MINUTE ITEM

14. CITY OF LONG BEACH PROJECTS.

-A 44 & 70

8.38

In presenting Calendar Items 18, 26, 19, and 20 attached, the Executive Officer reported that the items had been reviewed with the office of the Attorney General as to their legal sufficiency and that written informal opinions had been received. All items require prior approval in accordance with law. The amounts reported are estimated costs proposed to be expended for the specific projects. All costs to be allowed ultimately as actual subsidence costs will result from detailed final engineering review and audit subsequent to actual completion of the projects.

Deputy City Attorney Harold A. Lingle appeared to stress the monetary importance of the Town Lot project (see "D" below) and stated that the City of Long Beach wanted it understood that inasmuch as the amounts reported are estimates the City is not assenting to a fixed determination at this time and that negotiation will be involved in any final amount determination.

(A) REQUEST FOR EXTENSION OF TIME OF EXPENDITURE OF TIDELAND OIL REVENUES BY THE CITY OF LONG BEACH FOR BEACH MAINTENANCE COSTS, 1959-60 FISCAL YEAR - L.B.W.O. 10,098. (See Calendar Item 18 attached.)

UPON MOTION DULY MADE, SECONDED, AND CARRIED, THE FOLLOWING RESOLUTION WAS ADOPTED:

THE COMMISSION EXTENDS TO OCTOBER 31, 1960 THE TIME WITHIN WHICH THE AMOUNT OF \$542,209.42 (PREVIOUSLY APPROVED FOR MAINTENANCE AND OPERATION OF TIDELAND BEACHES DURING THE 1959-60 FISCAL YEAR) WILL BE AVAILABLE, SO THAT THE CITY OF LONG BEACH CAN COMPUTE COSTS FOR THE 1959-60 FISCAL YEAR AND MAKE THE NECESSARY ENTRIES AND TRANSFERS ON ITS BOOKS; THE EXECUTIVE OFFICER, THE ASSISTANT EXECUTIVE OFFICER, OR THE SUPERVISING MINERAL RESOURCES ENGINEER ARE AUTHORIZED TO EXECUTE APPROPRIATE WRITTEN INSTRU-MENTS REFLECTING THE COMMISSION'S APPROVAL.

(B) APPLICATION OF THE CITY OF LONG BEACH TO USE ITS TIDELAND REVENUES FOR MAINTENANCE AND OPERATION OF TIDELAND BEACHES DURING 1960-61 FISCAL YEAF - L B.W O. 10,134. (See Calendar Item 26 attached.)

UPON MOTION DULY MADE, SECONDED, AND CARRIED, THE FOLLOWING RESOLUTION WAS ALCOPTED:

THE COMMISSION GIVES PRIOR APPROVAL TO THE COSTS DISBURSED BY THE CITY OF LONG BEACH FOR OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE OF TIDELAND BEACH AREAS IN THE 1960-61 FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1961 FROM THE CITY'S SHARE OF TIDELAND OIL REVENUES, WITH A TIME LIMITATION OF OCTOBER 31, 1961 FOR DRAWING FROM THE TIDELAND OIL FUND ACCOUNT TO REIMBURSE CITY DEPARTMENTAL ACCOUNTS FOR SAID DISBURSEMENTS IN THE TOTAL AGGREGATE OF \$590,000 AS SET FORTH IN ATTACHED EXHIBIT "A" INCORPORATED HEREIN, SUBJECT TO THE CONDITION THAT THE AMOUNTS, IF ANY, TO BE ALLOWED AS EXPENDITURES FROM TIDELAND TRUST FUNDS, WILL BE DETERMINED BY THE COMMISSION UPON ENGINEERING REVIEW AND FINAL AUDIT SUBSEQUENT TO COMPLETION OF SUCH WORK DURING THE 1960-61 FISCAL

YEAR; THE EXECUTIVE OFFICER, THE ASSISTANT EXECUTIVE OFFICER, OR THE SUPERVISING MINERAL RESOURCES ENCINEER ARE AUTHORIZED TO EXECUTE APPROPRIATE WRITTEN INSTRUMENTS REFLECTING THE COMMISSION'S CONDITIONAL APPROVAL.

(C) CONSIDERATION OF SUBSIDENCE COSTS PROJECTS, LONG BEACH - L.B.W.O. 10,115, L.B.W.O. 10,116, L.B.W.O. 10,121, L.B.W.O. 10,124 AND L.B.W.O. 10,127. (See Calendar Item 19 attached.)

UPON MOTION DULY MADE, SECONDED, AND CARRIED, THE FOLLOWING RESOLUTION WAS ADOPTED:

THE COMMISSION APPROVES SUCH COSTS PROPOSED TO BE EXPENDED BY THE CITY OF LONG BEACH, INCLUDING SUBSIDENCE REMEDIAL WORK, AS INDICATED ON EXHIBIT "A" ATTACHED AND HEREBY MADE A PART HEREOF, FOR THE PERIOD JULY 1, 1960 TO TERMINATION DATE; SUBJECT TO THE CONDITIONS, HOWEVER, THAT THE AMOUNTS, IF ANY, OF EACH OF THE ITEMS TO BE ALLOWED ULTIMATELY AS SUBSIDENCE COSTS, DEDUCTIBLE UNDER SECTION 5(a) OF CHAPTER 29, STATUTES OF 1956, IST E.S., WILL BE DETERMINED BY THE COMMISSION UPON AN ENGINEERING REVIEW AND FINAL AUDIT SUBSEQUENT TO THE TIME WHEN THE WORK UNDER ANY OF THESE ITEMS IS COMPLETED; THAT THE WORK CONFORM IN ESSENTIAL DETAILS TO THE PLANS AND BACKGROUND MATERIAL HERETOFORE SUBMITTED TO THE COMMISSION; THE EXECUTIVE OFFICER, THE ASSISTANT EXECUTIVE OFFICER, OR THE SUPERVISING MINERAL RESOURCES ENGINEER ARE AUTHORIZED TO EXECUTE APPROPRIATE WRITTEN INSTRUMENTS REFLECTING THE COMMISSION'S CONDITIONAL APPROVAL.

(D) CONSIDERATION OF SUBSIDENCE COSTS PROJECT, LONG BEACH - L.B.W.O. 10,128 (TOWN LOT). (See Calendar Item 20 attached.)

