5. ACTION OF STATE LANDS COMMISSION OF DEGEMBER 19, 2974, AUTHORIZING JHASE PRC 4977 AT CPEITAN BEACH, SANTA BARBARA COUNTY, TO WXXON OORPORATION AND BXXON PIPGLINE COMPANY OF CALIFORNIA - W 20799, PRC 4977.

During considerricien of Calendas Item I atíached, Commissioner Mer rimn Dymally moved that the Comisaion defer any action on the item untili the next meeting, He also riquested that a reiresentative from the Attornoy General's Office read into the record specific languege defining hia proposed motion.
At this time Mr. Jay L. Shavelson, Assistant Attorney General, requestaí the Commission to instruct the Attorney Genesal's Opfice to take a position in the subject litigation. He stated for the record that the Attomey General's Office did reprenent the former State Ionds Comission in a proceeding for a stay order and an alteruative writ. He further stated that the Attorney Generalls Office was concerned whether an ethical problem might be invo-ved. Counsel for Exxon was therefore contacted. Exxon informed them that in its opinion nothing had been related to give the Attorney General's Office an unfair advantage in the peading lawsuit. At this tine Mr. Shavelson asked that a representative from Exxon appear to confirm the above-mentioned conversation.

Mr. Philip K. Verleger, Attorney, representing Exxon Corporation end Hixxon Pipeline Company of California, appeared and confirmed the conversation as related biy Mr. Shavelson.

Mr. N. Gregory Taylor, Deputy Attorney General, read into the record the motion submitted by Commissioner Dymally. Mr. Shavelson then suggested that Chairman ${ }^{\prime}$ jry refrain from voting due to his interest in the subject lawsuit.

Commissioner Bell temporarily became Chairman and seconded Commissioner Dymally's motion. He also noted for the record Chairman Cory's abstention from voting.

Mr. Verleger reappeared to clarify the record. He specifically wanted it understood that Exxon was only stipulating that the Attorney General's Office could represent the new Commission and that no other stipulations were entered into. He pointed out that Excon was not assenting to the proposition that there was any question with respect to the validity of the lease.

Mr. R. S. Golden, Assistant Executive Officer, read into the record a telegram from Mr. Joseph Edmiston, Southern California Coastal Coordinator, Sierra Club, Loe Angeles, to the State Lends Commission, dated January 14, 1975, said telegram beinc on file in the office of the State Iands Conmission and by reference made a part hemoof.

## MINUTE ITMA NO. 5०. (CONTD)

Upon motion duly made and carried, the following resolution was adopted: THE COERISSION:

1. DETEFITNE THAT TRERE IS A QUESTION AS TO WHETHMN THE LDASE BETHER THE STATE, AS LDSSOR. AND EXXON CORPORATION AND EXXON PIPELINE COMPANY OF CALIFORNIA, AS LESSBES, APPROVED BY THE STATE LANDS COMMTSSION ON DECEMBER 19, 1974, IS IEGALLY VALID.
2. AUMHORIZES THE OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL TO REPRESEXI THE COMMISSION IN THE CASE OF CORY V. THE STATE LANDS COMMISSION, SACRAMENTO COUNYY SUPRRIOR COURT RO. R21974, ANT TV TARE SUCH LEGAL FOSITION AS IS DMEMED IN THE BEST INTIRESTS OF THS STATE AFTER CONSULTATION WITH THE COMMJ.SSION.
3. INSTRUCI'S TEE DIVISION TO REPORT BACK TO THE COMMISSION CONCERNTNG THIS MATTER ON JANUARY 30, 1975.

Attachment:
Calendar Item 1 (8 pages)

## INFORMATION REKARDIN: ACTION OF

 STATE LANDS COMMISSION DECEMBER 19, 1974, AUMHORTZING INESSE PRC 4977 AT CAPITAN BEACH, SANMA BAREARA COINTK, TO EXXON CORPORATION AND BXYON PIFELINE COFIPANY OF CALIFORNIAOn Augusit 5, 1974, an application for a pipeline lease and single point mooring at Capitan; Santa Barbara County, was delivexed by Exxon to the State Lands Division.

Prior to delivery of this application, a nuber of significant events had occurred. These were:

1. A proposed outline of the project was issued to various federal, State, and local entities on October 29, 1972. The State Lends Division responded to this outline. A preliminary draft EIS was issued to various federal agencies and the unit operator on March 15, 1973.
2. Oral statements at public hearings and written comments on the draft environmental impact statements were invited in the Department of Interior news releases of July 23 and August 24, 1973, and through formal correspondence.
3. The federal environmental impact statement was released July 23, 1973.
4. Public hearings on the environmental impact statements were held October 2, 3, and 4 of 1973, in Santa Barbara.
5. Resources Agency comments on the draft environmental impact statements were received. These comments were dated December 6, 1973. Principle amons the agencies comments was that all pipelines be confined to an existing 50 foot right-of-way. (See Page IX - 93 of final environmental impact statoment).
6. The final environmental impact statement was released May 3, 1974. The environmental statement agreed with the Reisources Agency that all pipelines be limited to the 50 foot existing easement. (See Page IX - 84 of final environmental impact statement). Water supply and electric lines were discussed on pages I-20 and I-2l. The water return line was discussed on pages VIII 58, 59, 60 and in the sunmary of environmental impacts table VIII-1 on page VIII - 63 of the inal environraental impact statament.
7. On Auguet 16, 1974 , acting Secretary of Intexior John C. Whitakem approved the plan for oil and gas development on 83,000 acres of O.C.S. Iands about 20 miles northwest of Santa Barbara, the subject of the enymomental. inpact statement. The oparations authoriaed. under the Comaisaion lease are apurtenant to thia development plan.

## CALENDAR ITEM NO. 1. (CONTD)

Pursuant to the Secretary for Resources guidelinee implenenting C.E.Q.A. (14 Cal. Adm. Code Section 15063), the State Lands Comission determined that an environmental btatement had been prepared by the federal agency; certified that the environmental statement complied with C.E.Q.A. and the Secretary's guidelines; and authorized the lease to Exxon on the basis of the environmental treatment given the project in the federal environmental impact statpment.

Attachment: Exhibit "A" (Calendar Item 4, December 19, 1974 meeting)

Recommendation No. 5 of the Calendar Item was modified by the State Lands Commission after the hearing of this matter to reau as follows:
"5. AUTHORIZES THE ISSUANCE IO EXXON CORPORATION AND EXXON PIPEXINE ©MMPANY OF CALIFORNIA OF A 15-YEAR INDUSTRIAL IEASE FROM JANUARY 1, 1975 , WITH LESSEES'S OPTION TO RENEW FOR TWO SUCCESSIVE PERIODS OF TER yEars each; in consideration of annual rent in the amount of \$27,023.64, PROVIDED THAT IF THE COMMISSION WITHIN SIX MONTHS OF TEE BFFECTIVE DATE OF THE LEASE AMENDS ITS REGULATIONS TO PROVIDE FOR RENTAL RATES GREATER THAN SIX PERCENT OF APPRAISED VALUE FOR ALI IEASES, TYE INITIAL RENTAL RATE FOR THIS LEASE SHALL BE ADJUSTED TO THE NEW RENTAL RATE NOT TO EXCEED EIGHT PERCENT OF CURRENT APPRAISED Value Computed from wie frfective date of the lease, with the state. RESERVING THE RIGHT TO FIX A DIFFERENT RENTAL ON EACH FIFTH ANNIVERSARY OF THE LEASE; PROVISION OF A $\$ 50,000$ SURETY BOND; PUBLIC LIABILITY INSURANCE IN THE AMOUNTS OF $\$ 500,000 / \$ 1,000,000$ FOR PERSONAL INJURY AND $\$ 5,000,000$ FOR PROPERTY DAMAGE (INCLUDING POLLUTIION IIABIIITTY) AND PARTICIPATION IN CONTRACTUAL ARRANGEMENTS KNOWN AS TOVAIOP AND CRISTAL; FOR THE CONSTRUCTION AND MAINTENANCE OF PIPELINES AND A KARINE TERMLNAI, ON THE LAND DESCRIBED IN EXHIBIT "A" ATTACHED AND BY REFERENCE MADE A PART HEREDF."

## 

CAIENDAR IITEM

2. Immediate notification of the State Office of Enargency Services by telephone of a spill or leak of oil or other liquid pollutante into the watere ofer State land followed by a complete writtea report within 30 daye stating the source, cause, size of spill and action taken.

CONSIDREATTON: $\$ 27,023.64$ per annum, with the State reserving the right to six a different rental on each fifth anniversary of the Lease.

BASIS FOR CONSIDERATION:
$6 \%$ of appraised value of land underlying the terminal and \$0.01 per diameter inch per lineal foot for the land underlying the pipelines.

PREREQUISITIS TERMS:
Applicant is lessee or permittee of upland.
STATUTORY AND OIHER RPFFRENCES:
a. Public Resources Code: Div. 6, Parts 1 and 2.
b. Administrative Code: Title 2, Div. 3, Arts. 1, 2 \& 10; Title 14, Div. 6, Chapter 3.

OTHER PERTINENT INFORMATION:

1. Minute Item 17, dated April 24, 1974, authorized the renewal, amendment and assignment of Lease PRC 2398.. from Shell Oil Company to Exxon Corporation. The iease covered an existing marine oil terminal. Exxon Corporation proposed to use the facility in conjunction with development of the Santa Ynez Unit, Federal Offshore Oil and Gas Leases. Exoxon now proposes to reiocate and replace the existing marine terminal with a fingle point mooring system for the loading of barges and small tankers. The pipelines bringing oil ashore from the federal lands will traverse approximately 21,000 lineal feet of State tide and submerged lands.
2. An environmental impact report covering the Plan of Develcpment of the Santa Ynez Unit was prepared and circulated by the U. S. Geological Survey of the Department of Interior. The draft and finel environmental statements recejved wide circuiation at all levels of government and a public hearing of several days duration was held. The acting Secretary of the Interior approved the. Plan of Development on August 16, 1974. The Secretary for Resources Guide' ines

## CAIENDAR ITTEM: NO. 4. (CONSD)

for implementing CEQA, as amended, autho izes the adoption of a Federal bIS in lieu of a State Environmental Impact Report if such EIS complies with the Secretary's Guidelines (i4 Cal. Adin. Code 15063). Division staif has reviewed the Federai mis and coneiders it to be in compliance with the State Guidelines.
3. Esxon will be required to provide evidence of its participation in the following contractual arrangemente created by and within the oil industry: a) TOVAIOP (Tanker Owners Voluntary Agreement Concerning Liability for Oil Pollution) and b) CRISTAL (Contract Regarding an Interim Supplement to Tanker Liability for Oil Pollution). The basic ajm of these arrangements is to assure that governments and persons who suffer damage from oil pollution caused by tankers are fairly and promptily compense.ted.
rovaiop provides a mechanism for governments to recover removal and cleanup costs up to $\$ 100$ per ressel grose ton or $\$ 10$ million, whichever is less, for a persistent oil spill caused by tanker fault; removal and cleanup costs by the vessel owner are covered up to $\$ 15$ million. CRISTAL, an agreement between oil owners rather than tanker owners, Supplementa TOVALOP by providing coverage for compensation to third parties (including governments) sustaining pollution damage up to an overall total per incident of $\$ 30$ million.
4. Lease PRC 2398.1 which covers a conventional 5-buoy mooring facility and appurtenant pipelines (not now in use) shall be automatically terminated upon completion of construction and comencement of operations under the replacement lease as recommended herein.
5. Division engineering staff has reviewed the technical aspects of the project, in order to assure that the latest (state of the art) techniques and materials are incorporated into the project.

IT IS RECOMMENDED THAT THE COMMISSION:

1. DETERMINE THAT AN ENVIRONMENYAL STATEMENT HAS BEEN PREPARED FOR THIS PROJECT BY THE U. S. GEDLDGICAL SURVEY OF THE DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR and ghat the plan of development was approved by the activg secretary OF THE INTERIOR ON AUGUST 16, 1974.

> CALENDAR ITITM NO. 4. (COMTD)
2. CERTIFY THAT THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THE FEDERAX TMPACR STATEMENT COMPLIES WITH TAE REQULRRMENSS OF THE CALTFORNLA ENVIROMMENAL QUGLITX ACT OF 1970, as AMENDED, AND THE STATE GUIDELTNES AND THAT THE COMASSION MAS PEUTBUED AND CONSIDERED THE INFORMATTON CONTAINGD THMREIN.
 ON THE ENVIRONVENT; HOWEVER, DEVEIOPING THE NATION'S ENERGY RESOURCES IN ORDER TO AID IN AVERIING FUTURE ENERGY CRISES AND THUS PRESERVING tTE NATIONAL WELFARE AND SAFETY REQUIRES APPROVAL OF THIS PROJECT.
4. APPROVE THE TERMINAIION BY MUTUAL AGRRTRMENT WITEE LESSEE OF LEASE PRC 2398.1, EFFTEGTIVE AFTER COMPIETION OF CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZED HEREIN.
5. AUTHORIZE THE ISSUANCE TO EXXON CORPORATION AND EXXON PIPEIINE COSPANY OF CALIFORNIA OF A 15 -YEAR INDUSTRIAL LEASE FROM JANJARY 1, 1975, WITH LESSEE'S OPTION TO RENEW FOR TWO SUCCESSIVE PERIODS OF TEN YEARS EACE; IN CONSIDERATION OF ANNUAL RENT IN TTEE AMOUNT OF \$27,023.64, WITH THE STATE RESERVING THE RIGHT TO FIX A DIFFERENT RENTAL ON EACH FIFTH ANNIVERSARY OF THE LEASE; PROVISION OF A $\$ 50,000$ SURETY BOND; PUBLIC LIABIIITY INSURANCE IN THE AMOUNTS OF $\$ 500,000 / 01,000,000$ FOR PERSONAL INJURY AND $\$ 5,000,000$ FOR PROPERTTY DAMAGE (INCLUDING POUIDITION ITABIIITTY) AND PARTICIPATION IN CONTRACTOAL ARRANGMMENTS KNOWN AS TOVALOP AND CRISTAL; FOR THE CONSTRUCTION AND MATMTENANGE OF PIPELINES AND A MARINE TERMINAL ON the Land described In mxhibit "A" atTached and by rerermece made a PART HEREOF.

Attachment: Dxhibit "A"

## EXHIBIT "A"

Those parcels of land lying in the bed of the Santa Barbara Channel, in the vicinity of Capitan, County of Santa Barbara, State of Calffornia, being wore particularly described as follows:

## PARCEL 1:

A parcel of tide and submerged land 200 feet wide the centeriine being described as follows:
commnerng at State Highway Monument No. 41-36A, as show on
State Highway Right-of-Way Hap V-SE-2-F, SB-101-PM, 34.13 to
44.56 (California Coordinate System Zone 5 Coorainates:
$X=1,383,984$ and $Y=356,965$ ); thence $S 27^{\circ}{ }^{4} 4^{\prime} 381 \mathrm{E}$,
434 feet to the TRUE POINT OF BEGINNING (California Coordinate
System Zone 5 Coordinates: $X=1,384,185$ and $Y=356,581$ );
thence $S 07^{\circ} 09^{\prime \prime} 38^{\prime \prime} E, 2600$ feet to a point herein referred
to as Point A (California Coordinate System Zone 5 Coordinates:
$X=1,384,509$ and $Y=354,001)_{1}$ and the end of the herein-
described centerline.

EXCEPTING THERIFROM that portion lying landward of the ordinary high water mark.

PARGEL 2:
A parcel of submerged land 200 feet wide the centerline being more particularly described as follows:
beginning at Point a as described in Parcel 1 (California Coordinate System Zone 5 Coordinates: $X=1,384,509$ and $Y=354,001$ ); thence S $45^{\circ} 57^{\prime} 13^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 23,142$ feet, more or less, to the boundary of the State of California, as described in the. U. S. Supreme Court Case 382 U. S. 448 , No. 5 original, dated 1966, being the end of the herein described centerline.

EXCEPTING THERHPROM any portion lying within Parcel 1.
PARCEL 3:
A parcel of submerged land 200 feet wide the centerline being more particularly described as follows:

BEGINNING at Point A as described in Parcel I (California Gcordinate System Zone 5 Coordinates: $X=1,384 ; 509$ and $Y=354,001$ ); thence $S 38^{\circ} 00^{\circ} 00^{\prime \prime}$ E, 1200 feet to a point being herein referred to as Point B (California Coordinate Sybtem Zone 5 Coordinates: $x_{0}=1,385,247$ and $I=353,055$ ), being the end of the herein described centerline.


PARCEL 4:
A circular parcel of submerged land having a radius of 800.00 feet, the center of which baing Point $B_{F}$ as described in Parcel 3 (California Coordinate System Zone 5 Coordinates: $X=1,385,247$ and $Y=353,055$ ); EXCEPTENG THERERROM any portion $l y$ ying within Parcel 3.

BND OF DESCRIPTION

