

UPON MOTION DULY MADE AND UNANIMOUSLY CARRIED, A RESOLUTION WAS ADOPTED AUTHORIZING THE EXECUTIVE OFFICER TO MAKE APPLICATION FOR A UNITED STATES PATENT COVERING THE NW $\frac{1}{4}$  OF SECTION 16, T. 16 N., R. 13 E., S.B.M., AS IDENTIFIED BY THE UNITED STATES TOWNSHIP PLAT OF SURVEY APPROVED MAY 6, 1857, NOW SHOWN AS TRACT 39 ON PLAT OF INDEPENDENT RESURVEY OF SAID TOWNSHIP ACCEPTED MAY 20, 1942, AND ALSO FOR THE NEW SECTION 16 SHOWN ON THE 1942 PLAT CONSISTING OF THE W $\frac{1}{2}$ , SE $\frac{1}{4}$ , W $\frac{1}{2}$  OF NE $\frac{1}{4}$  AND LOTS 1 AND 2, CONTAINING 632.39 ACRES.

28. (SALE OF VACANT SCHOOL LAND, APPLICATION NO. 10476, LOS ANGELES LAND DISTRICT, RIVERSIDE COUNTY, CLIFFORD ELY - SAC. W.O. 5246) The Commission was advised that an offer has been received from Mr. Ely of Hollywood, California, to purchase the S $\frac{1}{2}$  of Section 36, T. 3 S., R. 16 E., S.B.M., containing 320 acres in Riverside County.

Mr. Ely has made an offer of \$640.00 or \$2.00 per acre. The Assessor of Riverside County has assessed contiguous land at \$2.00 per acre, thus indicating an appraised value of the land of \$4.00 per acre. An appraisal by the Commission's staff indicates that the offer as made is adequate.

All land to the north and south and for a short distance east and west is identical, rocky, flat, having little desert growth and no grass. Assessed value of adjacent land at "about \$2.00 an acre, some as low as 50¢ an acre" must cover better land to the east as well as similar land. Appraised value, not in excess of \$2.00 per acre.

The land was advertised for sale with a stipulation that no offer of less than \$640.00 would be accepted. Mr. Ely bid \$640.00.

UPON MOTION DULY MADE AND UNANIMOUSLY CARRIED, A RESOLUTION WAS ADOPTED AUTHORIZING THE SALE OF THE S $\frac{1}{2}$  OF SECTION 36, T. 3 S., R. 16 E., S.B.M., TO THE SINGLE BIDDER, MR. ELY, AT A CASH PRICE OF \$640.00, SUBJECT TO ALL STATUTORY RESERVATIONS, INCLUDING MINERALS, SUBJECT TO EASEMENT GRANTED BY THE STATE OCTOBER 4, 1933, TO THE METROPOLITAN WATER DISTRICT OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA FOR TRANSMISSION LINE ACROSS THE SE $\frac{1}{4}$  OF SE $\frac{1}{4}$  OF SAID SECTION 36, CONTAINING 0.8 OF AN ACRE, AND SUBJECT ALSO TO EASEMENT GRANTED BY THE STATE OCTOBER 4, 1933, TO THE METROPOLITAN WATER DISTRICT OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA FOR ROAD PURPOSES 100 FEET IN WIDTH ACROSS THE SE $\frac{1}{4}$  OF SW $\frac{1}{4}$  AND W $\frac{1}{2}$  OF SE $\frac{1}{4}$  OF SAID SECTION 36, T. 3 S., R. 16 E., S.B.M.

29. (PROPOSED LEGISLATION, 1951 LEGISLATIVE SESSION - W.O. 540) The Commission was informed that Section 536 of the Civil Code, with respect to use of State lands by telephone and telegraph companies, is inadequate from the standpoint of the State being able to keep a record of the use of State land as required by Section 6219 of the Public Resources Code. Under Section 6301 of the Public Resources Code, the Commission has been given exclusive jurisdiction over ungranted tide lands and submerged lands, but because of the inadequacy of Section 536 of the Civil Code, the telephone and telegraph companies will not recognize the authority of the Commission or the rules and regulations requiring the filing with the State for the use of State lands.

It is proposed the telephone and telegraph companies be required to file with the State Lands Commission for the use of State land just as are railroad companies under Section 465 et seq. of the Civil Code. As a matter of fact, Section 536 and Section 465 et seq. of the Civil Code, are now being recodified into the Public Utilities Code.