UPON MOTION DULY MADE, SECONDED, AND CARRIED, THE FOLLOWING RESOLUTION WAS

THE COMMISSION APPROVES SUCH COSTS PROPOSED TO BE EXPENDED BY THE CITY OF LONG BEACH, INCLUDING SUBSIDENCE REMEDIAL WORK, AS INDICATED ON EXHIBIT "A" ATTACHED AND HEREBY MADE A PART HEREOF, FOR THE PERIOD JULY 1, 1960 TO TERMINATION DATE; SUBJECT TO THE CONDITIONS, HOWEVER, THAT THE AMOUNTS, IF ANY, OF EACH OF THE ITEMS TO BE ALLOWED ULTIMATELY AS JUSSIDENCE COSTS, DEDUCTIBLE UNDER SECTION 5(a) OF CHAPTER 29, STATUTES OF 1956, 1ST E.S., WILL BE DETERMINED BY THE COMMISSION UPON AN ENGINEERING REVIEW AND FINAL AUDIT SUBSEQUENT TO THE TIME WHEN THE WORK UNDER ANY OF THESE ITEMS IS COMPLETED; THAT THE WORK CONFORA IN ESSENTIAL DETAILS TO THE PLANS AND BACKGROUND MATERIAL HERETOFORE SUBMITTED TO THE COMMISSION; THE EXECUTIVE OFFICER, THE ASSISTANT EXECUTIVE OFFICER, OR THE SUPERVISING MINERAL RESOURCES ENGINEER ARE AUTHORIZED TO EXECUTE APPROPRIATE WRITTEN INSTRUMENTS REFLECTING THE COMMISSION'S CONDITIONAL APPROVAL.

Attachment

Callendar	Item	18	(1 page)
			(3 pages)
			(6 pages)
Calendar	Item	20	(5 pages)

18.

REQUEST FOR EXTENSION OF TIME ON APPROVAL OF EXPENDITURE OF TIDELAND OIL REVENUES BY THE CITY OF LONG BEACH FOR BEACH MAINTENANCE COSTS, 1959-1960 FISCAL YEAR - L.B.W.O. 10,098.

On June 25, 1959 (Minute Item 35, pages 5005-06), the Commission approved the costs proposed to be expended by the City of Long Beach from its share of tideland revenues for maintenance and operation of tideland beaches during the 1959-1960 fiscal year in an amount not to exceed \$542,209.42.

The City has requested that an extension of time be granted until October 31, 1960, so that the actual costs of the various departments furnishing services in connection with beach maintenance can be ascertained and the necessary accounting entries and transfers of funds can be made on the books of the City. The City is not seeking an extension of time to perform work on the beaches, only an extension of time to accumulate cost data and make the necessary accounting entries and transfers.

IT IS RECOMMENDED THAT THE COMMISSION EXTEND TO OCTOBER 31, 1960 THE TIME WITHIN WHICH THE AMOUNT OF \$542,209.42 (PREVIOUSLY APPROVED FOR MAINTENANCE AND OPERATION OF TIDELAND BEACHES DURING THE 1959-1960 FISCAL YEAR) WILL BE AVAILABLE, SO THAT THE CITY OF LONG BEACH CAN COMPUTE COSTS FOR THE 1959-1960 FISCAL YEAR AND MAKE THE NECESSARY ENTRIES AND TRANSFERS ON ITS BOOKS; IT IS FURTHER RECOMMENDED THAT THE EXECUTIVE OFFICER, THE ASSISTANT EXECUTIVE OFFICER, OR THE SUPERVISING MINERAL RESOURCES ENGINEER BE AUTHORIZED TO EXECUTE APPROPRIATE WRITTEN INSTRUMENTS REFLECTING THE COMMISSION'S APPROVAL.

& 70

26.

APPLICATION OF THE CITY OF LONG BEACH TO USE ITS TIDELAND REVENUES FOR MAINTENANCE AND OPERATION OF TIDELAND BEACHES DURING 1960-61 FISCAL YEAR -L.B.W.O. 10,134.

On May 5, 1960, the City of Long Beach, through the office of its City Attorney, submitted a request to the State Lands Commission for approval of the expenditure of a portion of the City's share of tideland revenues for maintenance and operation of tideland beaches during the 1960-61 fiscal year. The amount of proposed expenditures for which approval is requested is \$593,000, which includes work by:

- 1. Public Service Department for Beach Cleaning and Maintenance, Maintenance of Beach Comfort Stations, Maintenance of Lifeguard Stations and Miscellaneous Tideland Maintenance Costs consisting of Maintenance of Sever Pump Stations, Piers and Structures, Beach Parking Lots, Beach Recreation Areas, Beach Park Areas, and Miscellaneous Beach Facilities. \$175,000
- 2. Park Department for Maintenance of Landscaped Beach Park Areas 52,500
- Central Services Départment for Maintenance and Operation of Beach Comfort Stations 77,500
- 4. Planning Department for Master Plan for Shoreline Development 3,000
- 5. Engineering Department for Engineering Services and Minor Construction Projects
- 6. Marine Department for Lifeguard Service and Departmental Administration

Total \$593,000

10,000

In Formal Opinion No. 59/125, dated June 17, 1959, the office of the Attorney General advised the State Lands Commission as follows: "The City of Long Beach may properly use its tidelands oil income to maintain and operate public beaches on its granted tidelands. A public beach is a public park and the use of the Long Beach granted tidelands for purposes of a public park thereon is a proper trust use and purpose and a matter of State-wide interest. However, tidelands trust income may be used by the City to finance maintenance and operation only of public beaches on tidelands. General municipal funds may be utilized by the City to maintain and operate that part of the public beaches located on uplands or lands municipally owned by the City free of the tidelands trust."

