

1. Lease for land upon which new installations are to be built and where there are no presently constructed installations shall be the date of the Commission meeting giving authorization for the lease.
2. Leases for land upon which structures have been built under an unexpired Board of Supervisors' permit shall date from the expiration of the Board of Supervisors' permit.
3. Leases for land where occupant is a trespasser even though the structures were built under a valid though expired Board of Supervisors' permit shall bear the date of notice to the trespasser as authorized by the Commission that he is a trespasser.

16. (Report for Senate Committee on Local Governmental Agencies - W. O. 153)
 The Commission was informed that on January 9, 1948, the Commission directed that the proposed Congressional bill as submitted by the Department of Water and Power, City of Los Angeles, relative to lands in Mono and Inyo Counties, be referred to the office of the Attorney General for review, and that a report be presented at the next meeting of the Commission as to the effect of the amended bill on any State lands.

After full discussion, upon motion duly made and unanimously carried, a resolution was adopted approving a letter to the Senate Committee on Local Governmental Agencies for signature of the Chairman of the State Lands Commission, wherein it is reported that the Department of Water and Power of the City of Los Angeles concurs with the State Lands Commission and the Attorney General in the opinion that the bill is restricted to public lands of the United States, and nothing in the bill as amended shall be construed to affect any State land whatsoever. Furthermore, in sending the letter to the Senate Committee, there shall be attached a copy of the letter from the Department of Water and Power indicating such concurrence and also a copy of the letter from the Attorney General.

The Congressional bill was re-drafted on April 10, 1948, and a formal request is to be submitted to the State Lands Division by the Committee for a letter regarding the new bill, which appears to be equally or more advantageous to the State than the old bill for the reason that local interests have removed their objections to the City owning in fee the littoral land around Owens Lake. This in turn removes the implications of the phrase in the earlier bill which provided the City with the right to affect these littoral lands by raising or lowering the level of the lake. Furthermore, the context of the letter from the Department of Water and Power, which accompanied the bill, is now incorporated in the bill, and is in stronger language than in the original letter.

Messrs. Tillman and Goodsel, Attorneys for the Department of Water and Power, City of Los Angeles, Assistant Attorney General Walter L. Bowers, and Mr. Edward J. Ruff, representing the State's lessees of Owens Lake, appeared before the Commission in connection with the bill as now framed and as discussed before the Committee in Sacramento on April 10, 1948.

The bill is now satisfactory to the City but not to Mr. Ruff, as it does not contain specific language to the effect that the Lake and the leases of the chemical companies could not be flooded by the City. The bill as it now stands gives the City the right to raise and lower water tables and flood certain lands and if this is done they feel that it will result in flooding of the Lake. Colonel Hunter stated that he believes the most economical plan for the city (without having made detailed study) would be by flooding of Owens Lake. Mr. Bowers stated that he would like to have the bill to check and study. All agreed that this would be done and Colonel Hunter said that Mr. Bowers should go over any letter to the Committee.

Upon motion duly made and unanimously carried, a resolution was adopted to the effect that upon receipt of the formal request from the Committee, and after conference with the Attorney General, the staff would prepare a letter for the signature of the Chairman of the State Lands Commission similar to the letter sent to the Committee on February 6, 1948.

17. (Application for Redrill Well H. B. 19 - Wilshire Oil Company - Agreement for Easement No. 275 - Huntington Beach) The Commission was informed that an application for permission to redrill has been received from the Wilshire Oil Company, Inc., Operators of Well H. B. 19 under Agreement for Easement No. 275, Huntington Beach for the reason that the well has been mechanically inoperative, and all effort to repair and restore the well to production in the original location have been unsuccessful.

Well H. B. 19 operated by the Wilshire Oil Company under Easement 275, Huntington Beach, was completed September 30, 1933. Production after thirty days was 3,858 barrels per day of which 0.4% was water. This well produced regularly until rendered inoperative by the drilling of Well 337-1A of The Termo Oil Company in 1938. After restoration to production upon completion of the Termo well, Well H. B. 19 never recovered the original productivity as shown by the production of only 53,971 barrels of oil during the year following the completion of the Termo well, in comparison with the production of 98,471 barrels of oil during the year preceding the redrilling of the Termo well. The last production from Well H. B. 19 was obtained during March, 1944, at which time the well became mechanically inoperative. The redrilling of Well H. B. 19 would result in the conduct of such operations within less than 200 feet in the oil zone of the following wells: Wilshire Oil Company H. B. 6-B, Easement 275; Mar Rico Oil Company O'Brien No. 7, Easement 291; The Termo Company McIntyre 1-A Easement 337. However, the closest approach to any well in the oil zone by such proposed redrill operations would be to the Termo Oil Company Well McIntyre 1-A.

Section 2300, Regulation C of the Rules and Regulations of the State Lands Division provides that "(a) No point in the redrilled portion of the well, including the bottom thereof, shall be more than 100 feet from the original hole;" Drilling within 100 feet of the original course of Wilshire H. B. 19 in the oil sand would result in such operations being carried out within 200 feet of other wells as listed above. For this condition Section 2300 (d) of the Rules and Regulations provides that, "In case any point in