) At 8:00 a.m., or as early as possible or
the date chosen for the close of escrow,
Escrow Agent shall record this Agreement in
the Official Records of the County Recorder
for the County of Kern, State of California.

(e) Allocation of Costs and Expenses.

Agent, including those associated with recordation of this Agreement, and the premiums and costs of the title insurance policy described in Subsection (c) hereof, shall be borne by Second Party. All other fees, costs and expenses of any actorney, engineer or other person employed or retained by a party hereto in connection with the transaction underlying this Agreement shall be borne by that party.

(f) Closing of Escrow.

If this escrow has not closed by January 31, 1981, this Agreement will be null and void and will have no effect, and neither party will have any rights, duties, claims, or obligations hereunder. Further, in that event, nothing contained in this Agreement shall constitute or be deemed to be an admission by or against any party of any of the matters dealt with herein.

# PART B - MITIGATION OF PROJECTED ADVERSE IMPACT UPON HALITAT OF DESERT TORTOISE AND MOJAVE GROUND SQUIRREL

1. <u>Future Grant</u>. In order to provide land to the United States Department of the Interior, Bureau of Land Management (hereinafter "BLM"), for its use in connection with the Desert Tortoise Natural Area which provides protection of

COURT PAPER STATE OF GALIFOPHIA STD 113 (REV 8-72)

25

26

27

CALENDAR PAGE 162
MINUTE PAGE 2449

the habitat of the Desert Tortoise and the Mojave Ground Squirrel, so as to mitigate adverse impacts upon that habitat which may result from development of the Release Parcels, GREAT WESTERN CITIES, INC., agrees to grant to BLM six hundred forty (640) contiguous acres as follows:

(a) Great Western Cities, Inc., agrees to make best efforts to obtain fee title to all of that certain real property described in Exhibit "C" hereto, subject to the mineral interests therein owned by or reserved to the State and the right of the State to the use of the surface of that property, including any right to enter such property to depth of 500 feet below the surface thereof, within two (2) years from the effective date of this Agreement. If successful, Great Western Cities, Inc., agrees to grant to BLM title to that property, subject only to those exceptions agreed to in writing by BLM.

(b) If Greac Western Cities, Inc., is unable to comply with the provisions of Subsection (a) of this Section. Great Western Cities, Inc., agrees to obtain within two (2) years of the effective date of this Agreement title to six hundred forty (640) contiguous acres, including but not limited to those portions of that land described in Exhibit "C" owned as of the effective date of this Agreement and thereafter acquired by Great Western Cities, Inc. Great Western Cities, Inc. agrees to grant to BLM thereafter title to that

COURT PAPER
STATE OF CALIFCANIA

2ū

8.

CALENDAR PAGE 163

property subject only to those exceptions agreed to in writing by BLM.

- The State, acting in accordance Future Quitclaim. with Section δ401(b), hereby agrees to remise, release, and quitclaim to BLM and all other owners of record its right to use the surface of the land described in Exhibit "C", including the State's right to enter to a depth of 500 feet below the surface of that land, but only upon the condition that Great Western Cities, Inc., stands ready, willing, and able to carry out the provisions of Section 1 of this Part B. The State's ag eement shall become effective only when Great Western Cities, Inc., so stands ready, willing and able.
- Future Escrow. Great Western Cities, Inc., hereby 3. agrees to cause an escrow to be opened with a duly licensed 15 title company in the County of Kern, mutually agreeable to the parties, for the purpose of effecting the consummation of the transaction described in this Part B. The escrow instructions and documents necessary to consummate that transaction shall be mutually agreed upon at that future time.

#### PART C - GENERAL PROVISIONS

Agreement Binding on Heirs, Assigns, Etc.

All the terms, provisions and conditions in this Agreement shall be binding upon and inure to the benefit of the respective heirs, administrators, executors, successors, and assigns of the parties.

Modification.

No modification, amendment or alteration of this

COURT PAPER

1

2

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

CALENDAR PAGE MINUTE PAGE

Agreement shall be valid unless in writing and signed by all of the parties.

#### 3. Counterparts.

This Agreement may be executed in any number of counterparts, and each executed counterpart shall have the same force and effect as an original instrument and as if all of the parties to the aggregate counterparts had signed the same instrument. Signature pages and acknowledgement pages of this Agreement may be detached from any counterpart of this Agreement without impairing the legal effect of any signatures thereon and may be attached to another counterpart of this Agreement identical in form thereto but having attached to it one or more additional signature and acknowledgement pages.