In the above-referred opinion, the office of the Attorney General stated that, "Nothing contained in this opinion shall be taken as any expression of opinion as to the propriety or lack of propriety of using tideland monies for 'Maintenance of Parking Lots, Other Structures, Boat Landing Ramp and Other Miscellaneous Beach Facilities' since no data as to the nature or location of

- 7 -

7

A 44 & 70

S 38

CALENDAR ITEM 26. (CONTD.)

these items has been furnished by the City". On January 12, 1960, the office of the City Attorney of the City of Long Beach set forth the nature and location of these items. In informal discussions with the office of the Attorney General, the staff has been advised that such costs may be considered a proper trust purpose where it can be shown that they are a special charge related to maintenance of tideland beaches and are not a general municipal service. Applying these principles, it has been concluded that those items designated as Miscellaneous Tideland Maintenance Costs, including maintenance of sewer pump stations, piers and structures, beach parking lots, beach recreational areas, beach park areas and miscellaneous beach facilities, totalling \$32,500, are a proper charge.

The request by the City of Long Beach included an item of \$3,000 for Master Planning for Shoreline Development. This item is not related to maintenance and operation of tideland beaches, and the City of Long Beach has agreed to make a separate request for prior approval of this item.

Since disbursements for the beach services are made directly from City departmental accounts, with the charges later transferred to the Tideland Oil Fund Account, the City has requested an extension of time to accomplish such transfers as they are related to the 1959-60 fiscal year costs. To obviate the necessity for the City to request such extension for the 1960-61 fiscal year, the staff recommends approval conditioned upon the disbursements being made prior to July 1, 1961, and the account transfers relating to the Tideland Fund being accomplished by October 31, 1961.

IT IS RECOMMENDED THAT THE COMMISSION GIVE PRIOR APPROVAL TO THE COSTS DISBURSED BY THE CITY OF LONG BEACH FOR OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE OF TIDELAND BEACH AREAS IN THE 1960-61 FISCAL YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1961 FROM THE CITY'S SHARE OF TIDELAND OIL REVENUES, WITH A TIME LIMITATION OF OCTOBER 31, 1961 FOR DRAWING FROM THE TIDELAND OIL FUND ACCOUNT TO REIMBURSE CITY DEPARTMENTAL ACCOUNTS FOR SAID DISBURSEMENTS IN THE TOTAL AGGREGATE OF \$590,000 AS SET FORTH IN ATTACHED EXHIBIT "A" INCORPORATED HEREIN, SUBJECT TO THE CONDITION THAT THE AMOUNTS, IF ANY, TO BE ALLOWED AS EXPENDITURES FROM TIDELAND TRUST FUNDS, WILL BE DETERMINED BY THE COMMISSION UPON ENGINEERING REVIEW AND FINAL AUDIT SUBSEQUENT TO COMPLETION OF SUCH WORK DURING THE 1960-61 FISCAL YEAR.

-2-

5073

Attachment Exhibit "A"

EXHIBIT "A"

APPLICATION FOR APPROVAL TO EXPEND TIDELAND OIL REVENUES ON MAINTENANCE AND OPERATION OF TIDELAND BEACH AREAS

City of Long Beach, California Fiscal Year 1960-61

PUBLIC SERVICE DEPARTMENTBeach Cleaning and MaintenanceMaintenance of Beach Comfort StationsMaintenance of Lifeguard StationsMiscellaneous Tideland Maintenance CostsMaintenance of Sewer Pump StationsMaintenance of Piers & StructuresMaintenance of Beach Parking LotsMaintenance of Beach Recreation AreasMaintenance of Beach Park AreasMaintenance of Miscellaneous Beach	00 00 00 00
Facilities 5,3 Total TOTAL - PUBLIC SERVICE DEPARTMENT	<u>32,500</u> \$ 175,000
PARK DEPARTMENT Maintenance of Beach Landscaped Park Areas	52,500
<u>CENTRAL SERVICES DEPARTMENT</u> Maintenance and Operation of Beach Comfort Stati	ons 77,500
ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT Engineering Services and Minor Construction Proj	ects 10,000
MARINE DEPARTMENT Lifeguard Service and Departmental Administratio	n <u>275,000</u>
GRAND TOTAL	\$ 590,000

-3-

------ W

the second of the

6074

1.2

2000

19.

CONSIDERATION OF SUBSIDENCE COSTS PROJECTS, LONG BEACH - L.B.W.O. 10,115, L.B.W.O. 10,116; L.B.W.O. 10,121, L.B.W.O. 10,124 and L.B.W.O. 10,127.

On March 24, 1960 (Minute Item 28, pages 5813-14), the Commission adopted a policy of approving requests for prior approval of costs of construction projects containing an element of subsidence, for a period extending to the termination date of the project.

Requests for prior approval of subsidence costs have been submitted by the Harbor Department for the Pier "A" area project (L.B.W.O. 10,115); Pier "B" area project (L.B.W.O. 10,116); Pier "G" area project (L.B.W.O. 10,121); Back Area - "Piers A to D" area project (L.B.W.O. 10,124); and the Roads and Streets project (L.B.W.O. 10,127); all further detailed on attached Exhibit "A".

The projects have received initial staff review and are considered to include some "subsidence costs" as defined in Section 1(1) of Chapter 29, Statutes of 1956, 1st E.S., but not necessarily all of those costs as estimated by the City of Long Beach.

IT IS RECOMMENDED THAT THE COMMISSION APPROVE SUCH COSTS IROPOSED TO BE EXPENDED BY THE CITY OF LONG BEACH, INCLUDING SUBSIDENCE REMEDIAL WORK, AS INDICATED ON EXHIBIT "A" ATTACHED AND HEREBY MADE A PART HEREOF, FOR THE PERIOD JULY 1, 1960 TO TERMINATION DATE; SUBJECT TO THE CONDITIONS, HOWEVER, THAT THE AMOUNTS, IF ANY, OF EACH OF THE ITEMS TO BE ALLOWED ULTIMATELY AS SUBSIDENCE COSTS, DEDUCTIBLE UNDER SECTION 5(a) OF CHAPTER 29, STATUTES OF 1956, 1ST E.S., WILL BE DETERMINED BY THE COMMISSION UPON AN ENGINEERING REVIEW AND FINAL AUDIT SUBSEQUENT TO THE TIME WHEN THE WORK UNDER ANY OF THESE ITEMS IS COMPLETED; THAT THE WORK CONFORM IN ESSENTIAL DETAILS TO THE PLANS AND BACKGROUND MATERIAL HERETOFORE SUBMITTED TO THE COMMISSION; AND THAT THE EXECUTIVE OFFICER, THE ASSISTANT EXECUTIVE OFFICER, OR THE SUPERVISING MINERAL RESCURCES ENGINEER BE AUTHORIZED TO EXECUTE APPROPRIATE WRITTEN INSTRUMENTS REFLECTING THE COMMISSION'S CONDITIONAL APPROVAL.

