

UPON MOTION DULY MADE AND UNANIMOUSLY CARRIED, A RESOLUTION WAS ADOPTED AUTHORIZING THE EXECUTIVE OFFICER TO ISSUE TO LESLIE SALT COMPANY FOUR RIGHT-OF-WAY EASEMENTS FOR PIPE LINES FOR TRANSPORTATION OF BRINE, EACH 100 FEET IN WIDTH, EACH FOR A TERM OF FIFTEEN YEARS AT THE ANNUAL RENTALS INDICATED BELOW, AND EACH WITH RIGHT OF RENEWAL FOR TWO ADDITIONAL PERIODS OF TEN YEARS EACH AT SUCH TERMS AS MAY BE DETERMINED PRIOR TO EACH RENEWAL DATE, SAID PIPE LINES TO BE CONSTRUCTED FIVE FEET BELOW THE MUD LINE, IN THE FOLLOWING LOCATIONS, WITH THE RESPECTIVE LENGTHS INDICATED:

BELMONT SLOUGH, SAN MATEO COUNTY, 300 FEET IN LENGTH,  
ANNUAL RENTAL \$46.00;

STEINBERGEN SLOUGH, SAN MATEO COUNTY, 875 FEET IN LENGTH,  
ANNUAL RENTAL \$57.50;

ALVISO SLOUGH, SANTA CLARA COUNTY, 418.80 FEET IN LENGTH,  
ANNUAL RENTAL \$46.58;

COYOTE SLOUGH, SANTA CLARA AND ALAMEDA COUNTIES, 2007.88  
FEET IN LENGTH, ANNUAL RENTAL \$80.16.

29. (APPLICATION FOR APPROVAL OF PROPOSED LEASE BY CRESCENT CITY HARBOR DISTRICT TO RIVER TERMINALS COMPANY - LEASE P.R.C. 502.) On October 24, 1951, the Commission directed the staff to review the arguments presented for and against the approval of a proposed lease between the Crescent City Harbor District and the River Terminals Company, as explained at that meeting, and to obtain the necessary legal advice from the Attorney General with regard to the responsibility and duties of the Commission in the premises.

During the interim the Crescent City Harbor District agreed to meet the terms of the recommendation made by the staff at the Commission's meeting of October 24, 1951. Also, advice had been received of the filing of a suit by the Crescent City Harbor Company to enforce performance of an agreement with the District pertaining to the purchase by the District of certain uplands claimed to be owned by the Crescent City Harbor Company.

Mr. Walter L. Bowers, Assistant Attorney General, advised the Commission that he had reviewed the State's lease, P.R.C. 502, with the Crescent City Harbor District, and the District's proposed sublease with the River Terminals Company, and had found that the sublease was not in accordance with the basic lease, P.R.C. 502. He pointed out that the approval of such a sublease would give the River Terminals Company a virtual monopoly, for a long period of time, of most of the area covered by Lease P.R.C. 502.

The Chairman presented and read a letter from Mr. Harry Lundberg of the Sailors Union, protesting the approval by the State of the sublease with the River Terminals Company.

UPON MOTION DULY MADE AND UNANIMOUSLY CARRIED, A RESOLUTION WAS ADOPTED DISAPPROVING THE REQUEST OF THE CRESCENT CITY HARBOR DISTRICT FOR AMENDMENT OF LEASE P.R.C. 502, AND ALSO DISAPPROVING ITS SUBLEASE WITH THE RIVER TERMINALS COMPANY, ON THE GROUNDS THAT THE ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE HAD ADVISED THAT SAID SUBLEASE IS NOT IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE BASIC LEASE, P.R.C. 502.