#### 4. Effective Date.

This Agreement shall become effective when it is signed by the parties and is duly recorded in the Official Records of the County Recorder in the County of Kern, State of California. The effective date of this Agreement shall be its date of recordation.

#### 5. Further Assurances.

So long as authorized by applicable laws so to do, each of the parties will perform such other acts and execute, acknowledge and deliver all further conveyances and other instruments that may be necessary to more fully assure to the other party all of the respective properties, rights, titles, interest, estates, remedies, powers and privileges to be conveyed or provided for by this Agreement.

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV 8-72)

5

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

calendar page 165
minute page 2452

#### 6. Severability.

The parties hereto agree that upon and after the effective date of this Agreement as provided in Section 4 of this Part C, those provisions of this Agreement to be performed after that effective date are intended to be severable, separate and distinct from the other provisions hereof and shall continue in full force and effect notwithstanding that other provisions may be determined invalid or void for any reason. Nothing herein, however, shall affect or diminish the rights of any party at law or in equity, or both, to enforce any provision of this Agreement against any other party.

#### 7. No Effect on Other Lands.

The provisions set forth in this Agreement have been determined for purposes of modifying surface entry rights held by the State only in the Release Parcels located in the Second Community of California City. Accordingly, the provisions of this Agreement are not to be construed as affecting any lands outside the Subject Property.

## 8. No Admission or Effect If Agreement Not Consummated.

If Part A of this Agreement and its underlying transaction are not consummated by December 31, 1981, the Agreement will have no force or effect, and neither party will have any rights, duties, claims or obligations hereunder. Further, in that event, nothing contained herein shall constitute or be deemed to be an admission by or against any party of any of the matters dealt with in this Agreement.

27 / / /

•

1

2

10

12

13

14

15

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STO 113 INEV 8:77 CALENDAR PAGE 1.6.6\_ MINUTE PAGE 2453

	1	IN WITNESS' WHEREOF,	the parties have caused this
	2	Agreement to be executed as of	
	3		REAT WESTERN CITIES, INC.
	4	В	у
	5	. А	ttest:
	6		
	7	•	
	8	DATED:A	PPROVED AS TO FOPM:
•	9		
	10		
	11		
	12		
	13		
	14		
	1,5	•	•
	16		•
**************************************	17		
	18	•	
	19	· ·	
	20	•	
	21		•
	22		•
	23		
	24		
	25		
	26		
	27	1:	2.
COURT PAPEL STATE OF CALIF STD 113 TREV	R DANIA B-72)		CALENDAR PAC

MINUTE PACE

00

	STATE OF CALIFORNIA ) ss.
	COUNTY OF KERN
	3
	On, before me the undersigned,
	a Notary Public in and for said State, personally appeared
	, known by me to be the
	of GREAT WESTERN CITIES, INC., and known to me to be the person
	who executed the within instrument on behalf of GREAT WESTERN
	CITIES, INC., and acknowledged to me that such GREAT WESTERN
1	CITIES, INC., authorized the execution of the same, pursuant
1	to applicable law and duly adopted resolution.
1	WITNESS my hand and official seal.
1	3
1	NOTARY PUBLIC in and for said Count
1	and State
·	6 ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° °
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	
2	ı, .
2	s ¦
2	3 1
2	4
2	5
. 2	6 ·
<b>6</b>	7
COURT PAPER	
STATE OF CALIFORNI STD 113 (REV 6.7	

MINUTE PAGE

OFF

	•			•
1	DATED:		\	STATE OF CALIFORNIA STATE LANDS COMMISSION
2				Ву
3				
4	DATED:			APPROVED AS TO FORM:
5			*	
6	;			GEORGE DEUKMEJIAN, Attorney General
7	} !			•
8	1			By Conuty Attorney General
9				
10	il .	t		•
11				
12				
13				
14				•
1,5	•		•	•
16°				•
17	1	•		
18	li Li		<b>,</b>	
19		:		
20	1)	•	•	
21	4 4 4			•
22	* *			•
23			•	
24				
25	1			
26	Ì			
27				•
				169