-1-

6075

۰*. بر*۰

Attachment Exhibit "A"

A 44 & 70 S 38

EXHIBIT "A"

LONG BEACH WORK ORDER NO. 10,115 - PROJECT AND ESTIMATE SUBMITTED BY THE PORT OF LONG BEACH, PIER A.

ITEM	AFE	WORK PROPOSED	LBHD ESTIMATED SUBPROJECT EXPENDITURE JULY 1, 1960 TO TERMINATION.	ESTIMATED SUBSIDENCE COSTS
l	37	Berths 1 & 2, Transit Shed & Back Area Raising (2nd Phase)	\$ 926,500	\$ 806,060 (87%)
2	38	Berths 1 & 2, Wharf Raising (2nd Phase)	245,300	159,450 (65%)
3	-292	Berths 208-211 Wharf (2nd Phase)	699,200	20,980 (3%)
4	338	Berths 3 & 4, Transit Shed, Wharf, & Back Area Raising (2nd Phase)	3,262,000	2,576,980 (79%)
5	344	Berth 5, Transit Shed, Wharf & Back Area Raising (1st Phase)	142,500	142,500 (100%)
6	370	Pier "A" East, Filling Miscel- laneous Areas (2nd Phase)	192,500	192,500 (100%)
7	398	Pier "A" East, Fire Station (1st Phase)	50,200	10,540 (21%)
8	404	Diversion Dike (1st Phase)	250,000	50,000 (20%)
9	41,0	Warehouse No. 2 and Adjacent Area, Raising & Rehabilitating (1st Phuse)	70,000 \$5,838,200	70,000 (100%) \$4,029,010

Note:

- 1. The term "1st Phase" consists of costs of preliminary work expended subsequent to review of preliminary plans and/or other adequate description. (Typical "1st Phase" costs are engineering design, contract administration and advertising, preparation of site by Harbor Department forces.) For work within the same approved time period, any excess of "1st Phase" approved costs over actual expenditures may be added to "2nd Phase" approvals after date of "2nd Phase" approvals.
- 2. The term "2nd Phase" consists of additional costs approved subsequent to review of detail construction plans and/or other data sufficient to guide construction operations.

6077

EXHIBIT "A"

LONG BEACH WORK ORDER NO: 10,116 - Project and Estimates Submitted by the Port of Long Beach, PIER "B".

ITEM	AFE	WORK PROPOSED	IBHD ESTIMATED SUPPROJECT EXPENDITURE JULY 1, 1960 TO TERMINATION	ESTIMATED SUBSIDENCE COSTS
1	322	Pier B Redevelopment (2nd Phase)	\$5,000	\$600 (12%)

Note:

- 1. The term "lst Phase" consists of costs of preliminary work expended subsequent to review of preliminary and/or other adequate description. (Typical "lst Phase" costs are engineering design, contract administration and advertising, preparation of site by Harbor Department forces.) For work within the same approved time period, any excess of "lst Phase" approved costs over actual expenditures may be added to "2nd Phase" approvals after date of "2nd Phase" approvals.
- 2. The term "2nd Phase" consists of additional costs approved subsequent to review of detail construction plans and/or other data sufficient to guide construction operations.

-3-

6078

EXHIBIT "A"

LONG BEACH WORK ORDER NO. 10,121 - Project and Estimate Submitted by the Port of Long Beach, PIER "G".

ITEM	AFE	WORK PROPOSED	LBHD ESTIMATED SUBPROJECT EXPENDITURE JULY 1, 1960 TO TERMINATION	ESTIMATED SUBSIDENCE COSTS
ļ	291	Rockwork & Dredging Fill (2nd Phase)	\$3,423,300	\$342,330 (10%)
2	*	Berths 212-251 Wharf (lst Phase)	200,000	20,000 (10%)
			\$3,623,300	\$362,330

* AFE to be assigned.

Note:

- 1. The term "1st Phase" consists of costs of preliminary work expended subsequent to review of preliminary plans and/or other adequate description. (Typical "1st Phase" costs are engineering design, contract administration and advertising, preparation of site by Harbor Department forces.) For work within the same approved time period, any excess of "1st Phase" approved costs over actual expenditures may be added to "2nd Phase" approvals after date of "2nd Phase" approvals.
- 2. The term "2nd Phase" consists of additional costs approved subsequent to review of detail construction plans and/or other data sufficient to guide construction operations.

6079

EXHIBIT "A"

LONG BEACH WORK ORDER NO. 10,124 - Project and Estimate Submitted by the Port of Long Beach, BACK AREAS, PIERS A TO D.

ITEM	AFE	WORK PROPOSED	LBHD ESTIMATED SUBPROJECT EXPENDITURE JULY 1, 1960 TO TERMINATION	ESTIMATED SUBSIDENCE COSTS
l	405	Move Building No. 157 to Pier B (2nd Phase)	\$8,000	\$1,520 (19%)

Note:

- 1. The term "1st Phase" consists of costs of preliminary work expended subsequent to review of preliminary plans and/or other adequate description. (Typical "1st Phase" costs are engineering design, contract administration and advertising, preparation of site by Harbor Department forces.) For work within the same approved time period, any excess of "1st Phase" approved costs over actual expenditures may be added to "2nd Phase" approvals after date of "2nd Phase" approvals.
- 2. The term "2nd Phase" consists of additional costs approved subsequent to review of detail construction plans and/or other data sufficient to guide construction operations.

-5-

6080

EXHIBIT "A"

LONG BEACH WORK ORDER NO. 10,127 - Project and Estimate Submitted by the Port of Long Beach, <u>ROADS & STREETS</u>.

