MINET POP

This Column	•••••••••	C14
WCS 171		: 0 0 m
No. 14		i esda
Çermetri i		<u> </u>
10 0 23		20/78
meeting.	-	

CALENDAR ITEM

C14.

12/78 W 21068 De La Cruz

CERTIFICATION OF NEGATIVE DECLARATION FOR SALVAGE OF THE QUEEN CHRISTINA

APPLICANT: Brother Jonathan Company 225 H Street Cresent City, California 95531

- LAND TYPE: Tide and submerged.
- LOCATION: Pacific Ocean near Crescent City off Point St. George, Del Norte County.

PREREQUISITE TERMS:

- The State Lands Commission's staff, in accordance with Article 10, Section 2905(b) of the Cal. Adm. Code, has conducted an initial study and has concluded that the project will have no significant effect on the environment. Therefore, in compliance with the Subsection (c) of Section 2905, a negative declaration was prepared and filed with the State Clearinghouse.
- The State Clearinghouse acknowledged receipt of the negative declaration and has completed the required review. No adverse comments have been received.
- 3. In accordance with Chapter 1200, Statutes of 1977, the State Lands Commission must complete and certify a negative declaration within 105 days following receipt of a completed application and approve or deny the project within 1 year. This application was certified complete on September 25, 1978.
- Negotiations are in process for a salvage permit, and project approval will be submited for Commission action in the near future.

EXHIBITS: A. Negative Declaration. B. Site Map.

A 2

S 2

the second second

-1-

CALENDAR ITEM NO. C14. (CONTD)

IT IS RECOMMENDED THAT THE COMMISSION:

- 1. DETERMINE THAT AN EIR HAS NOT BEEN PREPARED FOR THIS PROJECT BUT THAT A NEGATIVE DECLARATION HAS BEEN PREPARED BY THE COMMISSION'S STAFF.
- 2. CERTIFY THAT THE NEGATIVE DECLARATION (#231) HAS BEEN COMPLETED IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE CEQA OF 1970, AS AMENDED, AND THE STATE GUIDELINES, AND THAT THE COMMISSION HAS REVIEWED AND CONSIDERED THE INFORMATION CONTAINED THEREIN.
- 3. DETERMINE THAT THE PROJECT WILL NOT HAVE A SIGNIFICANT EFFECT ON THE ENVIRONMENT.

EXHIBIT "A"

NEGATIVE DECLARATION

Salvage of the Queen Christina

W 21068

This Negative Declaration is prepared pursuant to • Section 15083, California Administrative Code, Title 14, Division 6 and is based upon an Initial Study pursuant to Sections 15080 and 15066 thereof.

Description

It is proposed to selectively salvage artifacts from a freighter that sank in 1907. The operation will take place near Crescent City, specifically off Point St. George in shallow water. It is expected that only a few days will be required for the project, although this is dependent on the weather. All items found will be catalogued and be the property of the State until their disposition is determined. The salvor intends to place all materials found and kept into a private museum for the maritime history of the northern California coast.

Determination

The second second second second second and second and second second second second second second second second s

An Initial Study has been prepared and it is determined that the proposed project will not have a significant effect upon the environment.

(1) The proposed project will have no cumulative impacts, or adverse effects on humans.

(2) There will be minimal impacts upon fish and other marine organisms of the project environment.

(3) Salvage of the <u>Queen Christina</u>, a British freighter of a historical period is believed to be noncontroversial in terms of the historical elements of CEQA and the California History Plan. This determination rests upon the type of vessel, no cargo, and possible prior salvage. See attachment to Initial Study checklist.

(4) Uses of public services, energy, and other resources are not applicable or are insignificant.

This Negative Declaration was prepared by the staff of the State Lands Commission. Additional copies may be obtained from Ted. T. Fukushima, State Lands Commission, 1807 13th Street, Sacramento, CA 95814. Telephone No. (916) 322-7813.

20. If the project involves a variance, conditional use or rezoning application, state this and indicate clearly why the application is required. N/A

Are the following items applicable to the project or its effects? Discuss below all items checked yes. (attach additional sheets as necessary)

YES NO

- X 21. Change in existing features of any bays, tidelands, beaches, lakes or hills, or substantial alteration of ground contours.
- X 22. Change in scenic views or vistas from existing residential areas or public lands or roads.
- X 23. Change in pattern, scale or character of general area of project.
- X 24. Significant effect on plant or animal life.
- X 25. Significant amounts of solid waste or litter.
- X 26. Change in dust, ash, smoke, fumes or odors in vicinity.
- X 27. Change in ocean, bay, lake, stream or ground water quality or quantity, or lateration of existing drainage patterns.
- X 28. Change in existing noise or vibration levels in the vicinity.
- X 29. Site on filled land or on slope of 10 percent or more.
- X 30. Use of disposal of potentially hazardous materials, such as toxic substances, flammables or explosives.
- X 31. Change in demand for municipal services (police, fire, water, sewage, etc.)
- X 32. Increased fossil fuel consumption (electricity, oil, natural, gas, etc.)
- X 33. Relationship to a larger project or series of projects.

