Mr. Pierce has made an offer of \$764.50 or \$5.00 per acre. The Assessor of Ventura County has assessed contiguous land at \$1 to \$4 per acre, thus indicating an appraised value of the land of \$2 to \$8 per acro. The Commission was further informed that it is now felt that this land may have potential oil value. Upon motion duly made and unanimously carried, a resolution was adopted, rejecting the single bid received from Mr. Pierce for the land.

26. (Sale of Vacant Foderal Land, Obtained Through exchange, Scrip Application No. 10413, Los angeles Land District, Riverside County - George T. Friedrich) Upon motion duly made and unanimously carried a resolution was adopted confirming the filing for E_Z^1 of SE_A^1 , SV_A^1 of SE_A^1 and SE_A^1 of SV_A^2 of Section 30, T. 5 S., R. 15 E., S.B.M., containing 160 acres in Riverside County, with the Federal Government and approving, subject to the approval of the selection by the District Land Office, the sale of said land, in Riverside County to Mr. Friedrich at a cash price of \$800.00 subject to all statutory reservations including minerals.

27. (Sale of Vacant School Land, Application No. 10407, Los Angeles Land District - Los Angeles County - Louis J. Friedman) Upon motion duly made and unanimously carried, a resolution was adopted authorizing the sale of NW4 of SE4 of Section 18, T. 6 N., R. 9 W., S.B.M., containing 40 acres in Los Angeles County at a cash price of \$250.00 to Mr. Friedman, who was the single bidder pursuant to the advertising. The land is to be sold subject to all statutory reservations including minerals.

28. (Quiet Title Action - City and County of San Francisco vs. State, San Francisco Superior Court, Caso No. 374016) The Commission was informed that during the 1947 Legislative Session by Assombly Bill No. 1592, Chapter 434 of the 1947 Statutos, the Legislature granted certain street areas in San Francisco that formerly were tide and submerged lands to the City and County of San Francisco. This legislation was enacted to correct inconsistencies and ambiguities of an earlier statuto. The City and County of San Francisco have had occasion to request a title company to pass upon the title to the property granted by the State, and the title company has indicated that it questions the constitutionality of the legislative granting act.

In order to clear up the constitutional question, the City has now brought quiet little action against the State as authorized by the Legislature in Chapter 1554, Statutes of 1947, under which statute and appropriation to the State Lands Commission was made to defray the costs of such actions.

The Attorney General has now requested from the State Lands Commission an expression of the attitude of the Commission with reference to this litigation.

Upon motion duly made and unanimously carried, a resolution was adopted directing the Executive Officer to advise the Attornoy General that since the Legislature has established the policy with respect to granting of