ITEM	AFE		IBHD ESTIMATED SUBPROJECT EXPENDITURE JULY 1, 1960 TO TERMINATION	ESTIMATED SUBSIDENCE COSTS
1	200	Pico Avenue Serviće Road and Railroad (a) "1st Phase" costs (b) Property Purchase (2nd Phase (c) Earth Fill (2nd Phase) (d) Railroad - Pico Ave. Track Temporary Lead to Pier "A" Yard (2nd Phase)	\$ 44%,000 1,129,800 1,200,000 68,500	\$120,690 (27%) * 324,000 (27%) 18,495 (27%)
Ź	346	Mitchell Avenue Roadway and Railroad (2nd Phase)	129,700	129,700 (100%)
3	387	Heim Bridge Subsidence Remedial (1st Phase)	43,400	43,400 (100%)
. <u>4</u> .	336	Ocean Boulevard Approach to the Pontoon Bridge (2nd Phase)	2,200 \$3,020,600	2,200 (100%) \$638,485

* Subject to later determination by the State Lands Commission

Note:

1. The term "1st Phase" consists of costs of preliminary work expended subsequent to review of preliminary plans and/or other adequate description. (Typical "1st Phase" costs are engineering design, contract administration and advertising, preparation of site by Harbor Department forces.) For work within the same approved time period, any excess of "1st Phase" approved costs over actual expenditures may be added to "2nd Phase" approvals after date of "2nd Phase" approvals.

2. The term "2nd Phase" consists of additional costs approved subsequent to review of detail construction plans and/or other data sufficient to guide construction operations.

20.

CONSIDERATION OF SUBSIDENCE COSTS PROJECT, LONG BEACH - L.B.W.O. 10,128.

The City of Long Beach through the Port of Long Beach has presented for consideration and conditional approval costs to be disbursed subsequent to June 30, 1960, for work to be done on the Town Lot area project.

Since September 1957, the Commission has approved costs for expenditures by the Long Beach Harbor Department for Property Purchase, Areal Fill, Building Removal and Demolition, Utility Work, Approach to the Pontoon Bridge, Oil Facility Relocation and Raising, Force Account and other items of less magnitude in the Town Lot area project with provisions that no estimate shall presently be made of the amount of subsidence deduction, and that no deductions be made by the City of Long Beach until Commission approval has been had.

Information necessary for staff review and for processing by the staff had been requested from the Harbor Department on June 3, 1957, and was received from them in preliminary report form in May 1958 and in revised form in October 1958.

At the meeting of the Commission on March 25, 1959, the Chairman directed that the opinion of the Attorney General be requested as to the establishment of the principles of cost deductions by the City of Long Beach and for State participation in any enhanced values of properties acquired by the City with the aid of subsidence deductions.

The opinion of the Attorney General's office, dated November 19, 1959, is summarized in two parts:

- "1. The basic principle applicable to the determination of subsidence costs available to the City in connection with land acquisitions necessitated by subsidence is that such costs are limited to the amounts by which the costs of acquiring, filling, and otherwise rehabilitating the private lands exceed their ultimate value for purposes unrelated to subsidence.
- "2. Where lands are acquired by the City through the expenditure of express trust moneys with the aid of subsidence deductions, said lands are trust assets of which the State has beneficial title; however, the State does not participate in the ownership, revenues, or enhanced values of said lands except in its capacity as beneficiary of said trust."

Information from the above-referred Harbor Department report dated October 1958 has been used by the staff, guided by the basic principles as set forth by the Attorney General, to derive an estimated subsidence cost in the total Town Lot project. Such percentage is set forth on attached Exhibit "A" and is intended for use against all Town Lot subprojects until such time as the work is completed and a final engineering review and audit can be accomplished, at which time any necessary adjustments will be made.

-14

A 44 & 70. S 38

CALENDAR ITEM 20. (CONTD.)

The projects have received initial staff review and are considered to include some "subsidence costs" as defined in Section 1(f) of Chapter 29, Statutes of 1956, 1st E.S., but not necessarily all of those costs as estimated by the City of Long Beach.

IT IS RECOMMENDED THAT THE COMMISSION APPROVE SUCH COSTS PROPOSED TO BE EXPENDED BY THE CITY OF LONG BEACH, INCLUDING SUBSIDENCE REMEDIAL WORK, AS INDICATED ON EXHIBIT "A" ATTACHED AND HEREBY MADE A PART HEREOF, FOR THE PERIOD JULY 1, 1960 TO TERMINATION DATE; SUBJECT TO THE CONDITIONS, HOWEVER, THAT THE AMOUNTS, IF ANY, OF EACH OF THE ITEMS TO BE ALLOWED ULTIMATELY AS SUBSIDENCE COSTS, DEDUCTIBLE UNDER SECTION 5(a) OF CHAPTER 29, STATUTES OF 1956, 1ST E.S., WILL BE DETERMINED BY THE COMMISSION UPON AN ENGINEERING REVIEW AND FINAL AUDIT SUBSEQUENT TO THE TIME WHEN THE WORK UNDER ANY OF THESE ITEMS IS COMPLETED; THAT THE WORK CONFORM IN ESSENTIAL DETAILS TO THE PLANS AND BACKGROUND MATERIAL HERETOFORE SUBMITTED TO THE COMMISSION; AND THAT THE EXECUTIVE OFFICER, THE ASSISTANT EXECUTIVE OFFICER, OR THE SUPERVISING MINERAL RESOURCES ENGINEER BE AUTHORIZED TO EXECUTE APPROPRIATE WRITTEN INSTRUMENTS REFLECTING THE COMMISSION'S CONDITIONAL APPROVAL.

-2-

6082

Attachment Exhibit "A"

EXHIBIT "A"

LONG WEACH WORK ORDER NO. 10,128 - Project and Estimates Submitted by the Port of Long Beach -- TOWN LOT.