ENVIRONMENTAL SETTING

- 34. Describe the *project site* as it exists before the project, including information on topography, soil stability, plants and animals, and any cultural, historical or scenic aspects. Describe any existing structures on the site, and the use of the structures. Attach *photographs* of the site. Snapshots or polaroid photos will be accepted.
- 35. Describe the surrounding properties, including information on plants and animals and any cultural, historical or scenic aspects. Indicate the type of land use (residential, commercial, etc.), intensity of land use (one-family, apartment houses, shops, department stores, etc.), and scale of development (height, frontage, set-back, rear yard, etc.). Attach photographs of the vicinity. Snapshots or polaroid photos will be accepted.

CERTIFICATION:

I hereby certify that the statements furnished above and in the attached exhibits present the data and information required for this i initial evaluation to the best of my ability, and that the facts, statements, and information presented are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Date 10-17-78

and a second second second as the second second

David B. Black

For

led	WORK ORDER	
	ENVIRONMENTAL INFORMATION FORM	
	(To be completed by applicant)	
GEN	IERAL INFORMATION	
1.	Name and address of developer or project sponsor:Brother Jonathan Company	
2.	Address of project: Del Norte County, California	
	Assessor's Block and Lot number:	
3. Name, address, and telephone number of person to be contacted concerning this project:		
	Ted Fukushima, State Lands Commission, 1807 13th Street, Sacramento, CA 95814 (916) 322-7813	
4.	Indicate number of the permit application for the project to which this form pertains: <u>n/A</u>	
5.	List and describe any other related permits and other public approvals required for this project, including those required by City, regional, state and federal agencies:	
	California Coastal Commission	
6.	Existing zoning district:N/A	
	Present use of site:N/A	
7.		
	near Crescent City.	
PRO		
8	tite size 3 acres	
9.	Square footage. N/A	
10.	Number of floors of construction. N/A	
11.	Amount of off-street parking provided. N/A	
12.	Attach plans. N/A	
13.	Proposed scheduling. Fall of 1978 or later depending on weather.	
14.	Associated projects. None	
15.	Anticipated incremental development. None	
16.	If residential, include the number of units, schedule of unit sizes, range of sale prices or rents, and type of household and household size expected. N/A	
17.	If commercial, indicate the type, whether neighborhood or city oriented, square footage of sales area, an loading facilities. N/A	
18.	If industrial, indicate type, estimated employment per shift, and loading facilities.	
19.	N/A If institutional, indicate the major function, estimated employment per shift, estimated occupancy, loadin facilities, and community benefits to be derived from the project. N/A	

والمراجب والمحافظ المراجب المحاصف والمعاولة والمحاجز والمحاج والمحاج والمحاج والمحاج والمحاج والمحاج

COOM 60 2 /5/791

. **ว**

Environmental Setting

34. Project Site.

and the second second

The project site is in the open ocean near Point St. George north of Crescent City, California. Exact location is a trade secret as defined under CEQA. The salvage site has many offshore rocks. High swells and submarine turbulance characterize the ocean. The ocean floor is rocky with sand pits in between. This is a minimum of benthic or pelagic except on rocks where an animal or plant can fasten itself. The vessel dates from a historical period, but is typical of contemporary freighters in construction and design. There was no cargo and no lives were lost.

35. Surrounding Properties.

The surrounding area is similar to the project site: rocky and with heavy seas. Geologically, the coastal area near the wreck site consists of a Franciscan formation and marine sedimentary rock. The same structure extends out into the ocean in the form of submarine formations with occasional outcroppings called sea stacks. Sand and gravel are constantly washing over the ocean bottom in the area of the wreck. There are no known faults in the project area.

-4-

Selected Bibliography

an along a land of the second s

The California History Plan Vol. I - "Comprehensive Preservation Program" 1973, Department of Parks and Recreation.

"The Wreck of the Queen Christina", in Journal of Del Norte County Historical Society, p.3, 1953.

Contacts with Authorities and Public Agencies*

Eric Gerstein, Fish and Game, October 13, 1976.

