MINUTE ITEM

This Calendar Item No. 32 was approved as Minute Item by the State Lands to ______ at its ______ at its ______ meeting.

MINUTE ITEM

3/19/80 Horn RW 22289

33. CONTRACT EXECUTION BETWEEN STATE LANDS COMMISSION AND PEAT, MARWICK, MITCHELL AND COMPANY (CONTRACTOR).

During consideration of Calendar Item 33 attached, Mr. Robert C. Hight, Chief Counsel, amended the item to read "Approval of Executive Officer's signature" instead of "Ratification of" due to the fact that the contract had not been signed at the time of this meeting.

Upon motion duly made and carried, the following resolution as amended was approved by a vote of 3-0.

THE COMMISSION:

- 1. DETERMINES THAT AN EIR HAS NOT BEEN PREPARED FOR THIS ACTIVITY AS SUCH REPORT IS NOT REQUIRED UNDER THE PROVISIONS OF PRC 21065, 14 CAL. ADM. CODE 15037 AND 15060 AND 2 CAL. ADM. CODE 2903(d).
- 2. AUTHORIZES THE EXECUTIVE OFFICER TO EXECUTE A CONTRACT BETWEEN THE COMMISSION AND PEAT, MARWICK, MITCHELL AND COMPANY FOR REVIEW AND CONSULTATION SERVICES AT A COST NOT TO EXCEED \$9500.00 FOR THE CURRENT FISCAL YEAR, WHICH CONTRACT IS ON FILE IN THE PRINCIPAL OFFICE OF THE COMMISSION AND BE REFERENCE MADE A PART HEREOF.

Attachment: Calendar Item 33.

A 57, 58

S 27, 31

CALENDAR PAUE	
MINUTE PAGE	626_
1	and the second distance of the second distanc

CALENDAR ITEM

33.

3/80 RW 22289 Horn

RATIFICATION OF CONTRACT EXECUTION -STATE LANDS COMMISSION AND PEAT, MARWICK, MITCHELL AND COMPANY (CONTRACTOR)

BACKGROUND AND CURRENT SITUATION:

The Commission has received an application from the City of Long Beach for the determinations provided for in Section 6702 of the Public Resources Code as applying to a ground lease and subleases between the City of Long Beach and Hyatt Long Beach Corporation. The proposed lease area is on Lands granted to the City of Long Beach under Chapter 676, Statutes of 1911 and many subsequent amendatory statutes.

The City, in support of its lease application package has relied on a number of market demand and fiscal feasibility studies some of which are several years old. In order to aid the staff in analyzing the potential fiscal and other impacts of the hotel project, it is necessary to secure the services of an outside consultant who has demonstrated ability in the real estate and hospitality industries.

Toward this end, staff contacted a number of potential consultants and has selected the firm of Peat, Marwick, Mitchell and Company (PMM & Co.). PMM & Co. will be able to meet the severe time constraints placed on the Commission in reviewing the City's application. The Commission is faced with an extremely short review/decision period relative to lease approvals under this Section of the P.R.2. For this reason, the Executive Officer has executed a contract with PMM & Co.; with the final contract report due during the first week of April.

Staff is recommending that the Commission ratify the Executive Officer's action on the subject contract.

IT IS RECOMMENDED THAT THE COMMISSION:

1. DETERMINE THAT AN EIR HAS NOT BEEN PREPARED FOR THIS ACTIVITY AS SUCH REPORT IS NOT REQUIRED UNDER THE PROVISIONS OF PRC 21065, 14 CAL. ADM. CODE 15037 AND 15060 AND 2 CAL. ADM. CODE 2903(d).

-1-

	176]
CALENDAR PACE	
MINUTE PAGE	061

CALENDAR ITEM NO. 33.(CONTD)

2. RATIFY THE APPROVAL, BY THE EXECUTIVE OFFICER, OF A CONTRACT BETWEEN THE COMMISSION AND PEAT, MARWICK, MITCHELL AND COMPANY FOR REVIEW AND CONSULTATION SERVICES AT A COST NOT TO EXCEED \$9500. FOR THE CURRENT FISCAL YEAR, WHICH CONTRACT IS ON FILE IN THE PRINCIPAL OFFICE OF THE COMMISSION AND BY REFERENCE MADE A PART HEREOF.

,	177
CALENDAR PAGE	628
MINUTE PAGE	020
Land and the second designed a	