

UPON MOTION DULY MADE AND UNANIMOUSLY CARRIED, IT WAS RESOLVED AS FOLLOWS:

THE SALE IS AUTHORIZED OF LOT 3 OF SECTION 4, T. 5 N., R. 25 E., M.D.M., CONTAINING 29.90 ACRES IN MONO COUNTY, TO THE SINGLE BIDDER, F. M. FULSTONE, AT A CASH PRICE OF \$448.50, SUBJECT TO ALL STATUTORY RESERVATIONS INCLUDING MINERALS.

17. (SALE OF VACANT SCHOOL LAND, APPLICATION NO. 10647, LOS ANGELES LAND DISTRICT, SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY, GEORGE McCARTHY - S.W.O. 5719.) The Commission's staff reported as follows:

"An offer has been received from George McCarthy of Apple Valley, California, to purchase the  $W\frac{1}{2}$ ,  $NE\frac{1}{4}$  and  $S\frac{1}{2}$  of  $SE\frac{1}{4}$  of Section 36, T. 4 N., R. 2 E., S.B.M., containing 560 acres in San Bernardino County. Mr. McCarthy made an offer of \$1,120, or \$2 per acre.

"The Assessor of San Bernardino County has assessed land in the vicinity at approximately \$2.50 per acre, thus indicating its appraised value to be approximately \$5 per acre.

"An inspection and appraisal by a member of the Commission's staff on October 1, 1954, sets the minimum value of the subject land at \$6 per acre.

"Before the land was advertised for sale, Mr. McCarthy was advised that the appraised value was \$6 per acre. He posted the necessary amount to meet the appraised value of \$3,360.

"The land was advertised for sale with a stipulation that no offer of less than \$3,360 would be accepted. No other application for said land was received pursuant to the advertising."

UPON MOTION DULY MADE AND UNANIMOUSLY CARRIED, IT WAS RESOLVED AS FOLLOWS:

THE SALE IS AUTHORIZED OF THE  $W\frac{1}{2}$ ,  $NE\frac{1}{4}$  AND  $S\frac{1}{2}$  OF  $SE\frac{1}{4}$  OF SECTION 36, T. 4 N., R. 2 E., S.B.M., CONTAINING 560 ACRES IN SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY, TO THE SINGLE APPLICANT, GEORGE McCARTHY, AT A CASH PRICE OF \$3,360, SUBJECT TO ALL STATUTORY RESERVATIONS INCLUDING MINERALS.

18. (PROPOSED PURCHASE OF FEDERAL LANDS IN SAN DIEGO COUNTY, CITY OF SAN DIEGO - S.W.O. 5277.) The Commission's staff reported as follows:

"On May 11, 1944, State indemnity selection applications were filed on behalf of the City of San Diego to acquire the  $SW\frac{1}{4}$  of  $SW\frac{1}{4}$ ,  $N\frac{1}{2}$  of  $SE\frac{1}{4}$ ,  $SE\frac{1}{4}$  of  $SE\frac{1}{4}$  of Section 23,  $N\frac{1}{2}$  of  $SW\frac{1}{4}$ ,  $SW\frac{1}{4}$  of  $SW\frac{1}{4}$  of Section 24,  $N\frac{1}{2}$  of  $NW\frac{1}{4}$ ,  $SW\frac{1}{4}$  of  $NW\frac{1}{4}$ ,  $SW\frac{1}{4}$  of  $SW\frac{1}{4}$ ,  $N\frac{1}{2}$  of  $SE\frac{1}{4}$ ,  $SW\frac{1}{4}$  of  $SE\frac{1}{4}$  of Section 26,  $S\frac{1}{2}$  of  $NW\frac{1}{4}$ ,  $W\frac{1}{2}$  or  $NE\frac{1}{4}$  of Section 27,  $SE\frac{1}{4}$  of  $NW\frac{1}{4}$ ,  $E\frac{1}{2}$  of  $SW\frac{1}{4}$ ,  $S\frac{1}{2}$  of  $NE\frac{1}{4}$ ,  $NW\frac{1}{4}$  of  $SE\frac{1}{4}$  of Section 28, and  $N\frac{1}{2}$  of  $NE\frac{1}{4}$  of Section 32, T. 18 S., R. 2 E., S.B.M., containing 1,040 acres in San Diego County.