Professor W. Turrentine Jackson, University of California at Davis, November 8, 1976.

Luisa Jaskulski, Corps of Engineers, November 1976.

Professor John H. Kimble, Pomona College, November 18, 1976.

Ernie Perry, Del Norte County Planning, November 1976.

William C. Seidel, State Historic Preservation Office, October 13, 1976, October 6, 1976, September 13, 1978.

*NOTE TO READER: Much of the planning and review of this salvage project has been an ongoing project since 1970, when a Corps of Engineers Public Notice was circulated. The identity of this vessel has also been unknown until recently.

-6-

The second se

ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST FORM

BACK	GROUN	D				
(A) (B)		of Proponent: Brother Jonathan C ess of Proponent:	Company	Ý		
		Phone	Number:	· · · · · · · ·		
(C)	Date	of Checklist Submitted:				
(D)		cy Requiring Checklist:				
(E)	Name	of Proposal, if applicable: <u>Salvage c</u>	of Que	en Chris	tina	
ENVI		NTAL IMPACTS				
	(Explanations of all "yes" and "maybe" answers are required on					
	atta	ached sheets.)	Yes	Maybe	No	
(A)	Eart	h. Will the proposal result in:	103	<u>Indy De</u>	10	
	(1)	Unstable earth conditions or in changes in geologic substructures?			<u>_X</u>	
	(2)	Disruptions, displacements, compac- tion or overcovering of the soil?		- <u></u>	_x	
	(3)	Change in topography or ground surface relief features?			<u>_</u>	
	(4)	The destruction, covering or modifi- cation of any unique geologic or physical features?			_>	
	(5)	Any increase in wind or water erosion of soils, either on or off the site?			_)	
	(6)	Changes in deposition or erosion of beach sands, or changes in siltation, deposition or erosion which may modify the channel of a river or stream or the bed of the ocean or any bay, inlet or lake?			_ <u>x</u>	
	(7)	Exposure of people or property to geologic hazards such as earthquakes, landslides, mudslides, ground failure, or similar hazards?			_2	
(B)	<u>Air</u> .	Will the proposal result in:				
	(1)	Substantial air emissions or deterioria- tion of ambient air quality?	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~			
	(2)	The creation of objectionable odors?				

			Yes	Maybe	No
	(3)	Alteration of air movement, moisture or temperature, or any change in climate either locally or regionally?	•		<u>_X</u>
(C)	Wate	r. Will the proposal result in:			
	(1)	Changes in currents, or the course or direction of water movements, in either marine or fresh waters?			<u>_X</u>
	(2)	Changes in absorption rates, drainage patterns, or the rate and amount of surface water runoff?			<u> </u>
	(3)	Alterations to the course or flow of flood waters?			_ <u>X</u>
	(4)	Change in the amount of surface water in any water body?			_ <u>X_</u>
	(5)	Discharge into surface waters, or in any alteration of surface water quality, including but not limited to tempera- ture, dissolved oxygen or turbidity?			_ <u>X_</u>
	(6)	Alteration of the direction or rate of flow of ground waters?			_X_
	(7)	Change in the quantity of ground waters, either through direct additions or with- drawals, or through interception of an aquifer by cuts or excavations?			_X_
	(8)	Substantial reduction in the amount of water otherwise available for public water supplies?			_X_
	(9)	Exposure of people or property to water related hazards such as flooding or tidal waves?			<u> X </u>
(D)	Plant	t Life. Will the proposal result in:			
		Change in the diversity of species, or number of any species of plants (including trees, shrubs, grass, crops, microflora and aquatic plants)?			_X_
	(2)	Reduction of the numbers of any unique, rare or endangered species of plants?			X
	(3)	Introduction of new species of plants into an area, or in a barrier to the normal replenishment of existing species?			_ <u>X_</u>

			<u>Yes</u>	Maybe	No
	(4)	Reduction in acreage of any agri- cultural crop?			<u>_X</u>
(E)	Anim	al Life. Will the proposal result in:			
	(1)	Change in the diversity of species, or numbers of any species of animals (birds, land animals including reptiles, fish and shellfish, benthic organisms, insects or microfauna)?			_ <u>_X_</u>
	(2)	Reduction of the numbers of any unique, rare or endangered species of animals?			_ <u></u>
	(3)	Introduction of new species of animals into an area, or result in a barrier to the migration or movement of animals?			_ <u>×</u>
	(4)	Deterioration to existing fish or wildlife habitat?			_ <u></u>
(F)	Nois	e. Will the proposal result in:			
	(1)	Increases in existing noise levels?			<u> X </u>
	(2)	Exposure of people to severe noise levels?			_X
(G)	Ligh	t and Glare.			
	Will glar	the proposal produce new light or e?			_X
(H)	Land	Use.			
	alte	the proposal result in a substantial ration of the present or planned land of an area?			<u>×</u>
(I)	Natural Resources. Will the proposal result in:				
	(1)	Increase in the rate of use of any natural resources?			<u>×</u>
	(2)	Substantial depletion of any non- renewable natural resource?			<u> X </u>
(J)	<u>Risk</u>	of Upset.			
	Does	the proposal involve a risk of an			