ITEM

ļ

<u>AFE</u> 310	WORK PRO Property (2nd Pha	Purchase	- Area	2. 7		SUI EXI JUI	D PROJECT PENDITURES Y 1, 1960 TO MINATION	ESTIMATED SUBSIDENCE COSTS
	Lot	Block	Tract					
	19 4 9 10	7 8	. ,	Bay No. Bay No.		\$	4,000 1,700	\$ 2,520 (63%) 1,071 (63%)
	9,10, 13,14 11-16 17-23 27-31 3-5 8,9 16,17 20,21 21-26,	8 10 10 10 13 13 13 13	Plat I Plat I Plat I Plat I Plat I Plat I	No. 2, No. 2, No. 2, No. 2, No. 2,	Seaside Seaside Seaside Seaside Seaside Seaside Seaside Seaside	· .	7,000 17,000 22,000 13,200 6,320 4,340 4,350 5,500	4,410 (63%) 10,710 (63%) 13,860 (63%) 8,316 (63%) 3,982 (63%) 2,754 (63%) 2,741 (63%) 3,465 (63%)
	32-35 11-13 1-7,	A		1960 5070			17,500 4,100	11,025 (63%) 2,583 (63%)
	26 12-21 6,7 10 5,6 12-15 6 59-61 20,36-41 43-49, 52-58,73		Plat M Plat M Plat M Plat M Plat M	No. 2, No. 2, No. 2,	Seaside Seaside Seaside Seaside Seaside	-	10,200 18,400 6,500 3,000 6,000 11,000 2,580 9,000	6,426 (63%) 11,592 (63%) 4,095 (63%) 1,890 (63%) 3,780 (63%) 6,930 (63%) 1,625 (63%) 5,670 (63%)
	2-1/2 A of Trac	cre Trian,	-	Strip I 1960	North.	3	.36,450 38,160	85,964 (63%) 24,041 (63%)

-3-

6084

.?.

EXHIBIT "A"

