

MINUTE ITEM

3. LONG BEACH BOUNDARY DETERMINATION, CHAPTER 2000/57 - W.O. 2716.

Following presentation by the Executive Officer of Calendar Item 28 attached, Mr. Harold A. Hingle, Deputy City Attorney for Long Beach, informed the Commission that he was not prepared to give any report, inasmuch as he had understood that Mr. Ball, Special Counsel for the City, would make a presentation. He did not know why Mr. Ball was absent, but assumed he had been detained in trial.

Deputy Attorney General Jay L. Shavelson reported that the office of the Attorney General had not heard from the City of Long Beach in connection with the boundary determination problem since November, 1959, and did not know if there was any prospect of a negotiated settlement. His office is prepared to file proceedings whenever directed to do so by the State Lands Commission.

Commissioner Cranston asked if any word had been received from the Navy Department as to a decision on the retention of the Long Beach Naval Shipyard. The Executive Officer reported that no determination by the Secretary of the Navy had been announced.

UPON MOTION MADE BY COMMISSIONER CRANSTON, DULY SECONDED, AND UNANIMOUSLY CARRIED, ACTION ON THE LONG BEACH BOUNDARY DETERMINATION WAS CONTINUED UNTIL THE NEXT REGULAR MEETING OF THE STATE LANDS COMMISSION.

Attachment

Calendar Item 28 (1 page)

CALENDAR ITEM

28.

LONG BEACH BOUNDARY DETERMINATION, CHAPTER 2000/57 - W.O. 2716.

On January 21, 1960, the Commission continued consideration of the Long Beach tideland boundary problem to its next scheduled meeting on February 25, 1960.

A report on the status will be given by the Commission's staff and members of the Attorney General's office. Representatives from the City of Long Beach will be invited to comment on this problem.