Does the proposal involve a risk of an explosion or the release of hazardous substances (including, but not limited to, oil, pesticides, chemicals or radiation) in the event of an accident or upset conditions?

2722

X

			Yes	Maybe	No
(K)	Ρορι	ulation.			
	dist	the proposal alter the location, tribution, density, or growth rate of human population of an area?	<u></u>		<u>_X</u> _
(L)	Hous	sing.			
		the proposal affect existing housing, reate a demand for additional housing?			<u> </u>
(M)		sportation/Circulation. Will the bosal result in:	·		
	(1)	Generation of substantial additional vehicular movement?			_X_
	· (2)	Effects on existing parking facilities, or demand for new parking?		. <u></u>	<u> </u>
	(3)	Substantial impact upon existing transportation systems?			_ <u>X</u>
	(4)	Alterations to present patterns of circulation or movement of people and/or goods?			_X
	(5)	Alterations to waterborne, rail or air traffic?			_X
	(6)	Increase in traffic hazards to motor vehicles, bicyclists or pedestrians?		<u></u>	<u> </u>
(N)	effe or a	ic Services. Will the proposal have an ect upon, or result in a need for new altered governmental services in any of following areas?			
	(1)	Fire protection?			<u> </u>
	(2)	Police protection?			<u> </u>
	(3)	Schools?			<u> </u>
	(4)	Parks or other recreational facilities?			_ <u>×</u>
	(5)	Maintenance of public facilities, including roads?			_ <u>X</u> _
	(6)	Other governmental services?			<u>X</u>

			Yes_	Maybe	No
(0)	Ener	gy. Will the proposal result in:			
	(1)	Use of substantial amounts of fuel or energy?			<u> </u>
	(2)	Substantial increase in demand upon existing sources of energy, or require the development of new sources of energy?			X
(P)	need	ities. Will the proposal result in a for new systems, or substantial rations to the following utilities:			
	(1)	Power or natural gas?			<u> × </u>
	(2)	Communications systems?			<u>_X</u>
	(3)	Water?			_X
	(4)	Sewer or septic tanks?			<u> X </u>
	(5)	Storm water drainage?			<u> X </u>
	(6)	Solid waste and disposal?			<u> X </u>
(Q)	Huma	n Health. Will the proposal result in:			
	(1)	Creation of any health hazard or potential health hazard (excluding mental health)?			<u>_X</u>
	(2)	Exposure of people to potential health hazards?			<u> X </u>
(R)	Aest	hetics.			
	of a or w an a	the proposal result in the obstruction ny scenic vista or view open to the public ill the proposal result in the creation of esthetically offensive site open to ic view?	•		_X
(S)	Recr	eation.			
	the	the proposal result in an impact upon quality or quantity of existing eational opportunities?			_X_
(T)	Arch	eological/Historical.			
	of a	the proposal result in an alteration significant archeological or historical , structure, object or building?			<u> </u>

*

X

(U) Mandatory Findings of Significance.

- (1) Does the project have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory?
- (2) Does the project have the potential to achieve short-term, to the disadvantage of long-term, environmental goals? (A short-term impact on the environment is one which occurs in a relatively brief, definitive period of time while long-term impacts will endure well into the future)
- (3) Does the project have impacts which are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable? (A project may impact on two or more separate resources where the impact on each resource is relatively small, but where the effect of the total of those impacts on the environment is significant.)
- (4) Does the project have environmental effects which will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings either directly or indirectly?
- III. DISCUSSION OF ENVIRONMENTAL EVALUATION

IV. DETERMINATION

On the basis of this initial evaluation:

I find the proposed project COULD NOT have a significant effect on the environment, and a NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared.

_/ I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, there will not be a significant effect in this case because the mitigation measures described on an attached sheet have been added to the project. A NEGATIVE DECLARATION WILL BE PREPARED.

_/ I find the proposed project MAY have a significant effect on the environment, and an ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required.

Date: 10-17-78

David B. Black, (Signature)

For :_____

۰.