1. 316 Property Purchase - Area 4 (cont.) (2nd Phase) Parcel Lots Block $\overline{233}$ $\overline{E.80}$ rf. 3 $\overline{Plat 2}$, Seaside \$ 3,000 \$ 1,890 (63%) of 35 39 1-6 12 Replat Back Bay 24,600 15,498 (63%) 36 25 13 Replat Back $4,750$ 2,835 (63%) 36 25 13 Replat Back $4,750$ 2,980 (63%) 36 25 13 Replat Back $4,750$ 2,980 (63%) 148 1-7, 5 Plat No. 2 45,900 28,917 (63%) 148 1-7, 5 Plat No. 2 45,900 1,890 (63%) 148 1-7, 5 Plat No. 2 45,900 1,890 (63%) 163 8 6 Plat No. 2, 3,000 1,890 (63%) 21,22 No. 2, Seaside 170 18,20, 6 Replat Plat 16,250 10,238 (63%) 121,22 No. 2, Seaside 174 28,29 6 Plat No. 2 8,500 5,355 (63%) 16,17818 Seaside 253 29,30 9 Plat No. 2, 6,000 3,780 (63%) 121 S.150 ft. 3 Plat No. 1, 6,000 3,780 (63%) 121 S.150 ft. 3 Plat No. 1, 6,000 3,780 (63%) 121 S.150 ft. 3 Plat No. 1, 6,000 3,780 (63%) 312 Fill (2nd Phase) 3. 312 Fill (2nd Phase) 3. 312 Fill (2nd Phase) 3. 312 Fublic Utilities, Area 7 (1st Phase) 50,000 31,500 (63%) 319 Public Utilities, Area 4 (1st Phase) 50,000 31,500 (63%)	<u>.71</u>	<u>'EM</u>	*****		ROPOSED			SUBP EXPE JULY	MATED ROJECT ENDITURES 1, 1960 TO TNATION	St	STIMATED JBSIDENCH STS	}
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$						ase - Are	a 4					
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				the second se	E.80 f	a second s		\$	3,000	\$	1,890	(63%)
55 4 10 Jack Bay No. 1 4,500 2,835 (63%) 36 25 13 Replat Back 4,730 2,980 (63%) Bay No. 1 Back Bay No. 1 4,800 3,024 (63%) 148 1-7, 5 Plat No. 2 45,900 28,917 (63%) 29-35 Seaside 3,000 1,890 (63%) 29-35 Seaside 3,000 1,890 (63%) 29-35 Seaside 16,38 6 Plat No. 2, 3,000 1,890 (63%) 21,22 No. 2, Seaside 10,238 (63%) 21,222 No. 2, Seaside 10,238 (63%) 174 28,29 6 Plat No. 2, 6,000 3,780 (63%) 192 Portion of 7 Replat Plat No. 2 8,500 5,355 (63%) 121 S.150 ft. 3 Plat No. 1, 6,000 3,780 (63%) of 1&2 Seaside 13,320 8,392 (63%) 2. 317 Building Demolition, Area 4 35,000 22,050 (63%) 3.	, ` ,			39		12			24,600		15,498	(63%)
36 25 13 Replat Back 4,730 2,980 (634). Bay No. 1 61 13 10 Back Bay No. 1 4,800 3,024 (634). 148 1-7, 5 Plat No. 2 45,900 28,917 (635). 29-35 Sesside 163 8 6 Plat No. 2, 3,000 1,890 (635). 163 8 6 Plat No. 2, 3,000 1,890 (635). 29-35 Sesside 16,250 10,238 (635). 170 18,20, 6 Replat Plat 16,250 10,238 (635). 21,22 No. 2, Sesside 10,238 (635). (635). 174 28,29 6 Plat No. 2, 6,000 3,780 (635). 192 Portion of .7 Replat Plat No. 2, 6,000 3,780 (635). 16,17&18 Seaside 121 S.150 ft. 3 Plat No. 1, 6,000 3,780 (635). 121 S.150 ft. 3 Plat No. 1, 6,000 3,780 (635). 121 S.150 ft. 3				55	4	10			4.500		2,835	(63%)
61 13 10 Back Bay No. 1 4,800 3,024 (63%) 148 1-7, 5 Plat No. 2 45,900 28,917 (63%) 29-35 Seaside 3,000 1,890 (63%) 163 8 6 Plat No. 2, 3,000 1,890 (63%) 170 18,20, 6 Replat Plat 16,250 10,238 (63%) 174 28,29 6 Plat No. 2, 6,000 3,780 (63%) 192 Portion of .7 Replat Plat No. 2 6,000 3,780 (63%) 192 Portion of .7 Replat Plat No. 2, 6,000 3,780 (63%) 121 S.150 ft. 3 Plat No. 1, 6,000 3,780 (63%) 121 S.150 ft. 3 Plat No. 1, 6,000 3,780 (63%) of 1&2 Seaside 13,320 8,392 (63%) 2. 317 Building Demolition, Area 4 35,000 22,050 (63%) 3. 312 Fill (2nd Phase) 1,723,600 1,762,047 (63%) 3.18 Fill (2nd Phase) 1,723,600	, ' ,			36			Replat Back				2,980	(63%)
148 1-7, 5 Plat No. 2 45,900 28,917 (63%) 29-35 Seaside 3,000 1,890 (63%) 163 8 6 Plat No. 2, 3,000 1,890 (63%) 170 18,20, 6 Replat Plat 16,250 10,238 (63%) 21,22 No. 2, Seaside 16,250 10,238 (63%) 174 28,29 6 Plat No. 2, 6,000 3,780 (63%) 192 Portion of .7 Replat Plat No. 2 8,500 5,355 (63%) 192 Portion of .7 Replat Plat No. 2, 6,000 3,780 (63%) 16,17&18 Seaside 253 29,30 9 Plat No. 1, 6,000 3,780 (63%) 121 S.150 ft. 3 Plat No. 1, 6,000 3,780 (63%) Seaside 13,320 8,392 (63%) 2. 317 Building Demolition, Area 4 35,000 22,050 (63%) 3. 312 Fill (2nd Phase) 2,796,900 1,762,047 (63%) 3. 312 Fill (2nd Phase) 1,723,600 <				61	13	10	• T					
163 8 6 Plat No. 2, 3,000 1,890 (65%) 170 18,20, 6 Replat Plat 16,250 10,238 (65%) 21,22 No. 2, Seaside 16,250 10,238 (65%) 174 28,29 6 Plat No. 2, Seaside 5,355 (65%) 192 Portion of .7 Replat Plat No. 2 8,500 5,355 (65%) 192 Portion of .7 Replat Plat No. 2 8,500 3,780 (65%) 16,17&18 Seaside 253 29,30 9 Plat No. 2, 6,000 3,780 (65%) 253 29,30 9 Plat No. 1, 6,000 3,780 (65%) Seaside 121 S.150 ft. 3 Plat No. 1, 6,000 3,780 (65%) Seaside 121 S.150 ft. 3 Plat No. 1, 6,000 3,780 (63%) 0f 1&2 Seaside 13,320 8,392 (63%) 2. 317 Building Demolition, Area 4 35,000 22,050 (63%) 3. 312 Fill (2nd Phase) 2,796,900 1,762,047 (63%) 318 Fill (2nd Phase) 1,085,868 (65%) 1,085,868 (65%) 4. 313 Public Utilities, Area 7 (1st	: 1			148		· <u>5</u>	Plat No. 2		45,900		28,917	(63%)
Beaside 16,250 10,238 (63%) 21,22 No. 2, Seaside 16,250 10,238 (63%) 174 28,29 6 Flat No. 2, Seaside 6,000 3,780 (63%) 192 Portion of .7 Replat Plat No. 2, Seaside 6,000 3,780 (63%) 192 Portion of .7 Replat Plat No. 2, Seaside 6,000 3,780 (63%) 192 Portion of .7 Replat Plat No. 2, Seaside 6,000 3,780 (63%) 192 Portion of .7 Replat Plat No. 2, Seaside 6,000 3,780 (63%) 121 S.150 ft. 3 Plat No. 1, Seaside 5,000 3,780 (63%) 121 S.150 ft. 3 Plat No. 1, Seaside 13,320 8,392 (63%) 121 S.150 ft. 3 Plat No. 1, S5,000 22,050 (63%) 121 S.150 ft. 3 Plat No. 1, S5,000 22,050 (63%) 131 Building Demolition, Area 4 35,000 1,762,047 (63%) 132 Fill (2nd Phase) 2,796,900 1,762,047 (63%) 133				_							- 000	i Carid V
170 18,20, 6 Replat Plat 16,250 10,238 (63%) 21,22 No. 2, Seaside No. 2, Seaside 6,000 3,780 (63%) 174 28,29 6 Plat No. 2, Seaside 6,000 3,780 (63%) 192 Portion of .7 Replat Plat No. 2 8,500 5,355 (63%) 192 Portion of .7 Replat Plat No. 2 6,000 3,780 (63%) 253 29,30 9 Plat No. 1, Seaside 6,000 3,780 (63%) 253 29,30 9 Plat No. 1, Seaside 6,000 3,780 (63%) 121 S.150 ft. 3 Plat No. 1, Seaside 13,320 8,392 (63%) 121 S.150 ft. 3 Plat No. 1, Seaside 13,320 8,392 (63%) 2. 317 Building Demolition, Area 4 35,000 22,050 (63%) 3. 312 Fill (2nd Phase) 2,796,900 1,762,047 (63%) 3. 312 Fill (2nd Phase) 1,085,868 (63%) 3. 313 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>163</td><td>. 8</td><td><i>-</i>6</td><td></td><td></td><td>3,000</td><td></td><td>1,890</td><td>(0)%)</td></t<>				163	. 8	<i>-</i> 6			3,000		1,890	(0)%)
21,22 No. 2, Seaside 174 28,29 6 Plat No. 2, 6,000 3,780 (63#) 192 Portion of .7 Replat Plat No. 2 8,500 5,355 (63#) 192 Portion of .7 Replat Plat No. 2 6,000 3,780 (63#) 253 29,30 9 Plat No. 2, 6,000 3,780 (63#) 253 29,30 9 Plat No. 1, 6,000 3,780 (63#) 121 S.150 ft. 3 Plat No. 1, 6,000 3,780 (63#) 121 S.150 ft. 3 Plat No. 1, 6,000 3,780 (63#) of 1&2 Seaside 13,320 8,392 (63#) Miscellaneous Costs 13,320 8,392 (63#) 2. 317 Building Demolition, Area 4 35,000 22,050 (63#) 3. 312 fill (2nd Phase) 2,796,900 1,762,047 (63#) 318 Fill (2nd Phase) 1,085,868 (63#) 1,085,868 (63#) 4. 313 Public Utilities, Area 7 (1st Phase) 50,000 31,500					-0.00	· · ·			16 050		in ois	(Gad)
174 28,29 6 Plat No. 2, 5,000 3,780 (63%) 192 Portion of .7 Replat Plat No. 2 8,500 5,355 (63%) 192 Portion of .7 Replat Plat No. 2 8,500 5,355 (63%) 16,17&18 Seaside 5,000 3,780 (63%) 253 29,30 9 Plat No. 2, 6,000 3,780 (63%) 121 S.150 ft. 3 Plat No. 1, 6,000 3,780 (63%) 121 S.150 ft. 3 Plat No. 1, 6,000 3,780 (63%) of 1&2 Seaside 13,320 8,392 (63%) 2. 317 Building Demolition, Area 4 35,000 22,050 (63%) 2. 312 Fill (2nd Phase) 2,796,900 1,762,047 (63%) 3. 312 Fill (2nd Phase) 1,085,868 (63%) 4. 313 Public Utilities, Area 7 (1st Phase) 50,000 31,500 (63%)				170		6	<i>"</i> - " •,		10,250		10,200	(100)
Seaside Replat Plat No. 2 8,500 5,355 (63%) 192 Portion of .7 16,17&18 Replat Plat No. 2 8,500 5,355 (63%) 253 29,30 9 Plat No. 2, Seaside 6,000 3,780 (63%) 253 29,30 9 Plat No. 2, Seaside 6,000 3,780 (63%) 121 S.150 ft. 3 Plat No. 1, of 1&2 5easide 13,320 8,392 (63%) 121 S.150 ft. 3 Plat No. 1, of 1&2 Seaside 13,320 8,392 (63%) 2. 317 Building Demolition, Area 4 35,000 22,050 (63%) 2. 317 Building Demolition, Area 4 35,000 22,050 (63%) 3. 312 Fill (2nd Phase) 1,723,600 1,762,047 (63%) 3. 312 Fill (2nd Phase) 1,085,868 (63%) 4. 313 Public Utilities, Area 7 (1st Phase) 50,000 31,500 (63%)	•					6			6 000		3.780	(62d)
192 Portion of .7 16,17&18 Replat Plat No. 2 Seaside 8,500 5,355 (63%) 253 29,30 9 Plat No. 2, Seaside 6,000 3,780 (63%) 121 S.150 ft. 3 Plat No. 1, of 1&2 6,000 3,780 (63%) 121 S.150 ft. 3 Plat No. 1, of 1&2 6,000 3,780 (63%) 2. 317 Building Demolition, Area 4 35,000 22,050 (63%) 2. 317 Building Demolition, Area 4 35,000 22,050 (63%) 3. 312 Fill (2nd Phase) 2,796,900 1,762,047 (63%) 3. 312 Fill (2nd Phase) 1,085,868 (63%) 4. 313 Public Utilities, Area 7 (1st Phase) 50,000 31,500 (63%)				L (4	20,29	0			يەنەن و ب		100	146-241
Seaside 121 S.150 ft. 3 Plat No. 1, of 1&2 Seaside 6,000 3,780 (63%) Of 1&2 Seaside 13,320 8,392 (63%) Miscellaneous Costs 13,320 8,392 (63%) 2. 317 Building Demolition, Area 4 (2nd Phase) 35,000 22,050 (63%) 3. 312 Fill (2nd Phase) 2,796,900 1,762,047 (63%) 1,085,868 (63%) 4. 313 Public Utilities, Area 7 (1st Phase) 50,000 31,500 (63%)	-			192	· · · · · ·	•	Replat Plat No.	2	8,500		5,355	(63%)
121 S.150 ft. 3 Plat No. 1, of 182 6,000 3,780 (63%) of 182 Seaside 13,320 8,392 (63%) 2. 317 Building Demolition, Area 4 35,000 22,050 (63%) 3. 312 Fill (2nd Phase) 2,796,900 1,762,047 (63%) 3. 318 Fill (2nd Phase) 1,723,600 1,085,868 (63%) 4. 313 Public Utilities, Area 7 (1st Phase) 50,000 31,500 (63%)				253	29,30	ģ			6,000		3,780	(63%)
Miscellaneous Costs 13,320 8,392 (63%) 2. 317 Building Demolition, Area 4 35,000 22,050 (63%) 3. 312 Fill (2nd Phase) 2,796,900 1,762,047 (63%) 3. 318 Fill (2nd Phase) 1,723,600 1,085,868 (63%) 4. 313 Public Utilities, Area 7 (1st Phase) 50,000 31,500 (63%)	• •			121		. 3	Plat No. 1,		6,000		3,780	(63%)
3. 312 Fill (2nd Phase) 2,796,900 1,762,047 (63%) 3. 318 Fill (2nd Phase) 1,723,600 1,085,868 (63%) 4. 313 Public Utilities, Area 7 (1st Phase) 50,000 31,500 (63%)	j			Misc	2	Costs			13,320	<u>.</u>	8,392	(63%)
318 Fill (2nd Phase) 1,723,600 1,085,868 (63%) 4. 313 Public Utilities, Area 7 (1st Phase) 50,000 31,500 (63%)		2.	317			lition,	Area 4		35,000		22,050	(63%)
		3.						2 1	2,796,900 .,723,600			
		4.			ic Utilii ic Utilii	ies, Are ies, Are	a 7 (lst Phase) a 4 (lst Phase)		· · · ·			