COURT PAPER STATE OF CAL'FORNIA STD 113 IREV 6-721

01

calendar page 169 MINUTE PAGE 2456

	1	STATE OF CALIFORNIA )
	2	COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO )
	3	
	4	On, before me the undersigned,
	5	a Notary Public in and for said State, personally appeared
	6	, known to me to be the
	7	of the STATE LANDS COMMISSION, and known to me to be the person
	8	who executed the within instrument on behalf of the STATE OF
	9	CALIFORNIA, acting by and through the STATE LANDS COMMISSION,
	10	and acknowledged to me that the STATE LANDS COMMISSION authorized
	11 .	the execution of the same, pursuant to applicable law and duly
	12	adopted resolution.
	13	WITNESS my hand and official seal.
	14	·
	15	NOTARY PUBLIC in and for said
,	16	County and State
	17	z,
	18	•
	19	
	20	
	21	
	22	(
	23	
	24	•
	25	
	26	
	27	•
COURT PAPER SYATE OF CALIFOR STD 113 IMEV		CALENDAR PAGE 170 MINUTE PAGE 2457

#### EXHIBIT "A"

#### LAND DESCRIPTION

W 9635

All those lands within California City, Kern County, California, described as follows:

Sections 4,8,10,14,20,22,24,26,28, the E-1/2 and the SW-1/4 Section 32, and Sections 34 and 36, in T31S, R39E, MDM, and Sections 2, 4, Lots 1 and 2 of the NE-1/4 and Lot 1 of the SW-1/4, and the SE-1/4 of Section 6, and Sections 8,10,14 and 18 in T32S, R39E, MDM.

#### END OF DESCRIPTION

PREPARED OCTOBER 16, 1980 BY TECHNICAL SERVICES UNIT, ROY MINNICK, SUPERVISOR.

CALENDAR PAGE 171
MINUTE PAGE 2458

EXHIBIT "B"

#### LAND DESCRIPTION

W 9635

All those lands within California City, Kern County, California, described as follows:

The W-1/2 and SE-1/4 of Section 7 and the NE-1/4 of Section 18 in T31S, R40E, MDM.

END OF DESCRIPTION

PREPARED OCTOBER 16, 1980 BY TECHNICAL SERVICES UNIT, ROY MINNICK, SUPERVISOR.

CALENDAR PAGE MINUTE PAGE 172 2459 EXHIBIT "C"

#### LAND DESCRIPTION

W 9635

All those lands within California City, Kern County, California, described as follows:

Section 18 in T31S, R39E, MDM.

.END OF DESCRIPTION

PREPARED OCTOBER 16, 1980 BY TECHNICAL SERVICES UNIT, ROY MINNICK, SUPERVISOR.

calendar page " 173 minute page 2460

# EXHIBIT "E"

. MODIFICATION OF THE STATE'S RIGHT OF SURFACE ENTRY --- CALIFORNIA CITY -- SECOND COMMUNITY

GREAT WESTERN CITIES, INC.

OCTOBER 1980 ADW Auth. The Why where in the comment LEGEND CALIFORNIA CITY LANDS INCLUDED IN EXHIBIT "A" Mile dille Miles Miles. LANDS INCLUDED IN EXHIBIT "B" Second Community Rand Miss. LANDS INCLUDED IN EXHIBIT "C" Section of the second d Community Hist Compounity Sạn Beznr ding Co.\_ California City boundaries peerless Valley Mojave O North Edwards 1ne60. a Baran Edwards Air Force Base miles Valley CALENDAS PAGE 246 MINUTE PAGE

KLL 1980

#### EXHIBIT "F"

#### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

#### ACENCY JURISDICTION

This environmental impact report (EIR) has been prepared under contract to the State Lands Commission, using the State EIR Guidelines which implement the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) of 1970, as amended. The project, as proposed, involves lands in which mineral rights and surface entry rights are controlled by the state of California, which has prevented development from occurring. Therefore, the California State Lands Commission is serving as lead agency.

#### PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The proposed project consists of the modification by the State Lands Commission of the state's right of surface entry over approximately 15,000 acres (6,073 hectares) of land within the Second Community in the central area of the city of California City, pursuant to <u>Public Resources Code</u> Section 6401(b). Commission approval of the proposed modification, sought by Great Western Cities, Inc., developer of California City and the applicant for this project, would result in the relinquishment of the state's right of surface entry to a depth of 500 feet (152 meters) below the surface within the subject 15,000 acres (6,073 hectares). Such action would not authorize, or result in, the relinquishment of any mineral rights the state has in such lands.

