40. STATUS OF MAJOR LITIGATION - W.O.S 3019, 2224, AND 2274.2.

The attached Calendar Item 47 was presented to the Commission for information.

Attachment
Calendar Item 47 (2 pages)

CALENDAR ITEM

INFORMATIVE

47.

STATUS OF MAJOR LITIGATION - W.O.s 3019, 2224, AND 2274.2.

The following is current as of April 11, 1960:

1. Case No. 800-58 WM Civil
U.S. vs. Anchor Oil Corporation, et al.
U.S.D.C., Southern District, Los Angeles County
(Long Beach Subsidence Matter)

W.O. 3019

(Request by U.S. for court order to shut down Wilmington Field if satisfactory subsurface repressuring programs for land-surface-subsidence alleviation are not put into operation.)

No change in status since reports given at meetings of October 29, November 18, and December 17, 1959, and January 21, February 25, and March 24, 1960; i.e., A copy of the plaintiff United States' reply to the State's counterclaim was received August 12, 1959. Discovery proceedings have commenced. Plaintiff United States has served written interrogatories on various co-defendants but not on defendant State of California. It is anticipated that defendants will serve written interrogatories on the Federal Government.

2. Case No. 683,824
People vs. City of Long Beach
Los Angeles County Superior Court
(Alamitos Bay Quitclaim Litigation)

W.O. 2224

(Settlement of question as to whether title to oil and gas is vested in City or State in lands granted to City by State and subsequently quitclaimed to State by City.)

Closing briefs were filed by each party on April 8, 1960.

3. Case No. 70717 County of Orange vs. State of California, et al. Orange County Superior Court W.O. 2274.2

(Claim by Orange County that a legislative grant to the County of tide and submerged lands in Newport Bay conveyed to the County all tide and submerged lands within the County (with the exception of a grant to the City of Newport Beach).)

CALENDAR ITEM 47. (CONTD.)

No change in status since report given at meeting of March 24, 1960; i.e., The County Counsel resigned his office on or about March 1, 1960, and Stephen Tamura, his Deputy, was appointed to succeed. Mr. Tamura is familiar with the litigation, and is one of the counsel of record for the County. The Attorney General's office is awaiting word as to whether the County plans to continue the litigation.