"The State indemnity applications to select said lands were rejected in part by the Bureau of Land Management for the reason that certain lands were embraced in a withdrawal established by Executive Order of May 24, 1928, as a reservoir site for the use and benefit of the City of San Diego.

"In view of the rejection in part of the State's applications as above noted, it was recommended by officials of the United States Bureau of Land Management in Washington, D. C., that, upon revocation of Executive Order of May 24, 1928 restoring the lands to entry, it would be desirable for the State to proceed with the filing of an exchange application to select said lands, which would allow the State of California, on behalf of the City of San Diego, to maintain its preference rights. Therefore, an exchange application, which is the subject of this calendar item, was made under the provisions of Section 8 of the Taylor Grazing Act.

"On November 19, 1953, notice was received from the United States Bureau of Land Management in Washington, D. C., advising that the selected lands are available for exchange and that the values of the selected and offered lands are approximately equal for the purpose of the exchange; that there are no outstanding contests or protests of record; that the requirements pertaining to the application have been met and publication of the exchange was therefore authorized pursuant to Order No. 473 of August 27, 1952 (17 F.R. 7884).

"In accordance with instructions from the Bureau of Land Management, the notice of the exchange application describing both the Government lands and the State lands was published for the required period in the newspapers designated by the Bureau of Land Management.

"It is now necessary for the State to convey to the Federal Government, by grant deed, the 1,040 acres of State land offered in exchange.

"The Assessor of Kern County has assessed lands in the vicinity from \$2.50 to \$11.85 per acre.

"An inspection and appraisal was made by a member of the Commission's staff on August 3, 1954. This appraisal, on file with the Commission, establishes an average of \$7 per acre for the selected lands, or a total of \$7,280. The City of San Diego has posted the necessary amount to meet this value.

"The selection of the subject lands is considered to be to the advantage of the State in that the selection thereof will assist the State in satisfying the loss to the School Land Grant."

UPON MOTION DULY MADE AND UNANIMOUSLY CARRIED, IT WAS RESOLVED AS FOLLOWS:

THE EXECUTIVE OFFICER IS AUTHORIZED TO CERTIFY TO THE GOVERNOR THAT IT IS TO THE ADVANTAGE OF THE STATE TO EXCHANGE WITH THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT ALL OF SECTION 16, AND THE  $N\frac{1}{2}$  AND  $N\frac{1}{2}$  OF  $SW\frac{1}{4}$  OF SECTION 36, T. 2 S., R. 7 E., S.B.M., CONTAINING 1,040 ACRES IN RIVERSIDE COUNTY, FOR THE  $SW\frac{1}{4}$  OF  $SW\frac{1}{4}$ ,  $N\frac{1}{2}$  OF  $SE\frac{1}{4}$ ,  $SE\frac{1}{4}$  OF  $SE\frac{1}{4}$  OF SECTION 23,  $N\frac{1}{2}$  OF  $SW\frac{1}{4}$ ,  $SW\frac{1}{4}$  OF  $SW\frac{1}{4}$  OF SECTION 24,  $N\frac{1}{2}$  OF  $NW\frac{1}{4}$ ,  $SW\frac{1}{4}$  OF  $NW\frac{1}{4}$ ,  $SW\frac{1}{4}$  OF  $SW\frac{1}{4}$ ,  $N\frac{1}{2}$  OF  $SE\frac{1}{4}$ ,  $SW\frac{1}{4}$  OF  $SE\frac{1}{4}$  OF SECTION 26,  $S\frac{1}{2}$  OF  $NW\frac{1}{4}$ ,  $W\frac{1}{2}$  OF  $NE\frac{1}{4}$  OF SECTION 27,  $SE\frac{1}{4}$  OF  $NW\frac{1}{4}$ ,  $E\frac{1}{2}$  OF  $SW\frac{1}{4}$ ,  $S\frac{1}{2}$  OF  $NE\frac{1}{4}$ ,  $NW\frac{1}{4}$  OF  $SE\frac{1}{4}$  OF SECTION 28, AND  $N\frac{1}{2}$  OF  $NE\frac{1}{4}$  OF SECTION 32, T. 18 S., R. 2 E., S.B.M., CONTAINING 1,040 ACRES IN SAN DIEGO COUNTY, OF EQUAL AREA AND VALUE; THE EXECUTIVE OFFICER IS FURTHER AUTHORIZED TO EXECUTE ON BEHALF OF THE STATE LANDS COMMISSION A CERTIFICATE PROVIDED FOR IN SECTION 6444 OF THE PUBLIC RESOURCES CODE; UPON ACQUISITION FROM THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT OF THE  $SW\frac{1}{4}$  OF  $SW\frac{1}{4}$ ,  $N\frac{1}{2}$  OF  $SE\frac{1}{4}$ ,  $SE\frac{1}{4}$  OF  $SE\frac{1}{4}$  OF SECTION 23,  $N\frac{1}{2}$  OF  $SW\frac{1}{4}$ ,  $SW\frac{1}{4}$  OF  $SW\frac{1}{4}$  OF SECTION 24,  $N\frac{1}{2}$  OF  $NW\frac{1}{4}$ ,  $SW\frac{1}{4}$  OF  $NW\frac{1}{4}$ ,  $SW\frac{1}{4}$  OF  $SW\frac{1}{4}$ ,  $N\frac{1}{2}$  OF  $SE\frac{1}{4}$ ,  $SW\frac{1}{4}$  OF  $SE\frac{1}{4}$  OF SECTION 26,  $S\frac{1}{2}$  OF  $NW\frac{1}{4}$ ,  $W\frac{1}{2}$  OF  $NE\frac{1}{4}$  OF SECTION 27,  $SE\frac{1}{4}$  OF  $NW\frac{1}{4}$ ,  $E\frac{1}{2}$  OF  $SW\frac{1}{4}$ ,  $S\frac{1}{2}$  OF  $NE\frac{1}{4}$ ,  $NW\frac{1}{4}$  OF  $SE\frac{1}{4}$  OF SECTION 28, AND  $N\frac{1}{2}$  OF  $NE\frac{1}{4}$  OF SECTION 32, T. 18 S., R. 2 E., S.B.M., CONTAINING 1,040 ACRES IN SAN DIEGO COUNTY, THE SALE OF SAID LAND IS AUTHORIZED TO THE APPLICANT, THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO, AT THE APPRAISED CASH VALUE OF \$7,200, WITHOUT ADVERTISING, SUBJECT TO ALL STATUTORY RESERVATIONS INCLUDING MINERALS.

19. (SALE OF FEDERAL LAND, OBTAINED THROUGH USE OF BASE, SCRIP APPLICATION NO. 4791, SACRAMENTO LAND DISTRICT, TRINITY COUNTY, RALPH N. MILLER - S.W.O. 5336.)  
The Commission's staff reported as follows:

"An offer has been received from Ralph N. Miller of Lake Mountain, Trinity County, California, to purchase the  $SW\frac{1}{4}$  of  $SW\frac{1}{4}$  of Section 20, and  $NW\frac{1}{4}$  of  $NW\frac{1}{4}$ ,  $E\frac{1}{2}$  of  $NE\frac{1}{4}$  and  $NW\frac{1}{4}$  of  $SE\frac{1}{4}$  of Section 29, T. 5 S., R. 7 E., H.M., containing 200 acres in Trinity County. This land may be obtained by the State from the Federal Government through use of base. Mr. Miller made an offer of \$1,000, or \$5 per acre.

"The assessed value of lands in the vicinity is reported to be approximately \$3.50 per acre, thus indicating their appraised value to be approximately \$7 per acre.

"An inspection and appraisal was made by an independent appraiser on October 3 and 4, 1954. Said appraisal, on file with the Commission, establishes the value at \$4 per acre and that said land is not suitable for cultivation without artificial irrigation.

"The selection of the subject land is considered to be to the advantage of the State in that the selection of the land will assist the State in satisfying the loss to the School Land Grant and in addition will place the selected Federal land on the tax rolls of the county in which it is situated.

"The State's application to select the land has been accepted by the Bureau of Land Management, subject to future approval and listing."

UPON MOTION DULY MADE AND UNANIMOUSLY CARRIED, IT WAS RESOLVED AS FOLLOWS: