42. staius of profosed dudger, stait lands commission, 1965-66 fiscai year N.O. 5100.

The attached Informative Calendar Item 28 was presented to the Cormission for informaiticil only, no Commission action being required.

Attechruent
Calendar Itelii 28 (7 pages)

INFORMATIVE
28.

STATUS OF EROFOSED BUDGET, STAIE LAMDS CCMMISSION, 2965-66 FISCAL YEAR W.a. 5100.

At its meeting of December 17, 1.964, the State Lands Commission approved the proposed budget for the $1965-66$ fiscal year, in the total amount of $\$ 1,100,06 \%$ (exclusive of adaitional costs for operations under Ch. 138/64, lst E.S.), providing for the establishment of 10 additional positions and for other expenses as indicated in Exhibit " A ".

This was submitted to the Budget Division, Department of Finance, who:

1. Deleted 9 of the 10 requested positions.
2. Tncreased the amount of required selery savings from $\$ 66,621$ to $\$ 75,462$ (all the more diflifcult to achieve without the new positions).
3. Deleted from operating expenses the following line items:
a. Koving expenses (Secramento office and employee moves) $\$ 3,050$
b. Eublic Laid Record Dátia Processing 3,000
c. Microfilining service (of vital land records) 2,000
a. Pee apprajels - land exchange (Navy exchange) 5,000
and changed the amonnts of other items as indicated in Exhibit "A".

Aaded by the Budget Division was:
a. Auditor General Services
$\$ 25,000$
to cover costs incurred by tbr Auditor General under provisions of Ch. 130/64, list E.S.
4. Reduced the Equipment budget from \$23,918 to \$14,559 (mostly by deleting the equigment neaded for the new positions).

With the above changes, the budget was sukmitted to the Legislative Analyst who recomended approvil of all items except the one remaining additional position (oill gauger for the Santa Earbara office).

Both the Assembly subcomittes in Whys and Means (on April 6, 1565) and the Senate subcomaitiee catinati:- (on April 7, 1965) approved of the budget as submitted by the Depa:'tant E. Finance, rejecting the Legislativ: Aialyst's recomuended deletion.

At its meeting of December 17, 1964, the State Lands Commission also approved the proposed budget for tidelands litigation, U.S. vs. California, in total amount of $\$ 314,028$.

This wes submitted to the Budget Divisicn, Department of Finence, who, after discussion With the Attorney General's office, reduced the amount for services from \$270, 043 to $\$ 175,000$. This is shown in Exhibit "B". The Legislative Analyst recomendea approval of this portion of the budget without change, and both the Assembi, and Sencte subcommittees accepted this recomendation.

It its meeting of Jarch 23, 1965, the State Lands Commission approved the budget augnentation required to implement the reorganizotion recomendec by the Department of Finance as well as the management program and stoffing required for the effective discharge of Comission responsibilities in Long Eeach tidelands pursuant to Thapter 29/1956, 1st E.S., ana Chapter 138/2964, 1st F.S. (based on the recomendations of the consultants, $F$ G Golyer and vacNaughton).

The Eegislat* e Analyst recomended approval of this buaget supplement with the following reservetions:

1. The 58 managerial and engineering positions and the 2 legal positions should be subject to continuing revien in the light of work load as it develops, and in the light of avoiding all possible upplications between the taff eff the State Lands Division, the City of Long Eeach, and "THUMS".
2. The 18 auditor positions and 7 accountant posisions should not be adaed until an appropriate program for this staff has been developed, such program to be subject to review and recommendation by the Auaitor General.
3. An appropriate adjustmant for salary sevings should be included that Fould reduce the proposed augmentation by approximately 9350,000 (neerly hall or the tota salary allotment).

Both the Assembly subcommittee and the Senate subcommittee tool this budget supplement under submission.

The details of the budget augmentation are show in Exhibit "C". It should be noted that out of the $\$ 1,159,032$ total augmentation, $\$ 1,117,689$ is reimbursable from Long Beach tideland revenues, and only 41,344 will be required from the General Fund.

