

"The United States Bureau of Land Management advised the State that the lands embraced in the afore-mentioned indemnity selection application divided public domain lands and would, therefore, not be acted upon favorably unless the State filed an application to acquire the remaining vacant Federal lands in the immediate vicinity. As a result of this determination the above-mentioned exchange application was filed by the State.

"Under letter dated September 24, 1954, the Bureau of Land Management advised that the selected lands are available for exchange and that the values of the selected and offered lands are approximately equal for the purpose of the exchange; that there are no outstanding contests or protests of record; that the requirements pertaining to the application have been met and publication of the exchange is therefore authorized.

"In accordance with instructions from the Bureau of Land Management, the notice of the exchange application describing both the Government lands and the State lands was published for the required period in the newspaper designated by the Bureau of Land Management.

"It is now necessary for the State to convey to the Federal Government, by grant deed, the 632.40 acres of State land offered in exchange."

UPON MOTION DULY MADE AND UNANIMOUSLY CARRIED, IT WAS RESOLVED AS FOLLOWS:

THE EXECUTIVE OFFICER IS AUTHORIZED TO CERTIFY TO THE GOVERNOR THAT IT IS TO THE ADVANTAGE OF THE STATE TO EXCHANGE WITH THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT ALL OF FRACTIONAL SECTION 16, T. 1 S., R. 9 E., S.B.M., CONTAINING 632.40 ACRES WITHIN JOSHUA TREE NATIONAL MONUMENT, SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY, FOR LOTS 2, 3, 4, 5, W $\frac{1}{2}$ OF LOT 6, W $\frac{1}{2}$ OF LOT 7, AND LOT 8 OF SECTION 1, THE E $\frac{1}{2}$ OF LOT 7 AND NE $\frac{1}{4}$ OF SE $\frac{1}{4}$ OF SECTION 2, AND NE $\frac{1}{4}$ OF SECTION 11, T. 15 N., R. 6 W., M.D.M., CONTAINING 627 ACRES IN COLUSA AND LAKE COUNTIES, OF APPROXIMATELY EQUAL AREA AND VALUE; THE EXECUTIVE OFFICER IS AUTHORIZED TO EXECUTE ON BEHALF OF THE STATE LANDS COMMISSION A CERTIFICATE PROVIDED FOR IN SECTION 6444 OF THE PUBLIC RESOURCES CODE; AND THE STATE, UPON ACQUISITION FROM THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT OF LOTS 2, 3, 4, 5, W $\frac{1}{2}$ OF LOT 6, W $\frac{1}{2}$ OF LOT 7, AND LOT 8 OF SECTION 1, THE E $\frac{1}{2}$ OF LOT 7 AND NE $\frac{1}{4}$ OF SE $\frac{1}{4}$ OF SECTION 2, AND NE $\frac{1}{4}$ OF SECTION 11, T. 15 N., R. 6 W., M.D.M., CONTAINING 627 ACRES IN COLUSA AND LAKE COUNTIES, SHALL OFFER SAID LANDS FOR SALE UNDER COMPETITIVE BIDDING IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE PROCEDURE GOVERNING THE SALE OF VACANT STATE SCHOOL LAND.

18. (LUCERNE VALLEY, APPLICATIONS FOR FEDERAL LANDS - W.O. 2067.) The following report was presented to the Commission:

"On March 11, 1955 a letter was addressed to Governor Knight by E. B. Moore, President of the High Joshua Desert Association, Inc., of Joshua Tree, California (located some fifteen miles west of Twentynine Palms, California), protesting against the selection by the State of about 20,000 acres of Federal lands

in the Lucerne Valley. The stated basis of the protest was to the effect that the State's applications had precluded the filing for five-acre tract locations on the part of 'hundreds' of applicants.

"The Executive Officer personally inspected the area and also that in the vicinity of Joshua Tree on April 3, 1955, and on the following day interviewed the complainant, Mr. Moore. He has developed a business of locating sites for those desiring five-acre tracts, and he is a partner in a construction firm which builds the structures required by the United States on the tracts once they are acquired. He had begun to extend his activities over into the Lucerne Valley area, and discovered that the applications he had submitted were being refused by the U. S. Bureau of Land Management because of the prior filings made by the State.