-4-

EXHIBIT "A"

ITEM	AFE	WORK PROPOSED	LBHD ESTIMATED SUBPROJECT EXPENDITURES JULY 1, 1960 TO TERMINATION	ESTIMATED SUBSIDENCE COSTS
5.	315	Raise, Relocate or Purchase Oil Facilities, Area 7 (2nd Phase)	\$ 73,000	\$ 45,990 (63%)
	320	Raise, Relocate or Purchase Oil Facilities, Area 4 (2nd Phase)	225,000	141,750 (63%)
6.	347	Mitchell Avenue Water Main (2nd Phase)	45,950	28,948 (33%)
Ź•	351	Interim Street System (2nd Phase)	7,000 \$5,501,350	4,410 (63%) \$ 3,465,852

Note:

- 1. The term "1st Phase" consists of costs of preliminary work expended subsequent to review of preliminary plans and/or other adequate description. (Typical "1st Phase" costs are engineering design, contract administration and advertising, preparation of site by Harbor Department forces.) For work within the same approved time period, any excess of "1st Phase" approved costs over actual expenditures may be added to "2nd Phase" approvals after date of "2nd Phase" approvals.
- 2. The term "2nd Phase" consists of additional costs approved subsequent to review of detail construction plans and/or other data sufficient to guide construction operations.

-5-