The approximately 15,000 acres (6,073 hectares) of land obtained for inclusion in the Second Community were purchased from the State of California. The state had acquired these lands through an exchange with the United States government pursuant to the Taylor Grazing Act, 43 U.S.C.S. Section 315(g). The lands granted by the state to the United States were school lands, and therefore the lands received in exchange took on the character of, and were subject to the same restrictions as those school lands. In conformance with Public Resources Code Section 6401(a), the sale of the subject property by the state was made subject to the reservation of minerals and the right of access thereto by the state.

The Second Community was subsequently subdivided into approximately 25,900 lots, of which an estimated 65 percent have been sold to private individuals (Knopf, 1979). The sale of those lots from lands acquired from the state were, of course, subject to the reservation of minerals and access thereto held by the state. As a result of this cloud on the title to these lots, development financing has proved difficult to obtain. In addition, as a result of the 1973 settlement in the case of People v. Great Western Cities. Inc., et al. (Los Angeles Superior Court No. C 51745) brought by the Department of Real Estate and the State Attorney General regarding sales practices in California City, the developer agreed to use its best efforts to obtain from the State Lands Commission the modification of surface entry rights. To comply with the terms of the settlement and in order to clear title to the lots so as to facilitate development financing, Great Western Cities, Inc., has submitted the current application to the State Lands Commission.

Although the act of relinquishment will not result in any physical changes, the clearing of the clouded titles would facilitate residen 'al development of the Second Community. These indirect impacts were assessed in this report. Analyses of indirect impact of development of the Second Community have been assessed on two levels. The first level is based on a population of 10,000 (4,000 units). The second level of analysis, representing the worst ease, was based on a build-out of over 78,000 units (population of 195,400) in the Second Community.

#### ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT

In accordance with recent applicable amendments to the CEQA stressing a focused environmental report, this document identifies the key environmental issues of the project and makes use of the extensive data basis existing for the project area. These major issues include:

- Archaeological and historical resources
- Biological resources
- Air quality
- Transportation
- Land use

Other aspects of the study intensively investigated included:

- Geology
- Hydrology/Water Quality
- Noise
- Socioeconomics
- Service systems
- Aesthetics

#### 1. Archaeology and Historical Resources

Ten archaeological sites were found during the survey. There is also a potential that additional sites could be found within the study area, especially on rocky knolls and ridgelines. Development of the Second Community would result in impact to those sites as well as other undiscovered sites in the area. Once development plans are initiated, additional surveys and other subsurface tests will be required to mitigate impact to cultural resources.

#### 2. Biological Resources

The Second Community contains creosote bush, shadscale, and Joshua tree woodlands. The area contains wildlife typical of those communities. No rare, threatened, or endangered plant species were .ound. However, the area is a habitat of the rare Mohave ground squirrel (State of California). In addition, the desert tortoise was found in high density in the Second Community. This animal may soon be proposed for threatened status.

Development of the Second Community would result in loss of native vegetation and wildlife including sensitive species. The nearby Desert Tortoise Natural Area could also be indirectly affected.

#### 3. Air Quality

Development of the Second Community will result in generation of pollutants from mobile and stationary sources. Because of meteorological factors, moderate growth would not create significant air quality problems.

#### 4. Transportation

Development of the Second Community would generate high traffic levels. These traffic levels would create impact to regional roadways requiring major roadway improvements.

#### 5. Land Use

Implementation of the proposed action could change the real from an open space area to an urbanized environment. There could be potential and use conflicts with public lands to the north and west.

#### 6. Other Considerations

Development of the Second Community will place structures in an area of high seismic activity and an area prone to high aircraft-related noise levels. In addition, the demand for urban services and utilities would increase. Urban runoff could degrade water quality. Development of the Second Community could raise property values, but decrease the open space aesthetic quality.

#### MITIGATION

Several alternative mitigation measures are suggested to reduce impact to the adjacent Desert Tortoise Natural Areas. Measures are also suggested for preservation of cultural and other biological resources. Other measures suggested for implementation by other public agencies are also delineated.

#### **ALTERNATIVES**

Alternatives to the proposed project are limited to the no-action alternative and relinquishment of surface entry rights only on portions of the subject lands. Implementation of the no-action alternative could prevent or reduct impact associated with urban development. Releating only portions of the subject lands could mitigate some adverse biological and land use impacts.