"Actually the Division of State Lands had filed lieu applications from one applicant for about 12,000 acres and from fifty others for 8,000 acres. The base used has been State school lands embraced in areas withdrawn by the United States for various purposes. Since these are cash transactions, action by the State Lands Commission awaits approval and clearance by the United States Bureau of Land Management, followed by advertising for filing of protests to that agency. Subsequently the State examines the lands as to their suitability for cultivation without irrigation and for appraisal purposes. When these operations are completed and the applicants indicate their agreement with the appraisals, the matters are placed before the State Lands Commission for a determination as to whether the transactions are in the interest of the State and for action on the proposed sales.

"Quite recently twenty-one additional applications for approximately 5,000 acres of Federal land in the same general area have been received by the Division of State Lands. Since the State's lieu land base has been practically exhausted, it is proposed that a direct exchange be entered into. For this, State school lands now under lease to the United States are proposed to be used as base. Since these latter would be sold after competitive bidding, it is proposed to ask for bids on the Federal lands, if and when the State receives them. Furthermore, these lands should not be taken out from under lease unless the State obtains a better consideration than it is now receiving. On behalf of these particular applications a letter has been received from Mrs. Ruth E. Thurber requesting that there should be no discrimination between applications involving indemnity selections and those where exchanges are necessary."

UPON MOTION DULY MADE AND UNANIMOUSLY CARRIED, IT WAS RESOLVED AS FOLLOWS:

THE EXECUTIVE OFFICER IS AUTHORIZED TO PROCEED WITH NEGOTIATIONS ALREADY IN PROCESS WITH THE U. S. BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT FOR THE CONSUMMATION OF LIEU APPLICATIONS ALREADY FILED FOR APPROXIMATELY 20,000 ACRES OF FEDERAL LANDS IN THE LUCERNE VALLEY; HE IS FURTHER AUTHORIZED TO PROCEED WITH THE FILING FOR ADDITIONAL LANDS NOT TO EXCEED 5,000 ACRES IN EXTENT IN THE SAME GENERAL AREA, AND TO OFFER THESE FOR SALE TO THE HIGHEST BIDDER; WITH RIGHTS RESERVED TO THE ORIGINAL APPLICANTS TO MEET THE HIGHEST BIDS MADE; ALL TO BE REPORTED TO THE COMMISSION FOR FINAL ACTION AT THE APPROPRIATE TIME.

19. (APPROVAL OF ORDINARY HIGH WATER MARK SURVEY ON THE SHORE OF THE PACIFIC OCEAN - W.O. 1614, GEO.-SANTA BARBARA COUNTY.) The following report was presented to the Commission:

"This survey in Santa Barbara County consisted of Sheets 1 and 2 of 6 in the vicinity of Naples, Sheets 3, 4 and 5 of 6 in the vicinity of Ellwood, and Sheet 6 of 6 in the vicinity of Coal Oil Point.

"The purpose of this survey was to establish accurately the location of oil company leases and also to prepare a basis for future subsidence studies in the vicinity of the oil fields."

UPON MOTION DULY MADE AND UNANIMOUSLY CARRIED, IT WAS RESOLVED AS FOLLOWS:

THE EXECUTIVE OFFICER IS AUTHORIZED TO APPROVE AND HAVE RECORDED THE MAPS ENTITLED "SURVEY OF THE ORDINARY HIGH WATER MARK ALONG THE SHORE OF THE PACIFIC OCEAN", SANTA BARBARA COUNTY, SHEETS 1 THROUGH 6, INCLUSIVE, DATED FEBRUARY, 1954.

20. (AGREEMENT FOR EASEMENT NO. 415, RIO VISTA, ALLOTMENT REVISIONS NOS. 69 AND 70, STANDARD OIL COMPANY OF CALIFORNIA - W.O. 1320.) The following report was presented to the Commission:

"The data relative to proposed revisions for the estimated productive limits for the pools within the Rio Vista Gas Field, submitted by the Standard Oil Company of California, Lessee under Agreement for Easement No. 415, Rio Vista, constituting Revisions 69 and 70 to the Rio Vista Ratable Taking Plan, have been reviewed by the staff. The proposed revisions are based upon the results from the recompletion of wells and the productive history of all wells in the field. The net changes in State participation in the allocation of production from the Rio Vista Field are minor decreases in participation in the West Emigh, West Hamilton, and East Midland pools, as shown by the accompanying tabulation.

	STATE PERCENTAGE PARTICIPATION		
	Revision 68 Effective 8/1/54	Revision 69 Effective 9/23/54	Revision 70 Effective 1/1/55
East Emigh	0.3283%	0.3283%	0.3283%
West Emigh	10.6409	10.6409	10.6407
West Hamilton	12.5285	12.5285	12.5280
East Midland	0.8287	0.7723	0.7723"