Attachments:
Exhibits " $A$ ", "B" and " C "

STATE LANDS DIVISION DEPARTMENT OF FTNAMCE Budget Data 1965-66 Proposed

| Budget | As Approved | Recormenda- |  | Senate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Submitted | by Dept. of | tion by | Assembly | Subcom- |
| by | Finance | Legislative | Subcomit- | mittee |
| Division | (Printed | Analyst | tee Action | Action |

## PERSONAL SERVICES

Authorized Positions 139.8 虫1,267,619 NC NC NC N NC
Proposed New Positions:

| Asst, Disvision Chief | 1. | 12,696 | -0- | IN | 10 | INC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Associate Counsels | 2. | 24, 172 | $\cdots$ | NC | 1 NC | N0 |
| Inter. File Clerk | 1. | 4,236 | -0- | MC | NC | NC |
| Inter. Stenographer | 1. | 4,560 | -0- | ISC | IIC | NC |
| General Auditor II | 2. | 7,800 | -0- | NO | IIC | NC |
| Oil Gaugers | 2. | 20,940 | 6,120 | -0- | 6,120 | INC |
| Assoc. Tithe officer | 1. | 7,800 | -0- | NC | NO | NO |
| Inter. Stenographer | 1. | 4,560 | -0- | NC. | HC | JMC |
|  |  | 78,084 | 6,320 | $\underline{-}$ | 6, 120 | NIC |
| Totals, Salaries and Wages | 49.8 | \$1,245,703 | 173,739 | 67,6 | 173,739 | NC |

Estim. Salary Savings

| -4.0 | $-66,621$ | $-75,462$ | NC | INC |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

$145.8 \$ 1,179,082 \$ 1,098,277 \$ 1,092,157 \quad \$ 1,098,277 \quad$ IKC

|  | Staris | - Aits | 106,117 | 96,968 |  | , |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |



Operating Expenses


| Budget | As Approved | Recomenda- |  | Senate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Submitted | by Lept. of | tion by | Assemby | Subcom- |
| by | Finazue | Legislative | Subcoumit- | mittee |
| Divisim | (printed) | Analyst | tee Action | Action |
| 32,112 | 1 T | NC | WC | ITC |
| $4,4,000$ | 3,800 | IVC | MC | NTC |


| Electronic Data Processing Costs | 27,000 | me | INO | Nic | MC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Specialized Training Programs | 1.35\% | 412 | NO | NO | NC |
| Moving Expenses | 3,050 | -0. | ITC | NC | INC |
| Public Iand Record Data Processing | $3 \times 000$ | -0- | NO | INC | NC |
| Microfi : 6 Service | 2,000 | -0- | ITC | NC | WC |
| Research and Investigation | 10,000 | 4,500 | HC | IVC | 10 |
| Renovation Lañ plats | 8,000 | re | NO | WC | W |
| Painting Huncington Beach orfice | 2,000 | ro | HC | VC | NC |
| Fee fonaisals - Ind exchunge | 5,000 | -0- | NC | INC | H0 |
| Auditior General Services | -0- | 25,000 | INC | HC | INC |
| Otal Oparating Expenses | \$ 224,240 | \$ 228,023 | NO | NC | NC |
| Equipment | 23,918 | 14,559 | WC | 1 C | no |

## RETMDURGMEXIS

| Long Eeach Administration | $-\$ 336,135$ | -\$386,604 | NO | NC | HC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Land Appraisals, Surveying Services | - 23,000 | - 16,000 | NC | NC | NC |
| Geophys. Inspection Serv. | - 33.429 | HC | NO | WC | NC |
| Land Soles Appraisals | - 37.543 | - 33,000 | ${ }^{3} \mathrm{C}$ | NC | ne |
| Constating \& Admn. Sery.cr | REC-3,183 | IVC | W | ne | WC |
| Total Reimbursements | -\$433,290 | -\$472,216 | no | 10 | W |
| Cotal - Administration | \$1, 100,067 | \$965,611 | \$ 959,491 | \$965,611 | NVC |
| Mote: NC indicates no change from previcus colum. |  |  |  |  |  |







[^0]
[^0]:    US indicates that the items have been taken under submission.

